STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION COUNTY OF GRANVILLE FILE NO. 75CRS6128

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

| TRANSCRIPT

Plaintiff,

O F

VS.

|PROCEEDINGS

WILLIE HENDERSON WOMBLE,

Defendant.

-----

Transcript of proceedings taken in the General Court of Justice, Superior Court Division, Granville County, North Carolina, on Friday, October 17, 2014, before the Honorable J. Carlton Cole, Honorable Phyllis Gorham and Honorable Vance Bradford Long, Judges Presiding.

\_\_\_\_\_

## APPEARANCES:

### FOR THE STATE:

Samuel B. Currin, III, District Attorney 105 Main Street Oxford, NC 27565

## FOR THE DEFENDANT:

J. Thomas Burnette, Esquire 106 Main Street Oxford, NC 27565

-----

Denise St. Clair, RPR, CRR
Official Court Reporter
2212 Englewood Drive
Clayton, NC 27520
denise.r.stclair@nccourts.org

St 	ate v. Willie Womble October 17, 2014 Gra		le
	1		
	INDEX		
Op	ening Statement by Mr. Burnette	3	
Op	pening Statement by Mr. Currin	11	
Defendant's Exhibits ID EVD			
1	Brief prepared by Innocence Inquiry Commission	3	5
2	Transcripts - June 2 and 3, 2014	3	5
3	Handouts given June 2 and 3, 2014	3	5
4	Opinion of Innocence Inquiry Commission	3	5

# October 17, 2014 1 2 (Proceedings commencing at 10:31 a.m. at 3 the Granville County Courthouse.) 4 JUDGE LONG: Thank you, Sheriff. 5 right. Please let the record reflect that this 6 Three-Judge Panel has been assembled pursuant 7 to the recommendation of the Innocence Inquiry Commission in the case of State versus Willie 8 Henderson Womble, 75CR6128, Granville County. 9 The record should further reflect that 10 11 Mr. Womble is present with counsel, Mr. J. 12 Thomas Burnette, and that the District Attorney 1.3 for Judicial District 9, Mr. Samuel Currin, is 14 also present. 15 Mr. Burnette. 16 MR. BURNETTE: Good morning, your Honor. 17 Thank you. Madam Court Reporter, for the 18 record, my name is Tom Burnette. I'm a lawyer 19 here in Granville County and I represent Willie 20 Womble in this proceeding. 21 (Defendant's Exhibits 1 through 2.2 4 marked for identification.) 23 MR. BURNETTE: Your Honor, before we 24 actually get started, I have some exhibits to 25 hand up that I need to make part of the

October 17, 2014

1 official record here. It's Exhibits 1 through 2 Exhibit 1 is the brief that was prepared by 3 the Innocence Inquiry Commission; Exhibit 4 Number 2 consists of two transcripts, which is 5 the transcript of the Innocence Inquiry 6 Commission hearing that was held in Raleigh on June 2nd and June 3rd; Exhibit 3 is the 7 8 handouts that were given out at the Innocence 9 Inquiry Commission on June the 2nd and June the 10 3rd; and Exhibit 4 is the opinion of the Innocence Inquiry Commission. 11 12 Now, for the record, I need to state that 1.3 all of these materials have been previously 14 provided to each of the judges by the Innocence 15 Inquiry Commission about two weeks ago, so I 16 need to make these part of the record, and, 17 your Honor, I would so move that each of these be introduced as official exhibits in this 18 19 hearing. 20 MR. CURRIN: No objection. 21 JUDGE LONG: Let the record reflect 2.2 without objection Defendant's Exhibits 1 23 through 5 inclusive are received into evidence 24 without objection by the State. Thank you.

MR. BURNETTE: It's actually Exhibits 1

October 17, 2014

1 through 4. 2 JUDGE LONG: I beg your pardon. 1 through 3 4. 4 (Defendant's Exhibits 1 through 4 entered into evidence.) 5 6 MR. BURNETTE: If I may approach the 7 clerk, your Honor. I think you already have the exhibits. 8 9 Your Honor, when I became involved in this 10 process, we were provided with thousands of 11 pages of documents that gave us a complete 12 picture of what occurred in this case. There 1.3 were documents of every type that you can 14 imagine. The Innocence Inquiry Commission 15 staff did an extraordinary job of gathering 16 this information. 17 Once we received those documents, Mr. 18 Currin and I agreed that what we would do is 19 look through the documents, which took hours 20 and hours and hours of time, and then we would 21 talk about this case. So, your Honor, I am 2.2 here today because I believe, and I believe 23 that the evidence shows in these exhibits that 24 have been submitted, that there is clear and

convincing evidence that Willie Womble is

2.2

October 17, 2014

innocent of these charges -- of the charge that he was convicted of back in 1976.

Now, Your Honorable Judges, Mr. Womble is mentally handicapped. He has an IQ, as best I can tell, around 65, according to his school records. He never attended regular school. He was a special education student up until the third grade when they stopped promoting him.

The record reflects — or the documents reflect that he failed every class that he took. And

that he failed every class that he took. And that goes to the very heart of what has happened in this case, Judges.

He was taken advantage of when he was

arrested and was given a confession that later turned out to be false. The information was completely contrived by a Durham police officer. He was forced to sign it. He couldn't read it. He can only write his name. He certainly could not understand it. He couldn't understand his constitutional rights. He had no lawyer available at the time, and that was the only evidence in the trial of Willie Womble that had him connected to this horrible crime in any way.

And we know the confession is false

2.

2.1

2.2

anville County File No. 75CRS6128

because the confession contains false information. It contains a reference to a white car. Well, there was never a white car. The co-defendant when he was tried the State introduced into evidence in his case that the parties left in a black Cadillac and that Joseph Perry and Albert Willis lived together and owned a black Cadillac together. So we know that was a false statement.

The alleged confession also says there were three people that actually went into the store at the time, and we know that's false.

The reason we know it's false is there was an eyewitness, Mr. Bullock's daughter, who said there were only two people who ever came into the store. Mr. Bullock himself before he went unconscious told the police officers there were only two men in the store.

Later on in 2013 Joseph Perry comes forward and says there were only two people in the store. So we know that the confession was false, that it was coerced, and without that there is no evidence of Mr. Womble's involvement in this crime in any way.

Even more troubling from the documents, as

1.3

2.2

October 17, 2014

you look at them, are the number of times that Mr. Womble was interviewed after he had counsel. He was interviewed by law enforcement several times without his lawyer present. They would go down, as you see from the record, and say that he signed a waiver of his rights, he didn't want a lawyer present, and he would answer questions. He had a lawyer and they knew he had a lawyer.

As a matter of fact, one time, according to the SBI report, the district attorney that was prosecuting the case went down there and met with him without his lawyer, and we know his lawyer wasn't there, because at the time sheet doesn't reflect that he was there and the report says he wasn't there, and, actually, he offered this man an opportunity to have absolute immunity from prosecution in this case.

Now, think about that. You know, you're down in jail, charged with a terrible crime and the prosecutor and law enforcement come down there to where you are and say, look, we're going to give you a free ticket. You know, that is — and his lawyer never knew about this

extraordinary.

1.3

2.2

October 17, 2014

offer. The SBI report didn't surface until the Innocence Inquiry Commission started looking into this, which is extraordinary. And amazingly, and rightly so, Mr. Womble refused to get on the stand and say he was somewhere where he wasn't. That, to me, is

I mean, the more I think about that, you know, here you have a poor, uneducated person who's being taken advantage of that has a free ride, and he says, I'm not going to say something that ain't true. And he has steadfastly maintained that. He has said that in front of the Innocence Inquiry Commission. He said that every time that he's been questioned about this. And he refused to do the wrong thing. He always did the right thing and he's paid dearly for it.

After he was convicted, he appealed his case. The Supreme Court affirmed it and he sat in prison since that time, has never made the first motion for appropriate relief, has never had the first contact with anyone about his case, and it's been almost 40 years, which is a terrible thing to have happened.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

October 17, 2014

anville County File No. 75CRS6128

But out of a stroke of luck, I contend, the other person that was in the convenience store, Albert Willis, passed away in 2012. When Joseph Perry learned of that, he, without any coaching or consulting with the lawyer or anything, writes a lengthy letter to the North Carolina Innocence Inquiry Commission, that is the letter that is part of the record, and he sets forth in great detail for the first time -- see, now, Perry had gone through the motion for appropriate relief route and had always maintained that he was not the shooter. So this letter that he sent the Innocence Inquiry Commission is extraordinary in the fact that for the first time he admits that he was the shooter, that Albert Willis was with him and that Willie Womble had nothing to do with this crime. And, you know, through the efforts of the staff at the Innocence Inquiry Commission we're here today. It is clear the burden of proof is on Mr. Womble to provide clear and convincing evidence that he is innocent of this crime. The record clearly reflects that, and I'm

asking you to dismiss his charge and to let him

1 go home. Thank you. 2 JUDGE LONG: Any questions for 3 Mr. Burnette? 4 JUDGE GORHAM: No questions. 5 JUDGE LONG: Judge Cole? 6 JUDGE COLE: I have none. 7 JUDGE LONG: Thank you, Mr. Burnette. Mr. Currin. 8 9 MR. CURRIN: Thank you, Your Honors. These are remarks that will be addressed 10 11 towards the three of you. 12 As Mr. Burnette said, the main and the 1.3 only evidence against Mr. Womble was his 14 confession. The confession was obtained by a 15 police detective in Durham. Mr. Womble stated 16 in the innocence inquiry hearing that the 17 statement that he signed, both the written 18 statement and the typed-up statement, were 19 already in place when the detective met with 20 him. This confession from Mr. Womble got the 21 ball rolling against him. 2.2 When the SBI agents investigating this 23 case went with the Butner detective who was 24 investigating it, they went to Durham.

a usual practice when a crime occurs in Butner

2.

1.3

2.2

October 17, 2014

canville County File No. 75CRS6128

to go to Durham, which is the nearest city.

They met with the detectives over there,
including the detective who obtained the
statement. He pretty much rounded up the usual
suspects that had been committing robberies
around Durham, and among these were a Joseph
Perry, the actual co-defendant who later
admitted that he was the shooter, and Albert
Willis, who was the very fortunate guy who was
never convicted of what he did as being the
co-defendant who went in the store.

Unfortunately, Mr. Womble caught the brunt of this, and I think it is because he was mentally challenged and didn't know what he was signing.

He clearly cannot read and write. He testified before the Innocence Inquiry

Commission that he couldn't. He testified in his trial that he couldn't. And the detective from Durham told absolute falsehoods, said that he could read and write, and that he actually read his rights and read what he signed on the confession. Absolutely not true.

And as a lot of detectives like to do, and I understand, you know, the human motivation to

2.2

October 17, 2014

Fig. 750RS6178

want to get credit for doing something, I think this is very revealing, however. The detective that got the confession called up the SBI agent and said, I've got this crime solved for you. And it was solved because of the illegally obtained confession.

Just before the probable cause hearing was to come up for the four of them, Mr. Womble had indicated to a lawyer and to the State that he wasn't going to testify against the other three because he didn't know anything about the crime and, in fact, had never even been to the Town of Butner.

The assistant district attorney prosecuting the case, in fact, as Mr. Burnette stated, offered him absolute immunity out of the presence of his lawyer. I don't think his lawyer ever knew anything about the offer of immunity. Don't you know that if he did, he would have advised Mr. Womble, I know you're innocent, but you got to take this, this is too good an offer. And, you know, they would just had to correct the fact that he would, you know, have to testify against people he didn't know anything about. They could have gotten

October 17, 2014

lle County File No. 75CRS6128

that straight.

1.3

2.2

At any rate, there are several indications in his confession, and Mr. Burnette has pointed out one of them, he states that the get-away vehicle was a white Ford pickup with a camper on the back. That's in the confession. In actuality, we know from Joseph Perry's trial that the get-away vehicle was a black Cadillac which actually was registered in the names of Albert Willis and Joseph Perry. So we know that that was a vehicle that was there.

As I've stated, Mr. -- the detective, rather, from the Durham Police Department stated that Mr. Womble could read and write.

Clearly that was not true. He was a special ed student and did not go beyond the fourth grade.

Also, I think another indication is that Mr. Bullock, the deceased, and his daughter, Lois, who were in the store, indicated that only two people came in, that she didn't see any third person. She was in the refrigerated area and didn't see the actual shooting, but she knows that one of them was tall and one of them was short, noticeably short. If you look at the heights of the three people involved,

2.2

ranville County File No. 75CRS6128

Mr. Perry was about 6 1. Mr. Womble is 5 11.

How would you notice the difference?

Mr. Willis, on the other hand, was only 5 foot

6, noticeably shorter than the other.

Now, I understand this case was tried back in 1976. I don't think this has anything to do with it, except for the fact that he stayed in so long, but it was tried before fair sentencing or structured sentencing when if you got a life sentence, you know, it meant every day, just like it does today, for first degree murder. Chapter 15A had only come in a year earlier. And inexperienced defense lawyers were appointed to represent defendants in very serious crimes, and IDS now appoints only experienced lawyers as first chairs to represent people accused of something this serious.

Also, back in 1976 you had virtually no discovery laws. I mean, I remember trying cases back in the late '70s and early '80s when, you know, you would hand the defense lawyer the statement of the co-defendant right before, you know, you were supposed to cross-examine him. You didn't have time to

	State v. Willie Womble October 17, 2014  Granville County File No. 75CRS6128
1	check out and to see whether it was true.
2	I would like to think, you know, that this
3	would not happen again, that it wouldn't happen
4	today. I hope that it wouldn't.
5	Like Mr. Burnette said, we read well over
6	a thousand pages of material, and I could find
7	nothing in those pages to indicate any evidence
8	of guilt against Mr. Womble.
9	I know that he has suffered greatly and
10	the court system in the State of North Carolina
11	have let him down and for that I apologize.
12	JUDGE LONG: Thank you, Mr. Currin
13	Judge Gorham, ma'am, questions for
14	Mr. Currin?
15	JUDGE GORHAM: No questions.
16	JUDGE LONG: Judge Cole?
17	JUDGE COLE: I have none.
18	JUDGE LONG: Anything on behalf of
19	Mr. Womble or the State?
20	MR. BURNETTE: Nothing further for
21	Mr. Womble, Your Honor.
22	MR. CURRIN: May I say one further thing?
23	JUDGE LONG: Please, yes, sir.
24	MR. CURRIN: I failed to read this, but
25	this, obviously, and we have some family

October 17, 2014

members of Mr. Bullock in the audience -- was a 1 2 terrible tragedy for them, and I want you to be 3 aware of that when you consider what you do, 4 but I'm urging you to let Mr. Womble go because 5 he is factually innocent. 6 JUDGE LONG: Thank you, Mr. Currin. 7 JUDGE COLE: Judge Gorham, would you like 8 to make a statement or comment on the case? 9 JUDGE GORHAM: I just really would like to 10 thank the North Carolina Innocence Inquiry 11 Commission for their diligence in investigating 12 this matter. 1.3 JUDGE LONG: Judge Cole. 14 JUDGE COLE: I concur. 15 JUDGE LONG: I would also like to thank 16 the Innocence Inquiry Commission for the hard 17 work they've done. I would like to thank Judge 18 Gorham and Judge Cole for the hard work they 19 put in this case. It's been a pleasure serving 20 with them on this panel. They are excellent 21 judges and it's been my honor to work with them 2.2 in this case. 23 I would like to thank Mr. Burnette and 24 Mr. Currin for the hard work they have done

once this case was afforded to them by the

State v. Willie Womble October 17, 2014 Innocence Inquiry Commission. I know how hard 1 2 Mr. Burnette has worked on this case, and I 3 would also like to note the courageousness of 4 Mr. Currin as the district attorney coming 5 forward and noticing that an injustice has been 6 done, agreeing or stipulating to the fact that 7 this panel should find Mr. Womble innocent and set aside his conviction and I appreciate that. 8 I have been authorized by the Honorable 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I have been authorized by the Honorable

Judge Gorham, Honorable Judge Cole to inform

you that this body having considered -- the

Three-Judge Panel having considered the

evidence afforded to it does that unanimously

to set aside the conviction of Mr. Womble and

to order his immediate release from the North

Carolina Department of Corrections.

Do you have an order that will memorialize that, Mr. Burnette?

MR. BURNETTE: Yes, sir, I do. If I may approach.

JUDGE LONG: Please. Thank you, sir.

MR. BURNETTE: If your Honor please, if I can request that the orders be certified as quickly as possible and transmitted to the custodian of the records and the prison

	State v. Willie Womble October 17, 2014  Granville County File No. 75CRS6128
1	facility so that we expedite this?
2	JUDGE LONG: Yes, sir. I think we owe
3	that to Mr. Womble. I'm sure we owe a lot more
4	to Mr. Womble than that, but that's the least
5	we can do for him here today.
6	Madam Clerk, will you please certify these
7	and expedite it to the Department of
8	Corrections, notify them and tell them that
9	Mr. Womble's release has been ordered by the
10	Three-Judge Panel. Thank you, ma'am.
11	Anything further, Mr. Burnette?
12	MR. BURNETTE: No, sir.
13	JUDGE LONG: Anything further, Mr. Currin?
14	MR. CURRIN: Other than to thank all the
15	people involved, the Innocence Inquiry
16	Commission and Your Honors for being here and
17	making this decision. Thanks.
18	JUDGE LONG: Judge Gorham, anything
19	further?
20	JUDGE GORHAM: No, Judge. Thank you very
21	much. And, Mr. Womble, I do wish you well
22	MR. WOMBLE: Thank you.
23	JUDGE LONG: Judge Cole.
24	JUDGE COLE: You know, this is such a I
25	think this speaks very, very highly of you,

	Granville County File No. 75CRS6128
1	Mr. Currin, and this great State. Mr. Womble,
2	it's a long time coming, but justice has been
3	served. Again, we apologize also.
4	JUDGE LONG: I concur with everything the
5	two esteemed judges have said.
6	Mr. Womble, it's a sad chapter for
7	jurisprudence in this State and with the final
8	happy paragraph things have been right at long
9	last.
10	MR. WOMBLE: Thank you.
11	JUDGE LONG: Sheriff, will you adjourn
12	court for us, please.
13	(Proceedings concluded, 10:59
14	a.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

### CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript of proceedings taken on Friday,

October 17, 2014, in Granville County Superior Court is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken by me and transcribed by me. I further certify that I am not related to any party or attorney, nor do I have any interest whatsoever in the outcome of this action.

This 21st day of November, 2014.

Denise St Clair

DENISE ST. CLAIR, RPR, CRR Official Court Reporter 2212 Englewood Drive Clayton, NC 27520 (570) 236-9679 denise.r.stclair@nccourts.org

NORTH CAROLINA IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION GRANVILLE COUNTY FILE NO. 75CRS6128 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, ) CERTIFICATE OF ) DELIVERY WILLIE HENDERSON WOMBLE, Defendant. This is to certify that the transcript in the above-entitled case was requested of Denise St. Clair, RPR, CRR, on the 5th day of November, 2014, and was delivered via e-mail and/or mailed to the persons indicated below on the 21st day of November, 2014. Denise St. Clair, RPR, CRR Official Court Reporter Transcripts: T. Thomas Burnette, Esquire (For Defendant) 106 Main Street PO Box 4428 Oxford, NC 27565 tburnette@enblaw.com Samuel B. Currin, III, District Attorney 105 Main Street Oxford, NC 27565 Kendra Montgomery-Blinn, Executive Director North Carolina Innocence Inquiry Commission PO Box 2448 Raleigh, NC 27602 nclic@nccourts.org N.C. Department of Justice Post Office Box 629 Raleigh, NC 27602 crimtranscripts@ncdoj.gov Certificate of Delivery Only (USPO): N.C. Court of Appeals Granville Clerk of Court Post Office Box 888 Post Office Box

Raleigh, NC 27602 Oxford, NC 27565