NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION

2022 FEB 22 PM 12: 18

YANGEY COUNTY, C.S.C.

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA,

versus

FROM YANCEY COUNTY 11 CRS 304 11 CRS 305

JOHN PRITCHARD

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING, Volume 1 of 2 Tuesday, December 14, 2021

December 14, 2021, Setting of the

North Carolina Innocence Inquiry Commission

The Honorable Thomas Lock, Judge Presiding

Commissioners Attending:

Scott Bass (Alternate)
John Boswell
Luther Johnson Britt, III
Robin Colbert
Judge Yvonne Mims Evans (Alternate)
Rick Glazier
Sheriff Bobby Kimbrough
Deborrah Newton(Alternate)
Dr. Frank Perry
Ashley Welch

1	APPEARANCES:
2	Lindsey Guice Smith, Director
3	Beth Tanner, Assistant Director
4	Julie Bridenstine, Staff Attorney
5	Brian Ziegler, Staff Attorney
6	NORTH CAROLINA INNOCENCE INQUIRY COMMISSION
7	Post Office Box 2448
8	Raleigh, North Carolina 27602
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CONTENTS	
2		Page
3	Hearing Opened by Judge Lock	7
4	Introduction of the Case	11
5	WITNESSES	
6	JULIE BRIDENSTINE	
7	Examination By Ms. Smith	17
8	BRIAN ZIEGLER	
9	Examination By Ms. Smith	139
10	JULIE BRIDENSTINE	
11	Examination By Ms. Smith	143
12	STEPHANIE WHITSON RANDOLPH	
13	Questions by the Commissioners	149
14	JULIE BRIDENSTINE	
15	Examination By Ms. Smith	171
16	JOHN PRITCHARD	
17	Examination By Ms. Smith	179
18	JULIE BRIDENSTINE	
19	Examination By Ms. Smith	250
20	JERRI MCLEMORE	
21	Examination By Ms. Smith	291
22		
23	**STENOGRAPHER'S NOTE: Questions by the commissioners	
24	examination by Ms. Smith (except as noted when they b swearing of a witness) and are denoted by a change in	
25	on the appropriate pages.	

			4
1		HEARING HANDOUTS	
2	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	ID'D
3	1	John Pritchard Interview Digest and Transcript	175
4	2	*** Dr. Brent Hall Deposition Digest, Transcript and Exhibits - To be	249
5	3	Partially Redacted *** Dr. Jerri McLemore Report	290
6	4	Dr. Jerri McLemore CV	290
U	$\frac{1}{15}$	***PARTIALLY SEALED***	20
7	16	***SEALED***	$\frac{20}{22}$
'	17 17		$\frac{22}{32}$
8	18	Nathan Angel Criminal History Chart	32 33
0		Thomas Farmer Criminal History Chart	
9	$\begin{array}{c} 19 \\ 20 \end{array}$	Affidavit of Attorney Sofia Hernandez	$\begin{array}{c} 43 \\ 44 \end{array}$
9		Affidavit of Attorney Christine Vance	
10	21	Affidavit of Attorney Brandi Bullock	45
10	22	Affidavit of Attorney Robert Sirianni Junior	47
11	23	Excerpt from Hockaday Interview	49
	24	Affidavit of Attorney Daniel Hockaday	52
12	25	Witness Statements to Commission about Victim	67
13	26	Witness Statement to Commission re: Pritchard and Brown	67
14	27	Robbie Brown Letters Chart	68
	28	Robbie Brown Criminal History Chart	68
15	29	Robert Silvers Criminal History Chart	75
	30	Tammy Ayers Criminal History Chart	76
16	31	Ward Russell Wilson Criminal History Chart	82
17	32	Nikki Angel Criminal History Chart	86
	33	Nena Angel Tipton Criminal History	100
18		Chart	
	34	Aaron Collins Criminal History Chart	103
19	35	Danny Edwards Criminal History Chart	110
	36	Brian Silvers Criminal History Chart	114
20	37	Carrie Hinds Criminal History Chart	117
	38	Lacey Pritchard Criminal History Chart	120
21	39	Annette Whitson Greene Criminal History Chart	121
22	40	Stephanie Randolph Criminal History Report	129
23	41	Stephanie Randolph Deposition Digest, Transcript, and Exhibit	129
24	42	John Pritchard Criminal History Chart	171
⊿ - T	43	***SEALED***	171 172
25	44	John Pritchard Letters Handout	175
_3	**	TITOTIALA DOUGOTO MANAGAGO	2.0

I			<u> </u>
1	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	ID'D
2	45	Dr. Brent Hall Criminal History Chart	249
3	46 47	Dr. Hall Articles - Wake Forest File Transcript of Interview of Dr. McLemore	$251 \\ 273$
4	48	OCME Wake Forest University Health Sciences Contract	275
5	49	Secondary Employment Policy ***SEALED***	279
	$51 \\ 52$	***SEALED***	144 146
6	53	***SEALED***	323
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
l			

1	Tuesday, December 14, 2021 (8:48 a.m.)
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	JUDGE LOCK: All right. Good morning,
4	everyone. We'll come to order. I apologize again for being
5	late. I was caught in that morning traffic.
6	We are this morning hearing the Yancey County
7	case of State versus John Pritchard, 11 CRS 304 and 305.
8	This meeting is open to the public pursuant
9	to NC General Statute 15A-1468(a). It's also being
10	livestreamed. This hearing is open to the public but space,
11	of course, is limited in the hearing room; therefore, the
12	proceeding is being livestreamed by the Administrative
13	Office of the Courts to ensure that the public can view it.
L4	An overflow room with the livestream is also being provided
15	here in this building.
16	Although this hearing is open to the public,
L7	distractions should be kept to a minimum. The door should
18	remain closed during the hearing and only the Commission
19	staff and the victim's family should go in and out until we
20	are on a break. If you need to leave, you may step down to
21	the overflow room to view the livestream of the hearing
22	until we are on a break. If there are disruptions, you may
23	be asked to leave the hearing.
24	No one should at any time come past the ropes
25	behind me or attempt to speak with the commissioners about

```
1
     the case.
 2
                     At this time, we're going to go around the
     table and have the commissioners and commission staff who
 3
 4
     are at the table say and spell their names for the court
 5
     reporter.
 6
                     If you are serving as a commissioner for
 7
     today's hearing, please indicate that. If you are an
 8
     alternate who is serving as a commissioner, please indicate
9
            If you are an alternate who is attending as an
10
     alternate, please also say that.
11
                     All right. We'll just start at my left with
12
     Ms. Julie Bridenstine.
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: Julie Bridenstine, staff
13
14
     attorney with the Commission.
                                  I'm Beth Tanner, associate
15
                     MS. TANNER:
     director of the Commission.
16
17
                     MS. SMITH: Lindsey Smith, executive director
18
     of the Commission.
19
                     JUDGE EVANS: I am Yvonne Mims Evans,
20
     alternate member.
                                Scott Bass, victim advocate
21
                     MR. BASS:
22
     alternate serving as an alternate.
                                  Deborrah, D-e-b-o-r-r-a-h,
23
                     MS. NEWTON:
24
     Newton, N-e-w-t-o-n, serving as alternate defense seat.
```

MS. WELCH:

I am Ashley Welch.

I'm a

1	commissioner, the district attorney.
2	JUDGE LOCK: Tom Lock, Superior Court Judge
3	and chair.
4	MR. BRITT: Johnson Britt, commissioner,
5	defense attorney.
6	MR. BOSWELL: John Boswell, commissioner at
7	large member.
8	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Bobby Kimbrough,
9	alternate serving as a commissioner.
10	MR. GLAZIER: Rick Glazier, G-1-a-z-i-e-r,
11	serving as a commissioner.
12	DR. PERRY: Frank Perry, commissioner.
13	MS. COLBERT: Robin Colbert, victim advocate,
14	commissioner.
15	JUDGE LOCK: All right.
16	Thank you, Commissioner Glazier, for
17	following my instructions. You're the only one who spelled
18	his name, as I think I asked everyone to do.
19	Well, Mr. Bass may have. I'm sorry.
20	MS. NEWTON: I think I did.
21	JUDGE LOCK: Anyway. For the record, we do
22	have eight voting members of the Commission present as
23	required by statute.
24	All right. At this time, I will make a
25	formal inquiry for the record as to whether any commissioner

```
needs to recuse himself or herself pursuant to Rule (C)1 of
 1
 2
     our rules and procedures. The rule states: "A commissioner
 3
     shall recuse himself or herself if he or she had any
 4
     involvement in the case during the original trial or any
 5
     postconviction motions. A commissioner shall recuse himself
 6
     or herself if some event has caused him or her to become
 7
     biased about a case and unable to participate in the
 8
     hearing in a fair an impartial manner."
 9
                     So are there any commissioners who need to
10
     recuse themselves based on this rule question?
11
                     All right. It appears not.
12
                     Sheriff Kevin Frye has recused himself and,
     accordingly, Alternate Sheriff Bobby Kimbrough is serving as
13
14
     place.
                     All right. Rule 7(C)3 prohibits
15
     commissioners from conducting any independent investigation
16
17
     of the case.
18
                     Have any commissioners conducted any
19
     independent investigation of the case?
20
                     All right. It appears not.
21
                     Let me remind those of you who are attending
22
     as alternates that Article 7(D) of the commission rules and
23
     procedures state: "If an alternate commissioner is not
24
     fulfilling full commission duties, he or she may attend
25
     hearings of the Commission, but may not participate in
```

```
deliberations and may not vote."
 1
 2
                     During the hearing, alternate commissioners
 3
     may ask questions of the witnesses. Alternate commissioners
 4
     will be asked to leave prior to deliberations.
 5
                     We do this morning have two alternate
 6
     commissioners here with us who are here in their capacity as
 7
     alternate commissioners, and they are, of course, Alternate
 8
     Defense Attorney Deb Newton and Alternate Discretionary
9
     Member Judge Yvonne Mims Evans. And welcome.
10
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Judge Lock, just for the record,
11
     Alternate Scott Bass is also with us.
12
                     JUDGE LOCK: I'm sorry. I've overlooked you
13
     twice today.
                   I'm sorry, Mr. Bass.
                     All right. At this time, I am going to turn
14
     the hearing over to our executive director, Ms. Lindsey
15
     Guice Smith, for the presentation of the case.
16
17
                     Whenever you are ready, Mrs. Smith.
18
                     MS. SMITH: (8:54 a.m.) Thank you, Your
19
     Honor.
20
                     Good morning, Commissioners.
                                                   This case
21
     arises from the convictions of John Pritchard for the
22
     second-degree murder of the victim, Jonathan Russell
23
     Whitson, as well as various drug convictions arising from
24
     the sale of drugs to the victim.
25
                     After trial, Mr. Pritchard was convicted of
```

```
second-degree murder, delivery of a controlled substance
 1
 2
     Schedule II, possession with intent to sell, manufacture,
 3
     deliver Schedule II controlled substance, and maintain a
 4
     vehicle/dwelling/or place for controlled substances.
 5
                     The Commission received Mr. Pritchard's claim
 6
     of innocence in September of 2019. In October of 2019,
 7
     Mr. Pritchard submitted a questionnaire and consent form to
 8
     the Commission for his claim of innocence and has asserted
 9
     his complete factual innocence to the Commission for the
10
     events of March 5 to 6, 2011.
11
                     At that time, Mr. Pritchard informed the
12
     Commission that a forensic pathologist had concluded that a
13
     drug overdose was not the cause of death for Mr. Whitson.
14
                     In February of 2021, the Commission learned
     that the Wake Forest University School of Law Innocence and
15
16
     Justice Clinic was also reviewing the case. At that time,
17
     the Commission reached out to the Clinic to avoid
18
     duplicating efforts in investigating Mr. Pritchard's
19
     innocence claim. At that time, the Clinic officially
20
     referred case to the Commission and closed its own innocence
21
     investigation.
22
                     This case was moved to formal inquiry with
23
     the Commission on June 19, 2021. Throughout this inquiry,
24
     Mr. Pritchard has cooperated with the commission staff in
25
     accordance with North Carolina General Statute 15A-1467(g).
```

1 Pursuant to North Carolina Statute 15A-1460, 2 a claim of factual innocence means a claim on behalf of a 3 living person convicted of a felony in the General Court of 4 Justice of the State of North Carolina asserting complete 5 innocence of any criminal responsibility for the felony for 6 which the person was convicted and for any other reduced 7 level of criminal responsibility relating to the crime and 8 for which there is some credible, verifiable evidence of 9 innocence that has not previously been presented at trial or 10 considered at a hearing granted through postconviction 11 relief. 12 Mr. Pritchard was convicted after a trial. 13 All relevant evidence uncovered during the investigation by 14 commission staff will be presented throughout this hearing 15 pursuant to North Carolina General Statute 15A-1468(a). 16 This includes evidence that may be favorable to 17 Mr. Pritchard or evidence that may be unfavorable to 18 Mr. Pritchard. 19 You have all been provided with a commission 20 brief which was 519 pages that describes, as of the time of 21its completion, the information available to commission 22staff related to the law enforcement investigation and 23resulting criminal litigation in this matter. For the most 24 part, we will not review the material that's covered in the

brief during this hearing. I may refer you to page numbers

in the brief as it relates to topics on which commission staff is testifying throughout the brief.

You have all been provided with an electronic copy of the brief on the computers in front of you. That electronic document is a searchable if you need to use the search function.

In addition to the brief, you were provided several handouts prior to the Commission's hearing. These handouts are also included in your digital hearing handout notebooks which are on the computers in front of you.

Throughout the hearing, I will reference specific handouts when we get to a point where they are relevant and will note them for the record. At that time, you may want to pull those up on your computer to reference them, and you will, of course, be given an opportunity then to ask questions about the handouts of the witness who's on the stand.

During the hearing, Commission Staff Attorney
Julie Bridenstine, who served as the lead investigator on
this case for the Commission, will be called to testify.
The claimant, John Pritchard, is currently incarcerated with
the North Carolina Department of Public Safety. He will
testify in person during this hearing.

Additional witnesses will be testifying too via WebEx. These include expert witnesses Dr. McLemore,

Dr. Roberts, Dr. Ewens, Dr. Wolf, Dr. Behonick, and
Dr. Holstege. You have previously received all of their
reports.

Commission staff has also interviewed other -- numerous other individuals who will not be called to testify. Commission staff, instead, will testify about those interviews and summarize those for you.

commission staff will testify as to whether each interview was recorded and transcribed. Most of the interviews were recorded with the exception of a very few, and all of those recorded have been transcribed. While I do not plan to hand out every interview transcript to you, if there is one that you would like in full, please ask for it and you'll have an opportunity to review it on a break or on the overnight recess. Similarly, if we don't have a transcript but we have a recording, you may ask for the recording if you feel you would like to listen to it.

Additionally, several individuals that commission staff has interviewed or deposed have been subpoenaed and are on phone standby for the hearing in the event that commissioners have additional questions for them. These include Dr. Brent Hall, the original medical examiner in the case; former Assistant District Attorney Michael Holmes; former Interim District Attorney Justice Robert Orr; defense attorney Daniel Hockaday; Lieutenant Ryan Higgins;

```
former Deputy Thomas Farmer; and North Carolina crime lab
 1
 2
     drug chemist, Collin Shuford. Should any of those
 3
     individuals need to testify, most will be available to
 4
     testify via WebEx rather than in person.
 5
                     Further, Stephanie Whitson Randolph has been
 6
     subpoenaed and is also here under a material witness order
 7
     and is available to testify in person if you have additional
 8
     questions for her. You were provided her full deposition
 9
     prior to the hearing.
10
                     District Attorney Seth Banks from Yancey
11
     County represents the State in this matter. He has assigned
12
     Assistant District Attorney Milton Fletcher and former
     Supreme Court Justice Robert Orr as his designees in the
13
14
     case.
            They are here today.
                     Mr. Pritchard is represented by Mark Rabil
15
16
     and Emily Thornton with the Wake Forest University School of
17
     Law Innocence and Justice Clinic. They are also here today.
18
                     As required under the rules, the Commission
19
     held a prehearing conference on November 16, 2021.
20
     district attorney designees, Assistant District Attorney
21
     Fletcher and Justice Orr, were present in person; Mr. Rabil
22
     and Ms. Thornton attended via WebEx.
23
                     Prior to the prehearing conference, Mr. Banks
24
     was informed that the district attorney has the right to
25
     inspect evidence pursuant to NC General Statute
```

```
15A-1468(a2). Mr. Banks was also informed that pursuant to
 1
 2
     that same section, he had the right to provide a written
 3
     statement to the commissioners for your consideration.
 4
     Mr. Rabil and Ms. Thornton were informed that the defense is
 5
     not afforded those same rights under the statute.
 6
                     The Commission provided a copy of most of its
 7
     file electronically to the district attorney's office for
 8
     its review on November 19, 2021, and provided subsequent
     materials on December 9 and 10, 2021, pursuant to
 9
10
     15A-1468(a2).
                     The district attorney's office has provided a
11
     written statement for the commissioners for your review.
12
13
     That is Handout 50. It has been uploaded onto your digital
14
     hearing handout notebooks and you will have an opportunity
15
     to review it prior to the conclusion of the hearing.
                     At the end of the hearing, you will be asked
16
     to determine whether there is sufficient evidence of factual
17
18
     innocence to merit judicial review. Because Mr. Pritchard
     was convicted after a trial, his case will only move forward
19
20
     to a three-judge panel if at least five of eight
21
     commissioners vote that there is sufficient evidence of
22
     factual innocence to merit judicial review. If less than
23
     five commissioners vote for further review, the case will be
24
     closed for the Commission and no appeal is available.
25
                     Commissioners, do you have any questions at
```

1	this point?
2	Judge Lock, the Commission would call Staff
3	Attorney Julie Bridenstine.
4	JUDGE LOCK: All right. Ms. Bridenstine, if
5	you would place left hand on the Bible and raise your right
6	hand.
7	Do you swear that the testimony you will give
8	this morning before this Commission will be the truth, the
9	whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God?
10	MS. BRIDENSTINE: I do.
11	Thereupon, JULIE BRIDENSTINE, a witness having been called by the
12	Commission, was sworn and testified as follows:
13	BY MS. SMITH: (9:30 a.m.)
14	Q. Ms. Bridenstine, will you please state your full
15	name for the record.
16	A. Julie Bridenstine.
17	Q. And how are you employed?
18	A. I am a staff attorney with the Commission.
19	Q. How long have you been employed with the
20	Commission?
21	A. Almost six years.
22	Q. Were you assigned to investigate the Pritchard
23	case with the Commission?
24	A. I was.
25	Q. And in the course of its investigation, did the

- 1 commission staff obtain and review files and records from 2 other agencies?
 - A. We did.

- Q. Can you tell the commissioners what files and records were obtained.
- A. The Commission first obtained the Yancey County
 Sheriff's Office file and reviewed it. We then obtained the
 Wake Forest University School of Law Innocence and Justice
 Clinic file and reviewed that.
- We also reviewed the trial transcript in this case. Following those reviews, we sent subpoenas to the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner and Watauga Medical Center, that's where the autopsy was performed, and we asked for all documents related to this case.
- Q. Did the Commission obtain and review any other files?
- A. Yes. We obtained the SBI file, the state crime lab file, the district attorney's office file, and the court file.
 - Q. Did the Commission obtain any files from the defense attorneys for Mr. Pritchard?
 - A. Yes, we did. Some of the attorneys who worked on Mr. Pritchard's appeal still had some documents related to their representation of Mr. Pritchard and we obtained those.

We received documents from attorneys Sofia

Hernandez, Brandi Bullock, and Robert Sirianni Junior.

- Q. Did the Commission obtain any other files or records related to this case?
- A. Yes. We obtained records from the Department of Public Safety related to Mr. Pritchard. The records that we got included combined records, educational records, mental health records, visitation and mail, disciplinary records, and probation records. We also obtained phone records and phone calls from DPS associated with Mr. Pritchard's PIN number. We also obtained records from the North Carolina Court of Appeals, which included the trial transcript and the record on appeal.
 - Q. Any other files?

- A. We subpoensed and received additional materials from the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner related to the toxicology testing that was performed in this case and related to two experts that the Commission consulted with regarding this case.
- Q. Did the Commission seek any jail or medical records for the victim, Jonathan Whitson?
- A. Yes. We sent subpoens to both the Madison County jail and the Buncombe County detention center. We were looking for records related to Mr. Whitson's stay at those jails prior to his death. In particular, we were looking for any documents that showed whether or not he had been

treated for any medical conditions during his stay.

The Buncombe County detention center did not have any records showing Mr. Whitson was treated for anything. He was there a very short time. The Madison County jail ultimately provided to us the medical questionnaire along with other documents in their possession related to Mr. Whitson's stay at their facility from January 6, 2011, until March 4, 2011.

MS. SMITH: Commissioners, the records produced by the Madison County jail were previously provided to you as Handout 15 if you would like to reference that.

- Q. Ms. Bridenstine, what did the Madison County jail records show with respect to Mr. Whitson upon his intake into the jail?
- A. According to the questionnaire, he denied having obvious pain. He did not appear to have withdrawal symptoms. He answered "no" with respect to questions related to taking any medications. He was not carrying any medications. He reported that he did not use drugs and he denied having any other medical problems.
- Q. And did commission staff ever seek any other medical records for the victim?
 - A. Yes, we did.

As you may recall from the trial transcript,
Mr. Whitson's girlfriend at the time, Stephanie Whitson

Randolph, testified that Mr. Whitson had gone to St. Joseph
Hospital to receive treatment for his arm.

Judge Lock signed a subpoena for all medical records related to Mr. Whitson at Mission Hospital, which is also known as St. Joseph, for the time period of January 1, 2010, through March 13, 2011. And Mission Hospital produced all records that they had in their possession related to Mr. Whitson for that time period. Those records totaled 377 pages.

- Q. Generally speaking, what did those records reveal?
- A. They showed that Mr. Whitson went to the hospital on August 18, 2010, requesting detox and rehabilitation.

 They noted track marks on his arm but no evidence of infection. Mr. Whitson left the hospital after growing impatient.

Mr. Whitson went to the hospital again on December 27, 2010, and he was hospitalized there until December 30, 2010. He was diagnosed with cellulitis on his left arm with superficial vein thrombosis. He said his arm had been hurting for a week. A CT scan revealed a small probable subcutaneous abscess in his left arm. He was informed that due to clots and the infection, he needed to be admitted for IV antibiotics. And on December 30, 2010, he was given a prescription for clindamycin upon discharge.

MS. SMITH: Commissioners, a chart

- summarizing the medical records from Mr. Whitson's ER visit and hospital stay at Mission Hospital was provided to you-all prior to the hearing as Handout 16.
- Q. Ms. Bridenstine, through your investigation, did you uncover any additional information related to the prescription that Mr. Whitson was given at Mission Hospital on December 30, 2010?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. In our deposition with Stephanie Whitson Randolph on December 8, 2021, Ms. Randolph stated that she left the hospital with Mr. Whitson regarding that stay on December 30, 2010. She reported that Mr. Whitson didn't leave with any medications. He did not fill any prescriptions and he began injecting drugs again after his release from the hospital.
- MS. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have any questions for Ms. Bridenstine either about the files that the staff reviewed or about either of these two handouts, Handout 15, the Madison County jail records, or Handout 16, the medical history chart for the victim?

Yes, sir.

MR. GLAZIER: Ms. Bridenstine, on 16, in the summary that you have for the Mission Hospital records, it talks about at times witness -- Whitson experienced diminished respiratory breathing.

1	Can you elaborate on that?
2	MS. BRIDENSTINE: Which handout?
3	MR. GLAZIER: It's the summary of 16, I think
4	it's 16, the Mission Hospital records.
5	MS. BRIDENSTINE: That is part of our
6	summary, which means it came from somewhere in the records.
7	We would probably have to go back and look and pull the
8	specific medical records to give you potentially more
9	information than what's provided in the summary. It's
10	possible that some of our experts might also be able to give
11	you more information about what that might indicate.
12	MR. GLAZIER: May I follow up?
13	JUDGE LOCK: Please.
14	MR. GLAZIER: My follow-up is following
15	exactly what you just said: Do you know whether the experts
16	did focus at all on that or whether we're going to hear from
17	any of them about that particular finding from the Mission
18	Hospital records?
19	MS. BRIDENSTINE: We provided all of those
20	records, the 377 pages, to all of the experts in this case.
21	And as you are aware, some of them addressed that in their
22	reports. I don't know if they will be able to answer the
23	questions about that.
24	MR. GLAZIER: All right. Thanks.
25	MS. SMITH: Any other questions?

Q. Ms. Bridenstine, we're going to turn our attention to evidence in this case.

Did the Commission determine if there was any evidence to collect in this case?

A. Yes, we did. We were looking for anything that had been collected as part of the autopsy that might aid the experts who were reviewing this case. We asked both the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner and the Watauga Medical Center if they had preserved any materials that were collected during the autopsy of Mr. Whitson. The Office of the Chief Medical Examiner did not have any materials from the autopsy, but the Watauga Medical Center had the histology slides and the paraffin blocks that were preserved from the autopsy except for one block.

Initially, we were told that the paraffin -- the missing paraffin block had been sent out for genetic testing. Later on we inquired about the genetic testing to see if we could get the results from that testing. We learned that the paraffin block was not sent out for genetic testing but was sent out for paternity testing. At the time of Mr. Whitson's death, his ex-girlfriend was pregnant with his son.

After being served a subpoena for the histology slides and the paraffin blocks still in the possession of the Watauga Medical Center, the Commission took custody of

those slides and paraffin blocks on July 15, 2021, in order to provide them to the experts in this case.

Q. Can you remind the commissioners whether any evidence was seized in this case.

A. Yes. The Yancey County Sheriff's Office seized two syringes from the coat pocket of the jacket Mr. Whitson had been wearing prior to his death. They provided those syringes to the crime lab in order to see if the crime lab could determine what substances, if any, were present in those syringes.

The crime lab issued a report on February 2, 2012, and no one from the crime lab testified at Mr. Pritchard's trial, but law enforcement officers testified about the results from the lab.

MS. SMITH: Commissioners, that crime lab report was provided in your brief on page 92.

- Q. Ms. Bridenstine, tell the commissioners what the results were from that crime lab report.
- A. The results were that the two syringes were individually analyzed and were each found to contain "no controlled substances indicated" and "residue amount."
- Q. Did the Commission contact the original analyst who conducted the examination of the syringes?
- A. Yes, we did. I interviewed Collin Shuford of the North Carolina State Crime Lab who, at the time that the

original analysis was carried out, she was going by the name Collin Andrews. I spoke to her on the phone on November 1, 2021, and November 2, 2021. That interview was recorded and transcribed.

- Q. And why did the Commission contact Ms. Shuford?
- A. We wanted to determine what "no controlled substances indicated" meant and what "residue amount" meant.

We also wanted to ask if she was able to see what substances, if any, were ever present in the syringes.

She said that because the syringes appeared to be

Q. And what did she tell you?

Α.

empty at the time she did the analysis, she rinsed each syringe with a solvent and then ran that solvent on the gas chromatography mass spectrometry system or instrument.

After running the solvent on the instrument, she obtained a spectra or a graph that did not contain any peaks of interest or any peaks that indicated the presence of controlled substances.

She was not able to make any sort of identification in this case.

She offered to go look at the raw spectra to see if she could determine more information about what might have been in the syringes. And after reviewing that raw data, she called me the next day to report that she could still not make any sort of identification of what was once

1	in or could have been in the syringes. She could neither
2	include nor exclude morphine. And what she was seeing could
3	have been from other controlled substances or other
4	compounds or could have been from morphine.
5	MS. SMITH: Commissioners, Ms. Shuford is on
6	standby for WebEx testimony if you have any questions for
7	her. I don't believe that Ms. Bridenstine will be able to
8	answer any additional technical questions if you have
9	anything further than kind of what she has testified to.
10	Do any of you think you have questions for
11	Ms. Shuford?
12	Your Honor, can we release her from her
13	subpoena then?
14	JUDGE LOCK: Yes.
15	MS. SMITH: Okay.
16	Any questions for Ms. Bridenstine about the
17	paraffin blocks that were collected as part of the autopsy
18	or the forensic testing of the case?
19	JUDGE LOCK: Yes.
20	MR. BOSWELL: What are paraffin blocks?
21	MS. BRIDENSTINE: That may be a question
22	that's better left for the experts, but I believe when they
23	collect certain parts of the body, they preserve them in a
24	paraffin block and that the slides are sections from the
25	tissues or organs that they collect.

1	MR. BOSWELL: Okay. Thank you.
2	MS. SMITH: Any additional questions?
3	JUDGE LOCK: Commissioner Colbert.
4	MS. COLBERT: I may have a question is it
5	Shuford? I do have a question around practice.
6	Ms. Bridenstine indicated that she rinsed the
7	syringes first and that that was a common practice.
8	MS. BRIDENSTINE: I can tell you what she
9	told me, which is that she said because they were they
10	appeared to be visually empty, she had to use a solvent to
11	rinse it to see if it could pick up anything even though it
12	looked like it was empty.
13	MS. COLBERT: And rinsing it I guess this
14	is a technical question for her, then. Rinsing it with a
15	solvent allows for them to pick up anything that would be
16	present in the syringes?
17	MS. BRIDENSTINE: Possibly.
18	MS. SMITH: Ms. Colbert, do you feel like you
19	need further clarification from the analyst?
20	MS. COLBERT: No.
21	MS. SMITH: Any other questions?
22	Q. Okay.
23	I'm going to turn your attention now to the law
24	enforcement this case.
25	Ms. Bridenstine, did the Commission interview any

1 law enforcement officers who were involved in the
2 investigation of this case?

- A. Yes. We interviewed Lieutenant Ryan Higgins, who was the lead investigator from the Yancey County Sheriff's Office. We also interviewed Thomas Farmer, who at the time was the chief deputy at the Yancey County Sheriff's Office, but he is no longer in law enforcement.
 - Q. Were any other law enforcement officers involved in the investigation?
 - A. Yes. Special Agent Charles Vines from the SBI.

 He participated in a couple of witness interviews.
 - Q. Did the Commission interview Special Agent Vines?
 - A. No, we did not. His main involvement was doing witness interviews, and Lieutenant Higgins was also present during those interviews.
 - Q. You said that Lieutenant Higgins was the lead investigator in the case; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct. And I interviewed him on the phone on November 3, 2021. And that interview was recorded and transcribed.
 - Q. What did you learn from the interview of Lieutenant Higgins?
 - A. He responded to the death scene. When he arrived, the only information he had was that there was a deceased white male. No EMS personnel were present when he arrived.

He entered the home and saw Jonathan Whitson underneath a 1 2 blue blanket on the couch. Lieutenant Higgins reported that 3 it was immediately apparent that Mr. Whitson was deceased. 4 He also said there may have been a clear discharge coming 5 out of his nose. 6 Q. Did he say anything else about the scene? He said that he took photographs that he believed 7 8 were tendered as evidence at the trial. He saw 9 Mr. Whitson's body underneath the blanket but he did not 10 recall any specific observations about his arms. He stated 11 that there were no obvious signs of trauma like a gunshot or 12 a stab wound. Lieutenant Higgins' original report did not 13 14 contain a detailed description of Mr. Whitson's body and he 15 did not recall much beyond what was in his report. Did he see anyone else in the home? 16 Q. Yes. He recalled that Christine Angel, Wade 17Α. 18 Angel, and Nathan Angel were all present in the home as well as two children, Christian and James Angel. 19 20 He reported that Annette Whitson Greene, who is Jonathan Whitson's mother, arrived sometime later. 2122Two men, Derrick Van Whitson and Alan Honeycutt, 23

came by but Lieutenant Higgins did not let them inside the home since it was an active scene.

24

25

He did not recall seeing Nikki Angel there but

noted that she may have wandered over because the family all lived in close proximity with one another.

- Q. What else did you learn from the interview with Lieutenant Higgins?
- A. Lieutenant Higgins recalled that he went to speak with Nathan Angel at Nathan Angel's request at the jail. No one else was present during this interview. Nathan Angel told Lieutenant Higgins that he had terminal cancer and wanted to talk about this case. No promises were made to Nathan Angel.

And according to Lieutenant Higgins, Nathan Angel told him about the relationship between Mr. Pritchard and Jonathan Whitson and what occurred on Saturday, March 5, 2011.

Nathan Angel said he had personal knowledge that Mr. Pritchard had a prescription for 30-milligram morphine and had sold it in the past year. However, Lieutenant Higgins did not know the basis of Nathan Angel's knowledge.

- Q. Do we know what Nathan Angel was in jail for when he was interviewed by Lieutenant Higgins?
- A. Yes. He was arrested on November 23, 2011 -- that was three days before his interview -- and his arrest was for several drug charges which included sale and delivery charges for Schedule II controlled substances. His case was

dismissed on December 19, 2012, after his death.

MS. SMITH: Commissioners, you previously received Handout 17, which is the criminal history for Nathan Angel.

- Q. What else did the Commission learn from its interview with Lieutenant Higgins?
- A. Lieutenant Higgins also recalled that he interviewed Tammy Ayers but he did not recall why interviewed her. He thought that she had information about the case but he did not know why or from whom he received that or he heard that.

Lieutenant Higgins spoke with the medical examiner, Dr. Hall, on March 6. That was the same day he responded to the scene. He could not recall what information he provided to Dr. Hall. He thought that he told Dr. Hall that it was a 29-year-old deceased male with no signs of trauma. He could not recall whether he mentioned finding syringes in a coat pocket but he may have told him that. And although he had no information about morphine at that time, Lieutenant Higgins believed Jonathan Whitson died of an overdose but he was not sure if he told Dr. Hall that that was his impression.

Lieutenant Higgins also stated that he had no concerns about the cause of death in this case.

Q. Did he say anything else about the case?

- A. Just that in his investigation, he never learned anything about why Jonathan Whitson may have ingested alcohol or when.
- Q. You mentioned that commission staff also interviewed then Chief Deputy Thomas Farmer.
- A. Yes. I spoke to him by telephone on November 18, 2021. This interview was also recorded and transcribed.
 - Q. And what did you learn from Mr. Farmer?
- A. Mr. Farmer resigned from the Yancey County
 Sheriff's Office on March 12, 2011, after it was reported
 that he pawned a service firearm. He later pleaded guilty
 to a misdemeanor related to that incident. Prior to his
 resignation, he was the chief deputy during the early days
 of this investigation.
- Q. And so just to do the math here, he resigned approximately six days into the investigation; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.

- MS. SMITH: Commissioners, you were previously provided Handout 18, which is the criminal record of Thomas Farmer.
 - Q. What did Mr. Farmer recall about the investigation?
- A. Mr. Farmer confirmed that the date of March 5 in his report that referenced Lieutenant Higgins' interview of

Nathan Angel was a typo, that it should say March 6. He understood that Lieutenant Higgins had conducted a verbal interview with Nathan Angel but he did not know if anything was put into writing.

- Q. Can you remind the commissioners why that was important.
- A. We did not see any indication that Nathan Angel was interviewed until the interview I just testified that happened on September 26, 2011, with Lieutenant Higgins.

Mr. Farmer did not remember ever interviewing Stephanie Whitson Randolph. He said that he documented information that was relayed to him from Lieutenant Higgins and his report about what Stephanie Whitson Randolph told Lieutenant Higgins on March 6, 2011.

When he was reminded that his report also indicated that he had a March 9, 2011, phone interview with Stephanie Whitson Randolph, Mr. Farmer stated that he spoke to her on the phone. He did not remember what was said during the phone call. He wrote in his report: "See interview with Stephanie Whitson." And what that meant to him was to go look at Lieutenant Higgins' report of his interview with Stephanie Whitson Randolph.

- Q. And were you asking him about that because it appeared to us that we were missing a report?
 - A. That's correct.

When Mr. Farmer was asked about Riddles or Riverside store at the time in Burnsville, Mr. Farmer characterized it as a hangout and a place where people could buy drugs in the parking lot.

- Q. Will you remind the commissioners why Riddles or Riverside store is important in this case.
- A. Mr. Pritchard brought it up in his interview as a place where he took Jonathan Whitson on March 6, 2011.
- Q. Did Mr. Farmer provide any information about any of the documents referenced in the reports but that we were not able to locate any of the law enforcement or district attorney files?
- A. We asked Mr. Farmer about the medical screening of Jonathan Whitson in Madison County jail that he referenced as being attached to his report but that was not included in the Yancey County Sheriff's Office's file. He did not know what happened to it and he speculated that it might be in the SBI or the DA file.

Mr. Farmer's report also indicated that he had obtained records related to Robbie Brown from local pharmacies pursuant to a subpoena from then Assistant District Attorney Virginia Thompson, but those subpoenas and those records were not located during the Commission's investigation and were not part of any files that we have received and reviewed. Mr. Farmer did not know where these

- records may have gone but believed he gave them to
 Ms. Thompson.
 - Mr. Farmer also stated that when he resigned, he did not take anything with him. He left his files and documents at the Yancey County Sheriff's Office.
 - Q. And so just to confirm, Ms. Bridenstine, there were documents that were referenced in the Yancey County Sheriff's Office file that the commission staff was never able to locate; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. Did Mr. Farmer say anything about Dr. Hall, the medical examiner?
- A. He did. He stated that Dr. Hall would generally request information about the deceased person, usually biographical information. He remembered Dr. Hall stating that his initial opinion about the cause of death in this case was an overdose, that that was at least partly informed by noticing needle track marks on Mr. Whitson's body.

 Dr. Hall told him that the toxicology testing would confirm his suspicion.

He also stated that at the time of the case, he trusted Dr. Hall and found him to be knowledgeable, competent, and thorough. He believed Dr. Hall to be highly respected and he was not aware of any potential issues at the time with Dr. Hall.

1	Q. Did the Commission learn anything else significant
2	from the interviews with law enforcement that was not
3	previously available?
4	A. No, we did not.
5	MS. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have
6	questions for Ms. Bridenstine about either
7	Lieutenant Higgins or Mr. Farmer?
8	JUDGE LOCK: Yes, sir, Sheriff.
9	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: I have a question: When
10	you say that files were documented but the Innocence
11	Commission was unable to locate them, what do you mean by
12	that? Were they not found? They didn't have them? Or they
13	didn't exist?
14	MS. BRIDENSTINE: If you're specifically
15	talking about the subpoenas and the pharmacy records related
16	to Robbie Brown, we never able to locate those. They were
17	not part of the DA file or any other law enforcement file.
18	He explained why his report said "see
19	interview with Stephanie Whitson Randolph," that that was
20	just a reference to go back and look at Lieutenant Higgins'
21	interview that he conducted with her and wrote a report
22	about.
23	The Nathan Angel interview he said was
24	conducted by Lieutenant Higgins, he thought it was a verbal
25	interview, but we didn't find any documentation about what

```
1
     Nathan Angel might've said to Lieutenant Higgins.
 2
                     And there was one other thing -- oh, the
 3
     Madison County jail records. That wasn't part of the Yancey
 4
     County Sheriff's file, the SBI file, or the DA file, but we
 5
     ourselves obtained records from the Madison County jail that
 6
     did include a medical questionnaire, and that might be what
     he is referencing. You received that as a handout.
 7
 8
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          Thank you.
 9
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Commissioner Colbert.
10
                     MS. COLBERT:
                                   I had a question from what I
11
     believe that Higgins said when he took photos of the
12
     deceased, the victim.
                     In our documents, I only recall seeing three
13
14
     photos. Did he happen to tell you how many photos he took?
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        He did not.
15
                                                     There were
     more than three photos that were in the brief.
16
17
                                   Were there?
                     MS. COLBERT:
18
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: Yes. And I believe they
     came from -- we provided them from the district attorney's
19
20
     file.
            They weren't in the Yancey County Sheriff's Office
21
     file.
22
                     They included some photographs of Mr. Whitson
23
     under a blanket on the couch, some photos with -- regarding
24
     the syringes that were sticking out of the pocket, and then
25
     there was a photo of a drawing, and that's how Lieutenant
```

```
Higgins characterized that to me, that was found with the
 1
     syringes in the pocket.
 2
 3
                     MS. COLBERT: So the photos -- when I say
 4
     three photos, you know, I'm talking about the photos that I
 5
     understood that Mr. -- that Higgins took -- Lieutenant
 6
     Higgins took? -- were the photos at the actual scene.
 7
                     But the photos that were in the medical
 8
     examiner's, those -- he didn't take those; right?
 9
     were taken by Dr. Hall?
10
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                       Yes. And I will -- I will
11
     testify to those photos a little later, but I believe those
     were taken by Dr. Hall. It's possible they were taken by
12
     his autopsy assistant, Irene Coffey.
13
14
                     MS. COLBERT:
                                   So there were more than three
     photos taken by Lieutenant Higgins?
15
16
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                       Yes.
17
                     MS. COLBERT: And did he indicate how many
18
     total -- total photos he took?
19
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: He did not. I did not ask
20
     about the photos he took.
21
                     MS. COLBERT: And we have all the photos
22
     that -- that you were able to get from the record, we
     already have those in our documents?
23
24
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: We do.
                                               And he -- some of
     those photos were admitted at trial based on the way they
25
```

```
were testified to about in the transcript. I don't remember
 1
 2
     which photos specifically were entered, but I think only
 3
     three were entered at trial.
 4
                     MS. COLBERT:
                                    Okay.
                                           Thank you.
 5
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: I do believe his report
 6
     also lists what photos he took, although not the number.
 7
                     MS. COLBERT:
                                    Thank you.
 8
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          Judge, I have one more
 9
     question.
10
                     When you were speaking about Nathan Angel and
11
     the interview with the information, do we have anyone --
     that the information was vetted? Where did it come from
12
13
     that he gave?
14
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        To Lieutenant Higgins on
     September 23, 2011? You mean when he said it was based on
15
     his personal knowledge?
16
17
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          Right.
18
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: That's all it says in the
19
              And Lieutenant Higgins didn't know where he got --
     report.
20
     where Nathan Angel was basing that from.
21
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          Thank you.
22
                                  Commissioners, pages 126 to 130
                     MS. SMITH:
     of your brief are all of the photos that we received from
23
24
     the district attorney's file that appear to be those taken
25
     by law enforcement at the same. There are more than three.
```

```
I believe the three photos are the autopsy photos that are
 1
     found ...
 2
 3
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        There were only three
 4
     photos taken at the autopsy, and they were all of
 5
     Mr. Whitson's face.
 6
                     MS. COLBERT: For ID purposes.
 7
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        Correct.
 8
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  The autopsy document, that
 9
     appendix is Appendix E, and it starts on page 161 of the
             That is the autopsy and then the photographs from
10
     brief.
11
     the autopsy.
12
                     Any additional questions about the law
     enforcement investigation or follow-up interviews?
13
14
                     MS. COLBERT:
                                    So the photos that begin at 126
     are all the photos that Higgins took at the crime scene that
15
16
     we have record of?
17
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        Yes.
18
          Q.
                All right.
19
                Ms. Bridenstine, I'm going to turn your attention
20
     now to the attorneys in the case. We'll start with the
21
     attorneys who represented Mr. Pritchard in this case.
22
                Has the Commission spoken to the defense attorneys
23
     in the case?
24
          Α.
                Yes, we did.
                And why did commission staff speak to those
25
          Q.
```

attorneys?

- A. We spoke to all of the attorneys to make sure that we had their complete files, if they had them; to determine what, if anything, that they remembered about the case; and to see if Mr. Pritchard had either ever admitted guilt or maintained his innocence with them.
 - Q. Who represented Mr. Pritchard in this case?
- A. At trial he was represented by Daniel Hockaday.

 Sofia Hernandez, Christine Vance, Brandi Bullock, and Robert Sirianni Junior all represented him at various points during his appeal. Ms. Bullock and Mr. Sirianni are the attorneys who actually filed the appeal with the Court of Appeals.

 David Belser represented Mr. Pritchard on postconviction matters. Mark Rabil and Emily Thornton of the Wake Forest University School of Law Innocence and Justice Clinic represented him after Mr. Belser had a stroke, and they referred Mr. Pritchard's case to the Commission.

MS. SMITH: Commissioners, we're going to start first with the appellate attorneys and then work our way backwards to the trial attorneys in this case.

- Q. Julie, Ms. Bridenstine, did the Commission speak to Sofia Hernandez?
- A. Yes. Commission staff had a brief phone call with her on June 11, 2021, that was not recorded.
 - Q. Did the Commission obtain a copy of her file?

Yes, we obtained a copy of her file. 1 Α. 2 Ms. Hernandez only represented Mr. Pritchard briefly and 3 withdrew as his attorney while his appeal was pending. 4 What did she recall about the case? 5 Α. She did not recall any conversations with 6 Mr. Pritchard but said there may have been one in the 7 beginning. She did not recall any conversations about his 8 guilt or innocence. Did commission staff obtain an affidavit from 9 Q. 10 Ms. Hernandez? 11 Α. Yes, we did. 12 MS. SMITH: Commissioners, Handout 19 that was previously provided is the affidavit from Sofia 13 14 Hernandez. Commissioners, do have any questions about 15 16 that? 17 Did the Commission speak to Christine Vance? Q. 18 Α. Yes. I had a brief phone call with her on July 22, 2021, and it was not recorded. 19 20 Q. And did commission staff obtain a copy of her file? 21 22She did not have any files for this case. 23Like Ms. Hernandez, she only represented Mr. Pritchard 24 briefly during his appeal and she also withdrew as his

attorney when the appeal was pending.

After she withdrew as his attorney, she said that she sent all of her files and information to Brownstone PA, which was the law firm that was representing Mr. Pritchard on appeal.

- Q. What did she recall about the case?
- A. She did not recall any conversations with Mr. Pritchard or anything about the case.
- Q. And did commission staff obtain an affidavit from 9 Ms. Vance?
- 10 A. Yes, we did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- MS. SMITH: Commissioners, that is
 Handout 20, the affidavit of Attorney Christine Vance.
- Q. Did commission staff speak to Brandi Bullock?
- A. Yes. I spoke to her by phone on June 21, 2021.
- Q. Was that conversation recorded?
- 16 A. No, it was not.
- 17 Q. Did the Commission also obtain a copy of her file?
- A. Yes. She provided what she had from her attorney
 file. Like Ms. Vance, she worked for Brownstone PA when she
 represented Mr. Pritchard during his appeal. She informed
 me that she and Ms. Vance were both disciplined by the Bar.
- 22 | Ms. Bullock said that Brownstone PA was "bad news."
- Q. Did you ever do anything to determine whether

 Ms. Bullock and Ms. Vance were disciplined by the Bar?
 - A. Yes. I looked at the State Bar website to look

```
for orders related to both attorneys. There was a reprimand
 1
 2
     for Ms. Bullock, and it was related to conduct concerning
 3
     Brownstone PA as an out-of-state law firm that was not
 4
     authorized to practice law in North Carolina.
                                                     The reprimand
 5
     states that Ms. Bullock aided Brownstone PA in the
 6
     unauthorized practice of law in North Carolina.
 7
                And I did not find any records for Ms. Vance.
 8
          Q.
                What did Ms. Bullock recall about the case?
 9
                She remembered that she met with Mr. Pritchard in
10
     Yancey County in a courthouse in a small town. She did not
11
     remember much about the case but she did recall that
12
     Mr. Pritchard claimed that he was innocent.
                Did the Commission obtain an affidavit from
13
          Q.
14
     Ms. Bullock?
                Yes, we did.
15
          Α.
                                 Commissioners, that is
16
                     MS. SMITH:
     Handout 21.
17
18
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Commissioner Glazier.
                                   Thank you, Your Honor.
19
                     MR. GLAZIER:
20
                     On paragraph 3 of the affidavit by
21
     Ms. Bullock, she states in the last sentence: "I remember
22
     Mr. Pritchard claimed innocence in this case."
23
                     Did she say whether his claim was he didn't
24
     give anything to the victim or the claim of innocence is
25
     that he didn't think that the -- he didn't agree with the
```

- forensic determination that morphine was involved as the 1 2 cause of death? I would have to check the 3 MS. BRIDENSTINE: 4 records regarding my phone call with her but I don't 5 remember her saying it had to do with morphine as the cause 6 of death. 7 MR. GLAZIER: Thank you. 8 MS. SMITH: Any other questions? 9 Q. Did the Commission speak with Robert Sirianni? 10 Yes, we did, but only through email. Α. 11 Q. At what point did Mr. Sirianni represent 12 Mr. Pritchard? Mr. Sirianni worked for Brownstone PA, which is an 13 14 appellate law firm with an office in Florida. 15 represented Mr. Pritchard pro hac vice on his appeal. Q. Did the Commission obtain a copy of his file? 16 17 His office provided all the documents that they Α. 18 had left related to Mr. Pritchard, but Mr. Sirianni no 19 longer had an attorney file. 20 Q. What did he recall about the case? He did not recall any conversations with 21Α. 22Mr. Pritchard, including whether or not he admitted guilt or 23talked about innocence.
- 24 Q. And did commission staff obtain an affidavit from 25 Mr. Sirianni?

A. Yes, we did.

- MS. SMITH: Commissioners, that is -- that affidavit is Handout 22.
- Q. Ms. Bridenstine, for the appellate attorneys who provided documents to the Commission, did any of the documents include any correspondence with Mr. Pritchard or notations regarding any meetings with him?
- A. The documents that were produced from Sofia Hernandez, Brandi Bullock, and Robert Sirianni were all appellate documents, pleadings, and copies of his trial transcript, except that Ms. Bullock, Brandi Bullock's, file had one letter from Mr. Pritchard, and that letter is summarized on pages 5 to 6 of Handout 44.
- Q. Ms. Bridenstine, I'm going to have Ms. Tanner hand you the Commission's journal in this case.
 - If you'll take a look at that related to your call with Ms. Bullock and see if it references anything with respect to what she said about Mr. Pritchard claiming innocence.
 - A. I'm just going to quote from the journal. It says, quote: "She does not remember much about the case. When asked if she remembered if he admitted any guilt or responsibility for the case or claimed innocence, she said that she remembered that Pritchard claimed innocence. She does not remember details of the case," end quote.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ Ms. Bridenstine, can you tell commissioners what the commission journal is.

A. It is a record of every action that we take in the case. And everyone from the staff has access to the case journal. And anytime any one of us works on the case, we go into the journal and we document what we did.

MS. SMITH: Any other questions for Ms. Bridenstine about the appellate attorneys for Mr. Pritchard?

Q. I want to turn your attention, then, to Daniel Hockaday, who represented Mr. Pritchard at his trial.

Did commission staff speak to Mr. Hockaday?

A. Yes, we did. We first reached out to him to see if he had an attorney file for this case. He let us know his file had been destroyed pursuant to his retention policy. I then interviewed him on the phone on August 11, 2021. And this interview was recorded and transcribed.

Q. What was his assessment of the case?

A. He reported that he felt that the trial was going their way and that they had an excellent argument because the only person who could have testified that Mr. Pritchard provided the drugs was the decedent.

He said that the 404(b) evidence of prior bad acts was the, quote, "killer in the case," end quote. He also remembered that Mr. Pritchard wanted to find a way to go

back and undo his previous drug conviction.

- Q. Did Mr. Hockaday recall what Mr. Pritchard said about the case?
 - A. Yes. He said that Mr. Pritchard said from day one that it was all a big lie and a political attack on him by the sheriff's department who had it out for him.

He always said that he was innocent and he never said that he was guilty. He never asked if it was in his best interest to take a plea. And he was adamant that the case was going to be a trial case.

He also said that Mr. Pritchard did not even agree that he had seen Mr. Whitson on that day.

MS. SMITH: Commissioners, there is an excerpt from the interview with Mr. Hockaday from the transcript that was previously provided to you-all as Handout 23 that discusses what Mr. Hockaday's theory of the defense at trial was. That is page 33, line 17, through page 34, line 14.

You've had an opportunity to review that before.

Do you have any questions for Ms. Bridenstine about that piece?

- Q. Did Mr. Hockaday recall doing any type of investigation in this case?
 - A. Yes. He said that they tried to interview as many

people as they could and that they talked to some of the State's witnesses. He recalled speaking to Stephanie
Whitson Randolph but did not remember what she told him. He also spoke to Robbie Brown about 10 times before the trial.

And he said that he did not use a private investigator in this case.

- Q. Did he recall anything about the witnesses at trial?
- A. He said that Robbie Brown looked impaired and had shades on during the trial. He said she was, quote, "all over the place on the witness stand," end quote.
- Q. What did he say about any plea negotiations that may have occurred?
- A. He said that Mr. Pritchard was not interested in a plea and, quote, "took an attitude that 'I want to win and I also want an apology in the paper' kind of attitude," end quote.

He said that they did not get any offers until halfway through trial. At that point, they received an offer that would've allowed Mr. Pritchard to go home that day on probation. Mr. Hockaday and Mr. Pritchard's daughter encouraged him to take that deal.

Mr. Pritchard did not want the deal. He wanted a not guilty verdict and an opportunity to sue people for being wrongly accused.

Q. What did Mr. Hockaday recall, if anything, about Dr. Hall?

A. He remembered that there was an issue regarding the competency of the doctor and a report he had written.

Mr. Pritchard wanted him to read articles about the doctor and tried to disqualify him for reasons unrelated to this case.

He recalled that there was a case in Boone in which a child had died due to either a fire or a gas leak. He did not recall having any other concerns about Dr. Hall and he did not speak to the district attorneys about Dr. Hall.

He also remembered that Dr. Hall had a pending driving while intoxicated charge during the trial.

- Q. Did Mr. Hockaday consult with any experts before the trial?
- A. No, he did not. He said that he did not talk to any forensic pathologists or toxicologists. He said that he spoke to doctor friends who -- he would ask them questions about the case and he would use them as a resource. He did not think he ever specifically consulted with any doctors who were forensic pathologists and he did not show any doctors any autopsy documents from this case.
- Q. Did he have any information about preexisting medical conditions that the victim, Mr. Whitson, might have

1 had?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. He said that he remembered the abscess on the arm coming up at some point, but he didn't remember any specific details.
 - Q. Did he recall anything happening after the trial?
- A. Yes. He said that he heard that after
 Mr. Pritchard was convicted and sentenced, Mr. Pritchard
 went downstairs and said in a room full of people that he
 screwed up and should've taken the deal and fell on his
 knees. Mr. Hockaday was not present but he understood from
 others that the statement was along the lines of, quote, "If
 you're ever offered a plea offer, you're an idiot to reject
 it," end quote.
- Mr. Hockaday said that this weighed on him and he wondered what he could've done to persuade Mr. Pritchard to take the deal that was offered to him.
- Q. And did commission staff obtain an affidavit from Mr. Hockaday?
- A. Yes, we did.
- 20 MS. SMITH: Commissioners, Handout 24 that
 21 you have previously been provided is the affidavit from
 22 Daniel Hockaday.
- Do y'all have any questions for
- 24 Ms. Bridenstine about the defense attorneys?
- JUDGE LOCK: Commissioner Glazier.

1	MR. GLAZIER: I just want to sort of sum this
2	up, making sure I have it right.
3	So the defendant in this case is charged with
4	second-degree murder and three other felony-related drug
5	charges, one way or the other, and in middle of the trial,
6	Hockaday is saying that the DA's office offered a
7	probationary go-home plea; is that correct?
8	MS. BRIDENSTINE: It is except that the last
9	charge, the maintaining, I think, is a misdemeanor.
10	MR. GLAZIER: Misdemeanor.
11	Follow up?
12	JUDGE LOCK: Sure.
13	MR. GLAZIER: And the defense attorney in
14	this case says that the defendant always claimed he was
15	innocent, didn't hire a PI to do any investigation, didn't
16	hire any forensics or seek any expert assistance; is that
17	correct?
18	MS. BRIDENSTINE: That is correct, except he
19	said he had friends who were doctors he would ask questions
20	about.
21	MR. GLAZIER: Thank you.
22	JUDGE EVANS: Question. The plea offer that
23	was made, do we know what the offer was?
24	MS. BRIDENSTINE: I don't know what the
25	charge itself was. Justice Orr remembered a little bit more

```
information about it and I'll be testifying about what he
 1
 2
     said in just a second, but I don't believe I asked or anyone
 3
     told me what the actual level of the charge was that they
 4
     were making the offer.
 5
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: So I just want to follow
 6
     up with a question that was asked.
 7
                     So he was charged with all the things that we
 8
     just mentioned, and in the middle of the trial, I guess, he
 9
     was offered a plea deal where he basically would go to the
10
     house?
11
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                       Yes.
                                              It would've allowed
12
     him to leave the jail. He was in jail before his trial was
13
     pending so it would've allowed him to go home. I don't know
14
     if that meant time served or something, but he would have
     been allowed to leave and go home on probation.
15
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                         And he refused it?
16
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        That's correct.
17
18
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          Thanks.
19
                     MS. COLBERT: So my question, to piggyback on
20
     that, you don't -- do we know how much time he had served as
21
     of -- is there a way we could find out how much time he had
22
     served as of the time that they offered him the plea?
23
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        Yes. He was arrested, I
24
     believe, in December 2011. We can double-check that for
25
           The orders for arrest and the indictments are in the
     you.
```

```
And my understanding is that he did not bond out the
 1
     brief.
 2
     entire time.
                   And the trial was April 14 through 17 of 2014.
 3
                                Any other questions related to
                     MS. SMITH:
 4
     the testimony on defense attorneys?
 5
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   I have a quick question.
 6
                     For Dr. Hall's DUI, do you happen to have the
     date of the offense of his DUI? The autopsy was apparently
 7
 8
     performed on March 7 of 2011.
 9
                     Do you know when the DUI occurred?
10
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        It was in 2010.
                                                         There
11
     might be a date from newspaper articles that were written
12
     about Dr. Hall at the time. I'll testify about this later,
13
     but there are no records related to that particular charge;
14
     so in our searches of all the criminal records databases
     that we have, we can't locate that information.
15
16
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   Okay.
17
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: But we might be able to
18
     tell you based on articles that were written about Dr. Hall
19
     what the reporters said that the date of the charge was.
20
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   So it was -- you said it was
     pending during the trial of Mr. Pritchard's case but the
21
22
     doctor's drinking and driving charge was the year prior, it
23
     was just continuing.
24
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        Yes.
                                              The newspaper
25
     articles indicated that he was convicted in district court.
```

```
I don't know exactly when that happened. He appealed it to
 1
 2
     superior court, and my understanding based on newspaper
 3
     articles is that was not resolved until 2015. He won his
 4
     motion to suppress and the case was dismissed.
 5
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   Okay.
                                          Thank you.
 6
                     MR. BOSWELL: Did I understand he was in jail
 7
     for basically about three years before the case went to
 8
     trial?
 9
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                       May be closer to 2 1/2. I
10
     would like to double-check just to make sure he didn't bond
11
     for any reason at some point, but I believe he was in
     custody the entire time.
12
                                 Commissioners, we are looking at
13
                     MS. SMITH:
14
     that information and will have that for you shortly.
                     MR. BOSWELL:
                                   Okay.
15
                                           Thank you.
          Q.
                I'm going to turn your attention now to the
16
     prosecutors in this case. Can you remind the commissioners
17
18
     who represented the State at Mr. Pritchard's trial.
19
          Α.
                Assistant District Attorney Michael Holmes and
20
     Interim District Attorney Justice Robert Orr, who was
21
     formerly a justice on the Supreme Court of North Carolina.
22
                Did the Commission speak to Mr. Holmes about this
          Q.
23
     case?
24
          Α.
                Yes.
                      I interviewed him on the phone on
25
     October 13, 2021. And this interview was recorded and
```

transcribed.

- Q. Did you ask Mr. Holmes about any potential discovery issues in the case?
- A. Yes. We had received from Mr. Pritchard and from the Wake Forest file a one-page typed interview that was done by Mr. Holmes of Christine Angel on November 26, 2013, prior to Mr. Pritchard's trial. That interview was not part of the Yancey County Sheriff's Office file, the SBI file, or the DA file. Since Mr. Hockaday no longer had a file, we could not check his file to see what discovery was produced to him from the district attorney's file.

I asked Seth Banks, the current district attorney, about that interview and asked him if he knew why it was not produced with the DA file when we received the DA file from their office. He indicated that some of their files were destroyed by a flood during a previous administration and he was not sure if Mr. Pritchard's case was included with those destroyed files. He told us that he provided everything that they currently had in their possession. I told Mr. Banks that I would ask Mr. Holmes about it, and District Banks [sic] recommended that I also ask Justice Orr since he had become the interim district attorney in that district two weeks prior to Mr. Pritchard's trial.

- Q. What did Mr. Holmes recall about that interview?
- A. He said that he did not recall doing any

additional interviews in this case that were memorialized and made part of the file. He stated that he provided this interview as part of discovery because Christine Angel reported information to him that was not present in police reports.

He did not remember any other witnesses providing information that would've needed to be disclosed to the defense.

MS. SMITH: Commissioners, that interview that we are referencing is on page 180 of your brief if you wish to refer to it.

- Q. Did Mr. Holmes say anything about his strategy at trial?
- A. He said that his, quote, "overall strategy was to keep it as absolutely simple as possible," end quote.
- Q. Did you ask him what he recalled about witness testimony during the trial?
- A. Yes. He said that everyone testified as he expected them to except for Robbie Brown. He remembered feeling surprised that her testimony was more favorable to the prosecution than he thought it was going to be.
 - Q. What did he say about the plea negotiations?
- A. He did not recall but when provided with what Mr. Hockaday remembered about the plea offer being made in the middle of trial, he said that it was probably true and

- they did not really expect to win. They offered something that they thought he could not refuse. He went on to say that people kept telling him that these types of cases are notoriously difficult to win.
- Q. When you say "these types of cases," what are you referring to?
 - A. Second-degree murder by drugs.

- Q. What did Mr. Holmes recall about any medical conditions that Mr. Whitson, the victim, might have had prior to his death?
- A. He did not recall knowing about any medical issues or having -- or Mr. Whitson having any issues with his arm prior to his death.
- Q. Did Mr. Holmes, the assistant district attorney, ever speak to any experts other than Dr. Hall in this case?
- A. No. He did not speak to anyone else, including the toxicologist who conducted the toxicology testing in this case.
- He did not recall talking to Dr. Hall prior to trial or speaking to anyone else about cause of death in the case. When asked if he had any concerns about cause of death and the toxicology testing, he said he did not. He also did not recall seeing any issues in this case involving cause of death, and he agreed that he relied on Dr. Hall's determination and nothing else.

Was Mr. Holmes aware of any previous issues 1 Q. 2 involving Dr. Hall and his work as a medical examiner? 3 He was not. When asked about the carbon monoxide 4 poisoning cases in Boone, he said it sounded vaguely 5 familiar. He was also not aware of any substance abuse 6 issues with Dr. Hall. And at the time that he prosecuted 7 the case, he did not have any concerns about Dr. Hall and he 8 wasn't aware of any possible credibility issues. 9 He also had no idea why Dr. Hall's DWI was pending 10 from 2010 until 2015. 11 Q. Did you ask Mr. Holmes about what Mr. Hockaday 12 reported hearing happened after the trial when Mr. Pritchard 13 fell to his knees and expressed regret over not taking the 14 plea? He said that it sounded vaguely familiar and 15 Α. he felt it was -- he felt like it was true. 16 17 MS. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have any 18 questions for Ms. Bridenstine about Assistant District 19 Attorney Holmes? 20 MS. WELCH: Is he still an assistant district 21 attorney? 22MS. BRIDENSTINE: No, he is not. He left the 23office sometime after this case. And I understand it was 24 shortly after this case. 25 Do you know how long he had been MS. WELCH:

a prosecutor when he tried this?

MS. BRIDENSTINE: Yes. I will double-check but I believe he said it was about a year he was a district attorney.

MS. WELCH: Okay.

- Q. Ms. Bridenstine, did the Commission have an opportunity to speak to Justice Orr about what he remembered about the case?
- A. Yes. I spoke to him in person on November 16, 2021. And our interview was recorded and transcribed.
 - Q. Tell the commissioners how that interview came about.
 - A. As I testified to earlier, District Attorney Seth Banks recommended that I follow up with Justice Orr back in September 2021 regarding questions that I had about the Christine Angel interview that was conducted by Mr. Holmes. Every commission case that proceeds to a commission hearing has a prehearing conference with the State and the defense attorneys before the Commission hearing. Our prehearing conference was scheduled and held on November 16, 2021.

On November 15, 2021, District Attorney Seth Banks sent an email to the commission staff and the parties that Justice Orr was a member of his staff and would be attending the prehearing conference as a representative of the State along with Assistant District Attorney Milton Fletcher.

Before the prehearing conference, we asked Justice Orr if he would answer questions about the case after the prehearing conference and he said he would.

Q. Did he explain to you how he came to be the district attorney in 2014?

- A. Yes. He said that the existing district attorney at the time, Jerry Wilson, who was not seeking reelection, suddenly resigned during the primary. The governor needed to appoint someone as acting district attorney and did not want to appoint someone who was also running to be district attorney. The governor asked Justice Orr if he would serve as an interim district attorney and he agreed.
- Q. And how soon after Justice Orr was appointed as the interim or acting district attorney was this trial held?
- A. He recalled that it was a week or two after his appointment.
 - Q. How did he become involved in the trial?
- A. He said that after he was appointed, he spoke to Michael Holmes about the trial and became a little concerned about the fact that he had not conducted a lot of legal research for the case. At first, he was just going to sit in on jury selection but then he decided to sit in as second chair for the entire trial.
- Q. Did you ask Justice Orr about any potential discovery issues in the case?

A. Yes. I showed him a copy of the interview of Christine Angel that was conducted by Michael Holmes. He did not remember if the district attorney's office had conducted any additional witness interviews that were written down and produced as part of discovery.

He said that he did not look at case materials until the Thursday or Friday before trial, which began on the following Monday. He said he was skimming as he was not planning to participate in the trial, and he said that he never talked to any witnesses prior to trial. The only member of the victim's family that he spoke to was Mr. Whitson's father's, Russell Wilson.

- Q. What did Justice Orr say about the plea negotiations?
- A. He said that a plea offer was made toward the end of the first day. He felt that the evidence was not that strong, with a couple of shaky witnesses. Since he was not sure they could get a conviction, they made a probation offer with time served and a fine.
- Q. What did he say about the cause of death determination and toxicology testing that was performed in the case?
- A. He said he did not have any concerns about the morphine levels at the time. He also said that he is a liberal arts guy who was relying on the medical examiner to

be the expert in the case and get the numbers right. He never saw any issues with cause of death.

- Q. What did he say about Dr. Hall's pending DWI at the time of trial?
- A. He said that he was concerned that Dr. Hall's DWI was going to be brought up at trial. He also did not know why his DWI case was delayed from 2010 until 2015, but after learning who Dr. Hall's defense attorney was at the time, Justice Orr said that it was typical of that defense attorney to delay cases as long as possible.
- Q. Was Justice Orr aware of any other previous issues involving Dr. Hall and his work as a medical examiner?
- A. He said he knew about the carbon monoxide deaths that happened at a hotel in Boone and that Dr. Hall was somehow involved. He said he could not recall ever having a conversation with Dr. Hall.
- Q. Did you ask Justice Orr about what Mr. Hockaday reported hearing happened after the trial when Mr. Pritchard expressed regret over not taking the plea?
 - A. Yes. He said he did not remember that.
 - Q. What else did he recall about the case?
- A. Later on in the interview he stated that this was not the strongest case he has ever seen but that it is what it is.
 - Q. Did Justice Orr explain how he came to be a

representative from the DA's office now?

- A. He said that the Administrative Office of the Courts is paying him to consult with the district attorney's office in this case.
- Q. Ms. Bridenstine, we're going to hand you some documents to go back to some of the questions that the commissioners asked you about earlier.
 - A. Yeah. Okay.

So regarding the earlier question about how long Mr. Holmes had been a district attorney, he said before he was in the district attorney's office, he was practicing as a defense attorney and he was only in the district attorney's office for one year.

Regarding the question of how long Mr. Pritchard was in custody at his trial, he was given, at the conclusion of trial when he was sentenced, credit for 860 days, after he was convicted, for time served. And his bond was set at \$150,000. And at his first appearance, he was noted to be in custody, which was held on December 1, 2011.

- Q. Ms. Bridenstine, is it 860 days of credit for time served or 868?
 - A. 868.
 - Q. Thank you.
- MS. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have any questions for Ms. Bridenstine about the prosecutors who

1	prosecuted this case?
2	Commissioners, on standby are
3	Lieutenant Higgins, Mr. Farmer, the law enforcement officers
4	in the case.
5	Does anyone wish to hear or ask any
6	additional questions of them?
7	May I release them from their subpoenas?
8	JUDGE LOCK: Yes.
9	MS. SMITH: Okay.
10	Also on standby are defense attorney Daniel
11	Hockaday, Assistant District Attorney Michael Holmes, and
12	Justice Orr.
13	Does anyone wish to hear from any of them
14	today?
15	May I release them from their subpoenas?
16	JUDGE LOCK: Yes, please.
17	MS. SMITH: Okay. Thank you.
18	Your Honor, this would be an appropriate time
19	for a morning recess if you would like to take a break.
20	JUDGE LOCK: 10 minutes enough time for
21	everyone?
22	10-minute break.
23	(Recess taken, 10:10 to 10:27 a.m.)
24	MS. SMITH: Your Honor, Ms. Bridenstine is
25	back on the stand.

JUDGE LOCK: Yes.

MS. SMITH: Okay. Commissioners, you were previously provided Handouts 25 and 26. Those summarize what several witnesses have said about various topics.

The first one, Handout 25, is topics related to the victim, Jonathan Whitson. And then Handout 26 are witness statements related to Mr. Pritchard, the claimant, and Robbie Brown.

We are going to talk about some of those

throughout the next set of testimony. A lot of them are rumors and so the chart will kind of walk you through whether we were able to ever substantiate a rumor. We will not hit on every single one of those so please do make sure you've reviewed those charts.

And these are -- the charts encompass the statements that individuals have made to the Commission about all of these different topics.

- Q. Ms. Bridenstine, can you remind the commissioners who Robbie Brown is.
- A. Yes. She was the girlfriend of Mr. Pritchard at the time of this case. She was interviewed by law enforcement and she also testified as a state's witness.
 - Q. Did the Commission speak to Ms. Brown?
 - A. No. Ms. Brown passed away on August 15, 2015.
 - Q. At trial, there was an exhibit that was marked as

```
Defense Exhibit Number 1. That was a letter from Robbie
 1
 2
     Brown to Mr. Pritchard. That letter was introduced but it
 3
     ultimately was not admitted.
 4
               Did you ever locate that letter?
 5
          Α.
               We did not. We asked Mr. Hockaday, Mr. Holmes,
 6
     and Justice Orr if they recalled what happened to the letter
 7
     and no one knew. We checked with the clerk's office and
 8
     learned that they did not take possession of it during the
 9
     trial.
10
               Mr. Pritchard reported during his interview with
     the Commission that he had a letter from Robbie Brown still
11
12
     in his possession at prison. After his interview,
     Mr. Pritchard mailed letters that appeared to be from Robbie
13
14
     Brown to the Commission. None of the letters that he
     provided appear to be the same one that was referenced at
15
16
     trial, but one of the letters we did receive contained a
17
     statement that Robbie Brown was not there, did not know
18
     anything, and obtained her information from secondhand
19
     gossip.
20
                     MS. SMITH:
                                 Commissioners, a summary of the
21
     letters that Mr. Pritchard provided to the Commission after
22
     his interview were previously provided to you as Handout 27.
23
                     You were also provided Handout 28, which is
24
     Robbie Brown's criminal history.
                     Do you have any questions for Ms. Bridenstine
25
```

```
about Robbie Brown?
 1
 2
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  Was she charged?
 3
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        In this case?
                                                       I don't
 4
     believe so, no.
 5
          Q.
               Ms. Bridenstine, did the Commission attempt to
 6
     interview any other witnesses who we determined were
 7
     deceased?
 8
          Α.
                Yes.
                      We learned that James Whitson, who is the
 9
     brother of Annette Whitson Greene, passed away on June 7,
10
     2015; Wade Angel, the husband of Christine Angel and the
11
     father of Nathan Angel, passed away on December 25, 2015;
12
     and as you might recall from the trial transcript, Nathan
     Angel passed away prior to Mr. Pritchard's trial, and the
13
14
     date of his death is September 26, 2012.
                Can you remind the commissioners who Christine
15
          Q.
     Angel is.
16
17
                She is the stepgrandmother of Jonathan Whitson and
          Α.
18
     the mother of Nathan Angel. The house that she shared with
     her husband, Wade Angel, is where Jonathan Whitson died.
19
20
     She was interviewed by law enforcement on March 6, 2011, and
21
     she testified at Mr. Pritchard's trial.
22
                                  Commissioners, Christine Angel
                     MS. SMITH:
23
     does not have a criminal history.
24
          Q.
                Did the Commission ever speak with Ms. Angel?
                      I spoke to her in person on her front stoop
25
                Yes.
          Α.
```

of her residence in Burnsville on August 24, 2021, and that is the same residence where Mr. Whitson passed away. This interview was recorded and transcribed.

Q. What did you learn from Ms. Angel?

A. She reported that Jonathan Whitson died on her couch and she pointed to the area in her living room where the couch used to be. She said that the only thing she could swear to was that Mr. Whitson laid on her couch and died.

She reported that she had forgotten a lot of things or blocked it out, and she did not remember anything about his arrival or his stay at her house. She also reported that she was threatened by someone with being thrown in jail and thought it was the judge.

- Q. Did she report anything about Mr. Whitson's family members?
- A. She told me that Mr. Whitson's sisters told her that they did not believe that he died of a drug overdose or -- excuse me -- of an overdose and that he had some kind of a heart issue but she did not know.

She also said that Mr. Whitson's mother, Annette Greene, and Mr. Whitson's sister, Nikki Angel, quote, "liked to lie," end quote.

- Q. Did she tell you about any issues with her memory?
- A. Yes. She said that she was told she's getting

```
Alzheimer's disease and she has problems with her memory.
 1
 2
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Commissioners, do you have any
 3
     questions for Ms. Bridenstine about Christine Angel?
 4
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   One.
 5
                     MS. SMITH: Yes, Mr. Glazier.
 6
                     MR. GLAZIER: Let Ms. Newton go.
 7
                                  Did you ask Ms. Angel for any of
                     MS. NEWTON:
 8
     the victim's medical records -- I'm sorry -- yes, the
 9
     victim's medical records about his heart condition? Was
10
     there any backup documentation of his treatment for his
     heart condition?
11
12
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: We did not ask her for his
13
     medical records and we do not -- or we did not obtain any
14
     historical information like that about what his medical
     problems may have been when he was a kid.
15
                                   Or even recently?
16
                     MS. NEWTON:
17
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        The only medical records
18
     that we sought in this case were from Mission Hospital for
19
     about a little bit over a year prior to his death. And then
20
     we asked for any medical records related to Mr. Whitson from
     the Buncombe County detention center and the Madison County
21
22
     jail.
23
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   Thank you.
24
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: We also -- Ms. Newton,
25
     frequently during interviews we would ask people if they
```

```
1
     remembered if he received treatment and where, but no one
 2
     reported any information to us about that.
 3
                     MR. GLAZIER: Two points, Judge, if I could.
 4
                     One, just for the record, I think I'm
 5
     correct -- and I apologize I didn't do this before. My
 6
     questions go back.
 7
                     At least the exhibit seems to show that she
 8
     had 30 prior convictions; is that correct?
 9
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        I would need to count.
10
                     MR. GLAZIER: 30 convictions total, but one
11
     may have been post.
12
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        Which handout?
13
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  28.
14
                     MR. GLAZIER: The exhibit's in the record but
     I just ...
15
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                       I'm counting 28 entries but
16
     it looks like there might be some indication that there were
17
18
     multiple charges she was convicted of for a few of them.
19
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                    Thank you.
20
                     My question on -- going back to her
21
     particularly, the Defendant's Exhibit 1 that was, you said,
22
     proffered but not admitted, could you point to me -- I'm
23
     trying to find it in the transcript -- where the proffer
24
     occurred?
25
                                       We can get that information
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
```

1	for you.
2	MR. GLAZIER: Follow-up, Judge?
3	JUDGE LOCK: Sure.
4	MR. GLAZIER: I just don't remember and it's
5	my bad, but what was the proffer of what Defendant's
6	Exhibit 1 would have said?
7	MS. BRIDENSTINE: I do recall that the
8	Mr. Hockaday, the defense attorney, brought it to her
9	attention on cross-examination, and I do believe that he
10	quoted a few things that he said were present but he did not
11	describe. Correct.
12	MR. GLAZIER: If I could just get the
13	pagination. I apologize. Thank you.
14	MS. SMITH: Mr. Glazier, we will find the
15	answer about Defense Exhibit 1 for you.
16	MR. GLAZIER: Thank you.
17	Q. Moving along, Ms. Bridenstine, to Floyd Ayers.
18	Who is Floyd Ayers?
19	A. Mr. Ayers is a cousin of Jonathan Whitson, and he
20	gave Mr. Whitson a ride to Christine Angel's house on
21	March 4, 2011, after Mr. Whitson got out of jail in
22	Asheville.
23	MS. SMITH: Commissioners, Floyd Ayers does
24	not have a criminal history.
25	Q. Did commission staff speak to Mr. Ayers?

I interviewed him on the phone on October 6, 1 Α. Yes. 2 2021. And that interview was recorded and transcribed. 3 Did he have any new information to offer that he Q. 4 did not previously provide to law enforcement and at trial? 5 Α. Not much. He said that Mr. Whitson looked good 6 and did not seem sick at all when he picked him up. He was 7 not coughing. The only thing Mr. Whitson said was that he 8 was cold, he was wearing a thin jacket, and he was not acting like he was on anything. Mr. Whitson told Mr. Ayers 9 10 that he walked to where Mr. Avers picked him up. 11 Mr. Ayers also reported that he thought it was 12 raining the night he picked up Jonathan Whitson. Did the Commission look for historical weather 13 Q. 14 data from March 4th -- 5th -- 4th, sorry, 2011? From the time period Mr. Whitson was 15 Α. We did. released from jail in Asheville until the time he was picked 16 17 up by Floyd Ayers, the historical weather data for Asheville 18 shows that it was in the mid 40s to lower 50s in 19 temperature and it was mostly cloudy with light rain. 20 MS. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have any 21questions from Ms. Bridenstine about Floyd Ayers? 22Can you remind the commissioners who Robert Q. 23Silvers is? 24Α. Robert Silvers is the grandson of Christine Angel. Mr. Silvers spoke to Sheriff Gary Banks about this case on 25

- 1 April 26, 2011. He told Sheriff Banks that he knew that
- 2 Mr. Pritchard gave Opana to Jonathan Whitson that came from
- 3 Robbie Brown. Mr. Silvers offered to buy Opana from
- 4 Mr. Pritchard in a controlled buy and he asked for
- 5 assistance with his probation officer who he said wanted to
- 6 | send him back to prison.
- 7 Mr. Silvers did not testify at trial.
- 8 MS. SMITH: Commissioners, Robert Silvers'
- 9 criminal history was provided to you as Handout 29.
- 10 Q. Did you have an opportunity to speak to
- 11 Mr. Silvers?
- 12 A. Yes. I interviewed him on the phone on August 3,
- 13 2021. Mr. Silvers was in prison. And this interview was
- 14 recorded and transcribed.
- Q. Why did he say about the case?
- 16 A. He denied knowing anything about the case and said
- 17 he had nothing to do with it. After I read Sheriff Banks'
- 18 | report regarding his statement to him, Mr. Silvers denied
- 19 | saying it. He said he had no direct information or personal
- 20 knowledge about the case and he never spoke to any officers
- 21 or prosecutors after he talked to Sheriff Banks.
- 22 Q. Did Mr. Silvers see Jonathan Whitson before he
- 23 died?
- 24 A. Yes. He said he saw him the morning before he
- 25 | died at his grandmother's house and Mr. Whitson seemed

perfectly fine. He was in good spirits. He did not seem to 1 2 have a cold. And he was not aware of any medical issues. 3 He did not see Mr. Whitson's arm. 4 MS. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have any 5 questions for Ms. Bridenstine about Robert Silvers? 6 SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: One question. So 7 Mr. Silvers denied ever having a conversation with the sheriff? 8 9 MS. BRIDENSTINE: No. He said he denied that 10 he said what was reported in his statement. 11 SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Okav. 12 MR. GLAZIER: I'm looking at Silvers' criminal record, and he has a number of sale and delivery 13 14 and possession of drug charges; is that right? MS. BRIDENSTINE: Mr. Glazier, which handout? 15 MS. COLBERT: 16 29. MS. BRIDENSTINE: Yes. He has several. 17 18 MR. GLAZIER: Thank you. 19 Ms. Bridenstine, who is Tammy Ayers? Q. 20 Tammy Ayers was interviewed by Lieutenant Higgins Α. 21and Special Agent Vines on September 26, 2011, about her 22knowledge of this crime. She did not testify at trial. 23Commissioners, Tammy Avers' MS. SMITH: 24 criminal history was provided to you as Handout 30. 25 Did you speak to Ms. Ayers? Q.

- 1 Α. Yes. I interviewed her on the phone on 2 September 9, 2021. The interview was recorded and transcribed. 3 4 Q. Is Tammy Avers related to Floyd Avers? 5 Α. No. She is not related. 6 Q. What was her relationship to Jonathan Whitson, the 7 victim? 8 Α. She said she was his ex-girlfriend. She said that 9 she was friends with Nathan Angel when Mr. Whitson died. What did she tell you about her knowledge of this 10 Q. 11 case? 12 Α. She said that the day before Mr. Whitson died, she 13 was visiting Nathan Angel at his place. She knew that 14 Nathan Angel had talked to Mr. Pritchard, who was supposed 15 to come over and sell some of his medicine to Nathan Angel. 16 Nathan Angel asked her if she needed anything from 17 Mr. Pritchard because Nathan Angel knew that Mr. Pritchard 18 would only provide drugs to him. Nathan Angel had told her 19 this in the past. She told Nathan Angel that she did not 20 need any morphine that day and she understood that 21Mr. Pritchard had 10 morphine pills. 22She saw Mr. Pritchard arrive and talk to Nathan 23She was on her way out and she said goodbye Angel outside. 24 to them. She did not see a transaction or drugs exchanged.
 - Q. What did Tammy Ayers know about Jonathan Whitson

taking morphine on that day?

- A. She said that she heard later from Nathan Angel that Mr. Whitson took nine of the pills for himself and did them all. Nathan Angel got one of the pills and was upset that Mr. Whitson took the rest.
 - Q. Did Tammy Ayers see Jonathan Whitson that day?
- A. Yes. She said she said "hey" to him. She said that he appeared to be, quote, "jonesing," end quote, which she described as Mr. Whitson wanting something pretty bad as he had been locked up and in detox. She stated he always -- he also seemed to be a little ill.
- Q. Did Tammy Ayers know anything about Mr. Pritchard providing drugs in the past?
- A. Nathan Angel told her that Mr. Pritchard would sell him pills. Mr. Pritchard would not come into the house and Nathan Angel would have to go out to him. She saw this a few times when she was over at the house.
- Q. Was what Ms. Ayers told you consistent with what she had originally told law enforcement in this investigation?
- A. No. But when I read her statement to her, she said it sounded about right but she could not remember the truck part and thought that Nathan Angel had gotten the pills that day. She said that since her statement to law enforcement was given closer in time to the event, that it

was accurate because her memory was not as good now.

- Q. What were some of the main differences?
- A. In her interview with law enforcement, Tammy Ayers said that she saw Mr. Whitson leave Nathan Angel's place with Mr. Pritchard in his truck. She asked Nathan Angel if they were going to get some 30s, or morphine, and he said that they were. As Tammy Ayers was leaving Nathan Angel's place, she saw Mr. Whitson returning with Mr. Pritchard.

The next day she spoke to Stephanie Whitson Randolph who told her that Mr. Whitson got the 30s from Mr. Pritchard and they did four or five together with Mr. Whitson keeping the remaining pills. She also went on to describe what Robbie Brown told her, which was that she knew that Mr. Pritchard sold the morphine to Mr. Whitson on credit.

MS. SMITH: Commissioners, Tammy Ayers' statement to law enforcement is on -- on pages 109 to 110 of your brief.

- Q. Did you ask Ms. Ayers how she came to be interviewed by law enforcement in connection with the case?
- A. Yes. She said that she was arrested for drug trafficking and law enforcement knew of her connections to Nathan Angel and Jonathan Whitson.

Later in the interview, she said that law enforcement told her that they had been informed that she

was at Nathan Angel's that day. No one made her any promises in exchange for her statement.

- Q. Did she know why she wasn't called to testify at trial?
- A. No. She said that no one ever talked to her about her testifying but she told them that she would not be a credible witness because she was the ex-girlfriend of both Jonathan Whitson and Nathan Angel and a drug offender herself. She said that she was told that they had already been informed of what happened.
- Q. Did anyone you ever spoke to indicate why Tammy Ayers did not testify?
- A. No. My interview with former Assistant District Attorney Michael Holmes, I read her statement to Mr. Holmes. He said he did not know why she was not called and he did not hear anything that sounded disfavorable to the prosecution in her statement.
- Q. Did you ever find any information about where Tammy Ayers was located during Mr. Pritchard's trial?
- A. Yes. DPS offender information shows that

 Ms. Ayers was in prison at the time serving a sentence
 related to drug and firearm charges that had an offense date
 of May 11, 2011.
- MS. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions for Ms. Bridenstine about Tammy Ayers?

```
JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Commissioner Glazier, I believe,
 1
 2
     does.
 3
                     MR. GLAZIER: Thank you. Two quick
 4
     questions.
 5
                     When she said to you that she saw Whitson
     that -- earlier that day and he looked a little ill, did she
 6
     describe any details of what "a little ill" meant?
 7
 8
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        I would have to check the
 9
     transcript.
10
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                   Okay. Could you do that -- and
     I apologize -- if you can, over lunch or something?
11
12
                     JUDGE LOCK: Mr. Glazier, could I ask you to
     keep your voice up a little bit, please.
13
14
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                   I'm sorry.
                     JUDGE LOCK:
15
                                   Thank you.
16
                     MR. GLAZIER: And my second question is:
17
     I right that she said to you that she saw no transaction or
18
     drugs exchanged that day?
19
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: That is what she told me,
20
     yes.
21
                     MR. GLAZIER: Okay.
                                          Thanks.
22
                     MS. SMITH: Mr. Glazier, you had asked about
23
     Defense Exhibit 1 in the trial transcript, the letter
24
     between Robbie Brown and Mr. Pritchard.
25
                     So it is discussed or testified about on
```

page 373 to 376 of the brief, which is pages 167 to 170 of 1 2 the transcript. That transcript is within the brief. It's 3 identified as Defense Exhibit 1 on page 471 to 473 of the 4 brief, which is pages 264 to 66 of the trial transcript. 5 MR. GLAZIER: Thank you so much. 6 MS. SMITH: You're welcome. 7 I'm going to turn attention now to Russell Q. 8 Wilson. Who is Russell Wilson? He is the biological father of Jonathan Whitson. 9 10 MS. SMITH: Commissioners, Russell Wilson's 11 criminal history was previously provided to you as 12 Handout 31. Did the Commission have an opportunity to speak to 13 Q. 14 Mr. Wilson? 15 Yes. I interviewed him in person on August 30, Α. 2021, and that interview was recorded and transcribed. 16 17 Q. Did Mr. Wilson speak to or see Jonathan Whitson 18 just prior to his death? 19 He did not see him but he spoke to him when he was at the Madison County jail and he received a letter from 20 21him. 22What did Mr. Wilson say about his interactions Q. 23with his son, Jonathan Whitson, prior to his death? 24 Α. He said that Mr. Whitson told him in a letter that

he was going to straighten up, break up with Stephanie, and

get back together with his ex, Christine, who was pregnant at the time with his son.

He said that Mr. Whitson called him from the jail on a Friday to see if he could come stay at his house. He told Mr. Whitson that he was working that weekend and could not discuss it until Monday, and he did not speak to him again after that.

He reported that he knew that Mr. Whitson had a drug problem and snorted pills. He had also heard that Mr. Whitson would do yard work for Robbie Brown and Mr. Pritchard and they would pay Mr. Whitson with pills.

- Q. Did Mr. Wilson know if Jonathan Whitson had any preexisting medical conditions?
- A. He said that he was not aware Mr. Whitson having any issues including any issues with his arm. He did not know that Mr. Whitson went to the hospital before he went to jail. And Mr. Whitson never complained about pain in his arm.
- Q. Where did Mr. Wilson hear the information about Jonathan Whitson being paid in pills?
- A. He heard it from different people and at trial. He said he did not have any proof that this happened. He did not know who John Pritchard or Robbie Brown were prior to the death of his son.
 - Q. Did Mr. Wilson speak about Stephanie Whitson

1	Randolph?
2	A. Yes. He said that Ms. Randolph told him before
3	Mr. Pritchard's trial that Mr. Pritchard used to deliver
4	drugs to her at a restaurant called the Garden Deli in
5	Burnsville. They did not speak to each other, other than
6	that one conversation before trial.
7	MS. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have any
8	questions for Ms. Bridenstine about Russell Wilson?
9	Q. Ms. Bridenstine, I'm going to hand you the
10	interview transcript of Tammy Ayers.
11	If you could turn to the piece there where she's
12	talking about Mr. Whitson being a little ill and answer
13	Commissioner Glazier's question as to whether she provided
14	any additional information about what "a little ill" meant.
15	A. I asked her:
16	"Q. Did he seem ill at all?"
17	She answered:
18	"A. Just a little."
19	She went on to describe that she understood
20	that after talking to Nathan, that Mr. Whitson and his
21	girlfriend had been arguing a little bit.
22	I asked her if she saw any injuries or anything on
23	his body.
24	She answered:
25	"A. I could not tell you. I didn't see only

thing you could see on him most of the time was like his arms and his face."

She described what she thought he was wearing.

She said that she -- you could see his track marks had

healed up some as far as they weren't as viewable. And she

described the track marks meant where he would shoot up.

I asked if she had any -- if Mr. Whitson had any problems there, meaning the track marks, and she said that she did not have any clue.

I asked if she was aware if Jonathan Whitson had any medical conditions at that point. She said that she wasn't aware of any of that.

She also was asked if she talked to him when he was Madison County in the jail and she said no.

And then I asked if she had talked to Mr. Whitson briefly the day before he died. And she said yes because she talked to everyone there at the house briefly, if it wasn't for saying "hi" and "bye."

MR. GLAZIER: Thank you.

- Q. Ms. Bridenstine, who is Nikki Angel?
- A. She is the daughter of Annette Whitson Greene and Nathan Angel, which makes her the half-sister of the victim, Jonathan Whitson.
- Q. Did Miss Angel's name come up with in the law enforcement investigation?

A. No.

MS. SMITH: Commissioners, Nikki Angel's criminal history was previously provided to you as Handout 32.

Her first name is Hazel but she goes by the name Nikki.

- Q. Did the Commission ever speak to Ms. Angel?
- A. Yes. We spoke to her in person outside her residence in Burnsville on July 28, 2021. And this interview was recorded and transcribed.
- Q. What did Nikki Angel report about how her brother was doing before he died?
- A. She said that she heard that he got pneumonia from walking and hitchhiking after he got out of jail, that he was, quote, "real sick," end quote, that he had a fever and that he was coughing a lot. She told us that she heard this from her grandmother, Christine Angel, who told her that Mr. Whitson had a cold and a runny nose.

She also heard that Mr. Whitson was drinking at a bar with his brother CJ before he died and that CJ had brought him home.

- Q. Did Nikki Angel have a correct understanding of the timeline of events?
- A. No. She seemed to be under the impression that
 her brother died the morning after he got out of jail. No

- one else we talked to in this case ever reported that CJ was around or saw his brother prior to his death. Russell
 Wilson, who is CJ's father, told us that CJ did not see

 Mr. Whitson after he got out of jail.
 - Q. Did Nikki Angel know anything about what her brother was doing the night before he died?

A. She reported what she had heard but did not have any personal knowledge about this. She was told that Brian Silvers, Shannon Allison, and Sharon Biggs were with her brother hanging out the night before he died.

She heard that when these people left, her brother was still alive and doing fine. No one she spoke to told her that her brother was doing drugs. She did not speak to anyone who saw him that night until a year later.

- Q. Were you able to talk to Brian Silvers, Shannon Allison, or Sharon Biggs about this case?
- A. Yes. We spoke to Brian Silvers, and Brian Silvers denied seeing Mr. Whitson from the time he got out of jail to the time that he died. We were not able to locate and interview Shannon Allison or Sharon Biggs.
- Q. Did Nikki Angel know anything about her brother's drug habits?
- A. Yes. She said that Mr. Whitson liked to shoot up morphine, oxycodone, hydrocodone, and OxyContin. He also liked to drink beer.

Q. What else did Nikki Angel report about the circumstances surrounding her brother's death?

- A. She and her mother believed that his body had been moved from Nathan Angel's trailer to Christine Angel's house before his death was reported. She did not have any personal knowledge to support this claim. She said she believed this because she understood that her brother would not have been allowed to stay at her grandmother's house and she did not think that he would be sleeping in just his pants.
 - Q. Ms. Bridenstine, based on what Nikki Angel said about the body of Jonathan Whitson being moved from Nathan Angel's trailer to Christine Angel's house, did the Commission follow up on that with any other witnesses?
 - A. Yes. We asked several witnesses and also heard from multiple witnesses reporting to hearing the same story, but no one saw this or had firsthand knowledge. We were unable to determine where the story originated or confirm it. But the first time we heard it other than through correspondence with Mr. Pritchard was during the interview with Nikki Angel.

Part of former Chief Deputy Thomas Farmer's report contains some information he heard from James Whitson, the brother of Annette Whitson Greene. James Whitson told Mr. Farmer that Ms. Greene was concerned her son's body had

```
1
     been moved.
                  He said that this concern was based on
     rumors -- and by "he," I mean James Whitson -- said it was
 2
 3
     based on rumors that they were hearing.
 4
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Commissioners, you've previously
 5
     been provided Handout 25, which we've already talked about.
 6
     That summarizes which witnesses heard a similar story about
     the victim's body being moved.
 7
 8
                     We are not going to testify about every
 9
     witness who heard this story so please continue to refer to
10
     that handout throughout the testimony. And if you have
11
     questions about that specifically, please let us know and
12
     Ms. Bridenstine will be happy to answer them.
13
                     MR. GLAZIER: Your Honor.
14
                     JUDGE LOCK: Yes, sir.
                     MR. GLAZIER: Before you get into that, I
15
     wanted to -- you just went fast on the beginning and I need
16
17
     to get my notes clear.
18
                     When you were talking about what Nikki said
19
     her brother's condition was or that -- what Whitson's
20
     condition was, you said, I think, that she said he suffered
21
     from pneumonia from walking and hiking and he had a fever
22
     and was coughing a lot.
                     What else did you -- you had a couple of
23
24
     other details. Could you go back over that.
25
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        Sure.
```

```
1
                     She told us that he was real sick, that he
 2
     got pneumonia from the walking and hitchhiking, that he was
 3
     coughing a lot. She said that she heard this from her
     grandmother, Christine Angel, who told her that Mr. Whitson
 4
 5
     had a cold and a runny nose.
 6
                     MR. GLAZIER: Thank you.
 7
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Commissioner Newton.
 8
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   Isn't that account corroborated
 9
     by the -- Dr. Hall's examination of the anatomy? He says
10
     that the anatomic diagnosis or the condition of the body was
11
     pulmonary edema and bronchial pneumonia.
12
                     So does that appear to support what she said
     about her brother's condition?
13
14
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: I would leave that to the
     expert doctors who will testify.
15
                     Dr. Hall did note in his autopsy report that
16
17
     Mr. Whitson had I believe acute pneumonia or acute
18
     bronchopneumonia.
19
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   Follow-up?
20
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Sure.
21
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  Were you able to establish with
22
     Nikki Angel -- or did you establish with Nikki Angel, who
23
     appeared to know her brother's drug habits, were you able to
24
     establish with her his dosage with -- of whatever morphine
25
     he was taking prior to his 60-day detox in the jail?
```

MS. BRIDENSTINE: I don't believe that we 1 2 talked about that particular thing during the interview. 3 MS. NEWTON: Do you recall any witnesses 4 saying about how much he was in the habit of using before he 5 detoxed for 60 days? 6 MS. BRIDENSTINE: The deposition with 7 Stephanie Whitson Randolph touches on this a little bit, 8 most specifically about what they were doing the day before 9 he died. There might be some sections that discuss prior 10 drug use. 11 And then in the medical records, which I 12 believe were summarized by Dr. Holstege in his report a 13 little bit, there is a section that discusses what he told 14 medical personnel that he was injecting per day. And my 15 recollection is he said 250 milligrams of OxyContin, but we would have to check -- double-check on that. 16 17 MS. NEWTON: Okay. Thank you. 18 Q. Did Ms. Angel tell you if she ever saw Jonathan 19 Whitson after he got out of jail and before he died? 20 Α. Yes. She said that she did not see him before he 21died but she saw his body after he died. On the morning 22that Jonathan Whitson died, she was with her mother, Annette 23Whitson Greene, when they learned that he had died over a 24 police scanner. 25 She and her mother went to Christine Angel's house sometime after 11:00 a.m. Present in the house were Christine Angel, Nathan Angel, her aunt, her son James Angel, and Christian Angel, who is the son of Nathan Angel and Carrie Hinds. She thought that Carrie Hinds was also there.

Nikki Angel saw Mr. Whitson's body on the couch at Christine Angel's. Her mother was shaking him. She said that there was white stuff coming out of her brother's nose and brownish-reddish stuff coming out of his mouth. Her mother wiped the substance from his nose. She also thought he had a fever because his body was hot to the touch.

- Q. Did she describe anything else?
- A. Yes. She said that she was 100 percent confident she saw that his left arm was swollen and that it was swollen in the bend of the arm. She said she could not stretch out his arm and it looked worse than before. She also said that her brother was just wearing jeans and he did not have on a shirt or socks.
- Q. Ms. Bridenstine, was the information provided by Nikki Angel about what Mr. Whitson was wearing at the time of his death accurate?
- A. Yes. The autopsy report described him as wearing blue jeans and white briefs. His body was transported with a blanket and a pillowcase.
 - Q. Was Nikki Angel aware of any preexisting medical

problems of Jonathan Whitson?

- A. Yes. She said that he had gone to the hospital before he went to jail for treatment related to his left arm. She understood that he had a blood clot in his arm. She also said that he was born with a heart murmur.
- Q. What did Nikki Angel say about how her brother was doing when he was in jail in Madison County?
- A. She said that she spoke to him on the phone. He reported that he was feeling great and had finished going through withdrawal. She asked him about his arm and he told her that it was okay but that it hurt and was bothering him.
- Q. Did she have any other information about what happened to her brother?
- A. She did not have any firsthand knowledge, but she reported learning from her mother that she believed that Aaron Collins, who is the son of Robbie Brown, had played a role in her son's death. Nikki Angel claimed that Aaron Collins called her mother and told her that he had, quote, "shot up," end quote, Mr. Whitson with a bunch of medicine in a parking lot and had given him the, quote, "lethal dose," end quote, through a phone message.

She heard that this had to do with the fact that Mr. Pritchard was dating Robbie Brown and had discovered that Robbie Brown had an affair with Mr. Whitson.

Q. Did the Commission do anything to assess whether

Aaron Collins made that declaration?

A. Annette Whitson Greene reported during her deposition with me on November 29, 2021, that she had received a call from Mr. Pritchard the evening Mr. Whitson got out of jail. She said that Mr. Pritchard told her that Mr. Whitson had been walking and he picked him up.

Mr. Pritchard told her not to worry, that Mr. Whitson was doing well. Ms. Greene said she heard Aaron Collins in the background of this call say something to the effect of, quote, "Don't worry, I got him a good one fixed," end quote. She stated she took this refer to a needle and then later assumed that Aaron Collins was involved in her son's death.

Like Nikki Angel, Nena Angel, who is Nikki's sister -- Nena Angel also heard a rumor about Aaron Collins shooting up the victim and giving him the lethal dose. Nena Angel also reported that Nikki Angel dated Aaron Collins before Mr. Whitson died and then again after he died.

We asked Aaron Collins during an interview with him, and he denied doing it. He said that he did not call Annette Whitson Greene and leave a message. He also said that the last time he saw Jonathan Whitson was two years before his death.

- Q. Did Nikki Angel report hearing about anything else regarding her brother's death?
 - A. She said that she heard a voice mail message that

Stephanie Whitson Randolph left her mother. In this message, Ms. Randolph told Ms. Greene that she bought some blood pressure pills from someone that she mistook for morphine. She then injected the drugs into Mr. Whitson's arm and gave him the lethal dose. Ms. Randolph further said that she should have been the one to go and not Mr. Whitson and that she felt that bad and she should be the one in trouble.

Nikki Angel said that she never spoke to

Ms. Randolph about this. She said that Ms. Randolph was
using drugs when her brother was in jail and was a chronic
drug user.

- Q. Ms. Bridenstine, was this the first time that you had heard anything about blood pressure pills being provided to Jonathan Whitson the night before he died?
- A. No. We had letters that Mr. Pritchard had written in the past in our possession that also discussed it, but Nikki Angel was the first witness to report this to us. She was also the first witness that we interviewed in this case.

Based on what she said, we attempted to follow up with everyone we could about this information. We spoke to her sister, Nena Angel, who told us that she spoke to Stephanie Whitson Randolph on the phone when they were discussing funeral arrangements for her brother.

Ms. Randolph told Nena Angel that she had given

- 1 Mr. Whitson blood pressure pills instead of morphine.
- 2 Ms. Randolph also said that it should have been her instead
- 3 of Mr. Whitson. We were unable to further substantiate the
- 4 story. Stephanie Whitson Randolph denied it under oath at
- 5 her deposition.
 - Q. Let's turn to what Nikki Angel knew about John
- 7 Pritchard.

- 8 What is Nikki Angel's relationship to
- 9 Mr. Pritchard?
- 10 A. She said that she was friends with him and that
- 11 | her mother, Annette Whitson Greene, was also friends with
- 12 | him at the time. Mr. Pritchard told her that he was
- 13 | innocent. She did not have any knowledge that Mr. Pritchard
- 14 provided the drugs to her brother, Jonathan Whitson. She
- 15 | said that she thought that Mr. Pritchard's girlfriend
- 16 | probably sold the drugs to Mr. Whitson.
- 17 She later reported that Mr. Pritchard said he had
- 18 | not even seen Mr. Whitson that day and that she had never
- 19 heard of Mr. Pritchard ever providing drugs to Mr. Whitson.
- Q. Did Nikki Angel ever speak to Mr. Pritchard after
- 21 her brother died?
- 22 A. Yes. She said that she and her mother spoke to
- 23 Mr. Pritchard the day after her brother died. Mr. Pritchard
- 24 asked them if he would be blamed and said that he did not
- 25 | sell any drugs to Jonathan Whitson.

Q. Why did Nikki Angel think that Mr. Pritchard thought he was going to be charged?

- A. She said it was because the family knew he sold pills and they would assume it was him.
- Q. What did Nikki Angel know about John Pritchard providing drugs in general?
- A. She said that her father, Nathan Angel, told her that Jonathan Whitson probably got the morphine from Mr. Pritchard. She assumed that Nathan Angel got drugs from Mr. Pritchard but she did not know if he did or not.
- Q. What else did Nikki Angel say about John Pritchard?
- A. She said she visited Mr. Pritchard in jail and told him that she was on his side. She thought he did not do it. And then he told her that he did not do it.
- Q. Did Nikki Angel tell you anything about her state of mind at the time?
- A. Yes. She reported that she had mental health issues and went through a nervous breakdown before, during, and after her brother died. She described being hospitalized for her mental health issues. At the time, she described herself as shooting up various pain pills. She reported that she was currently on methadone and had been for four or five years.
 - Q. Did she report anything else about her mental

health issues?

A. Yes. She told us that she frequently has nervous breakdowns and has been hospitalized more than once. She reported seeing things. She said that she's been diagnosed with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder but she does not take any medication for it even though she is supposed to.

When asked, she said that she did not think these issues affected her ability to perceive things at the time her brother died.

- Q. Has anyone else reported anything about Nikki Angel's credibility to you?
- A. Yes. I asked Mr. Hockaday about Ms. Angel during his interview. Mr. Hockaday did not remember anything about Nikki Angel in connection with this case. He did not remember her ever being seriously considered as a witness and he said that it would have been a problem to put up a convicted drug user in a trial on controlled substances.

Mr. Hockaday reported that he knew her well through his position as a division of social services attorney. He said that they removed several kids out of her care for drug use and mental health issues. There was a recent situation, within the last two years, in which her youngest child was not going to school and was using drugs. This child was supposed to be with his grandmother, Christine Angel, but he was hiding out with Nikki Angel

```
instead. He said that Nikki Angel was given a chance to
 1
 2
     reunify but she never complied.
 3
                When asked about her reputation for truthfulness,
 4
     Mr. Hockaday said, quote: "If it could be less than zero,
 5
     it would be that," end quote.
 6
                Other family members and friends that we
 7
     interviewed confirmed that Nikki Angel has mental health
 8
     issues and that they knew about her previous
 9
     hospitalizations.
10
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Commissioners, do you have
11
     questions for Ms. Bridenstine about Nikki Angel?
12
                     MS. COLBERT:
                                    Judge.
                     JUDGE LOCK:
13
                                  Yes.
14
                     MS. COLBERT:
                                   Was Nikki Angel -- it hasn't
     been my recollection that Nikki -- that anybody other than
15
16
     Nikki indicated seeing Jonathan's arms and there were no
17
     photos of his arm that Lieutenant Higgins had taken or the
18
     medical examiner.
19
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        Yes.
                                              That's correct.
20
     She's the only witness who has reported what his arm looked
21
     like at the time of his death. Other people have discussed
22
     what his arm looked like either before he died and after he
23
     got out of jail or before he went to jail.
24
                     MS. COLBERT:
                                   Thank you.
                                  Other questions?
25
                     MS. SMITH:
```

1	JUDGE LOCK: Commissioner Welch.
2	MS. WELCH: Did y'all ask Nikki Angel where
3	she got her drugs during this time?
4	MS. BRIDENSTINE: I don't recall if I did.
5	I'd have to check the transcript.
6	MS. COLBERT: And you mentioned that you
7	talked to Nikki about the relationship that what kind of
8	relationship she had with Pritchard.
9	And so you're going to be testifying that
10	that Pritchard indicated they had more of a intimate
11	relationship?
12	MS. BRIDENSTINE: That's correct. And I
13	interviewed Nikki Angel first in this case so that was
14	before I spoke to Mr. Pritchard. So I wasn't aware of
15	what or I should say I was not aware of how he
16	characterized their relationship at the time of the
17	interview with Nikki Angel.
18	Q. Okay.
19	Who is Nena Angel?
20	A. She is the daughter of Annette Whitson Greene and
21	Nathan Angel and sister of Nikki Angel and Jonathan Whitson.
22	MS. SMITH: Commissioners, Nena Angel's
23	criminal history was previously provided to you as
24	Handout 33.
25	Q. Did Nena Angel's name come up in the law

101 enforcement investigation? 1 2 Α. No. Did the Commission ever speak to Nena Angel? 3 Q. 4 We spoke to her in person at her residence 5 in Burnsville on September 29, 2021. And this interview was 6 recorded and transcribed. 7 Did Nena Angel see Jonathan Whitson prior to his Q. death? 8 She said she had not seen him since before he 9 went to jail and she did not go to Christine Angel's house 10 11 the morning that he died. 12 Q. What information did Nena Angel have about the circumstances surrounding Jonathan Whitson's death, if any? 13 14 Α. She did not have any personal knowledge of any of those circumstances because she was not around. 15 16 described what she understood happened that morning when the 17 family discovered his body. She heard rumors about his body 18 being moved. She heard that the people who moved his body 19 were Brian Silvers and Stephanie Whitson Randolph. 20 We previously had interviewed Brian Silvers and he 21 denied this to us.

Q. Was Nena Angel aware of any preexisting medical conditions that Jonathan Whitson may have had?

22

23

24

25

A. She knew that he had gone to the hospital before he went to jail with a blood clot but she never saw his arm.

- What did she know about John Pritchard? 1 Q. 2 Α. She heard that he was a drug counselor and that he 3 sold pills to people. She heard this before her brother 4 She also heard that he sold pills to her brother 5 before he died. She thought that she heard that from Brian 6 Silvers. 7 What did Nena Angel say about her sister, Nikki Q. 8 Angel? 9 She said that Nikki Angel has schizophrenia and 10 nervous breakdowns. She confirmed that Nikki Angel had a 11 breakdown after her brother died. 12 When asked about Nikki's truthfulness, she said that she was truthful to an extent. 13 14 Q. Did you talk to Nena Angel about her father, Nathan Angel? 15 16 She said that her father thought the 17 sheriff's department was trying to pin Mr. Whitson's death 18 on him. She thought her dad believed that Nikki Angel was 19 trying to help Mr. Pritchard over him. She did not know why 20 she thought this, but she understood that Nikki Angel had 21 written some letters to someone. 22Commissioners, do you have any MS. SMITH: questions for Ms. Bridenstine about Nena Angel? 2324 Q. Who is Aaron Collins?
 - Victoria L. Pittman, BA, CVR-CM-M, RCP AOC-Approved Per Diem Reporter

He is the son of Robbie Brown, who was

25

Α.

Mr. Pritchard's girlfriend at the time. And at the time
that Jonathan Whitson died, he was living with
Mr. Pritchard.

MS. SMITH: Commissioners, Aaron Collins'
criminal history was provided to you as Handout 34.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Did the Commission ever speak to Mr. Collins?
- A. Yes. I interviewed him on August 3, 2021, via WebEx. Mr. Collins is in prison. And this interview was recorded and transcribed.
- Q. What information did Mr. Collins provide about this case?
- A. He said that Mr. Pritchard came into the house on the day Mr. Whitson died around lunchtime and said that he was innocent but they were going to charge him.

Wreck," end quote. Mr. Pritchard also told Mr. Collins that he gave Mr. Whitson 10 morphine pills. Mr. Collins said that the same day or the next day, Annette Whitson Greene and Nikki Angel came over to their house. They were trying to help Mr. Pritchard. Nikki Angel told him that nine of the morphine pills were later found under the couch.

(Stenographer clarification.)

- Q. What else did Mr. Collins say about Mr. Pritchard?
- A. He said that Mr. Pritchard was his NA sponsor.
- 25 Mr. Pritchard and his mother would give him painkillers on

occasion, but he did not know Mr. Pritchard to deal drugs to 1 2 others and he never saw it. 3 He also said that the morphine pills that 4 Mr. Pritchard had were round and purple with the number 30 5 marked on them and a square with the letter M on it. 6 Q. What did Mr. Collins say about his mother, Robbie 7 Brown, and whether or not she was a drug dealer? 8 He said that she went to a pain clinic and she was 9 the biggest drug dealer in the county. She sold many 10 things, including pills, cocaine, heroin, and pot. 11 Q. Did you ask about Robbie Brown's statement to 12 police where she said that Mr. Pritchard picked up Aaron Collins at Nathan Angel's trailer? 13 14 Α. Yes. He said that he was not at Nathan Angel's trailer and Mr. Pritchard did not pick him up. He said that 15 16 he was in McDowell County the day before and he got home at 17 3:00 o'clock in the morning. 18 Q. When is the last time that Mr. Collins spoke to 19 Mr. Pritchard? 20 He last spoke to Mr. Pritchard when they were both Α. 21 in the county jail in 2011. 22MS. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions for Ms. Bridenstine about Aaron Collins? 2324 JUDGE EVANS: Yes, I do.

25

Was there a question asked of Mr. Collins

1	about Mr. Pritchard purchasing tobacco for him on March 5?
2	MS. BRIDENSTINE: No, there wasn't.
3	JUDGE EVANS: I believe Mr. Pritchard claimed
4	in his statement that the reason he picked up Jonathan was
5	to take him somewhere but that he had to pick up tobacco for
6	Mr. Collins.
7	MS. BRIDENSTINE: That's correct. That's
8	what Mr. Pritchard said during his interview.
9	Mr. Collins said that he was in McDowell
10	County the day before Mr. Whitson died and he got home at
11	3:00 o'clock in the morning.
12	JUDGE LOCK: Sheriff?
13	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: I just had a question
14	about the people/person that you interviewed.
15	Did any of them say or indicate whether the
16	victim was left-handed or right-handed?
17	MS. BRIDENSTINE: Yes. And I believe it was
18	Nikki Angel who indicated he was left-handed, but we will
19	double-check on that.
20	JUDGE LOCK: Commissioner Glazier.
21	MR. GLAZIER: Thank you, Your Honor.
22	Just to follow on the exhibit chart that you
23	have, am I correct that while he had no personal knowledge,
24	he Collins says he heard Stephanie give that Stephanie
25	gave the victim blood pressure pills that looked like a

1	Percocet; is that correct?
2	MS. BRIDENSTINE: Which handout are you
3	referring to?
4	MR. GLAZIER: The summary chart.
5	MS. BRIDENSTINE: Which number?
6	MR. GLAZIER: 25. Page 2. Bottom of the
7	page.
8	MS. BRIDENSTINE: That's correct.
9	MR. GLAZIER: And then he then said to you
10	that he knew that the victim or he heard that the victim
11	injected those pills.
12	MS. BRIDENSTINE: That's what he heard.
13	MR. GLAZIER: Thanks.
14	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Your Honor, am I allowed
15	to go backwards and ask a question?
16	JUDGE LOCK: Yes, sir.
17	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: I was reading something
18	in one of the reports where it said that the doctor found
19	needle marks on his left arm.
20	MS. BRIDENSTINE: Dr. Hall wrote on his
21	autopsy report with a question mark, something to the effect
22	of needle marks, and the body diagram showed that it was the
23	left arm.
24	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: And his sister or someone
25	said that he was left-handed?

1	MS. BRIDENSTINE: I believe so.
2	MS. SMITH: We can provide you information.
3	MS. BRIDENSTINE: Okay.
4	Yes. It was during our conversation when she
5	was talking about how she understood that he was at the
6	hospital and they were talking about possibly amputating his
7	arm. She said that he didn't want his arm taken because he
8	was a bull rider and he used his left arm. And then she
9	goes on to say he was left-handed so he didn't want his arm
10	taken off.
11	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Thank you.
12	MS. BRIDENSTINE: Mm-hmm.
13	All right. Ms. Newton, going back to your
14	question of whether or not Nikki Angel reported where her
15	drugs came from, there's nothing in the transcript. We
16	didn't go over that.
17	Regarding any descriptions of Jonathan
18	Whitson and how he used drugs and the amounts, if you look
19	at Stephanie Whitson Randolph's deposition, page 32 talks
20	about whether or not drugs were used in jail and withdrawal
21	symptoms.
22	Pages 36 to 38 talk about how he appeared to
23	her after he got out of jail.
24	And then page 15, there is a discussion there
25	regarding Jonathan Whitson's history of drug use.

1 MS. NEWTON: I have a follow-up. 2 MS. SMITH: Handout 41, if that's helpful. 3 MS. NEWTON: May I follow up? 4 JUDGE LOCK: Yes. 5 MS. NEWTON: Stephanie Randolph's statement 6 appears to suggest, from what she said, that the pills were 7 30 milligrams of morphine that he would inject into both of 8 his arms daily prior to custody. And then when he got out 9 after the 60 days, she indicated he got 10 pills on the 5th. 10 They -- he put three in water and injected into both of them 11 those three pills. She left for an hour and a half, took 12 five pills with her apparently so he wouldn't use them when she was gone, then she came back, he did the same procedure 13 14 with the water and three pills and injected into both of 15 them, I guess outside of the truck or something, and she also said they used and used up until 2:00 a.m. 16 17 So there was no indication of exactly the 18 amounts but it's still the 30-milligram pills she definitely 19 said before and she definitely said after, when he was using 20 apparently at least three pills every so many hours, it 21looked like what she was saying. 22So it sounded like, and I guess it's not 23definite, but it sounded like his use after 60 days' 24 sobriety was three pills at a time between two people, and 25 she said she was injected less than he was by him.

```
knows how much, really, they did each. But after 60 days'
 1
 2
     sobriety, that's what apparently he was using the day after
 3
     he got out of jail.
 4
                     Is that consistent with what you understood
 5
     she was saying?
 6
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: I would say to rely on the
 7
     deposition transcript. Some of the points you brought up do
 8
     not -- are not consistent with my recollection; so I would
9
     just say refer to the transcript.
10
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  Okay.
                                         Thank you.
11
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: And I would also point out
12
     that there were differences between what she told us during
     the deposition and what she testified to and told law
13
14
     enforcement.
                                  Okay. I was just going from
15
                     MS. NEWTON:
     your timeline.
16
17
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                       Yes.
                                              Yes.
18
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  Okay. All right.
19
                     So the experts -- we're going to have some
20
     expert testimony related to the possible effect of sobriety
21
     and then back to morphine use?
22
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                       Yes, I do anticipate that.
23
                                  Okay. All right.
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                                      Thank you.
24
          Q.
               Ms. Bridenstine, I apologize if I missed this, but
25
     were you able to locate whether or not there was any
```

1 information about tobacco use? 2 Α. Tobacco use? I didn't -- are you talking about Aaron Collins? 3 4 Q. I apologize. My note is related to Nikki; so 5 hold, please. 6 Α. Okay. 7 Where Nikki Angel got her drugs? Q. 8 Α. She didn't tell us that. 9 Q. Okay. 10 Who is Danny Edwards? Danny Edwards was listed by Mr. Pritchard as a 11 Α. 12 possible witness we should speak to on his behalf. was also a subpoena and a writ in the court file for his 13 14 attendance at Mr. Pritchard's trial but he never testified. 15 Q. Did his name come up in the law enforcement 16 investigation? 17 No, it did not. Α. 18 Q. Okay. 19 And what was his relationship to Nikki Angel? 20 Α. They dated at some point, and I understand that 21they have a child together. 22 MS. SMITH: Commissioners, Danny Edwards' 23criminal history was provided to you as Handout 35. 24 Q. Did you ever speak to Danny Edwards?

I interviewed him

Mr. Edwards is in prison.

25

Α.

Yes.

via WebEx on August 3, 2021. This interview was recorded 1 2 and transcribed. And what did Mr. Edwards say about this case? 3 Q. 4 He said that he was with Jonathan Whitson the day 5 before he died. He claimed that Mr. Whitson got out of jail 6 three weeks prior and he and Mr. Whitson were working 7 together that day. They brought beer to their work and they 8 started drinking at 9:00 a.m. and continued throughout the 9 rest of the day. 10 They did Xanax and methadone together. Around 11 3:30 to 4:00 o'clock, they were at the Riverside gas station. Mr. Pritchard came by in his truck. He said that 12 13 Mr. Whitson went to the truck and spoke to Mr. Pritchard. 14 An hour later, when they were back at Christine Angel's house, Mr. Pritchard came by and Mr. Whitson went 15 16 out to Mr. Pritchard's truck again. 17 Mr. Edwards did not see the transaction but knew 18 it happened because they had morphine and Roxicet pills 19 afterwards, and Mr. Whitson had said he was going to buy 20 pills from Mr. Pritchard. They started using the drugs 21after Mr. Pritchard left. Mr. Edwards left around 7:30 to 228:00 p.m.23One of the people he said was there that day was 24Nikki Angel.

Mr. Edwards heard from others that after

25

- 1 Mr. Whitson finished the pills Mr. Pritchard gave him,
 2 people came over to where he was staying and provided more
 3 pills to him.
- 4 (Stenographer clarification.)
- Q. What did Mr. Edwards know about Mr. Pritchard at the time?
 - A. He said that he knew that a lot of people would buy pills from Mr. Pritchard but that he did not.
- 9 Q. And how did Mr. Edwards come to be a possible witness for Mr. Pritchard?
- A. Mr. Edwards was in jail at the same time as

 Mr. Pritchard was prior to Mr. Pritchard's trial.
- Mr. Edwards did not believe that Mr. Pritchard provided the
- 14 drugs that killed Jonathan Whitson, but Mr. Pritchard wanted
- 15 Mr. Edwards to say that Mr. Pritchard did not sell pills to
- 16 Mr. Whitson that day.

7

8

- Mr. Pritchard told Mr. Edwards that he did not do
 it. Anytime they spoke about it, Mr. Pritchard said he did
 not do it.
- Q. Ms. Bridenstine, were there -- the details that
 Mr. Edwards provided about Jonathan Whitson's whereabouts
 the day before he died consistent with the original
- 23 investigation in this case and the Commission's
- 24 investigation?
- A. No. Mr. Whitson was not out of jail for three

weeks prior to his death. He was only out approximately a day and a half before he died.

No one during the original investigation and no one that the Commission has asked has said that they saw Danny Edwards with Jonathan Whitson or said that Jonathan Whitson was working the day before he died.

The events as Mr. Edwards described them just don't line up with other -- with what other people said was going on that day.

- Q. Has anyone else reported anything about Mr. Edwards' credibility to you?
- A. Yes. I asked Mr. Hockaday about Mr. Edwards during his interview. Mr. Hockaday initially did not know anything about Danny Edwards in connection with this case and did not remember anything about him being subpoenaed for trial.

Later on in the interview, he recalled Mr. Pritchard mentioning Mr. Edwards.

convictions would be. It's not good."

Mr. Hockaday reported that Mr. Edwards has been in and out of prison his whole life. When asked about Mr. Edwards' reputation for truthfulness, he stated, quote: "0.0. If he got on the stand, it would take a good hour to get to the first question after asking him what his

During an interview with former Chief Deputy

1 Farmer, he said that at times Danny Edwards was credible and 2 at other times, he was deceptive. Commissioners, do you have any 3 MS. SMITH: 4 questions for Ms. Bridenstine about Danny Edwards? 5 Q. Who is Brian Silvers? Brian Silvers' name came up during trial as a 6 Α. 7 person who possibly saw Jonathan Whitson outside Christine Angel's residence along with CR, who is Charles Robert 8 Hensley, the day before Jonathan Whitson died. 9 10 Robbie Brown is Brian Silvers' aunt. 11 MS. SMITH: Commissioners, Brian Silvers' 12 criminal history was provided to you as Handout 36. Did the Commission ever speak to Mr. Silvers? 13 Q. 14 Α. Yes. We spoke to him outside his residence on August 4, 2021. This interview was recorded and 15 16 transcribed. 17 What was his relationship to Jonathan Whitson? Q. 18 Α. He said that he was best friends with Jonathan Whitson and Mr. Whitson's stepfather, Nathan Angel. 19 20 Q. Did Brian Silvers know Mr. Pritchard? Yes. He knew him. Mr. Pritchard was dating his 21Α. 22aunt, Robbie Brown. 23Did Brian Silvers know anything about any medical Q. 24 issues that Jonathan Whitson might've been experiencing? 25 Α. He heard from Robbie Brown that Mr. Whitson went

to the hospital before he went to jail with an infected arm.

He was told it might have to be amputated.

- Q. Did Brian Silvers see Jonathan Whitson on March 5, 2011?
- A. He said that he did not see Mr. Whitson after he got out of jail. He did not even know he was out of jail until someone called him to say that he had died.

He went over to Christine Angel's house with Charles Robert Hensley and they watched the hearse leave with the body. He said that Charles Robert Hensley also did not know that Mr. Whitson had gotten out of jail.

- Q. What information did Brian Silvers have about the circumstances surrounding Jonathan Whitson's death?
- A. He said he understood from Nathan Angel that four people had shared two morphine pills, including Mr. Whitson, that day.
 - Q. Did he say anything with respect to whether or not Mr. Whitson was snoring on that day?
- A. Yes. He said Nathan Angel told him that Mr. Whitson was snoring loudly the morning he died.
- Q. Did Brian Silvers know anything about the rumor regarding Jonathan Whitson's body being moved?
- A. Yes. He said that Annette Whitson Greene made up the rumor and said that he and others were there and carried the body from the trailer to the couch. He said it was a,

```
quote, "bald-faced lie," end quote. He did not know why she
 1
 2
     would say that.
                                 Commissioners, do you have any
 3
                     MS. SMITH:
 4
     questions for Ms. Bridenstine about Brian Silvers?
 5
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Commissioner Glazier.
 6
                     MR. GLAZIER: I am looking at back at the
 7
     chart where it says no personal knowledge. Heard
 8
     from Nathan Angel right after the victim died that Stephanie
 9
     Whitson Randolph bought blood pressure pills at Lincoln Park
10
     and injected the victim and then injected and did not feel
11
     right.
12
                     Is that correct?
                                       Yes.
13
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                              There's some
14
     additional information there that he heard that Stephanie
15
     Whitson Randolph thought they were Roxi 30s, and that after
     he injected them, it did not feel right. They looked up the
16
17
     pill on a website and identified them as blood-pressure
18
     medication.
19
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                   Thank you.
20
                     DR. PERRY: Who did he say made up the lie
21
     about the movement of the body?
22
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                       Annette Whitson Greene, who
23
     is Jonathan Whitson's biological mother.
24
                     DR. PERRY: Any reason from him?
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                       He said he didn't know why
25
```

```
1
     she would do that.
 2
                     DR. PERRY: Do we have anyone else commenting
     on that issue of a reason for it?
 3
 4
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        She discussed a little bit
 5
     during her deposition about that so we can pull the pages.
 6
     I don't recall exactly what she said but she talked, I
     believe, a little bit about that. It might be in the chart.
 7
 8
                     Annette Whitson Greene did not have personal
 9
     knowledge about the story that the victim's body was moved
10
     but she had heard several stories about the victim being
11
     moved to Christine Angel's trailer.
                                   Sheriff?
12
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: If I have a medical
13
14
     question, I guess we're going to have medical experts;
15
     right?
16
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        Yes.
17
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          Okay.
18
          Q.
                Who is Carrie Hinds?
19
                She was the ex-girlfriend of Nathan Angel.
          Α.
                                                             They
     have a child together. That's Christian Angel.
20
                     MS. SMITH:
21
                                  Commissioners, you've been
22
     previously been provided the criminal history of Carrie
23
             That is Handout 37.
     Hinds.
24
          Q.
                Did Carrie Hinds' name come up in the original law
     enforcement investigation?
25
```

A. No.

- Q. Did the Commission ever speak to Carrie Hinds?
- A. Yes. I interviewed her by phone on October 13, 2021. And this interview was recorded and transcribed.
 - Q. What did Ms. Hinds have to say about this case?
 - A. She said that she saw Jonathan Whitson for 20 minutes the day before he died. Someone had just dropped him off at Christine Angel's house around 3:00 p.m. He looked good and he did not look sick. He did not appear to be under the influence of anything. He talked to her about staying clean. When she left, Stephanie Whitson Randolph was just arriving.
 - Q. Did she say anything else?
 - A. Yes. That day, she said she could tell that Nathan Angel was out of drugs because he was not, quote, "messed up," end quote. Nathan Angel was staying at Christine Angel's house because he was very sick at that time.

She was not aware of any parties going on that day and she was only there for about an hour and a half, but she heard that Mr. Whitson hung out with Brian Silvers and Stephanie Whitson Randolph.

She also reported that she heard the blood pressure pills rumor about Stephanie Whitson Randolph.

Q. Did Ms. Hinds know about any medical issues that

Jonathan Whitson was having at the time? 1 2 Α. She believed that he had an issue with his arm 3 before he went to jail. She knew that he went to the 4 She thought it had healed up before he went to 5 jail and that it was not a concern when he was in jail. 6 MS. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have any 7 questions for Ms. Bridenstine about Carrie Hinds? 8 Q. Who is Emma Wheeler? 9 Α. She is the sister of Nathan Angel. 10 MS. SMITH: Commissioners, Ms. Wheeler has no 11 criminal history. Did the Commission ever speak to Ms. Wheeler? 12 Q. I spoke to her on the phone on September 30, 13 14 2021. That interview was recorded and transcribed. 15 Q. Did Ms. Wheeler have any information for the Commission about this case? 16 17 She said that she learned about Jonathan Whitson's Α. 18 death on the phone and she went to Christine Angel's house. 19 She saw Mr. Whitson's body covered up with a blanket. 20 was not around when they found syringes in Mr. Whitson's 21 coat pocket. And she had no other information to provide to 22the Commission. MS. SMITH: 23Commissioners, any questions on 24 Emma Wheeler?

Who is Lacey Pritchard?

25

Q.

She is one of the daughters of John Pritchard. 1 Α. 2 MS. SMITH: Commissioners, you've previously 3 been provided the criminal history of Lacey Pritchard, which 4 is Handout 38. 5 Q. Did the Commission speak to Lacey Pritchard? 6 Α. Yes. I interviewed her on the phone on 7 November 30, 2021. And this interview was recorded and transcribed. 8 9 Q. Did she have any information about the case? 10 Α. She did not have any personal knowledge about this 11 case. She was not living in the area at the time that this 12 happened and she did not know the people involved except for Mr. Pritchard's girlfriend, Robbie Brown. 13 14 She reported that she had heard that Jonathan Whitson was partying at Nathan Angel's trailer the night he 15 16 died from someone who came to Mr. Pritchard's trial, but she 17 did not know who she spoke to and this person did not 18 testify. She understood that this person was there to 19 possibly testify on behalf of her father. She thought her 20 name might have been Nikki. 21She said she also spoke to an older gentleman who 22was a friend of Jonathan Whitson's uncle. She understood 23that this man was there to possibly testify for her father.

He told her that Jonathan Whitson was partying with people

24

25

the night before he died.

1 Q. Did Lacey Pritchard ever speak to Robbie Brown about this case? 2 3 No. She said they never spoke about the case. Α. 4 MS. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have any 5 questions about Lacey Pritchard? 6 Q. Who is Annette Whitson Greene? 7 She is the mother of Jonathan Whitson. Α. 8 MS. SMITH: Commissioners, you've previously been provided the criminal history of Annette Whitson 9 10 Greene, which is Handout 39. 11 Q. Did Ms. Greene's name come up in the law 12 enforcement investigation? She went to Christine Angel's house after 13 14 learning that her son had died. Law enforcement tried to 15 interview her in the beginning but she was too upset to speak with them. 16 17 Did the Commission ever speak to Ms. Greene? Q. 18 Α. I deposed her on November 29, 2021. 19 And why did you depose rather than just interview Q. 20 her? 21 We tried to set up multiple times to interview her Α. 22voluntarily in person or over the phone. Ms. Greene 23initially agreed but when we scheduled a time to meet with 24 her in person and appeared at her residence, she told us

that she was not feeling well enough to do an interview.

25

After that, she stopped responding to our attempts to reschedule.

We then set -- we then scheduled a deposition and she was served a subpoena to appear and testify. She did not appear at that deposition on October 21, 2021.

When we tried to get ahold of her, we learned later that day she reported that she had COVID-19 and she had gone to see a doctor. After that, we made several attempts to contact her to try to reschedule but she did not respond.

We filed a motion for a material witness order.

Ms. Greene was subpoenaed for the hearing on the motion
before Judge Lock on November 8, 2021. Ms. Greene did not
appear and we requested a show cause order and an order for
her arrest based on her failure to appear at the deposition
and at the hearing before Judge Lock. Judge Lock issued
both of those orders and set bond at \$10,000 secured.

On November 23rd, 2021, she was arrested and she posted bond later that day. The show cause hearing was held on November 29, 2021. Ms. Greene appeared and agreed to do the deposition so Judge Lock held the show cause order in abeyance until she completed her deposition. After her deposition, he dismissed the show cause order, released her bond, and we did not pursue the material witness order for her.

Q. When did you depose Ms. Greene?

- A. Immediately after her court appearance on November 29, 2021.
- Q. And during that deposition, did you learn anything about mental health issues afflicting Ms. Greene?
- A. Yes. She said that she had a nervous breakdown after her son died and that it affects her memory. She reported spending two weeks at an inpatient treatment facility. She said that she currently takes medication for depression and has been diagnosed with manic depression and bipolar disorder. She is also currently on methadone.
- Q. What, if anything, did Ms. Greene report about how her son, Jonathan Whitson, was doing prior to his incarceration in the Madison County jail from January 6, 2011, to March 4, 2011?
- A. Ms. Greene reported that her son had a blood clot in his arm which she attributed to a needle from -- to an infection from a needle or a piece of cotton getting stuck. She believes that he was told that the heart -- that the clot could travel to his heart and kill him.

It was her understanding that he left the hospital against doctor's orders because they suggested amputating his arm. She got this information from Mr. Whitson and added that he did not want to lose his arm so he never acknowledged the problem after that.

Ms. Greene was not aware of Mr. Whitson taking any 1 2 medication for his arm after he left the hospital and 3 speculated that if he were to have filled a prescription, he would've done that at the hospital pharmacy before he left. 4 5 She also said that at the time he went to jail, he 6 was living with his stepfather, Nathan Angel. 7 Did Ms. Greene say anything else about Jonathan Q. 8 Whitson's health? 9 Α. She described Jonathan Whitson's arm as being 10 swollen to twice its normal size. She believed the arm 11 problems were caused by an abscess. She did not know 12 whether he had asthma but reported that he had a hole in his heart from birth until age 10 which may or may not have 13 14 closed. She stated that she saw him briefly at Nathan 15 16 Angel's house before he went to jail, and other than his arm 17 being swollen, he did not appear to be ill. 18 She did not know if he sought any treatment for 19 his arm after leaving the hospital but before going to jail. 20 Ms. Greene did not know when Mr. Whitson started 21using drugs, but she thought he primarily used morphine. 22She thought that he snorted pills and started injecting 23after he met Stephanie Whitson Randolph. 24She saw him fixing a needle one time and then go

into a room with Ms. Randolph. Ms. Greene believed that

25

- Ms. Randolph provided drugs for her -- from her dad to
 Mr. Whitson and that Mr. Whitson also got drugs from Robbie
 Brown.
 - Q. What did Ms. Greene know about her son's health while he was in jail?

A. She did not know much. She said that she spoke to Mr. Whitson over the phone shortly before he released -- he was released and he seemed in good spirits but complained of pain in his arm. He otherwise did not seem sick.

Her understanding was that he initially went through withdrawal when he got to jail.

- Q. Did Ms. Greene speak to her son after he got out of jail?
 - A. No. She did not see him until he was deceased.

She reported receiving a call from Mr. Pritchard the evening Mr. Whitson got out of jail. She said that Mr. Pritchard told her that Mr. Whitson was walking and he picked him up. Mr. Pritchard told her not to worry, that Mr. Whitson was doing well.

Ms. Greene said she heard Aaron Collins in the background of this call saying something to the effect of, "Don't worry. I got him a good one fixed."

Ms. Greene heard from Nathan Angel, who told her that Mr. Whitson and Ms. Randolph got morphine from Mr. Pritchard the day before Mr. Whitson died. Nathan Angel

also told her that Aaron Collins and Mr. Whitson were together the whole day before Mr. Whitson's death.

She reported that she later heard that

Ms. Randolph was also with him at some point and that they
went to Robbie Brown's.

- Q. Did Ms. Greene see her son after his death?
- A. Yes. She said that she heard the emergency call over her brother's scanner and then went to the Angel residence with Nikki Angel, where her son was deceased on the couch.

Ms. Greene said that when she got to the residence with Nikki Angel, Christine Angel, William Angel, and Nathan Angel were there with some kids, including James.

Ms. Greene's sister, Rebecca Grindstaff, and her fiancé,

Larry McIntosh, also came over. No medical personnel were present.

Ms. Greene described her son as looking like he was asleep on the couch with a cover pulled up. She was very upset and did not pay attention to the way his arms looked. She did say that Nikki Angel told her that Mr. Whitson's arm was swollen and it looked like the blood had settled.

- Q. Did you learn anything else about Nikki Angel from Ms. Greene?
 - A. Ms. Greene said that she was not sure when Nikki

told her about Mr. Whitson's arm being swollen because they both had nervous breakdowns around that time.

She stated that Nikki Angel was on medication for treatment related to diagnoses of schizophrenia, manic-depression, and bipolar disorder. She added, though, that she had no reason to doubt Nikki Angel's description of Mr. Whitson's arm and that Nikki Angel reported seeing the swelling to other family members on the day that her son died.

- Q. Did the Commission learn anything about the relationship between Ms. Greene and Mr. Pritchard?
- A. Yes. She said that they became friends with Mr. Pritchard after meeting him through Nathan Angel but she did not know what kind of a relationship Nathan Angel and Mr. Pritchard had.

She reported that after her son's body was transported from the scene, she, Nathan Angel, and Nikki Angel went to Mr. Pritchard's house where Mr. Pritchard told them that law enforcement had informed him that he might be arrested for the death.

According to Ms. Greene, Aaron Collins was also present.

Ms. Greene did not know if Mr. Pritchard was a drug dealer but heard people on the street say that he gave morphine to Ms. Randolph who gave it to Mr. Whitson.

Ms. Greene said that she had never received any 1 2 drugs from Mr. Pritchard and never knew him to give drugs to 3 anyone. Mr. Pritchard told her that he was a counselor. 4 The last time Ms. Greene recalled speaking with 5 Mr. Pritchard was at her son's funeral. 6 Q. What did Ms. Greene say about the rumors 7 surrounding her son's death? She stated that after her nervous breakdown she 8 9 asked her other children to ask around and try to find out what happened to Jonathan Whitson. She said she's heard 10 11 different stories. She said that Brian Silvers allegedly talked about moving Jonathan Whitson's body. 12 Did Ms. Greene report having any other personal 13 Q. knowledge surrounding the circumstances of her son's death? 14 No. 15 Α. MS. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have any 16 17 questions for Ms. Bridenstine about Annette Whitson Greene? 18 SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: I do. I just want to 19 make sure I heard right. I was reading and trying to 20 multitask. 21 Did you say Ms. Greene said that, at birth, 22her child had a hole in his heart and had some 23complications? 24 MS. BRIDENSTINE: She said that he was born with a hole in his heart, that she knew it was there until 25

```
the time he was 10, but she didn't know if it had closed up
 1
 2
     or not.
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          Were we able to
 3
 4
     substantiate that, that he in fact had complications?
 5
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        We would have to
 6
     double-check the hospital records to see if there were any
     references to his heart. If they're not in those medical
 7
 8
     records, I'm not aware of anything substantiating that other
 9
     than what I've already testified to about other people
10
     having heard he might have an issue with a heart murmur.
11
                     MS. SMITH:
                                 We will pull up those and
12
     double-check to see if there's any additional information
13
     for you.
14
                     Any additional questions about Annette
15
     Whitson Greene?
                Who is Stephanie Whitson Randolph?
16
          Q.
17
          Α.
                She was the girlfriend of Jonathan Whitson and she
18
     was with him the day before he died.
19
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Commissioners, you've previously
20
     been provided the criminal history of Stephanie Whitson
21
     Randolph, which is Handout 40.
22
                     You've also been previously been provided the
23
     digest and full transcript of the Commission's deposition
24
     Stephanie Whitson Randolph, which is Handout 41.
25
                     Ms. Bridenstine is going to testify about
```

that deposition. I would refer you to that full transcript if you have any additional questions for her after her testimony.

- Q. Ms. Bridenstine, did you attempt to speak with Stephanie Whitson Randolph in this case?
- A. Yes. She was originally subpoenaed for deposition on September 22, 2021. Several days prior to the deposition, her husband called and said that Ms. Randolph was not in a good place and wanted to put this behind her. He said that she was not coming to the deposition. I told him if she did not come, we would move to enforce the subpoena.

On the day of the deposition, she did not appear. She exchanged text messages with the Commission about why she did not appear for several hours. Initially, she said that she was running late. When it became apparent that she was not going to show up after several hours, we left and told her via text message that we were going to seek enforcement of the subpoena with the Court.

The Commission moved for a material witness order and scheduled a hearing on the motion with Judge Lock on October 27, 2021. The hearing was rescheduled because Ms. Randolph told me over text message that she was not coming to the hearing and we did not believe that she had been served with a subpoena.

Several days later, we found out that a sheriff's deputy in Yancey County had served her with the subpoena over the telephone.

For the second hearing date on the motion for material witness that was scheduled on November 8, 2021, we hired a private investigator to serve her with a subpoena for the hearing and we asked the Yancey County Sheriff's Office to serve her with the subpoena. Neither the private investigator nor the sheriff's office was able to locate her.

- Q. What happened after the Commission could not locate her?
- A. On November 8, 2021, we moved for a show cause order and an order for her arrest to show cause why she should not be held in criminal contempt for her failure to appear at the deposition. Judge Lock issued both orders and set her bond at \$10,000 secured.

Ms. Randolph was arrested in McDowell County on probation violations not related to this case in late November.

- Q. Was she ever arrested on the show cause order?
- A. Yes. She appeared in court in Yancey County on the probation violations on December 6, 2021, and she was served with Judge Lock's orders then.

A new hearing date was set in Johnston County on

December 8, 2021, and it is our understanding that a probation officer who was present in Yancey County informed the judge there that probation was working on absconder warrants and suggested that a bond increase would likely be needed to secure her presence. That Yancey County judge modified her bond in this case to \$100,000 secured.

- Q. Was Ms. Randolph present at that show cause hearing?
- A. Yes. She appeared on a writ and was transported by the Yancey County Sheriff's Office to Johnston County.

 She agreed to sit for a deposition on the Commission's representation that we would not seek to enforce the show cause order if she did so.

Judge Lock also granted the pending material witness order that was filed in October and reset her bond back to the original \$10,000 secured.

- Q. Did Ms. Randolph sit for the deposition?
- A. Yes. I deposed her immediately following the hearing.
 - Q. And what did you learn from Ms. Randolph's deposition?
- A. Ms. Randolph indicated that she met Jonathan Whitson through a friend about three months before he went to jail in January 2011. She denied dating Mr. Whitson or having any romantic links and suggested that other people

thought they were a couple because they spent a lot of time together.

- Q. Did she say anything about Jonathan Whitson's drug use?
- A. She stated that she and Mr. Whitson were both drug users when they met. She would use pills by snorting or ingesting them. Mr. Whitson would inject pain pills by crushing them in a spoon, adding water, and drawing the solution into a syringe, which he would then inject into his arm. She said that he injected in both arms. According to Ms. Randolph, he did this daily and he always had track marks.

She stated that she never injected any drugs prior to meeting him but he would inject them into her when they were together.

- Q. What did Ms. Randolph say about Mr. Pritchard providing drugs to Jonathan Whitson?
- A. She knew Mr. Whitson to get them from Mr. Pritchard. She described a time before this case when Mr. Pritchard picked her up from work with Mr. Whitson and gave Mr. Whitson some morphine pills in the truck. She said that this happened a month before Mr. Whitson went to jail.

She also knew that Mr. Pritchard had a morphine prescription because she saw a prescription bottle for 30 milligrams morphine with his name on it at Robbie Brown's

house previously and she said that this happened in the fall of 2010.

Q. What did Ms. Randolph say about places in Burnsville where people could get drugs?

A. She knew Riddles store or Riverside to be a place where a person could buy drugs. Mr. Whitson had gone there to meet people in the past, but she doesn't know if it was to buy drugs.

She also said that Lincoln Park was an apartment complex where drugs were sold. She had been there with Mr. Whitson before to buy drugs from a person called Bam-Bam. They bought what were supposed to have been pink Percocets but were allegedly birth control pills instead, and she said that this happened before Mr. Whitson went to jail.

- Q. Did Ms. Randolph say anything else about Bam-Bam?
- A. She said she also heard from someone at Nathan Angel's trailer about someone else buying pills from Bam-Bam that turned out to be blood pressure medication, but she never heard that rumor in relation to her getting blood pressure pills and providing them to Mr. Whitson.

She denied ever buying pills that turned out to be blood pressure pills and denied ever telling anyone that this happened the night before Mr. Whitson died.

She also denied that they used anything other than

morphine on March 5, 2021 -- excuse me -- 2011.

- Q. What did Ms. Randolph say about any medical problems that Jonathan Whitson might've had at the time?
- A. Ms. Randolph was aware of a problem with Mr. Whitson's arm. He was hospitalized for three days and she stayed with him. His arm was swollen and red, much bigger than normal size. He called an ambulance which took him to the hospital.

Ms. Randolph could not recall whether it was a blood clot or an abscess or both. She knew that he was given medication while he was in the hospital but he did not leave with any medications. She left with him and he did not fill any prescriptions.

To Ms. Randolph's knowledge, Mr. Whitson did not use any medication that was prescribed for his arm but began injecting drugs again after his release from the hospital. She recalled doctors at the hospital telling him that if he kept using, he could die of a blood clot. She never heard any discussion about possible amputation.

At the time Mr. Whitson left the hospital, his arm hurt but it was improving; however, Ms. Randolph said that when he got home and took the bandage off, it still looked bad but it was less swollen.

Q. Did Ms. Randolph speak to Jonathan Whitson while he was in jail?

A. She said they spoke on the phone a few times while he was jail. She said he was anxious to get out but seemed in good spirits. He said he -- he did not say he felt bad. She did not know if he used drugs while he was in jail but he did tell a story about someone hiding a bottle of vodka in a drink machine, which he drank.

She does not know if he went through withdrawal at jail. While Mr. Whitson was in jail, Ms. Randolph said she stopped using drugs and she herself did not go through a withdrawal.

- Q. What did Ms. Randolph say about March 5, 2011, the day before Mr. Whitson died?
- A. She said on March 5, Mr. Whitson called
 Ms. Randolph around lunchtime to say he was out of jail, and
 she met him at Nathan Angel's trailer a few hours later.
 Mr. Whitson appeared upbeat and happy. She could not recall
 if he was coughing. She said he had a fever and he said it
 was from something other than using or not using drugs.

She did not see his arms at this time.

Mr. Whitson called Mr. Pritchard to, quote, "see about getting something," end quote. A little while later, she saw Mr. Pritchard's truck drive up and Mr. Pritchard went outside -- I'm sorry, Mr. Whitson went outside.

Ms. Randolph stayed inside Christine Angel's house.

She saw Mr. Whitson either lean his head into the

truck or get into the truck. About 5 to 10 minutes later, Mr. Whitson came back inside and had 10 pills which he said came from Mr. Pritchard, although Ms. Randolph did not witness a transaction and did not know if Mr. Whitson had any money that day. She believes the pills were purple but they might have been blue.

When Mr. Whitson came back, he and Ms. Randolph went into Ms. Whitson's Jeep and injected three pills' worth of morphine. Ms. Whitson left to meet her godmother, Jane Honeycutt, who is now deceased, and Mr. Whitson gave five of the morphine pills to her to hold on to so that he would not use them. Mr. Whitson was acting like he felt really good after using the morphine. He was not coughing.

Ms. Randolph went to Hardee's and a gas station with her godmother and returned to Christine Angel's house about 90 minutes later. She did not know what happened to the other two pills. She did not think Mr. Whitson had them on him, but he might have.

When Ms. Randolph got back to the Angel residence, she returned the five pills to Mr. Whitson. She and Mr. Whitson went into the bathroom and began injecting more of the pills. She thought they started with three pills. Mr. Whitson was injecting into both arms. She did not recall noticing swelling in his arm. She thought they used about the same amount, maybe six injections apiece.

1 She was not sure what time she left but the family 2 was still awake. She felt high but like she did not overdo 3 it. 4 Mr. Whitson never expressed anything about feeling 5 physically bad to her. He cried when she left because she 6 said she did not want to hang out with him anymore because 7 she did not want to do drugs anymore. 8 She believed they used about the same amount when 9 they were together but thought that he also used when she 10 was not around. When she left, Mr. Whitson said he was 11 going to lay down but there was still residue left in the 12 spoon that could have been injected just by adding water. She went home to her parents' house and watched TV 13 with her mother before going to bed. 14 15 Q. What, if anything, did she say about alcohol or other drug use that day? 16 17 She never saw him drink that day and she never Α. 18 heard about him drinking that day. She never heard about 19 him using any other drugs that day. 20 MS. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have 21 questions for Ms. Bridenstine? 22(Witness stands down, 12:05 p.m.) 23Commissioners, Ms. Randolph has MS. SMITH: 24been subpoenaed and ordered to be present here today as a 25 material witness. She is here and available to testify.

Earlier this morning, commission staff was 1 2 made aware that Stephanie Randolph had more information 3 today, while she was awaiting her testimony. 4 reviewing her deposition and reported remembering some more 5 information. 6 And so at this time, I'd ask that 7 Ms. Bridenstine step down. I'd like to call the 8 Commission's staff attorney Brian Ziegler to briefly testify 9 about that. 10 Thereupon, BRIAN ZIEGLER, a witness having been called by the 11 Commission, was sworn and testified as follows: 12 EXAMINATION BY MS. SMITH: (12:06 p.m.)Mr. Ziegler, what did you do when you were made 13 Q. 14 aware that Ms. Randolph had additional information? 15 I went to the room where she's waiting and I spoke Α. with her. And that conversation is recorded. 16 17 Q. Did you take notes? 18 Α. I did. 19 Can you tell us what information Ms. Randolph Q. 20 provided? 21 Α. She was reviewing the transcript of her Yes. 22deposition and said that there were a few questions that she 23was asked that she now remembered additional information 24 about. Specifically, she told me in relation to a 25

question about individuals that she knew to have provided drugs to the victim.

She told me that she now -- in addition to the names that she said during her deposition, she now recalled an individual named Paul Hughes would provide Percocet 15s and possibly other drugs to Jonathan Whitson. And she remembered now that Nathan Angel's brother William, who she knew as "Mote" would share his 200-milligram morphine pills. She described those pills as being green and oblong shaped.

But with some follow-up questions, Ms. Whitson said that she did not see either of those individuals on March 5, 2011.

She also said that in relation to a question about her seeing Mr. Pritchard on March 5, 2011, in her deposition, she mentioned seeing his truck and Mr. Whitson go up to that truck.

This morning she said in addition to that instance, after she had come back from Hardee's, she saw Mr. Pritchard drive his truck back to the Angel residence and drop off Nikki Angel. She said that that lasted a short time and she was not aware of Jonathan Whitson having any interaction with Mr. Pritchard at that point in time.

And she also said that she recalled, during the time that Jonathan Whitson was in jail, the only drugs she did were -- I believe she said snorting, she snorted two

```
15-milligram Percocet pills and otherwise was clean during
 1
 2
     the time Mr. Whitson was in jail. And she expressed that
 3
     she thought that any diminished tolerance that he had, she
 4
     also would've had based on her drug use during that time.
 5
                     MS. SMITH:
                                 Any follow-up questions for
 6
     Mr. Ziegler?
 7
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Commissioner Glazier.
 8
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                    I'm looking at -- several
 9
     questions.
10
                     One, did she indicate what caused this
11
     epiphany of new information?
12
                     MR. ZIEGLER:
                                    She didn't specifically say but
13
     she was reading through her testimony and the transcript of
14
     her deposition.
                     MR. GLAZIER: The second question is:
15
                                                             On the
     conviction chart, it shows, in 2019, a methamphetamine
16
17
     conviction as well as a child abuse conviction which I'm
18
     assuming was tied in.
19
                     Do we know -- quite frankly, do we know if
     she is clean today?
20
21
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    She described herself as sober
22
     today which she said helped her recall things now, but
23
     that's all I can relay is that's what she said today.
24
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                    Okay.
                                           Thank you.
                                  Any additional questions for
25
                     MS. SMITH:
```

1	Mr. Ziegler?
2	Okay. I would ask that he step down.
3	(Witness stands down, 12:10 p.m.)
4	MS. SMITH: Judge Lock, now would be the
5	appropriate time for a lunch recess.
6	During that recess, I would request that
7	commissioners consider whether they would like to hear from
8	Ms. Randolph. And if so, we'll call her right after lunch.
9	She is here and available to you if you've got follow-up
10	questions for her after her deposition and these additional
11	follow-up questions this morning.
12	MR. BOSWELL: Can I ask a question? Is she
13	here is she in from jail or is she here from her home?
14	MS. SMITH: She made bond and has come on her
15	own.
16	MR. BOSWELL: Okay.
17	MR. GLAZIER: And, I apologize, what's the
18	charges that she is pending on? They're not the ones
19	that are showing on the record are from 2019. So I
20	apologize.
21	JUDGE LOCK: Probation violations.
22	MS. SMITH: The probation violations.
23	MR. GLAZIER: Okay. Thank you.
24	JUDGE LOCK: 1:00 o'clock? That enough time
25	for everybody?

```
(Recess taken, 12:10 to 1:08 p.m.)
 1
 2
                     JUDGE LOCK: All right. Let's come back to
 3
     order.
 4
                     Ms. Smith, I have surveyed the commissioners
 5
     and the alternates, and it does appear that there are a
 6
     couple of folks who would like to hear from Ms. Randolph.
 7
     So I'm going to ask in just a minute that she be brought in.
 8
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Okay.
 9
                     JUDGE LOCK: I would ask the commissioners,
10
     though, that if you would, just cut to the chase, so to
11
     speak, and go directly to the issues about which you would
12
     like to question her.
                     I think first, though, there was some other
13
14
     issue you wanted to discuss with us. There was a matter you
15
     wanted to cover; is that right?
                     MS. SMITH: Yes.
                                       While the staff gets
16
     Ms. Randolph from upstairs, I will recall -- or wherever she
17
18
     is in the building -- I will recall Ms. Bridenstine.
19
                     JUDGE LOCK: All right. She remains under
20
     oath, of course.
21
     Thereupon, JULIE BRIDENSTINE, a witness having been recalled by
22
     the Commission, testified as follows:
     EXAMINATION BY MS. SMITH:
23
                                (1:10 p.m.)
24
          Q.
               Ms. Bridenstine, over the lunch recess, was
25
     commission staff able to pull together some information from
```

the medical records of the victim, Jonathan Whitson? 1 2 Α. Yes. From the Mission Hospital records that we received --3 4 MS. SMITH: Commissioners -- I'm sorry. 5 Commissioners, this is going to be Handout 51. You should 6 have all been provided that just within the last few 7 minutes. 8 Α. And what Handout 51 is, it talks about three of the questions that were brought up concerning Mr. Whitson: 9 10 His reported drug issues or what he reported about his use 11 of drugs, anything related to his heart, and then the third 12 area that's addressed in this handout are any respiratory concerns there might have been about Mr. Whitson during his 13 14 hospital visits. They're summarized in a chart and then 15 attached are the relevant records that address what's 16 discussed in the chart. 17 Commissioners, we will give you MS. SMITH: 18 time to look at that. And then over -- you know, you can look at it over the next break. And then if you have 19 20 additional questions, we can address those this afternoon. 21If you have any immediately, please feel free 22to ask them but we have attached the corresponding records 23there and likely that is as much as we can speak to what is 24 there. JUDGE LOCK: Yes, Commissioner Glazier. 25

1	MR. GLAZIER: Just a quick question.
2	Do we know whether or not, after
3	December 30 29, the victim sought any medical care
4	provider that we could get records from?
5	MS. BRIDENSTINE: I'm not aware of any, and
6	the witnesses that we talked to who were possibly aware of
7	how he was doing in that short time period said that he was
8	not taking medication.
9	Stephanie Whitson Randolph said she was with
10	him at least some of the time.
11	MR. GLAZIER: A follow-up?
12	JUDGE LOCK: Sure.
13	MR. GLAZIER: And the sheriff may be heading
14	there: Do we have any records prior to 2010 from his any
15	medical care provider on anything from birth until 2010?
16	THE WITNESS: No.
17	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: That's where I was going
18	with the original question. And we kept hearing there were
19	some heart issues at birth. I was wondering, do we have any
20	records prior to what I see here or any records pertaining
21	to that along, from birth, I guess, for different things?
22	MS. BRIDENSTINE: We do not.
23	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Thank you.
24	JUDGE LOCK: Commissioner Britt.
25	MR. BRITT: Would that include pharmacy

```
1
     records from the hospital?
 2
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: We requested all medical
 3
     records, and I don't recall if pharmacy records were part of
 4
     it.
 5
                     MR. BRITT:
                                 So, for example, December 27, we
 6
     don't know if he was prescribed any type of antibiotic.
 7
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: There is some indication
 8
     that he was -- they admitted him to give him IV antibiotics
 9
     during his stay, and then we know upon discharge he was told
     or prescribed something -- I can't remember the name of the
10
11
     antibiotic. He was told to follow up with care, I think, in
12
     a couple of weeks. And there is no indication that he
     filled it.
13
                                 Commissioners, the medical
14
                     MS. SMITH:
     records that we do have from the limited time period are 377
15
16
             If you-all would like those, we are more than happy
     pages.
17
     to make those available to you.
18
                     MR. GLAZIER: Judge, I would like a copy of
19
     those records.
20
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  All right.
21
                     MS. SMITH: Your Honor, we will make those
22
     Handout 52 and we'll get those to the commissioners the next
23
     opportunity that we have, probably for review over the
24
     overnight recess. Those are medical records of the victim.
     He is deceased, but I would just ask you to consider whether
25
```

```
Handout 51, this chart start with some limited medical
 1
 2
     records, and the full medical records should be sealed from
 3
     the public records should that -- the case move forward and
 4
     have a public record.
 5
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  All right. I will certainly be
 6
     happy to order that at the conclusion of the hearing, yes.
 7
                     MS. SMITH:
                                 Okay.
                                         Thank you.
 8
                     Any additional questions for Ms. Bridenstine
 9
     at this time?
10
                     MS. COLBERT:
                                    Judge, may I?
11
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Yes, Commissioner.
12
                     MS. COLBERT: I have -- not related to this,
13
     but I have a question in regard to what Mr. Collins said and
14
     what Ms. Whitson Randolph said in regard to what the pills
     looked like, right, because I can't remember if both said
15
     the pills were round and purple with a 30 on them or one of
16
17
     them said that the pill was square.
18
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: I don't recall exactly what
19
     Mr. Collins said and I can look that up, but I know he said
20
     there was a 30 on them. I believe said they were purple.
21
                     She said during her deposition that the
22
     pills, she thought, were purple, round, with a 30.
23
                     Mr. Collins said the pills had an M on them
24
     with a square around it. So that was where the square came
25
     from.
```

1	MS. COLBERT: Okay. Thank you.
2	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Can I ask questions that
3	may be irrelevant, but it just keeps burning me.
4	Is there no way or no records to validate or
5	verify the hole in the heart or any heart condition that he
6	had at birth? Those records don't exist or
7	MS. SMITH: So we will make an effort to see
8	if we can locate medical records. We have limited
9	information about Mr. Whitson's background, and so we're
10	working on that now to see if we can locate anything related
11	to that and we can just report back later in the hearing as
12	to whether we can find any information.
13	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: I appreciate that.
14	MS. SMITH: Should we determine that there
15	may be records, we may need a judicial subpoena from Judge
16	Lock.
17	JUDGE LOCK: Sure.
18	MS. SMITH: Okay.
19	JUDGE LOCK: Or you might look again,
20	Sheriff, at the autopsy report to see if there is any
21	reference to it during the examination.
22	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Thank you, Judge.
23	MS. SMITH: Any additional questions before
24	Ms. Bridenstine steps down?
25	All right. She'll be back. Don't worry.

1	(Witness stands down, 1:16 p.m.)
2	MS. SMITH: At this time, the Commission will
3	call Stephanie Whitson Randolph.
4	I'm not sure if staff has been able to get
5	her.
6	MR. ZIEGLER: She's on her way.
7	JUDGE LOCK: All right. Ms. Randolph, good
8	afternoon.
9	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
10	JUDGE LOCK: I'm going to ask that you either
11	be sworn or affirmed, whichever you prefer, and if you would
12	please stand when you take the oath or affirmation.
13	Thereupon, STEPHANIE WHITSON RANDOLPH, a witness having been
14	called by the Commission, was sworn and testified as follows:
15	JUDGE LOCK: You may recall I met you about
16	two weeks ago.
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, you did.
18	JUDGE LOCK: All right. Thank you for being
19	here.
20	We have heard a summary of your deposition
21	testimony, but a couple of the commissioners did have some
22	questions, just follow-up questions they wanted to ask you.
23	All right?
24	THE WITNESS: Okay.
25	JUDGE LOCK: Do staff attorneys have any

1	questions first?
2	MS. SMITH: We do not.
3	JUDGE LOCK: All right.
4	Commissioner Newton.
5	MS. NEWTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	Good afternoon, Ms. Randolph. I have some
7	questions related to your drug use with Mr. Whitson.
8	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
9	MS. NEWTON: My questions will specifically
10	refer to the amount of OxyContin or any other narcotics that
11	you customarily used.
12	So let's go to just before Mr. Whitson went
13	into jail in 2011.
14	Do you recall that he served a 60-day
15	sentence in 2011?
16	THE WITNESS: I don't remember how long it
17	was but that sounds about right, to the best of my
18	knowledge.
19	MS. NEWTON: So a 60-day sentence, if he got
20	out 4 March, would be January, February would've gotten
21	out 4 March so sometime in the beginning of January of
22	2011.
23	Do you recall that?
24	THE WITNESS: I do believe it was the 31st
25	that it started.

1	MS. NEWTON: The 31st?
2	THE WITNESS: I believe so.
3	MS. NEWTON: Of December?
4	THE WITNESS: Of January.
5	MS. NEWTON: Of January.
6	THE WITNESS: Of January. I believe so.
7	MS. NEWTON: Before he went into custody,
8	were you using by "using," I mean injecting OxyContin
9	or any other narcotics into your body along with Mr. Whitson
10	present?
11	THE WITNESS: When we would use drug use?
12	MS. NEWTON: Yes.
13	THE WITNESS: We would do it together. I
14	mean, he would inject me. I never done it to myself but
15	what I'm sorry. Do you
16	MS. NEWTON: That's okay. I'll be clearer.
17	Before he went into jail, do you recall the
18	frequency of shooting up with Mr. Whitson on any given day?
19	Like how many times a day would you shoot up together?
20	THE WITNESS: I mean, every day that I was
21	there. But he he would more than I knew about, I mean,
22	because he he done more than I did. So I knew he was
23	doing it a lot more than I did.
24	MS. NEWTON: I'm interested in what you
25	observed.

1	THE WITNESS: Do what?
2	MS. NEWTON: I'm interested in what you were
3	present for.
4	THE WITNESS: At least three times more
5	than I would go one time, and he would go three times, at
6	least.
7	MS. NEWTON: And by going one time and three
8	times, he would shoot you up once and himself up three
9	times? Or three times in a day?
10	THE WITNESS: In my presence, I always seen
11	him do it three times in a day.
12	MS. NEWTON: Okay.
13	THE WITNESS: Not back it wasn't
14	back-to-back.
15	MS. NEWTON: I understand. Okay.
16	So for three times a day, you he would
17	inject you and himself with whatever the narcotic was;
18	correct?
19	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. I just would have
20	one shot.
21	MS. NEWTON: Okay. In the day?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
23	MS. NEWTON: Okay. And he would do it three
24	times in a day?
25	THE WITNESS: Yes.

```
So twice, you didn't
 1
                     MS. NEWTON:
 2
     participate; correct?
 3
                     THE WITNESS: I didn't see him when he done
 4
     the -- I mean, he was in my presence but not right in front
 5
     of me, you know.
 6
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  Yes, ma'am.
 7
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   I'm sorry.
 8
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   So when he injected you, do you
9
     remember how many pills he watered down to inject?
10
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   I guess, depending on what he
11
     had -- like, most of the time, it was the morphine 30s
12
     because he pretty much got those for free.
13
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   Okay.
14
                     THE WITNESS: And he would -- I mean, he
     would do at least three at a time, but no more than four at
15
16
     a time.
17
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   Okay.
                                          So three, maybe four of
18
     30 milligrams -- do you recall the drug he was using?
19
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    It was morphine.
20
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   Okay. Was it a brand name of
21
     any certain kind that you knew of?
22
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    That's all I know about.
23
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  Like OxyContin? Does that sound
24
     familiar?
                     THE WITNESS: Yes, but this was morphine.
25
```

```
think it's two separate things, two separate brands.
 1
 2
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   Okay. And so did you -- so
 3
     after he injected himself with what sounds like, at least
 4
     twice a day, 90 milligrams -- is that fair to say?
 5
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Yes, ma'am.
 6
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   Three pills, injected twice, and
 7
     then he shared with you one time.
 8
                     How many injections would you get the one
9
     time you participated?
10
                     Like, he would inject you, he'd inject
11
     himself -- is that all of the three pills or would there be
12
     multiple injections?
                     THE WITNESS: There was more left, if that's
13
14
     what you're asking.
                                   Right.
15
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                    I don't know how to clarify the
16
                     THE WITNESS:
17
     dosage it would've been because I was new to what he knew.
18
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   It's fair to say you injected
19
     some of it, but he injected himself with more of the three
20
     pills in that one time a day?
21
                     THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
22
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                          So we've got, by my
                                   Okay.
23
     count, 270 milligrams in the course of the day, but you were
24
     injected with some of that, one time a day; correct?
25
                                    Yes, ma'am.
                     THE WITNESS:
```

```
Okay. Now, then he went to
 1
                     MS. NEWTON:
 2
     jail.
 3
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Correct.
 4
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  And you understand that was a
 5
     60-day sentence? If you know?
 6
                     THE WITNESS: That sounds right.
 7
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   Okay.
                                          During that time, did you
 8
     use any -- any narcotics in any way, snorting it or
     swallowing it or injecting it?
9
10
                     THE WITNESS: I had two Percocet
11
     15 milligrams and I snorted it, and that's all I had.
12
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  Okay.
                                          Now, when Mr. Whitson
     left jail on 4 March of 2011, did you see him immediately
13
14
     after he got out of jail?
                     THE WITNESS:
                                  No, ma'am.
15
                                  How long after he got out of
16
                     MS. NEWTON:
17
     jail did you actually see him?
18
                     THE WITNESS: I don't really know when he got
19
     released --
20
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   Okay.
21
                     THE WITNESS: -- so ...
22
                                   So tell us when you saw him
                     MS. NEWTON:
23
     first, if you can recall.
24
                     THE WITNESS: I seen him on the 5th at like
     3:15.
25
```

1	MS. NEWTON: Is that the afternoon or the
2	morning?
3	THE WITNESS: The afternoon.
4	MS. NEWTON: Okay. And in your observation
5	or your knowledge, your personal knowledge, had he been
6	using any drugs before you saw him?
7	THE WITNESS: I didn't he seemed normal.
8	And to me, normal was he was always under the influence.
9	But he didn't I couldn't tell any difference. He didn't
10	say anything about he'd used anything so I don't know.
11	MS. NEWTON: So you didn't know whether he
12	had drugs on board, but he looked like he normally does when
13	he's high?
14	Is that what you're saying?
15	THE WITNESS: I guess so. He looked normal.
16	MS. NEWTON: Okay. How many if you can
17	answer this, how many years had you been using drugs with
18	him or how long had you used?
19	THE WITNESS: I had known Jonathan for a
20	period of three months, and that was my stint.
21	MS. NEWTON: I see. Okay.
22	So when did you when did you first use
23	drugs with him after he got out of jail?
24	THE WITNESS: On the 5th at
25	MS. NEWTON: Okay.

1	THE WITNESS: I mean, I got down to
2	Nathan's Angel's about 3:00, a little after 3:00, maybe
3	3:15 or so.
4	MS. NEWTON: And tell the Commission what you
5	observed him putting in the needles to inject.
6	THE WITNESS: He it was he had morphine
7	30s. They were purple. That's what he had that day.
8	MS. NEWTON: Okay. And how many the first
9	time you used together on the 5th, how many pills did he put
10	in the syringe?
11	THE WITNESS: He had he put three pills in
12	the spoon and drawed mixed it up and drawed it up that
13	way.
14	MS. NEWTON: Okay. All right.
15	So was that the same amount that you were
16	accustomed to using when you were using with him before he
17	went to jail?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
19	MS. NEWTON: Okay. How many times did you
20	use with him before you understood he had passed away?
21	THE WITNESS: Say that again? I'm sorry.
22	MS. NEWTON: Between the 5th and when you
23	knew he had died, how many times were you present when he
24	was injecting drugs?
25	THE WITNESS: I do believe I left I can't

```
remember if it was 10:00 that night, like actually left for
 1
 2
     home, or 2:00 in the morning. I'm pretty sure it was
 3
     10:00 o'clock, but I'm uncertain of which one it was.
 4
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   If you had said that you had
 5
     used and used, what did that indicate to you that you were
 6
     doing with him that night?
 7
                     THE WITNESS: We just -- we hung out in his
 8
     granny's bathroom and just talked. And he would just use
     and use, but I -- I used with him, but -- I mean, I don't
 9
10
     know how many times I -- or he had injected me.
11
     wasn't -- I don't know how many times.
12
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  You don't recall how many times
     you were injected?
13
14
                     THE WITNESS: No.
                                         I would say --
                                  Do you remember --
15
                     MS. NEWTON:
                     THE WITNESS: -- probably safely say at least
16
17
     six through the time that we was there in the bathroom.
18
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  You were injected six times?
19
                                   I would say -- I would say so.
                     THE WITNESS:
20
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   Thank you.
21
                     THE WITNESS: I would say so, no more than
22
     that.
23
                                  How many times was he injected?
                     MS. NEWTON:
24
     Did you see him inject?
25
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Yes.
                                          But I have no idea how
```

1	many times he had
2	MS. NEWTON: So you say he put three pills in
3	a spoon and then mixed it up so that he could pull it up in
4	the syringe; right?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
6	MS. NEWTON: How many times did you watch him
7	go through that process that you recall that night?
8	THE WITNESS: I don't honestly know. I
9	didn't I mean, we were kinda he didn't do them
10	back-to-back. We were talking and just
11	obviously, I was high.
12	MS. NEWTON: Do you know how many pills you
13	started out with?
14	THE WITNESS: 10. I mean, he had
15	MS. NEWTON: Do you know how many pills were
16	left when you finished?
17	THE WITNESS: I don't think any, but I don't
18	know.
19	MS. NEWTON: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
21	MS. NEWTON: I have nothing further.
22	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: I've got two quick
23	questions.
24	JUDGE LOCK: Yes, sir, Sheriff.
25	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: So you say when you-all

1	used the drugs, he always injected you?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
3	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Have you ever injected
4	him?
5	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
6	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Do you know whether he
7	was left-handed or right-handed?
8	THE WITNESS: I don't I want to say maybe
9	right, but I don't I don't know for sure.
10	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: When you left that night,
11	you said 10:00 or 2:00, was he awake, asleep, or what was he
12	doing when you left?
13	THE WITNESS: He was awake, talking.
14	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: All right. Thank you.
15	JUDGE LOCK: Commissioner Glazier?
16	MR. GLAZIER: Just a follow-up.
17	When you saw him that afternoon and evening
18	and you were injecting, how would you describe the condition
19	of his arm?
20	THE WITNESS: He it seemed fine. He
21	one of his hands, he had been in fight when he was in jail
22	where he hit a guy. Other than that his knuckles was
23	swollen, but I don't remember which one it was. But his
24	everything else was fine, seemed to be.
25	MR. GLAZIER: Did you see either arm other

1	than the knuckles swollen up?
2	THE WITNESS: Not not to the best of my
3	knowledge that I remember.
4	MR. GLAZIER: Did you see him where he was
5	either wearing a short-sleeve shirt or no shirt?
6	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
7	MR. GLAZIER: Thank you.
8	JUDGE LOCK: Yes, sir, Commissioner Bass.
9	MR. BASS: There was a comment that that
10	night, whether it was 10:00 p.m. or 2:00 a.m., you described
11	him as being awake, but there was a suggestion that he was
12	crying.
13	Do you know what he was crying about?
14	THE WITNESS: He didn't want me to leave. I
15	pretty much had told him I didn't want to hang out anymore,
16	I needed to go home because my mom and dad needed me home
17	or wanted me home, and he didn't want me to leave.
18	MR. BASS: Thank you.
19	MR. GLAZIER: Sorry, at least one other
20	question.
21	JUDGE LOCK: Sure.
22	MR. GLAZIER: Knowing him for at least the
23	period of time you did, would it have been possible or even
24	likely that he did more drugs one way or another after you
25	left when he was upset and still crying because you left?

1	THE WITNESS: No, I don't think so. He
2	wasn't, like, upset and mad or anything, he just didn't want
3	me I'm sorry?
4	MR. GLAZIER: Didn't want you to go?
5	THE WITNESS: Yeah. He wanted me to stay and
6	my parents needed me home.
7	MR. GLAZIER: Thank you.
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	JUDGE LOCK: All right. Anything else from
10	anybody?
11	Yes, ma'am, Ms. Smith.
12	MS. SMITH: I do have one question.
13	Ms. Randolph, we have some medical records
14	for Mr. Whitson from Mission Hospital in Asheville.
15	Are you ever aware of him getting care
16	anywhere other than Mission?
17	THE WITNESS: Not that I know of. I mean,
18	that was the only time I knew that he'd ever been to get
19	care.
20	MS. SMITH: Okay. Thank you.
21	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
22	JUDGE LOCK: Anybody else?
23	MR. BRITT: Ms. Randolph, let me ask you a
24	question: Were you using prior to your relationship with
25	him?

1	THE WITNESS: "Using"? Like
2	MR. BRITT: Were you snorting? Injecting?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes. I had been, yes. No, not
4	injecting, no, sir. I never injected. He always done that,
5	but I didn't know that until he done it the first time.
6	MR. BRITT: And what size syringe were you
7	using?
8	THE WITNESS: I don't remember because he
9	handled that stuff. I didn't.
10	MR. BRITT: Was it as big as, say, this
11	highlighter that I'm holding in terms of its width? Was it
12	smaller? Narrow?
13	THE WITNESS: It was smaller, like an
14	insulin I don't know if
15	MR. BRITT: Like an insulin syringe?
16	THE WITNESS: I don't know if they come in
17	different sizes, but it was like that.
18	MR. BRITT: And so when you said he would
19	inject you, you would only get a part of what was in that
20	syringe?
21	THE WITNESS: What do you
22	MR. BRITT: You said he mixed three pills in
23	a spoon.
24	THE WITNESS: Right.
25	MR. BRITT: He put that mixture into a

1	syringe?
2	THE WITNESS: Yeah. He drew up a full a
3	full syringe.
4	MR. BRITT: And so you only got a portion of
5	that syringe or did you get the whole syringe?
6	THE WITNESS: I got the whole syringe.
7	MR. BRITT: Okay. And so every time you used
8	with him, he injected you and he mixed another batch and
9	injected himself?
10	THE WITNESS: No, sir. He didn't mix another
11	batch always.
12	MR. BRITT: And to your knowledge, how long
13	had he been using? How long had he been injecting?
14	THE WITNESS: He said since he got out of
15	high school but I don't know when he graduated or whatnot.
16	MR. BRITT: Did he ever complain about his
17	arm?
18	THE WITNESS: He did before he went to the
19	hospital.
20	MR. BRITT: Okay. What were his complaints?
21	THE WITNESS: That he couldn't it was sore
22	and really painful. He couldn't lift to about like this
23	far, is what he had said. But other than that, that's all
24	that I remember.
25	MR. BRITT: And was that the arm he injected

1	himself in?
2	THE WITNESS: He did both arms, sir.
3	MR. BRITT: He did both arms.
4	Did he have marks up and down his arm or just
5	primarily in the bend?
6	THE WITNESS: From what I remember, primarily
7	in the bend. He would use on, like, this side too, like on
8	the bottom occasionally.
9	MR. BRITT: You were referring to your
10	forearm?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
12	MR. BRITT: And you said he got out of jail.
13	Do you know how long he had been out of jail
14	when you saw him?
15	THE WITNESS: I don't know how long he had
16	been out.
17	MR. BRITT: And when you first saw him, did I
18	understand you to say that you he looked like he was high?
19	Was that
20	THE WITNESS: I mean, he didn't really look
21	high. I mean, he just looked normal. And I guess normal
22	was I don't know. He was happy and I don't know.
23	MR. BRITT: And was that his reaction when he
24	had been shooting up?
25	THE WITNESS: Yeah.

```
1
                     MR. BRITT:
                                  All right. Thank you.
                                                          I don't
 2
     have the other questions.
                                   Commissioner?
 3
                     JUDGE LOCK:
 4
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   I would just like to follow up
 5
     with you on two things.
 6
                     When Mr. Britt asked you about drawing up the
 7
     three pills in the needle, just to clarify, he would not put
 8
     all three pills of the substance in one draw, would he? Or
9
     would he put all of it in?
10
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   From my understanding, when you
11
     mix all of it together, it's like doing -- you would have
12
     30 -- like, if it was three pills, if it was 30 milligrams
13
     apiece, it was all of same amount, dosage.
14
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  So he would draw a third of it
     up, shoot you with it, draw a third of it -- the rest of it
15
16
     and shoot himself up with the rest of it. Is that how he
17
     did it?
18
                     We're trying to figure out if, when he gave
     you a shot, you got three pills or just a portion of three
19
20
     pills.
21
                     THE WITNESS: I guess three pills.
22
                                   So you told Mr. Britt that he
                     MS. NEWTON:
     would not mix up another batch for himself.
23
24
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    I mean, sometimes he would, but
     he didn't do it every time. You know what I mean?
25
                                                         Another
```

```
batch, not of three more; sometimes he would just add one.
 1
 2
     Most of the time, it was no more than two.
 3
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   Okay.
                                          So did you understand
 4
     when he put the needle in your arm and plunged it that you
 5
     were getting three pills or less than three pills?
 6
                     THE WITNESS: The same amount that he would
 7
                  It would be three pills, from my understanding.
     be getting.
 8
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   So you got three --
 9
                     THE WITNESS: From my understanding, not a
10
     total as six.
                    Does that make sense?
11
                     MS. NEWTON: Yes.
                                         That's what we're trying
12
     to figure out.
13
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Okay.
14
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   So when he drew up the three
     pills, when he mixed up the one mixture of three pills --
15
                     THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
16
                                   -- would he put some of the
17
                     MS. NEWTON:
18
     mixture in a syringe and shoot you up and then use the rest
     of it for himself, making it only three pills?
19
20
                     THE WITNESS: He would draw a little bit up
     of one syringe and then draw a little bit up on the other
21
22
     one and then -- until the syringe was full on each.
                     Does that answer your question?
23
24
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   Yes.
25
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Okay.
```

1	MS. NEWTON: Thank you very much.
2	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
3	MS. NEWTON: Now, the other question I had,
4	you corrected me on the OxyContin, but we understood that he
5	had reported to the hospital that he was IV injecting
6	OxyContin.
7	So checking behind that, morphine is stronger
8	than OxyContin. Is that your understanding of that drug?
9	THE WITNESS: I don't know which one is
10	stronger and I don't know what he would've said.
11	MS. NEWTON: Well, do you know where he got
12	the morphine from?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
14	MS. NEWTON: Where did he get it from?
15	THE WITNESS: From Johnny Pritchard.
16	MS. NEWTON: Now, had you seen him get
17	morphine from Johnny Pritchard before?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	MS. NEWTON: And had he gotten morphine from
20	any other source in your presence before?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
22	MS. NEWTON: And who also provided morphine
23	to Mr. Whitson?
24	THE WITNESS: It was a guy named Tim, but I
25	don't know his last name. And it was morphine 15s.

1	MS. NEWTON: Okay.
2	THE WITNESS: It was either morphine 15s or
3	morphine 5s, I can't remember which one it was. It was one
4	or the other.
5	MS. NEWTON: Do you recall
6	THE WITNESS: Not very many of them.
7	MS. NEWTON: that was before he went to
8	jail or after he went to jail?
9	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?
10	MS. NEWTON: Did that individual give him the
11	morphine before he went to this 60-day jail sentence or
12	after?
13	THE WITNESS: From what I know, everything
14	was before previous, that he had dealings with people.
15	MS. NEWTON: Okay.
16	THE WITNESS: I don't know what he had
17	MS. NEWTON: So after he got out of jail on
18	4 March 2011, do you know where he got the morphine that you
19	shot up with him until it was gone on the 5th or the morning
20	of the 6th?
21	THE WITNESS: He got that from Johnny
22	Pritchard.
23	MS. NEWTON: Okay. All right. Thank you.
24	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
25	JUDGE LOCK: All right. Anybody else?

1	Thank you very much for being with us.
2	And, folks, if there is no objection, I'm
3	going to release her from the subpoena at this time.
4	All right. You are released from your
5	subpoena and are free to go if you would like.
6	Thank you again for being here today.
7	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
8	(Witness dismissed, 1:40 p.m.)
9	MS. SMITH: Commissioners, before I recall
10	Ms. Bridenstine, we do have some additional information on
11	Mr. Whitson's medical records.
12	He was born at the Spruce Pine Community
13	Hospital, that's what it's now called or, I'm sorry,
14	that's what it was called then. It is now under the Blue
15	Ridge it is now Blue Ridge Regional, which is under
16	Mission Health, which is the largest medical care provider
17	in western North Carolina.
18	We are requesting medical records from
19	Mission Health from birth to 10 years of age and from 2005
20	to 2010, which are the five years prior to the last set of
21	records that we got for him.
22	The attorney there is awaiting a judicial
23	subpoena that we will bring to Your Honor here shortly.
24	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Thank you.
25	MS. SMITH: All right. The Commission

recalls Julie Bridenstine. 1 2 Thereupon, JULIE BRIDENSTINE, a witness having been recalled by the Commission, testified as follows: 3 Commissioners, we are now going 4 MS. SMITH: 5 to turn our attention to Mr. Pritchard. 6 Handout 42, which you were previously 7 provided, is the criminal record of Mr. Pritchard. 8 BY MS. SMITH: (1:43 p.m.)Ms. Bridenstine, what files did the Commission 9 Q. 10 review related specifically to Mr. Pritchard? We obtained and reviewed letters he had in his 11 Α. 12 possession from Robbie Brown. We obtained his DPS records, including his combined records, education records, mental 13 14 health records, visitation and mail records, disciplinary records, and phone records. 15 We also interviewed Mr. Pritchard on August 5, 16 2021. 17 18 MS. SMITH: Commissioners, Handout 43 is a 19 summary of Mr. Pritchard's DPS records. 20 Judge Lock, in order to obtain DPS records, the Commission had to obtain a court order, and those 2122records are not normally public record. 23Further, mental health and education records 24are governed by additional federal laws. Because this 25 handout in particular pertains to those records, I would

request that Your Honor consider sealing Handout 43. 1 2 JUDGE LOCK: All right. I will order it 3 sealed at the conclusion of the hearing. 4 MS. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor. 5 Q. Ms. Bridenstine, did the DPS records include any 6 indication of Mr. Pritchard's participation or 7 nonparticipation in the crime? His mental health records reflect a few times 8 9 where he expressed that he was innocent. 10 Q. Did the Commission listen to every phone call that Mr. Pritchard made while he has been in prison? 11 12 Α. We listened to the first 10 calls made from his PIN after the following significant dates: 13 The date of 14 his conviction, the date Robbie Brown died, the date he 15 applied to the Commission, the date he executed his waiver 16 of procedural safeguards and privileges for formal inquiry 17 status at the Commission, and the date we interviewed him in 18 prison. 19 So we listened to 50 calls in all. 20 Q. And were there a significant number of calls 21 provided by DPS? 22I believe there were over 5,000 calls total. Α. 23In any of those calls reviewed, did Mr. Pritchard Q. 24 talk about this case? 25 He talked frequently about his case, his Α. Yes.

beliefs surrounding the case, the various groups and people who have investigated his case, and the Commission's investigation.

- Q. In any of the calls reviewed, did Mr. Pritchard ever indicate that he was involved in this crime?
- A. No. In a call he made on July 8, 2014, to his sister, he denied giving pills to Jonathan Whitson after he was released from jail, but he did admit that Jonathan Whitson owed him money from a previous time in which Mr. Pritchard gave Jonathan Whitson pills to sell.
- Q. Did the Commission learn anything else from Mr. Pritchard's DPS phone calls?
- A. In a call made to his daughter, Lacey Pritchard, on July 18, 2014, he discussed trying to get an affidavit from Robbie Brown to help him on his next trial. He also asked his daughter to write a check to Robbie Brown for \$1,000 as Ms. Brown was caring for some of his personal items.
- Q. Did you ever talk to Lacey Pritchard about her conversations with her father after he was convicted?
- A. Yes. During our interview, I asked if she'd ever had conversation with Mr. Pritchard about giving Robbie Brown money. She said there was no conversation and that Robbie Brown owed her father money because she stole his personal belongings after he was convicted.

Ms. Pritchard said that Mr. Pritchard was trying to get an affidavit from Robbie Brown for his lawyer stating that she was lying about what she reported to law enforcement, that she was told what to say, and that she was forced to do it.

When asked if Mr. Pritchard offered Robbie Brown money in exchange for an affidavit, Lacey Pritchard said no.

- Q. What did Mr. Pritchard's probation records reveal?
- A. They show that Mr. Pritchard was generally compliant with his probation. His only violation came when he was charged with this case, and his probation was revoked after he was convicted.

The records also show that a March 4, 2011, drug test was negative for all substances that were screened, which included opiates. We know from Mr. Pritchard's medical records that he had a morphine prescription at this time and that he called the VA Hospital asking for a refill on March 3, 2011, and again on March 10, 2011.

During his period of probation, Mr. Pritchard spent several weeks in an in-patient program for PTSD and he also had major back surgery.

- Q. Did Lacey Pritchard have any information about Mr. Pritchard's probation at the time this case happened?
- A. She said that she understood that Mr. Pritchard was allowed to take his pain medication, including morphine,

- during probation and that he was being monitored for it through testing to make sure he was taking an appropriate amount.
 - Q. Was there anything else in Mr. Pritchard's DPS records related to his participating or not participating in this crime?
 - A. No.

- Q. Ms. Bridenstine, did we receive letters from Mr. Pritchard that talked about this crime?
- A. Yes, we did. He provided letters to the Commission explaining what he said happened. We also received correspondence from him that was part of the Wake Forest file and one letter that was in Appellate Attorney Brandi Bullock's file.
- MS. SMITH: Commissioners, you previously received Handout 44, which contains a summary of all correspondence that we have from Mr. Pritchard.
- You've also had the opportunity to review the transcript of his interview with the Commission's staff prior to this hearing. That digest and transcript are Handout 1.
- Q. Ms. Bridenstine, just briefly remind commissioners what Mr. Pritchard said during his interview with the Commission about what happened on March 5, 2011, the day he was alleged to have provided morphine to Jonathan Whitson.

1 Mr. Pritchard said he spoke to Nathan Angel who Α. 2 told him that Jonathan Whitson was out of jail. 3 Mr. Pritchard told him that Robbie Brown wanted Mr. Whitson 4 to do yard work for her. Nathan Angel said he would have 5 Mr. Whitson call Mr. Pritchard when he got back to Nathan 6 Angel's trailer. 7 Sometime between 2:00 and 2:35 p.m., Mr. Whitson 8 called Mr. Pritchard. 9 Mr. Pritchard drove to Nathan Angel's trailer to 10 ask him to do yard work for Robbie Brown. While he was 11 there, Mr. Whitson asked if he would take him to the store. 12 Mr. Pritchard agreed and they left together to go to Riddles 13 grocery store, where Mr. Pritchard dropped Mr. Whitson off. 14 Mr. Pritchard left to dump some garbage and then returned to pick up Mr. Whitson. They left Riddles and drove to Fred's, 15 16 another store. Mr. Whitson went inside to pick up tobacco for 17 18 Mr. Pritchard who was getting it for his roommate, Aaron 19 Later, Mr. Pritchard asked Mr. Whitson to do yard Collins. 20 work for Robbie Brown. Mr. Whitson said that he could not 21 because the abscess on his arm was still hurting and had 22gotten worse. He said he was going to get it checked out 23and did not want to do yard work because of the pain. 24 Mr. Whitson appeared to be sick and was sweating.

He showed Mr. Pritchard his left arm, which was black and

1	blue, swollen with pus, and looked red going up the arm.
2	Mr. Pritchard dropped Mr. Whitson off at 3:20 p.m.
3	back at Nathan Angel's trailer. He saw Stephanie Whitson
4	Randolph when he dropped him off.
5	He said that Mr. Whitson never asked him for drugs
6	and he never provided any drugs to Mr. Whitson.
7	MS. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have any
8	questions for Ms. Bridenstine about the Commission's
9	interview with Mr. Pritchard or any of the other materials
10	related to Mr. Pritchard before we call him?
11	Okay. I'd ask that Ms. Bridenstine step
12	down.
13	(Witness stands down, 1:51 p.m.)
14	MS. SMITH: Your Honor, at this time, the
15	Commission calls John Pritchard. And it will take just a
16	moment for them to bring him in.
17	JUDGE LOCK: Sure.
18	MS. SMITH: I do have some questions for him
19	before the commissioners ask questions.
20	JUDGE LOCK: And I anticipate there may be a
21	lot of questions for him from the commissioners at some
22	point during the testimony. Just let me know if you need a
23	recess.
24	MS. SMITH: Absolutely.
25	JUDGE LOCK: All right.

1	Good afternoon, Mr. Pritchard.
2	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
3	JUDGE LOCK: Before you sit down, sir, I want
4	to ask that you be either sworn or affirmed.
5	Do you have any objection to being sworn?
6	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
7	JUDGE LOCK: I know it may be a little
8	difficult for you but there's a Bible there on the table.
9	Thereupon, JOHN PRITCHARD, a witness having been called by the
10	Commission, was sworn and testified as follows:
11	JUDGE LOCK: All right. Thank you very much,
12	
	sir. You may be seated.
13	If you've got no objection, I think it's
14	easier for the court reporter if you don't mind just
15	removing your mask so she can better understand you.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
17	JUDGE LOCK: All right. Thank you.
18	And if you would, just for the record, tell
19	us your name.
20	THE WITNESS: My name is John Herbert
21	Pritchard II III.
22	JUDGE LOCK: And you are the claimant in this
23	case?
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. SMITH: (1:53 p.m.)

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Pritchard.
- A. Good afternoon.
- Q. My name is Lindsey Guice Smith. I'm the executive director here at the Commission. I've got some questions for you today and then when I'm done asking questions, the commissioners around the table may also have questions for you. Okay?
- 9 A. Okay.

1

2

3

10

11

12

17

- Q. We're just going to ask that you keep your voice up so that the court reporter here can hear you, and answer all questions with a verbal response. Okay?
- 13 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. I want to turn your attention today to March 5 of 2011.
- 16 A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Can you tell us whether or not you saw Jonathan Whitson on March 5, 2011.
- 19 A. Yes, ma'am, I did.
- Q. And can you walk us through that day and how you came to see him.
- A. Yes, ma'am. I was going to my mailbox to check on my mail and it was about 1:00, 1:30. Coming back -- I'm on Turtle Trot Road. Down on Bunny Hop, which intersects with Turtle Trot, Nathan Angel was riding his four-wheeler and he

stopped me and he was asking me if I had any work for him that he could do around my place, and I told him no, that I didn't.

And he talked about a few other things, and then he brought up Jonathan Whitson and said that Jonathan was out of jail and staying at his place.

And, you know, I asked him, I said, "Well, when you get back to your place, how about asking Jonathan to give me a call," because I wanted to see if he could do some work for me.

And anyway, I went back to my house -- Nathan left and I went back to my house and got up the garbage and all of that stuff and put in the back of my truck. And I was getting ready to go to empty it in the public dump that they have up there on -- off of 19/23, East 19/23. And as soon as I got to -- on the end of Bunny Hop and English Branch Road, right to the right of me was Nathan's trailer and his mother's house -- grandmother's house.

And anyhow, I went to the trailer. I was getting ready to go into the trailer, but Jonathan was at the road right there, the little driveway, and I said, "Listen, I need to talk to you about doing some work."

And he says, "Sure."

I said, "But I've got to go dump this garbage and I've got to get some chewing tobacco for Aaron."

```
And he said, "Okay." And he says, "Can I get a
 1
     ride to the store?"
 2
               And I said, "Sure. No problem."
 3
 4
               So he got into the truck. We went to the store --
 5
    was going to the store, and I was going to go to the left to
 6
    Fred's because Aaron had give me enough money to buy some
 7
     chewing tobacco from there, and as soon as I got to the end
 8
     of Jack's Creek Road and 19/23 there, I was getting ready to
     take a left, and he said, "No, I want to go to Riddles."
9
               I says, "Well, I can't go over to Riddles." I
10
11
     says, "You know, I'm not supposed to go."
12
               So I dropped him off and went and dumped the
13
     garbage, which is about it not even 30 seconds -- 30,
14
     40 seconds down the road from where the store is at. And I
15
     got finished emptying the garbage and came back.
                                                       And as I
16
    was coming back, he was on the side of the road.
                                                       I picked
    him up and we went to Fred's, and he went into Fred's for me
17
18
     and got the chewing tobacco.
19
               He come back out. He got in the truck. We was
20
     going back on the way home and everything, to the trailer,
21
     and I talked to him about doing some yard work for Robbie
22
             I said, "She has nobody to do it and I can't go up
     Brown.
23
            Would you do it?"
     there.
24
               And he said, "Sure." He said, "But I got to wait
25
     till my -- I'm feeling better."
```

And I said, "What do you mean?" 1 2 He said, "My arm is still messed up." And then I said, "What?" And he showed it to me. 3 4 It was swollen and everything. And he was sweating. 5 had like -- I want to say he looked like he had a fever. 6 And, anyhow, he told me -- he said that he wouldn't be able to do it until after his arm healed up. 7 8 And I said, "Well, okay. Yeah, fine. That's great," I told 9 him. So I dropped him back off. This time, I took him 10 11 up to the trailer and dropped him off. 12 As soon as I dropped him off, Nate Angel come back out there and asked me again, "Can I do some work for you?" 13 14 I said, "No, I don't have anything that you can do." And the reason why is because Nate was known to steal 15 16 You know, he took my handicap sticker -- or, not 17 sticker -- tag and some other things. So I just didn't 18 really trust him to mess with him. So dropped Jonathan off, went back to my trailer, 19 20 and that was it. 21Is that the last time that you saw Mr. Whitson? Q. 22Yes, ma'am. Α. Mm-hmm. 23And so you said a minute ago, and I think you Q. 24cleared this up, but you said you didn't have any work for 25 Nathan but you had some work that Jonathan could do at

- Robbie's; is that correct? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 And I think what you just said, that the reason Q. 4 you didn't have any work for Nathan is because he was known 5 to steal? 6 Α. Yes, ma'am. Is that the only reason you didn't have work for 7 Q. him? 8 Well, not only that, but just -- I just -- I 9 10 didn't particularly -- didn't like him that much, to tell 11 you the truth. 12 Q. Okay. And he told me -- well, Robbie told me that he had 13 14 stolen some stuff from her too. 15 And you didn't have those same concerns about Q. 16 Jonathan Whitson? Because he had been doing some work 17 Α. No, ma'am. 18 before for Robbie, and that's why I mostly asked him because I knew that he did some work for her. 19 20 Q. Was it pretty common for him to do work for 21Robbie? 22Yes, ma'am. Α. 23Did she pay him to do the work? Q. 24 Α. I believe she did, yes, ma'am.
 - Victoria L. Pittman, BA, CVR-CM-M, RCP AOC-Approved Per Diem Reporter

Do you know how she paid him?

25

Q.

- A. No. I don't know that.
 - Q. Do you know if she paid him with drugs?
- A. She might have.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of that?
- A. No, not really. See, I never did watch her when she interacted with anybody, you know. I really didn't -- I didn't pay any attention.
- Q. And on March 5, 2011, were you and Robbie living together?
 - A. No, ma'am.
 - Q. Had you ever lived with her?
 - A. Yes, ma'am. I lived with her, I would say, about three months before, until I went to court. And then the Court made me have to move out. And I moved into her aunt's trailer park down there next to -- off of Jack's Creek Road. The road I was living on was Turtle Trot.
 - Q. All right.
 - The other thing that you testified to a moment ago is that when you got to the intersection, you were going to turn left and head to Fred's, but Mr. Whitson wanted you to turn right to head to Riddles?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And you said you weren't supposed to go to Riddles.
- What did you mean by that?

- A. Well, see, because I was on probation and I knew that that place was sort of a drug hangout and things like that, and I didn't want my probation officer seeing me parked in that parking lot.
- Q. Do you remember what Mr. Whitson was wearing that day?
- A. Yes, ma'am. He had on a tan coat/jacket and it was a flannel shirt underneath it. And jeans.
- Q. Do you know if the flannel shirt was long sleeves or short sleeves?
 - A. It was long sleeves.
 - Q. And so -- go ahead.
 - A. Because when he went to show it to me, he took his arm out of his jacket and he pulled his sleeve up, and as soon as he pulled his sleeve up, I could see it was swollen.
 - Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- On March 5, 2011, you had an active prescription for morphine 30-milligram tablets; is that correct?
- 19 A. Yes, ma'am, I did.
- Q. Did you take your morphine as prescribed?
 - A. Yes, ma'am, as is prescribed.
- Q. And was that one pill every eight hours?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Three times a day?
- A. Three times a day.

Did you ever miss a dose? 1 Q. 2 Α. No, ma'am. Because my back was really hurting 3 I'd just gotten in an accident not too long before 4 that, before they started prescribing me that, and my back 5 was really hurting bad. I mean -- so I took it as -- as 6 needed. Do you have any idea how many pills you had 7 Q. 8 remaining in your prescription on March 5, 2011? 9 Α. No, ma'am. But my pills were not even with me on 10 March 5th. 11 Q. Where were they? 12 Α. They were in the trailer, locked up in my room. In your trailer? 13 Q. 14 Yes, ma'am. Α. Was that common practice, for you to keep them 15 Q. 16 locked up in your room? 17 Α. Yes, ma'am. 18 Q. Why did you keep them locked up? 19 Because of Aaron was staying with me at the time, Α. 20 and he was known to break in and take things. 21 Q. And what is Aaron's relationship to Robbie Brown? 22That's her son. Α. 23That's her son? Q.

And so he was living with you in your trailer at

24

25

Α.

Q.

Yes, ma'am.

the time? 1 2 Α. Yes, ma'am. 3 Robbie was living somewhere separately? Q. 4 She was staying in her house off of Charlie 5 Brown Road. 6 Q. Charlie Brown Road? 7 Yes, ma'am. Α. 8 Q. What was your practice with respect to requesting a refill for your prescription? 9 10 In other words, at what point would you ask for a 11 refill on your morphine prescription? 12 Α. The date that it was supposed to be active -- I mean, refilled is -- I would call about maybe four days 13 14 ahead of time and they would have to check with the doctor, 15 and then the doctor would call back and they would let me 16 know if they obtained it. 17 And so when you say "four days ahead of time," you Q. 18 mean about four days prior to running out of your pills --Yes, ma'am. 19 Α. 20 -- you would give them a call --Q. 21Yea, ma'am. Α. 22-- to see if they would refill it? Q. Yes, ma'am. 23Α. 24 Q. Did you have active refills remaining or did they

have to prescribe -- represcribe that every time?

- 188 Every time that I asked, yes, ma'am. 1 Α. 2 Q. And did you have -- your prescription, was it a 30-day supply? 3 4 Α. Yes, ma'am. 5 Q. And so if that was three times a day, if my math 6 is correct, that would be 90 pills. 7 Is that accurate? 8 Α. Yes, ma'am. 9 Q. Okay. 10 And so when you call four days ahead and check 11 with a doctor, if they represcribed that, did you then have 12 to go pick up that prescription? Yes, ma'am. 13 Α. 14 Q. And where did you pick that up at? Sometimes I would have to pick it up but right 15 Α. 16 there at the end, before my surgery and everything, they 17 would mail it to me. 18 Q. Okay. 19 They would mail it to you? 20 Α. Yes, ma'am. And then I would --21Q. Just through the regular mail? 22Yes, ma'am. Α. 23Or did they have like a FedEx or something like Q.
 - No, ma'am. It was regular mail. And I would have Α.

25

that that brought it to you?

to sign for it when he brought it and give it to me.

- Q. Did you tell Aaron Collins that you gave Jonathan Whitson 10 morphine pills on March 5, 2011, and that you were worried about getting in trouble for that?
 - A. No, I did not.

- Q. Do you know any reason why Aaron Collins would say that you had given -- that you had told him that you had given Jonathan Whitson 10 morphine pills and were worried about getting in trouble?
- A. No, ma'am. He -- the reason why he was saying that is because when I heard from Nathan later on that day -- and it was on a Sunday -- Nathan had told me that Stephanie Whitson was telling the police that I had given her 10 pills -- or given Jonathan 10 pills. So that was where conversation come up.
- Q. And so you had heard that Stephanie Whitson was telling the police that you had given Mr. Whitson 10 pills?
- A. 10 pills. And also that I went to her -- to his mother's house. And so that's why mostly we talked, because I went and had to call Robbie and tell Robbie that, you know, "Hey, the police might be coming around to talk to you because she is saying this."
- Q. So you had just heard that that's what she was saying and you were worried that they were going to believe that?

Well, I didn't worry about it. I just figured, 1 Α. 2 you know, better let her know because if they showed up and 3 everything, you know, I wouldn't want her to be surprised by 4 it. 5 Q. Okay. 6 Did you tell Robbie Brown that you gave Jonathan 7 Whitson eight morphine pills on March 5, 2011? 8 Α. No. 9 Q. Do you have any reason why she would be saying that? 10 Because I told her that I had gave him 10 pills. 11 Α. 12 Q. You told her that you gave him 10 pills? No, ma'am. I told her that, you know, they said 13 14 that I had gave him 10 pills. 15 Q. Okay. So you told Robbie Brown that someone had said 16 17 that you had given Jonathan Whitson 10 pills? 18 Α. I told her Nathan told me. 19 Q. Nathan told you? 20 Α. Uh-huh. 21And when you say "Nathan," you're referring to Q. 22Nathan Angel --23Angel, yes, ma'am. Α. 24 Q. -- who was the stepfather of Jonathan Whitson;

25

correct?

- A. Yes, ma'am. Mm-hmm.
- Q. Okay.

After you were convicted, did you try to get

Robbie Brown to provide an affidavit taking back what she
had told police in the case?

- A. No. I didn't even know it until they gave it to me in the discovery when I was in jail.
 - Q. Explain what you mean by that.
- A. I was in jail, and they -- my attorney had gotten ahold of the discovery that they were going to use in the trial. And in the discovery, it had her statement, but it was not signed. And so that's when I asked her, I told her -- when she called that weekend or I called her that weekend, she told me, she said that she didn't sign that, she didn't sign it or date it.
- Q. Do you recall ever asking your daughter to provide a thousand dollars to Robbie Brown?
- A. I think what it was is that Robbie was going to need an attorney for her conviction that she had -- or her arrest that she was getting for. And I told my daughter Lacey to go ahead and give her a thousand dollars.
- Q. And was that an arrest related to this crime or some other crime?
 - A. No. It was related to some other crime.
 - Q. Was it also related to drugs?

- Yes, ma'am. 1 Α. 2 Q. Okay. And was that crime after you were already in jail? 3 4 Α. No, ma'am. It was before. 5 Q. Do you recall saying in a phone call from prison 6 to your daughter, Lacey, in reference to providing that 7 thousand dollars to Robbie Brown, quote, "I know it's something I shouldn't be doing but it's the only way I can 8 9 get her to sign that affidavit and probably get her to help 10 me out on this next trial"? 11 Α. No. 12 Q. You don't recall saying that? 13 No, ma'am. I don't. Α. 14 Q. If there's a recorded phone call in which you say that, do you know why that would be there if you didn't say 15 it? 16 17 Not unless I, you know, was more or less saying Α. 18 that I had this affidavit saying that she, you know, accused 19 me of giving Jonathan 10 pills. 20 Q. Okay. 21
 - It might've been that, I mean, but I don't remember it right offhand.
 - Is it fair to say if there is a recording where Q. you said that, that you probably did say it?
 - Α. Yes, ma'am.

23

24

- Q. In 2014, did you tell your sister on the phone from prison that Mr. Whitson owed you money from a time when you had given him pills to sell sometime before he went to jail in 2011?
 - A. No, I don't believe so.
- Q. Did Jonathan Whitson owe you money because he was supposed to sell pills?
- A. He did owe me some money but it was from whenever he did work around the -- Robbie's house and everything and I would give him the money. She would tell me to pay him, and I would pay him. And the -- you know, it got to the point where he asked me for some money.
 - Q. So he owed you money?
- 14 A. Yes, ma'am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

- Q. Did you ever give him pills to sell?
- A. No, ma'am.
 - Q. Did he ever owe you money from any pills that you had given him?
- A. No, ma'am.
- Q. So if there is a recorded phone call where you say
 that you -- that he owed you money from a time when you had
 given him pills before he went to jail, do you know why
 that -- you would have said that in a phone call?
- A. I'm not sure. I don't know why I would've said -
 I mean, because I never did that.

1 Q. Okay. 2If there's a recording of a phone call where you 3 say that, is it accurate or fair to say that you made that 4 statement? 5 Α. I might have. I may have. 6 Q. But you don't know why you would have said that? 7 No, ma'am. Α. 8 Q. Did you give Jonathan Whitson pills in your truck 9 in front of Stephanie Whitson after you picked her up from 10 Little Tokyo restaurant sometime prior to Mr. Whitson going 11 to jail in 2011? 12 Α. No, ma'am. Do you know why Ms. Whitson would have said that 13 Q. 14 you had done that? 15 I'm not sure. Ms. Whitson had a thing that she Α. 16 was really upset with me because the reason I told Jonathan I could not sell him any pills is because she was the 17 18 daughter of a bondsman. 19 Q. Would it -- if she wasn't the daughter of a 20 bondsman, which you have sold him pills? 21Α. No. 22Did you ever sell any pills to anyone? Q. No, ma'am. 23Α. 24 Q. Did you ever give any pills to anyone?

Robbie Brown.

25

Α.

When was that? 1 Q. 2 Α. That was right around December. Of 2010? 3 Q. 4 Α. Yes, ma'am. 5 Q. How many pills did you give her? 6 Α. I think I gave her five pills one time. 7 Five? Q. 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. Was that the only time? 10 Yes, ma'am. But I believe that she'd been going Α. 11 into the safe and getting pills out that she didn't tell me 12 about. So I want to back up just a little bit. 13 Q. 14 The five pills that you gave her, were those 15 morphine 30-milligram pills? 16 Α. Yes. Mm-hmm. 17 And when you say she was going into the safe and Q. 18 getting pills out, was this when you were still living with 19 her? 20 Α. Yes, ma'am. 21Q. Did you keep your pills in a safe then? 22Yes, ma'am. Α. 23Was that a safe that she had access to? Q. 24 Α. Yes, ma'am. 25 Why do you believe that she was going into the Q.

```
196
 1
     safe to get pills?
                Because whenever I would get my pills out to take
 2
          Α.
 3
     the medication, it looked like it was getting smaller and
 4
     smaller.
 5
          Q.
                So did you ever run out of pills before your
 6
     prescription was over?
 7
                Yes, ma'am.
          Α.
 8
          Q.
                Did that happen more than one month?
                I'm not sure. I'm not -- I don't think so.
 9
          Α.
10
     hoping not.
                Do you think that this was around the December
11
          Q.
12
     2010 time frame when you were giving the pills or was this
13
     some other time period?
14
          Α.
                No.
                     This is 2010, December.
15
          Q.
                Okay.
16
                Did you provide Jonathan Whitson any drugs on
17
     March 5, 2011?
18
          Α.
                No, ma'am, I did not.
19
                Did you give Jonathan Whitson morphine on March 5,
          Q.
     2011?
20
21
                No, ma'am.
          Α.
22
                Did you provide to Nathan Angel any drugs on
          Q.
23
     March 5, 2011?
```

Q. Did you provide to Stephanie Whitson any drugs on

24

25

Α.

No, ma'am.

```
March 5, 2011?
 1
 2
          Α.
                No, ma'am.
 3
          Q.
                Where you -- did you provide anyone else morphine
 4
     on March 5, 2011?
 5
          Α.
                No, ma'am.
 6
          Q.
                Not Robbie Brown?
 7
          Α.
                Uh-uh.
                Not Aaron Collins?
 8
          Q.
 9
          Α.
                     Not Aaron Collins.
                Now, he -- like I said, he was known to break in.
10
     and he had this little screwdriver he could take and unscrew
11
     the screws and the lock that I had up there. So I didn't
12
13
     catch on to that until probably about -- I would say about a
14
     month after he moved in.
15
          Q.
                And when did he move in?
                It was right around in February.
16
          Α.
17
                Of 2011?
          Q.
18
          Α.
                2011, yes, ma'am.
19
                Did Mr. Whitson ever ask you for morphine?
          Q.
20
          Α.
                No, ma'am.
21
                Did he ever ask you for any kind of drugs?
          Q.
22
                Well, he asked me if I would sell him some, and I
          Α.
23
     said no.
               I said, "I would not sell to you because of the
24
     fact that your girlfriend, Stephanie Whitson, is a
```

bondsman's daughter."

1	Q. And was that specifically morphine that he was
2	asking for?
3	A. I believe so, yes, ma'am.
4	Q. Were you involved in any way in the distribution
5	of morphine to Jonathan Whitson before he died on March 6,
6	2011?
7	A. No, ma'am.
8	MS. SMITH: All right. Commissioners, do you
9	have questions for Mr. Pritchard?
10	JUDGE LOCK: Any questions? Commissioner
11	Glazier. Sheriff?
12	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: I just have one.
13	JUDGE LOCK: Yes, sir, Sheriff.
14	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: I know you've answered
15	the question and I know it may be redundant, but you said
16	you'd never sold or given Mr. Whitson any type of narcotics?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: So you're saying that if
19	by chance there is a recording of you saying that he owed
20	you money for pills that you gave him to sell, you don't
21	know why you would have said it?
22	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am no, sir.
23	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: All right.
24	MR. GLAZIER: My question is going to follow
25	that, but I have a few other questions first.

1	How long had you been on a prescription for
2	morphine?
3	THE WITNESS: About a year and a half.
4	MR. GLAZIER: About a year and a half.
5	And did you always ask, every 90 days, for a
6	refill?
7	THE WITNESS: No. Every 30 days.
8	MR. GLAZIER: I'm sorry. Every 30 days.
9	Did you have to visit the doctor to get the
10	refill each time?
11	THE WITNESS: Sometimes I would. Right there
12	in the beginning, I did. But as I kept seeing the doctor
13	and everything
14	MR. GLAZIER: So there were times you got a
15	refill without seeing the doctor and the doctor would just
16	prescribe a refill?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
18	MR. GLAZIER: Okay. Did you ever seek,
19	during that year and a half, to get a refill faster than
20	30 days?
21	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. I may have but
22	I'm not sure.
23	MR. GLAZIER: So I'm going to be very direct
24	with you, Mr. Pritchard.
25	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

```
1
                     MR. GLAZIER: I want to believe what you're
 2
     saying.
 3
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Yes, sir.
 4
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                   But I believe you lied to this
 5
     Commission in two questions, and I want to give you a chance
 6
     to explain why.
 7
                     There is a conversation that we know of that
 8
     the Sheriff has referred to which has you saying that you
9
     had given pills previously to the victim; correct?
10
                     I'm just double-checking I'm correct in that
11
     conversation.
12
                     You said a minute ago that you never said
13
            It's hard for me to understand why we would have a
14
     recording of your voice saying that.
                     So I'm going to give you a chance right now
15
     to tell me why you lied to the Commission -- and there may
16
17
     be a legitimate reason -- but I want to know why you lied to
18
     the Commission since you clearly said that.
                                   Well, it's -- for one, it's
19
                     THE WITNESS:
20
     been over 10 years, you know, and I don't remember back that
21
     far, you know, most of it. But I might have said it,
22
     explaining that -- why Robbie had wrote the statement or
23
     something like that, but I'm not sure.
24
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                   Mr. Pritchard, I'm going to ask
     you as well to think again, other than the one time you say
25
```

1	you gave Robbie five pills, did you ever give or sell any of
2	your morphine to anyone else during that year and a half?
3	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
4	MR. GLAZIER: I may have other questions
5	later. Thank you.
6	THE WITNESS: Okay.
7	JUDGE LOCK: Mr. Boswell.
8	MR. BOSWELL: Why would you be arranging for
9	people to work for Robbie?
10	THE WITNESS: Why? Because she had this big
11	yard and everything that she couldn't really handle because
12	of her condition.
13	MR. BOSWELL: What was her condition?
14	THE WITNESS: She was she had to use a
15	cane. She had back problems, had back surgeries and things.
16	MR. BOSWELL: But why would you be involved
17	in arranging that for her, I guess is my question?
18	THE WITNESS: Because at that time, we were
19	going together. I mean, I just moved out of her house, I
20	guess; so it may be to help her or something.
21	MR. BOSWELL: Earlier, you said could not go
22	to Robbie Brown's house.
23	Why could you not go to Robbie Brown's house?
24	THE WITNESS: Because I was on probation.
25	MR. BOSWELL: And that was a part of your

1	probation?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Okay. All right.
3	Yes, sir. Also that's probably why I said
4	that about the pills.
5	MR. BOSWELL: All right. Well, do me a favor
6	and explain I don't understand. So if you'll just sort
7	of explain to me why you couldn't go to Robbie Brown's
8	house.
9	THE WITNESS: Because in January 26, I went
10	to court in Burnsville, and I was convicted for selling two
11	morphine and four oxycodones to Mrs I'm trying to think
12	of her name it was a friend of Robbie's. I can't think
13	of her name right now.
14	MR. BOSWELL: And so you were put on
15	probation?
16	THE WITNESS: For that, yes, sir.
17	MR. BOSWELL: And what were the terms of that
18	probation?
19	THE WITNESS: The terms was that I could not
20	go and be around Robbie because she had a conviction of
21	felonies and was a convicted felon for the past 10 years.
22	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: So can I ask a question?
23	I'm just trying to get an understanding or wrap my mind
24	around
25	So you said that you sold drugs in the past?

1	THE WITNESS: I didn't what I did is I
2	took drugs to this girl, and I got money from her, but that
3	was all set up by Robbie Brown. And she wasn't able at the
4	time so I took them to her.
5	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: So you sold drugs in the
6	past.
7	But you said that you wouldn't sell to this
8	particular guy or this person because they were related to a
9	bail bondsman?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
11	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: So the reason you
12	wouldn't sell was because they were a bail bondsman or you
13	wouldn't sell drugs?
14	THE WITNESS: I don't sell drugs.
15	MR. GLAZIER: One follow-up to that line of
16	questions.
17	I asked you whether you had ever sold or
18	given drugs to anyone other than the five pills to Robbie.
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	MR. GLAZIER: You said no.
21	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
22	MR. GLAZIER: But you just said you were
23	convicted and on probation for giving drugs to someone else.
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, and I just remembered
25	that. I honestly, truly did.

1	MR. GLAZIER: Do you remember anybody else,
2	before we finish, that you gave or sold drugs to in the last
3	year and a half before the trial and conviction of yourself?
4	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
5	MR. GLAZIER: Are you certain of that?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
7	MR. GLAZIER: Thank you.
8	JUDGE LOCK: Commissioner Britt.
9	MR. BRITT: Mr. Pritchard, just so I
10	understand, on January 26, 2010, you were convicted of
11	maintaining a dwelling, and selling or delivering Schedule
12	II controlled substance.
13	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
14	MR. BRITT: Is that correct?
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
16	MR. BRITT: You were placed on probation.
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
18	MR. BRITT: As a condition of your probation,
19	were you prohibited from associating with other people that
20	had been convicted of selling drugs or that you knew sold
21	drugs?
22	THE WITNESS: I believe it was, but I thought
23	the stipulation said that it had to be 10 years, within
24	10 years.
25	MR. BRITT: So had Robbie been convicted of

1	selling drugs?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Prior to that, yes,
3	sir.
4	MR. BRITT: From the same location?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
6	MR. BRITT: And when you moved into the new
7	trailer, you said you had a lockbox.
8	THE WITNESS: No. I didn't have the lockbox
9	with me. I locked it in my room.
10	MR. BRITT: You locked it in your room.
11	So it was while your room was locked, it
12	was out, loose on the shelf or in the bathroom?
13	THE WITNESS: No. I had it in the in the
14	register, the air register.
15	MR. BRITT: Okay. But you said someone had
16	access to that room?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	MR. BRITT: Who was that?
19	THE WITNESS: That was Aaron Collins.
20	MR. BRITT: Why did Aaron have access to that
21	room?
22	THE WITNESS: He had this little screwdriver,
23	it's bent on one end, Phillips head on one end and a flat
24	nose on the other end, right, and it was bent and you could
25	get the screws out of the lock.

1	MR. BRITT: So he could pick your lock?
2	THE WITNESS: Well, it wasn't picking the
3	lock. It's
4	MR. BRITT: Or taking it apart.
5	THE WITNESS: Taking apart, yes, sir.
6	MR. BRITT: And had you ever suspected Aaron
7	of taking your medicine?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	MR. BRITT: Had you ever confronted him about
10	taking your medicine?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
12	MR. BRITT: Did he admit that he had taken
13	it?
14	THE WITNESS: He said no.
15	MR. BRITT: And your relationship with
16	Jonathan, how long had it lasted?
17	THE WITNESS: I met Jonathan in the summer of
18	2010, the latter part of the summer, and February was the
19	last time I seen him I mean, January was the last time I
20	seen him until March 5.
21	MR. BRITT: Were you aware that he had gone
22	to jail in Madison County?
23	THE WITNESS: For driving without a license,
24	yes, sir.
25	MR. BRITT: And were you aware of when he got

1	out of jail?
2	THE WITNESS: The only way I was aware is
3	that Nathan Angel told me that he was out.
4	MR. BRITT: As I understand it, you were a
5	drug counselor.
6	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Had been.
7	MR. BRITT: For how long?
8	THE WITNESS: Let's see. I would say
9	14 years.
10	MR. BRITT: When did that occupation end?
11	THE WITNESS: Whenever I was working with
12	Alpha-Omega and the place closed down.
13	MR. BRITT: Who is Alpha-Omega?
14	THE WITNESS: It's a mental health and
15	substance abuse program.
16	MR. BRITT: So you were working with people
17	with mental addictions?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	MR. BRITT: And let me follow up on something
20	that you were asked.
21	You admit that you gave Robbie pills or sold
22	her pills?
23	THE WITNESS: Gave her.
24	MR. BRITT: Gave her pills.
25	THE WITNESS: Yeah.

1	MR. BRITT: But as a drug counselor or former
2	drug counselor, why would you have done that?
3	THE WITNESS: Well, at the time, she was out
4	of her medication and she was hurting and I was living with
5	her and I wasn't a counselor at that time and had not
6	planned to go back to being a counselor, and I just give
7	them to her.
8	I mean, I'm sorry, but I had feelings for her
9	and I didn't want her to hurt.
10	MR. BRITT: So you're telling this Commission
11	you never gave or sold any pills to Jonathan?
12	THE WITNESS: Never.
13	MR. BRITT: And you understand there's a
14	distinction between selling and giving?
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
16	MR. BRITT: I don't have any other questions.
17	JUDGE LOCK: Commissioner Bass.
18	MR. BASS: Just following up on the same
19	thing, there was something earlier that you said that
20	you've answered questions about your selling and giving
21	pills or not doing so, but it sounded like there was at
22	least one occasion when Robbie sold some pills to someone,
23	you delivered them, and then took the money back to her?
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
25	MR. BASS: Is that something that happened on

1	multiple occasions?
2	THE WITNESS: No, sir. Just that one time.
3	JUDGE LOCK: Commissioner Welch?
4	MS. WELCH: Thank you.
5	Sir, you said something that I don't know
6	that I understand, which you said that the victim in this
7	case owed you money for work that he had done for Robbie.
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	MS. WELCH: Could you explain that to me?
10	THE WITNESS: Okay. She would get to the
11	point where she wouldn't want to give him any more drugs and
12	he would come and ask me for money.
13	MS. WELCH: What kind of work was he doing
14	for her?
15	THE WITNESS: He was doing yard work, you
16	know, cut the grass and weed eat and things of that sort,
17	cutting trees and so forth.
18	MS. WELCH: So how is it that he owed you
19	money for work that he was doing?
20	THE WITNESS: Because it was for Robbie, not
21	me.
22	MS. WELCH: I'm still having a hard time
23	understanding.
24	THE WITNESS: Okay. Robbie is the one that
25	asked him to do the work. And when he got finished doing

```
1
     the work, she didn't have anything to give him -- I don't
 2
     know whether she was giving him pills, I don't know whether
 3
     she was giving him money -- but she didn't have anything so
 4
     he came and borrowed some money from me.
 5
                     MS. WELCH:
                                  He borrowed money from you for
 6
     work that he actually did?
 7
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Yeah.
 8
                     MS. WELCH: And you say that that's how he
9
     owed you money?
10
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Yes, ma'am.
11
                     MS. WELCH:
                                  For pills?
12
                     THE WITNESS: Not for pills.
13
                     MS. WELCH:
                                And do you remember having -- as
14
     part of your probation having to get drug screens by your
     probation officer?
15
                                   Yes, ma'am.
16
                     THE WITNESS:
17
                     MS. WELCH:
                                 And during this -- early March of
18
     2011, were you taking these opiates that you were prescribed
19
     three times a day for your back?
20
                     THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
                                 Then why was the drug screen on
21
                     MS. WELCH:
22
     March 4 clean for no opiates?
23
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    I'm not sure why.
                                  And did I understand you to say
24
                     MS. WELCH:
     that you couldn't sell pills to the victim in this case
25
```

1	because he was seeing a young lady whose father was a
2	bondsman?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
4	MS. WELCH: And would you agree that Robbie
5	had been convicted before of selling drugs?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
7	MS. WELCH: So she was a drug dealer?
8	THE WITNESS: She was, yes.
9	MS. WELCH: And you were in a relationship
10	with her?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes. But I didn't know it at
12	the beginning. I met her at an NA meeting, okay, and got to
13	know each other kind of well, and I was getting ready to
14	move from my place where I had been staying with
15	Ms. Gramblin, and Robbie offered me to move in with her.
16	MS. WELCH: So when did you figure out that
17	she was a drug dealer?
18	THE WITNESS: Well, one day when she borrowed
19	my truck and she didn't come back for like four hours, and
20	when she had been doing she had been doing drugs.
21	MS. WELCH: She was doing drugs or she was
22	selling drugs?
23	THE WITNESS: She was doing them. Crack
24	cocaine.
25	MS. WELCH: When did you figure out that she

1	was selling drugs?
2	THE WITNESS: When she was selling drugs I
3	mean, I kind of had an idea. She had this girl that came
4	over, Jackie Black no, Jackie yeah, it may have been
5	Jackie Black. She was a friend of Robbie's, and Robbie
6	would tell me that she had just sold some drugs to her and
7	had some money.
8	MS. WELCH: Is that the same woman that you
9	sold drugs to?
10	THE WITNESS: No.
11	MS. WELCH: Or gave drugs to?
12	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am.
13	MS. WELCH: Who was it that you gave drugs
14	to?
15	THE WITNESS: It was a Waldrop it was an
16	informant set up by Burnsville. Trying to think of her
17	first name. I can't think of it right now.
18	MS. WELCH: Is that the only time you're
19	saying that you actually delivered drugs to somebody?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
21	MS. WELCH: Was that one time?
22	THE WITNESS: One time.
23	MS. WELCH: And an informant got them.
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
25	MS. WELCH: That's bad luck.

```
THE WITNESS: No, it's not bad luck.
 1
 2
     just the way things go with me.
 3
                     MS. WELCH: And you've written a lot of
     letters to lawyers and commissions throughout the years.
 4
 5
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Yes, ma'am.
 6
                     MS. WELCH: And if I'm understanding, you
 7
     allege that the sheriff in Yancey County, Sheriff Banks,
 8
     conspired with the ADA and conspired with your trial
 9
     attorney.
10
                     Am I right?
11
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    I believe so, yes, ma'am.
12
                     MS. WELCH: And that the Clerk was involved
     in this too; correct?
13
14
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Yes.
                                          Mm-hmm.
                     And I'll tell you -- if you ask me, I'll tell
15
16
     you why.
17
                     MS. WELCH:
                                  Okay.
18
                     THE WITNESS: All right. During the trial,
19
     when it would start, Judge Coward would sit in this way.
20
     And then when the trial would start, the witness would be
21
     over on the left side, and he would turn his chair.
22
                     Soon as he turned his chair, Gary Banks
23
     and -- well. Daniel Hockaday already had his phone on the
24
     table and so did Michael Holmes had his phone on the table,
25
     and they were texting each other back and forth.
```

1	And I got up from one of the texts and I was
2	looking over Daniel Hockaday's shoulder to see who the text
3	was coming from, and it was from Sheriff Banks. And the
4	Clerk of Court, Tammy McIntyre, seen me when I got up and
5	looked at the phone, and she said stopped and she says,
6	"Mr. Hockaday, your son has just got in a wreck in Bunn City
7	(phonetic) and he wants you to call him." So I figured she
8	was warning him that had I seen it.
9	MS. WELCH: Were you able to see what the
10	text said?
11	THE WITNESS: No, I didn't see it all. No,
12	ma'am. I just seen the one was, you know, from Banks.
13	MS. WELCH: Had his son gotten in a wreck?
14	THE WITNESS: Ma'am?
15	MS. WELCH: Had your attorney's son actually
16	gotten in a wreck?
17	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. I never seen
18	he didn't leave. He didn't, you know, say like, "Judge, I
19	need you to dismiss the court, I got to go check on my son."
20	He didn't say that.
21	MS. WELCH: Thank you.
22	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: I've got a question,
23	follow-up question.
24	JUDGE LOCK: Yes, sir.
25	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Mr. Pritchard, so you say

1	you met Robbie at an NA meeting?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
3	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: You met her at the
4	meeting, she was there for NA counseling or NA services?
5	THE WITNESS: Using drugs, yes, sir.
6	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Using drugs.
7	So when you met her, you knew she was using
8	drugs?
9	THE WITNESS: She had been using. That's
10	what I was under the assumption, that she had been using.
11	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Were you a counselor
12	then?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Mm-hmm. I sure was.
14	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: So was that before the
15	charges or after the charges that you got that you were a
16	counselor?
17	When did you stop being a counselor?
18	THE WITNESS: October of 2009.
19	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: 2009.
20	THE WITNESS: They closed the doors in
21	Alpha-Omega.
22	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: When did you get the
23	charges that you got?
24	THE WITNESS: I got them in January of 2010.
25	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: All right. Thank you.

1	JUDGE LOCK: Commissioner Glazier.
2	MR. GLAZIER: The exact same questions.
3	JUDGE LOCK: All right. Commissioner
4	Boswell.
5	MR. BOSWELL: One of the things that this
6	Commission has do is to try to judge the credibility of the
7	witnesses.
8	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
9	MR. BOSWELL: Help me understand why
10	Stephanie would say that you sold pills to Jonathan.
11	What incentive would she have to frame you
12	for this crime?
13	THE WITNESS: Well, it might be because she
14	had been using drugs with Jonathan Whitson and she would
15	have been a prime suspect.
16	MR. BOSWELL: Can you think of any reason she
17	would've fingered you as opposed to any other person?
18	THE WITNESS: Well, the thing is, is I was
19	new in that town and she had already told Robbie that I was
20	trying to fool around on her with Nathan Angel's daughter,
21	Nikki Angel.
22	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: I don't understand what
23	you mean, "fool around on her."
24	What do you mean?
25	THE WITNESS: Mess going out with her and

1	all.
2	MR. BOSWELL: Going out with who?
3	THE WITNESS: With Nikki Angel.
4	MR. BOSWELL: I'm sorry. Why would Stephanie
5	finger you for this crime because you were fooling around
6	with Nikki Angel?
7	THE WITNESS: Well, okay, you have to know
8	that Robbie was selling Jonathan and Stephanie pills, all
9	right, and if she wanted to keep on the good side of her,
10	you know, she did certain things. She told her crap, and
11	they Robbie listened to her.
12	I mean, I did give Nikki a ride to the store,
13	you know, to get some cigarettes, but that was it.
14	JUDGE LOCK: Commissioner Glazier.
15	MR. GLAZIER: I do have a series, I'm sorry,
16	Judge.
17	So despite some of the discussion today,
18	could it be well, let me ask a couple of predicate
19	questions first.
20	You agree that you took Jonathan that
21	afternoon to the corner of the road near Riddles and he
22	walked down to Riddles while you did dropped the garbage
23	off; is that right?
24	THE WITNESS: No.
25	MR. GLAZIER: Okay.

1		THE WITNESS:	You've got to know where
2	Riddles is at.	It's at bet	ween a road and a highway that
3	goes into Burnsv	ille, 19/23.	
4		MR. GLAZIER:	Right.
5		THE WITNESS:	And I let him off in that area
6	because I didn't	want to even	see my truck in Riddles
7	parking lot.		
8		MR. GLAZIER:	That's what I said.
9		You dropped hi	im off
10		THE WITNESS:	Yes, sir.
11		MR. GLAZIER:	and he went on to Riddles
12	and you went on	and dropped th	ne garbage; is that right?
13		THE WITNESS:	Yes, sir.
14		MR. GLAZIER:	Then when you finished
15		THE WITNESS:	I came back.
16		MR. GLAZIER:	at some point, you came
17	back		
18		THE WITNESS:	He was standing on the side.
19		MR. GLAZIER:	you went to get the chewing
20	tobacco.		
21		THE WITNESS:	Yes, sir.
22		MR. GLAZIER:	Do you believe that he picked
23	up or bought the	pills that he	e used that day at Riddles?
24		THE WITNESS:	He may have. I'm not sure. I
25	didn't ask him.	I didn't ask	him if he had drugs or

е
L

```
1
     testified that you were going to Fred's to get tobacco
 2
     because you didn't want to be seen at Riddles; is that
     correct?
 3
 4
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Yes, ma'am.
 5
                     JUDGE EVANS:
                                   Did you know that Aaron Collins
 6
     has made a statement to the Commission that he was not there
 7
     on March 5, he was not at home until after midnight so he
 8
     would not have given you money to buy tobacco for him?
 9
                     Did you know that?
10
                     THE WITNESS: Well, he's lying because he was
11
     right there. He's one that asked me. In fact, he says,
     "Where you going?"
12
                     I says, "I'm going to take the garbage up."
13
14
                     He said, "Well, I'll take it up," because I
     have let him use the truck before.
15
                     And I said, "No, I'm taking it up."
16
                     And he said, "Well, can you get me some
17
18
     chewing tobacco?"
19
                     And I said, "I ain't got no money."
20
                     He said, "Well, I've got enough. They got it
21
     at Fred's for a certain price" and he gave me that price.
22
                     JUDGE EVANS:
                                   And it's your testimony that
23
     you believe he broke into your lockbox and stole some of
24
     your morphine?
25
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Not a lockbox.
                                                    My room.
```

1	JUDGE EVANS: Into your room?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
3	JUDGE EVANS: So he pried open the hinges
4	from the door to your room.
5	THE WITNESS: He didn't pry it. He took the
6	screws out of the they've got the little latch that
7	screws off four screws around it that the latch goes
8	across and you put the lock in, he undid that part and it
9	came all the way off.
10	JUDGE EVANS: And he got into your room and
11	got into your lockbox.
12	THE WITNESS: He didn't get in my lockbox.
13	He got into my room.
14	JUDGE EVANS: And what did he do in your
15	room? Do you know?
16	THE WITNESS: He went through everything that
17	I had.
18	JUDGE EVANS: Where was your lockbox?
19	THE WITNESS: My safe at that time was at
20	Robbie Brown's house.
21	JUDGE EVANS: Where did you keep your
22	morphine when you moved out of Robbie Brown's house?
23	THE WITNESS: I said in the register.
24	There's a register right up underneath my computer. I put
25	it down in there.

	THE OF TH
1	JUDGE EVANS: Do you think Mr. Collins found
2	your morphine in the register?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I know he did.
4	JUDGE EVANS: To your knowledge, did Robbie
5	Brown ever give or sell your morphine to anyone?
6	THE WITNESS: That I am aware of, no, ma'am.
7	JUDGE EVANS: Did you ever suspect that she
8	was?
9	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I did suspect.
10	JUDGE EVANS: And when did you suspect it?
11	THE WITNESS: I suspected it when I had moved
12	to the trailer.
13	JUDGE EVANS: What made you suspect it then?
14	THE WITNESS: Because of the fact that all of
15	the pills were not there when I got them from it.
16	JUDGE EVANS: Those are my questions.
17	JUDGE LOCK: Commissioner Newton.
18	MS. NEWTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
19	Mr. Pritchard, I'm also having some trouble
20	with your answers.
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
22	MS. NEWTON: So I would like to ask you a
23	couple of direct questions about your private medical
24	condition, if you don't mind.
25	Would you answer that question?

1	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
2	MS. NEWTON: Okay. You testified before this
3	Commission that both you and Robbie had a back problem.
4	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
5	MS. NEWTON: Is that what you said?
6	THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm.
7	MS. NEWTON: Okay. Do you know, first, what
8	her back problem is?
9	THE WITNESS: No. But I know by the way that
10	she hurt and everything that it was extensive. And she had
11	said that she had some private doctor that had monitored
12	over the years for that back problem. And she had oh, it
13	was a motorcycle she got in a motorcycle accident and
14	that's when it really hurt her.
15	MS. NEWTON: So let's go to your back
16	problem.
17	THE WITNESS: Okay.
18	MS. NEWTON: Is that your only medical
19	condition for which you sought the prescription pain
20	medication?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
22	MS. NEWTON: And when did you first have a
23	back injury or condition?
24	THE WITNESS: It was in 2008, I believe. I
25	was working at Alpha-Omega in Madison no, not Madison,

```
was it -- yeah, Madison, Madison County, not Marion.
 1
 2
                     But I had been in a car and we had been out
 3
     going to a client's house, and the driver, she had made a
     turn to go into -- back into Alpha-Omega, where it was at,
 4
 5
     and she got hit from behind. And I was in the car, and it
 6
     twisted, that's when I injured my back.
 7
                     MS. NEWTON: And did you go to the emergency
 8
     room?
 9
                     THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
10
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   Okay. Did you have a physician
11
     who was monitoring your condition from that accident?
12
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Yes, ma'am.
13
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  What was your doctor's name, if
14
     you remember?
                     THE WITNESS: I can't remember.
15
16
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   Okay.
17
                     And, now, you said that you started working
18
     at Alpha-Omega around 2009?
19
                                   Yes, ma'am.
                     THE WITNESS:
20
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  How long after that accident?
                     THE WITNESS: It was about -- I would say for
21
22
     about almost -- about a year and four months, somewhere.
23
                                   Did they pay you at Alpha-Omega?
                     MS. NEWTON:
24
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   For the back injury?
                                        Do they -- did they pay you
25
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   No.
```

1	to work as a counselor?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
3	MS. NEWTON: Okay. So after 2009, did you
4	have a job?
5	THE WITNESS: No.
6	MS. NEWTON: So when did do you know that
7	in North Carolina there's something called the
8	North Carolina Controlled Substance Reporting System?
9	Do you have you ever heard of that?
10	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am.
11	MS. NEWTON: So every prescription that you
12	have ever filled will be in some database somewhere in
13	North Carolina.
14	Are you aware of that?
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. Yeah, I believe
16	so, yes, ma'am.
17	MS. NEWTON: When was the first pain
18	medication that you filled as a prescription?
19	THE WITNESS: Where was it?
20	MS. NEWTON: When?
21	THE WITNESS: When was it?
22	MS. NEWTON: When?
23	THE WITNESS: When I went to the VA Hospital
24	in that was in 2008.
25	MS. NEWTON: That was before your accident?

1	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
2	MS. NEWTON: What did you go to the VA
3	Hospital for?
4	THE WITNESS: I was going there for PTSD.
5	MS. NEWTON: Did you get pain medication for
6	PTSD?
7	THE WITNESS: No. They gave me Depakote
8	and what do you call it? Zoloft.
9	MS. NEWTON: When was your first pain
10	medication prescription?
11	THE WITNESS: When was it? It was in the
12	I would say I'm trying to get straight with this I
13	think it was the latter part of 2008 when I got
14	re-inscripted [sic].
15	MS. NEWTON: That was before your accident?
16	THE WITNESS: No. After the accident.
17	MS. NEWTON: Okay. And so later in 2008, you
18	started getting what prescription pain medication? What
19	were you getting?
20	THE WITNESS: At first, I was getting
21	oxycodone, Percocet. And then he changed it to 15-milligram
22	morphine. And then from 15-milligram morphine to
23	30-milligram.
24	MS. NEWTON: When did you go to 30-milligram
25	morphine?

1	THE WITNESS: I would say in 2009.
2	MS. NEWTON: So when you were are there
3	any other well, you started getting pain medications in
4	late 2008; correct?
5	THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm. Yes, ma'am.
6	MS. NEWTON: And you lost your job in 2009,
7	sometime a year later or so; is that correct?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
9	MS. NEWTON: That latter part of 2009?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. October.
11	MS. NEWTON: So what Schedule II controlled
12	substance did you deliver to I think you told the
13	district attorney here an undercover operation for your
14	January 26, 2010, offense? What controlled substance was
15	that?
16	THE WITNESS: That was morphine and
17	oxycodone.
18	MS. NEWTON: Okay. Was that the 15-milligram
19	or the 30?
20	THE WITNESS: 15.
21	MS. NEWTON: The 15?
22	So you started selling your 15-milligram
23	oxycodone at least to one undercover on January 26th of
24	2010; right?
25	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

1	MS. NEWTON: Did he pay you? Or she?
2	THE WITNESS: She paid me.
3	MS. NEWTON: Okay. How much was it?
4	THE WITNESS: \$40.
5	MS. NEWTON: For each pill?
6	THE WITNESS: No.
7	MS. NEWTON: For how many pills?
8	THE WITNESS: All told \$40 all totaled.
9	MS. NEWTON: How many pills did you sell?
10	THE WITNESS: Two morphine and four
11	oxycodone.
12	MS. NEWTON: Now, in March 5, 2011, you were
13	then charged for the second time for this offense we're here
14	at this commission hearing about; is that correct?
15	THE WITNESS: March when?
16	MS. NEWTON: For the offense date of March 5
17	of 2011.
18	THE WITNESS: 2011.
19	MS. NEWTON: 2011.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay.
21	MS. NEWTON: And what controlled substance
22	were you accused of selling for this offense?
23	THE WITNESS: 30 milligram. And that was in
24	December of 2011.
25	MS. NEWTON: Okay. And was it 30 milligrams

1	of morphine?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
3	MS. NEWTON: During the time that you were on
4	probation for the January 26, 2010, were you working as a
5	condition of your probation?
6	THE WITNESS: No, I wasn't working.
7	MS. NEWTON: Okay. Thank you.
8	JUDGE LOCK: Commissioner Glazier.
9	MR. GLAZIER: Thank you. One last series of
10	questions, Mr. Pritchard.
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
12	MR. GLAZIER: I notice on your records, and
13	you just related it, that you had a PTSD diagnosis in 2006.
14	Can you tell us what caused that trauma?
15	THE WITNESS: When I was in Vietnam.
16	MR. GLAZIER: And it was a delayed reaction
17	from your service in Vietnam?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
19	MR. GLAZIER: All right. Thank you.
20	Now, I'm just going to ask one last question.
21	Mr. Pritchard, you don't know me, but take
22	for granted that I believe in this process more deeply than
23	you can possibly know.
24	THE WITNESS: I hope so.
25	MR. GLAZIER: I do.

1	And I also believe that sometimes things
2	happen and sometimes you're put in impossible sort of
3	circumstances, and sometimes we try to spin answers that we
4	think might help us when they're not.
5	And so I'm going to ask you one last time:
6	Is there any and let me state that, at least for me, how
7	you answer this question is pretty important to me as an
8	individual commissioner.
9	THE WITNESS: Okay.
10	MR. GLAZIER: Is there anything that you have
11	said today that you want to rethink and give us any
12	explanation or different answer to?
13	THE WITNESS: It was the one where about
14	did I ever sell any drugs before, and I had forgotten about
15	that January 10 January 26, 2010.
16	MR. GLAZIER: And you still assert that other
17	than that time and the gift to Robbie you have never given
18	or sold drugs to anyone?
19	THE WITNESS: No. I haven't.
20	MR. GLAZIER: Thank you.
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
22	JUDGE LOCK: Anyone else? Last chance.
23	All right.
24	MS. SMITH: Judge, the staff would propose
25	that we take a brief break. We would like to play for you

```
1
     all those phone calls -- the recordings of those phone
 2
     calls.
 3
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  With Mr. Pritchard present?
 4
                     MS. SMITH:
                                 It's up to you whether you would
 5
     like him present or would like him to step out, but we would
 6
     like him to remain on-site in case there's additional
 7
     questions after that.
 8
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Sure. Let's play them with him
 9
     present. And we'll take a brief recess.
10
                (Recess taken, 2:52 to 3:15 p.m.)
11
                     MS. SMITH:
                                 Commissioners, Handout 52 was
12
     uploaded onto your electronic notebooks over the break.
     That is Mr. Whitson's medical records, the whole 377 pages
13
14
     that are from, I believe, January of 2010 until his death.
                     And we are still working on seeing what we
15
     can get from the hospital at those other time frames.
16
17
                     When Mr. Pritchard comes back in, we have the
18
     phone calls queued up to play for you-all. Barring any
19
     technical glitches, Mr. Fitts is going to be able to play
20
     those for us.
21
                     We have queued them up to the specific place
22
     where that's talked about. These calls are typically about
23
     15 minutes long. Rather than listening to the entirety of
24
     the phone call, we're just going to cut to the areas that I
25
     referenced in my questions for Mr. Pritchard.
```

```
They're, of course, available in their
 1
 2
     entirety if you-all would like to hear them. All 5,000
 3
     calls are available, if you would like to hear them.
 4
                     MR. BOSWELL: We'll take that under very
 5
     serious consideration.
 6
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Thank you.
 7
                     And if we could just maybe be at ease until
 8
     he comes in.
 9
                (Court at ease, 3:15 to 3:17 p.m.)
10
                     JUDGE LOCK: All right. We will come back to
11
     order.
12
                     Mr. Pritchard is back with us. Of course, he
     remains under oath for any further questions.
13
14
                     Yes, ma'am, Ms. Smith.
                     MS. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor.
15
                     So the first call that we are going to listen
16
17
     to a portion of is from July 8, 2014.
                                            This is a call
18
     between Mr. Pritchard and his sister. According to our
19
     records, this is his first recorded phone call in the prison
20
     records.
21
                     And we have queued that up at the 5:10 mark.
22
     It's about a 20-second clip related to him discussing
23
     providing drugs to the victim.
24
                (Audio played.)
                                  Would you like to have
25
                     MS. SMITH:
```

1	Commissioners ask any questions on that one?
$\frac{1}{2}$	JUDGE LOCK: Yes, sir, Commissioner Glazier.
3	MR. GLAZIER: Was that your voice,
4	Mr. Pritchard?
5	THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes, sir.
6	MR. GLAZIER: So what did you mean in that
7	conversation?
8	THE WITNESS: What did I mean?
9	MR. GLAZIER: Yeah.
10	THE WITNESS: I mean that he owed money, you
11	know. He asked me if he could buy some drugs and I told him
12	no, you know, he owed me money and I wasn't going to give
13	him any drugs.
14	MR. GLAZIER: Well, but the conversation you
15	said, as I understand it, that he owed you money for the
16	drugs.
17	Do you
18	THE WITNESS: No, I don't think that's
19	MR. GLAZIER: Mr. Pritchard, I wouldn't dig
20	yourself any deeper.
21	THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm.
22	JUDGE LOCK: Any questions?
23	Sheriff, do you have one?
24	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: No, I was going to ask
25	the same question: Was that you?

```
1
                     And is that -- what I heard you say, did you
 2
     say it? Or did I mishear something?
 3
                     THE WITNESS: No. It may have been something
 4
     that, you know, I said and I didn't, you know, have no
 5
     reason to be saying it, you know. I was so confused at the
 6
     time, I had just gotten sentenced and everything, but I
 7
     don't -- I don't remember saying that I sold him drugs.
 8
                     JUDGE EVANS: Could we replay that for just a
9
     moment, please.
10
                     JUDGE LOCK: Mr. Fitts, could you replay
11
     that, please.
12
                     MR. FITTS: Yes.
                                       Just a second to line it
13
     back up.
14
                (Audio played.)
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: So you're saying that he
15
     owes money for drugs that he didn't pay you for.
16
17
                     THE WITNESS: That's probably what I said,
18
          I mean, like I said, I was so confused and everything,
19
     shooken up, you know, given a 20-year and all.
                     And that wasn't my sister. That was my
20
21
     sister-in-law.
22
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Commissioner Newton.
23
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  Mr. Pritchard, it was clear from
24
     the second time you heard that recording that he was
25
     going -- you told him to go to Robbie's to cut the grass to
```

1	pay off the drug debt he owed you from a previous case, and
2	he owed you for that, and that's how you were going to let
3	him pay you, performing grass cutting.
4	Now, is that what you just heard yourself
5	say?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I heard what you
7	said.
8	MS. NEWTON: Thank you.
9	JUDGE LOCK: Anybody else?
10	Yes, ma'am.
11	JUDGE EVANS: And if that's what you heard
12	yourself say, what did you mean by that?
13	THE WITNESS: What I meant is that the money
14	that I had loaned him, he was buying drugs with it but I
15	didn't give him any drugs.
16	JUDGE EVANS: I'm finished.
17	JUDGE LOCK: Yes.
18	MS. NEWTON: Mr. Pritchard, you said "He was
19	supposed to sell them for me and he didn't do it so I told
20	him to go over and cut the grass."
21	Now, did you hear yourself say that
22	Mr. Whitson was supposed to sell the drugs for you and
23	because he didn't do it, that's why he owed you money?
24	Didn't you just hear yourself say that?
25	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

1	MS. NEWTON: Okay. Thank you.
2	JUDGE LOCK: Judge, did you have another
3	question?
4	JUDGE EVANS: No.
5	JUDGE LOCK: Anybody else?
6	Next recording.
7	MS. SMITH: Okay. Mr. Fitts.
8	Commissioners, this call is from July 18,
9	2014. This is a call between Mr. Pritchard and his
10	daughter, Lacey. And we are going to cue it at 5:15.
11	This is a little longer clip.
12	(Audio played.)
13	MS. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have any
14	questions for Mr. Pritchard about that recording?
15	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: You said you knew it was
16	wrong and you shouldn't be doing that; right?
17	THE WITNESS: Knew it was wrong?
18	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Yeah. You said in the
19	recording that you knew it was wrong and you shouldn't be
20	doing that.
21	Was you saying you knew you shouldn't be
22	doing it, it was wrong to be giving money for her to write a
23	statement for you what did you mean by that?
24	THE WITNESS: I knew it was wrong because of
25	the fact that, you know, she wasn't going to be able to pay

```
me back the money and everything, and I wanted my furniture
 1
     and stuff, I wanted that back. And she did have the dogs
 2
 3
     and everything she was taking care of, and they needed their
 4
     shots of stuff.
 5
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Did you want her to write
 6
     a letter for you?
 7
                     THE WITNESS: I did ask her if she would
 8
     write saying that she did not say those things because
     that's what she said in court.
9
10
                     They said that, you know, "You're perjuring
     yourself, Ms. Brown, "and she said, "No, I'm not," and she
11
12
     turned right to Sheriff Banks and she said, "They wrote
     that, not me."
13
14
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Commissioner Colbert.
                     MS. COLBERT: Did Ms. Brown get the thousand
15
16
     dollars?
17
                                   Did she get it?
                     THE WITNESS:
18
                     MS. COLBERT:
                                   Yeah.
                     THE WITNESS: I'm not sure if she did or not.
19
20
     I'm not sure.
21
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          Judge, I have one last
22
     question.
23
                     So you said, "I didn't sell any drugs";
24
     right?
25
                     And I know that when we previously talked,
```

1	you were confusing selling versus giving, right, there's a
2	difference between selling versus giving?
3	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
4	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Like you mentioned that
5	the young lady, Robbie, you gave her the drugs because she
6	was in pain but you didn't sell them to her; right?
7	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
8	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: So did you give Jonathan
9	drugs?
10	THE WITNESS: Who?
11	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Mr. Whitson.
12	THE WITNESS: No. I did not give him no
13	drugs.
14	MR. GLAZIER: Just a couple of quick
15	questions unrelated to this.
16	JUDGE LOCK: Yes.
17	MR. GLAZIER: When you were retired or were
18	forced to retire from your counseling job when they ended
19	the facility ended, what was your income? How did you make
20	money?
21	THE WITNESS: My income, I was a substance
22	abuse counselor that handled DWI cases.
23	MR. GLAZIER: After you ended your
24	counseling
25	THE WITNESS: Okay. Where did I make money

1	then?
2	MR. GLAZIER: How did you yeah. What was
3	your income? How did you make money?
4	THE WITNESS: Well, the only way I made some
5	money before my income, employment checks come in.
6	MR. GLAZIER: Unemployment?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Unemployment.
8	Mm-hmm.
9	And but I worked on this lady's furnace
10	and stuff, and that was all you know, I got money from
11	her for doing her furnace.
12	MR. GLAZIER: Did you file tax returns every
13	year?
14	THE WITNESS: I didn't file for that year,
15	no.
16	MR. GLAZIER: Did you file for tax returns in
17	2008?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	MR. GLAZIER: 2009?
20	THE WITNESS: No. 2009, I don't think I did.
21	MR. GLAZIER: 2010?
22	THE WITNESS: 2010. No, I was I didn't.
23	MR. GLAZIER: 2011?
24	THE WITNESS: No. I didn't really know
25	because, see, the employment only lasts but so long, and

```
then I started getting my disability money for my back and
 1
 2
     everything.
 3
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                    So you had two -- you had
 4
     unemployment and then you got disability money?
 5
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Yes.
 6
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                   Do you remember how much you
 7
     got in disability checks per month, average?
 8
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    It was about $1600.
 9
                     MR. GLAZIER: And how about in unemployment
10
     for the months you were unemployed that you got checks?
11
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    I got about 16.
12
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                    Any other sources of income for
     those couple of years?
13
14
                     THE WITNESS: No, not that I can think of,
15
     no, sir.
                                    Did you have savings that you
16
                     MR. GLAZIER:
17
     had accumulated over the course --
18
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    I had credit cards.
19
                                   Did you have a savings account?
                     MR. GLAZIER:
20
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Yes, sir, I had a savings
21
     account.
22
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                    How much did you have in your
23
     savings account when you got unemployed?
24
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    I'm thinking about $500,
     somewhere around there.
25
```

1	MR. GLAZIER: Thank you.
$_2$	THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm. I wasn't very good
3	with saving money.
4	JUDGE EVANS: Mr. Pritchard, did you hire
5	Mr. Hockaday as your lawyer or was he court appointed?
6	THE WITNESS: I hired him.
7	JUDGE EVANS: How did you pay him?
8	THE WITNESS: I paid him with I had some
9	cash from the Social Security disability. They sent me a
10	large check, and I paid him some on that, and then the rest
11	of it I got from the credit card, I'm pretty sure, because
12	he had to talk to Robbie, he didn't get the credit and pay
13	it off, and but he said what he needed was, he needed
14	\$1600 so he could check out the autopsy report.
15	MR. GLAZIER: I'm sorry. I've got to follow
16	that.
17	So for this felony that he represented you
18	on, you paid him a total of only \$1600 for the whole trial?
19	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
20	MR. GLAZIER: How much did you pay him?
21	THE WITNESS: 10,000.
22	MR. GLAZIER: \$10,000. And you only had \$500
23	in your savings account and you had \$1600 you were getting
24	from Social Security?
25	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

1	MR. GLAZIER: And no other income?
2	THE WITNESS: No other income.
3	MR. GLAZIER: And then you had extra money
4	when you were in prison to pay Robbie the \$1,000?
5	THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm.
6	MR. GLAZIER: Where did that come from?
7	THE WITNESS: I finally got my compensation
8	for PTSD.
9	MR. GLAZIER: From the VA?
10	THE WITNESS: VA.
11	MR. GLAZIER: How much was that?
12	THE WITNESS: It was \$4300.
13	MR. GLAZIER: Thank you.
14	JUDGE LOCK: Commissioner Bass.
15	MR. BASS: Again, just trying to be clear,
16	regarding the thousand dollars you were asking be sent to
17	Robbie Brown, in your statement, "I know it's something I
18	shouldn't be doing" specifically that statement, why was
19	it something you shouldn't be doing?
20	THE WITNESS: Because I shouldn't have been
21	paying money for her keeping my furniture and stuff. I
22	shouldn't have had to have done that, you know. I mean, she
23	had borrowed \$2,000 from me previously and never paid it
24	back; so I just didn't feel like I owed her, you know.
25	MR. BASS: It sounded pretty clear that the

```
1
     person you were talking to didn't want you doing it.
 2
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Yeah.
 3
                     MR. BASS: And then, again, you know, of
 4
     course, all of this is very personal about you, and I have a
 5
     couple of questions.
 6
                     You mentioned just now again PTSD, and it's
     mentioned in your record, and if you would be willing to say
 7
 8
     a little bit about what caused the PTSD.
 9
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Yes, sir. I was over in
10
     Vietnam in '72 and '73, and we took on fire from the coast
11
     and -- when we was doing a suicide run. And, I don't know,
12
     it just -- having them fire on me and hearing it on the --
     on the hull and everything, it just -- I freaked out.
13
14
                     MR. BASS: And PTSD symptoms include a range
     of things?
15
16
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Yes, sir.
17
                     MR. BASS:
                                Would you be able to tell us what
18
     were some of the symptoms you experienced?
19
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   All right. Not only that, my
20
     friend -- my dearest friend when growing up, he went and
21
     joined the Army and he got killed over in Vietnam. And when
22
     I had gotten out and everything, his mother -- when she told
23
     me that he had gotten killed over in Vietnam, and then she
24
     said it was from friendly fire. And I said, "What?"
25
                     And she said, "Yeah. Y'all were firing on
```

```
1
     them.
           He got killed." And I just felt bad. I mean, I
 2
     really did.
 3
                     MR. BASS: And I can certainly appreciate
 4
     that.
 5
                     At then, again, PTSD can have a range of
 6
     symptoms. Can you tell us some of the symptoms that you
 7
     experienced?
 8
                     THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. You know, not being
9
     able to sleep. Swinging -- if I had a partner or something,
10
     in the middle of the night, swinging, fighting. Suicidal
11
     thoughts.
12
                     MR. BASS:
                                And also in the records was
13
     something about -- I think the term was reported "past heavy
14
     drug use" apparently earlier in your life.
15
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Mm-hmm. Yes, sir.
                     MR. BASS: I would love to know a little bit
16
     about how heavy that was when it was at its worst.
17
18
                     THE WITNESS: It was pretty -- pretty heavy
19
     there at the end. I mean, I didn't think I could become
20
     addicted and I got addicted to opiates. And I had went to
21
     treatment for it in 2000 -- no, in 1984, yeah, 1984. And I
22
     got introduced to Narcotics Anonymous, and I've been staying
23
     clean ever since.
24
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Commissioner Colbert.
25
                     MS. COLBERT:
                                   Do you remember when and how
```

```
you found out that Ms. Brown died?
 1
 2
                     THE WITNESS: Yeah. When I came back a year
 3
     later, I believe it was a year later, it was in 2015 --
 4
     2016 -- 2016, but I came back and -- to Burnsville to see if
 5
     I could get a bond reduction because they were going to
 6
     check -- have my case appealed. And the appellate attorney,
 7
     Robert Sirianni, didn't do it on time -- like I say, I don't
 8
     know nothing about all of that.
 9
                     But anyhow, what happened was he had to ask
10
     permission to have write certerial [sic] entered in. And he
11
     didn't offer any arguments. And -- but I went back to
12
     Burnsville, and when I went back to Burnsville, the deputy
     there who was a cousin of Robbie said that Robbie had died.
13
14
                     MS. COLBERT:
                                   So from the time on the call in
     July of 2014 when you were instructing someone on the phone
15
16
     to give Ms. Brown the thousand dollars, you never heard from
17
     Ms. Brown that she had received it or from the person that
18
     she had sent it?
19
                     THE WITNESS: And she clicked the phone.
20
                     MS. COLBERT:
                                   I'm sorry? I don't understand.
21
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Should made the phone -- you
22
     see, there's certain numbers you can put in there that if
23
     you receive a call from the prisons, it would stop it. And
24
     she did that.
                     MS. COLBERT: Are you referring to Ms. Brown?
25
```

1	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
2	MS. COLBERT: So you don't know whether she
3	got the money or the person so you never verified with
4	Lacey
5	THE WITNESS: My daughter.
6	MS. COLBERT: that she did indeed send
7	Ms. Brown the money?
8	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I never knew.
9	MS. COLBERT: So you never knew whether the
10	money was sent
11	THE WITNESS: Or what.
12	MS. COLBERT: or she received it.
13	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: I just have one more
14	question. I keep pondering everything that you said in
15	reference to Robbie and also that Mr. Whitson owed you money
16	for the drugs that he didn't sell and so he was doing manual
17	labor for you to do yard work.
18	And so my question is this: I guess I
19	just want to thank you also for your service.
20	I'm just trying to wrap my head around so
21	many things as relates to you, is that so my question is:
22	This one time where you sold to undercover, was that the
23	only time you sold drugs or had you sold drugs prior to
24	that?
25	THE WITNESS: No, sir.

1	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: So you just sold drugs
2	one time in your life?
3	THE WITNESS: One time. And I didn't really
4	know that I was selling them. Robbie just told me to give
5	them to this girl. I'm trying to think of her name now.
6	Waldrop was her name, last name was Waldrop, but I can't
7	remember her first name. But Robbie asked me because she
8	was dating she was dating her cousin, which was across
9	the road.
10	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: So then my last question:
11	You only sold drugs one time. So how many times have you
12	given drugs to someone?
13	THE WITNESS: Just to Robbie.
14	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: You sold drugs one time
15	and you've only given drugs to somebody one time?
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
17	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Again, thank you for your
18	service.
19	Thank you, sir.
20	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
21	JUDGE LOCK: All right. Anything else?
22	Were those all the recordings?
23	MS. SMITH: That is.
24	JUDGE LOCK: All right. Does anyone have any
25	further questions for Mr. Pritchard?

1	All right. Yes.
2	MS. SMITH: I was just going to say, Your
3	Honor, he is under writ for tomorrow as well.
4	If Commissioners would like for him to be
5	potentially available after the experts testify, we could
6	have DPS bring him back tomorrow; otherwise, if not, then I
7	would ask that you release him from his writ so they don't
8	have to come back tomorrow.
9	JUDGE LOCK: Where is he being housed?
10	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pender County, sir.
11	Burgaw.
12	JUDGE LOCK: All right. Does anyone think
13	you might want to question Mr. Pritchard again tomorrow?
14	Are you sure? Otherwise, I'm going to
15	release the writ.
16	All right, Mr. Pritchard. Thank you so much.
17	(Witness stands down, 3:42 p.m.)
18	MS. SMITH: Judge Lock, at this time, I will
19	recall Julie Bridenstine.
20	JUDGE LOCK: All right.
21	MS. SMITH: All right.
22	Commissioners, we are now going to turn our
23	attention to Dr. Brent Hall, who was the original medical
24	examiner in this case.
25	Dr. Hall was deposed by Ms. Bridenstine on

```
July 17 of 2021. His entire deposition transcript along
 1
 2
     with a digest was provided to you-all as Handout 2.
 3
                     Judge Lock, at this time, the Commission
 4
     would request that page 13, lines 4 through 12 be redacted
 5
     from Handout 2 should it become public record as part of
 6
     this process.
                    Those particular lines detail the medications
 7
     that Dr. Hall is currently taking in great detail.
 8
                     Similarly, we request that Dr. Hall's
9
     personal cell phone number on page 19, line 16, be redacted
10
     should this become public record.
11
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  All right. And there would be
12
     an unredacted copy, though, kept in the file under seal?
13
                     MS. SMITH:
                                Absolutely. So the file that the
14
     Commission keeps will remain -- will have an unredacted
            That would also be provided to the parties in the
15
     copy.
     event that the case moves forward.
16
17
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  All right.
                                              Yes.
18
                     MS. SMITH:
                                 It's just for the public record
19
     piece, given that those items are not particularly relevant
20
     to this process.
21
                     JUDGE LOCK: All right.
                                              So ordered.
22
                                 Commissioners, you were also
                     MS. SMITH:
23
     previously provided Handout 45, which is the criminal
24
     history of Dr. Hall.
25
     Thereupon, JULIE BRIDENSTINE, a witness having been recalled by
```

the Commission, testified as follows: 1 2 EXAMINATION BY MS. SMITH: (3:43 p.m.)3 Ms. Bridenstine, can you remind the Commissioners Q. 4 who Dr. Hall is and what role he played in this case. 5 Α. Dr. Hall was the original medical examiner in this 6 case. He conducted the autopsy of Jonathan Whitson on 7 March 7, 2011, at the Watauga Medical Center. That was one 8 day after Mr. Whitson died. He testified at Mr. Pritchard's trial about the 9 10 autopsy and cause of death in this case. 11 Q. And did the Commission become aware of any 12 possible controversies surrounding Dr. Hall? Yes, we did. 13 Α. When did the Commission first become aware of 14 Q. those possible controversies? 15 Α. There was mention about Dr. Hall's possible 16 17 driving while intoxicated history when he was cross-examined 18 by Mr. Pritchard's trial attorney. There was also testimony 19 that he had resigned prior to Mr. Pritchard's trial, but the 20 circumstances surrounding the resignation was not clear. 21 Q. Did the Commission ever determine what led to 22Dr. Hall's resignation?

School of Law Innocence and Justice Clinic file, we found

that the file contained several articles related to

When we reviewed the Wake Forest University

23

24

25

Α.

Yes.

Dr. Hall's driving while intoxicated history and his work on three autopsies in Boone, North Carolina, that led to his resignation.

MS. SMITH: Commissioners, you've previously been provided Handout 46, which are all the articles regarding Dr. Hall that are from the Wake Forest Innocence and Justice Clinic's file. These articles came to the Commission with some handwritten notes on them and are provided as we received them. Those notes are not from the Commission staff.

- Q. Ms. Bridenstine, what do those articles reveal?
- A. That Dr. Hall resigned as a result of three autopsies that he performed on hotel guests who died after staying at a Best Western Hotel in Boone, North Carolina. Two of the people died in April of 2013. Dr. Hall had not completed the autopsy reports when the third person stayed in a nearby room after the hotel had reopened that section. That third person also died in June 2013. Toxicology testing performed by the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner confirmed that all three people died of carbon monoxide poisoning.

The articles also discuss the fact that Dr. Hall was charged with a DWI in 2010 that was ultimately dismissed in superior court in 2015 and the fact that he was charged again with DWI and other charges in 2018. He ultimately

resolved this case with an Alford plea to DWI at a probationary sentence in February of 2019.

Based on his drinking and driving offenses, he entered into agreements with the North Carolina Medical Board to temporarily stop practicing medicine. He has resumed the practice of medicine but there are monitoring conditions in place for him.

- Q. Did Dr. Hall address the drinking and driving charges in his deposition?
- A. Yes. He admitted to the 2018 charge but would not admit to the 2010 charge. He repeatedly said that there was no record of it.
 - Q. And is there a record for the 2010 charge?
- A. No. We could not find any indication in our searches of criminal history databases that Dr. Hall was ever charged in 2010 with DWI.
- Q. Did Dr. Hall address the controversy surrounding the Best Western Hotel deaths?
- A. Yes, he did. He explained what happened from his perspective.
- Q. And what did he say about what he based the cause of death on in this case?
- A. He said that the morphine caused the victim to develop acute pneumonia and that the cause of death was morphine toxicity. He said that this opinion was based on

the level of morphine found in the urine sample of

Mr. Whitson which, according to the medical text Besalt

was -- the level was at a toxic amount.

- He also said that he did not see any signs of aspiration pneumonia in this case.
 - Q. Did he say anything else about his findings?
- A. He called this a marginal case and explained that the level of morphine in the urine was in the low toxic range. He said he would have rather it be high.
- Q. Based on what Dr. Hall said during his deposition, did you reach out to anyone else in this case?
- A. Yes. I spoke to Irene Coffey, Dr. Hall's assistant at the autopsy, on December 9, 2021. This interview was recorded and transcribed.
 - Q. Why did you reach out to Ms. Coffey?
- A. Dr. Hall said during the deposition that she might have taken additional photographs during the autopsy and not have downloaded them.
 - Q. What did she say about that?
- A. She said that she was sure that Dr. Hall took the photographs for this autopsy. She said that all photographs taken during the autopsy should have been included in the autopsy file at the hospital and that Dr. Hall should also have them in his own file.
 - Q. Did she say why there were notations regarding

injuries on the body diagram in the autopsy report but no photographs taken of those injuries?

- A. She said that Dr. Hall usually made the decisions about what photographs to take during an autopsy. She said that they would take photographs of body parts that are significant to their cases. She did not know why there were no photographs of the injuries that were noted in his report. She said that sometimes he took photographs of injuries that were also noted in his report and sometimes he would just note the injuries in his report and not take photographs.
- Q. Did Ms. Coffey remember anything else about this autopsy?
- A. No. She said she did not remember anything about this autopsy, including how Mr. Whitson's body looked at the time of the autopsy.
 - Q. Did she say anything else?
- A. Yes. She said that Dr. Hall was a good medical examiner who was thorough. She never had any concerns about his work. She was not aware of any alcohol issues until after he resigned. And when she worked with him, he never appeared to be under the influence.
- MS. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions for Ms. Bridenstine related to Dr. Hall based on what she's testified here about today as well your review of

```
1
     her full deposition of Dr. Hall?
 2
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: I guess the question I
 3
     would have would be they noted the injuries during the
     autopsy, but no photos; so I guess the answer would be that
 4
 5
     he just didn't do it.
 6
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        Based on what Irene Coffey
 7
     said, she said that any photographs that were taken would've
 8
     been included in the autopsy file, and there are only three
 9
     autopsy photos. They're all of Mr. Whitson's face. And
10
     they've been described to us as identification photos.
11
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          So my question would be,
12
           Is that -- I'm not a doctor, but in an autopsy, what
     would be the procedure if you found injury on the body? Is
13
14
     there not a procedure or protocol when there are injuries
     found on a body, to take photos? Or is that up to the
15
     doctor, at his discretion or her discretion?
16
17
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: I believe that that
18
     question would be better asked of the experts who are going
     to be called.
19
20
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          Thanks.
                     MR. GLAZIER: I apologize.
21
22
                     Is Dr. Hall testifying?
23
                                  So we do have Dr. Hall on standby
                     MS. SMITH:
24
     to testify.
                  I intended to call him after the other experts
25
     because I think that you may have questions for him after
```

```
1
     you have heard their testimony.
 2
                     So as long as you-all plan to have questions
 3
     for him, he will remain on standby and be called at the end
 4
     tomorrow.
 5
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                    Thank you.
 6
                     MR. BOSWELL: Where is the autopsy in the
 7
     records?
 8
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  One moment and we'll find that
 9
     for you.
10
                     MS. TANNER:
                                   It's in the brief.
11
                (Overlapping speakers.)
12
                     MR. BOSWELL:
                                   It's in the brief?
13
                     MR. BRITT:
                                  Exhibit E of the brief.
14
                     MR. BOSWELL:
                                    Thank you.
                                   You got it?
15
                     MS. TANNER:
                                  Any additional questions for
16
                     MS. SMITH:
17
     Ms. Bridenstine?
18
                     We will find that page number for you,
19
     Mr. Boswell.
20
                     MR. BOSWELL:
                                    I can find it. Thank you.
21
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Okay.
22
                     MS. COLBERT: Can you go over again this 2010
     phantom conviction or charge of driving -- DWI or DUI that
23
24
     supposedly Dr. Hall had?
25
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        Sure.
                                               So the newspaper
```

- articles indicate that he was charged with DWI in 2010. 1 2 There was a question, I believe, posed by his --3 Mr. Pritchard's defense attorney of Dr. Hall during the 4 trial that referenced that case. 5 From reading the articles, what we can gather 6 from those is that that case proceeded to trial in district 7 court and Dr. Hall lost. According to the articles, he 8 appealed to superior court and it was pending until sometime 9 in 2015 when Dr. Hall won a motion to suppress the evidence. 10 And based on that, his case was dismissed. 11 MS. COLBERT: And so when you asked him about 12 it, his response was what again? MS. BRIDENSTINE: He said there was no record 13 14 of it.
- MS. COLBERT: Yeah. That's what I thought I
- 16 heard.
- MR. BRITT: Does it show up on the DMV report or was it expunged?
- MS. BRIDENSTINE: We didn't find it anywhere.
- 20 We can double-check that information. But if he had gotten
- 21 it expunged, then my understanding is that it wouldn't be
- 22 available in any criminal database.
- MR. GLAZIER: On page 19, you ask him if he's
- 24 | currently an independent -- he's back being an independent
- 25 | pathologist when you interviewed him?

1	MS. BRIDENSTINE: Yes.
2	MR. GLAZIER: And that's after the DWI and
3	the Alford the second DWI Alford plea and probation
4	agreement in 2019 and apparently after the head injury that
5	he suffered as well?
6	MS. BRIDENSTINE: He did say that he is
7	working as an independent consultant. And my understanding
8	is that he does autopsies independently. His probation
9	sentence was for a year.
10	MR. GLAZIER: For who does he do the
11	autopsies?
12	MS. BRIDENSTINE: I don't recall if that was
13	asked but I know he has a website and he advertises himself
14	as a consultant.
15	MR. GLAZIER: Thank you.
16	MR. BRITT: Is he still licensed in
17	North Carolina?
18	MS. BRIDENSTINE: He is. He was allowed to
19	resume the practice of law but there are some conditions.
20	Exhibits 11, 12, and 13 of the deposition are
21	the documents that I was able to get from the North Carolina
22	Medical Board website, and they detail what the conditions
23	are for Dr. Hall.
24	MS. SMITH: Ms. Bridenstine, you said "resume
25	the practice of law." Did you mean medicine?

MS. BRIDENSTINE: 1 I'm sorry. Medicine, yes. 2 (Discussion off the stenographic record.) 3 MS. SMITH: Any other questions? 4 We will keep him under subpoena and 5 plan to call him tomorrow. 6 Q. Ms. Bridenstine, did the Commission speak to any 7 experts in this case? 8 Α. Yes, we did. 9 Q. How many experts did the Commission ultimately speak to? 10 11 Α. We spoke to seven experts and we deposed Dr. Hall, 12 the original medical examiner. Commissioners, as a reminder, you 13 MS. SMITH: 14 were provided copies of Handouts 3 through 14 prior to the 15 hearing for your review and consideration. Those include 16 the reports and CVs for all of the experts for which the 17 Commission intends to call. Refer to them during 18 Ms. Bridenstine's testimony about the experts as well as 19 during the testimony by the experts. 20 You will note that the reports contain the 21list of materials that each expert reviewed prior to writing 22 their reports so you will have a good idea of what they saw 23before they completed their reports. 24 Q. Generally speaking, Ms. Bridenstine, what types of 25 materials did the experts receive in this case?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Though there were some differences, which is Α. reflected in the reports, in general, all of the experts except for Dr. Jason Hudson, they reviewed the trial transcript, law enforcement files, documents related to the autopsy, documents related to Mr. Whitson's stay in the jail, the hospital records of Mr. Whitson, the deposition of Dr. Hall, and a letter from Mr. Pritchard to Dr. Roberts. They also received the other expert reports. The forensic pathologists also reviewed the autopsy slides. The toxicologists received standard operating procedures and policies related to the toxicology testing in this case that came from the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner. Last week, we provided to them the depositions of Annette Whitson Greene and Stephanie Whitson Randolph along with the transcript of the interview of Nikki Angel.

Annette Whitson Greene and Stephanie Whitson Randolph along with the transcript of the interview of Nikki Angel. We asked them to review certain page ranges that contained information related to what those witnesses knew about Mr. Whitson's medical conditions, how he appeared to be feeling before he died, how he appeared at death, and Ms. Randolph's denial to the allegation that she provided any pills including blood pressure medication to Mr. Whitson before he died.

MS. SMITH: Commissioners, Ms. Bridenstine

mentioned that Dr. Hudson did not review these materials. 1 2 She will testify about why that is in just a moment. 3 Q. Which experts did the Commission speak to in this 4 case? 5 We spoke to Dr. Christena Roberts, a forensic 6 pathologist who was originally hired by Mr. Pritchard when 7 he was represented by postconviction attorney David Belser. 8 This was prior to Mr. Pritchard applying to the Commission. Dr. Roberts continued to work with Mr. Pritchard's 9 10 current attorneys, Mark Rabil and Emily Thornton, after 11 David Belser had a stroke. 12 We spoke to Dr. Andrew Ewens, a forensic toxicologist who consulted with Dr. Roberts in this case. 13 14 We spoke to Dr. Jerri McLemore, a forensic pathologist and medical examiner in Forsyth County. 15 16 We spoke to Dr. Jason Hudson. He is the chief 17 toxicologist for the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner in 18 Raleigh. 19 We spoke to Dr. Christopher Holstege, a professor 20 of emergency medicine and pediatrics and chief of the 21 division of toxicology at the University of Virginia School 22of Medicine. Dr. Holstege is a medical toxicologist. 23We spoke to Dr. Barbara Wolf, a forensic 24pathologist and medical examiner in Leesburg, Florida. 25 And, finally, we spoke to Dr. George Behonick, a

forensic toxicologist with AXIS Forensic Technologists, which is a lab based in Indiana.

- Q. Ms. Bridenstine, you've given us a lists of seven individuals. Using the slide behind you, can you please provide the commissioners with a general overview of why we talked to seven individuals.
- A. Yes. In order to understand cause of death in this case, we recognized that we needed two types of experts: A forensic pathologist and a forensic toxicologist.

Mr. Pritchard's defense team had originally consulted with one such team, which is on the slide and labeled Team A. As I will explain later, their opinions were relevant, but we decided that we needed to hire independent experts for the Commission.

The Commission then reached out to the people listed under Team B, which is Dr. Jerri McLemore, the forensic pathologist who works out of Forsyth County, and Dr. Jason Hudson, who's the chief toxicologist for the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner. We originally hired them to be our independent experts in this case. And for reasons I will shortly testify to, they also could not ultimately serve as independent experts for the Commission, though they also provided relevant information to us about this case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We then began looking for independent experts to hire in their various fields that would be relevant for this case, and that's Team C, which are the three experts who are listed on the slide. And can you tell us about those three experts Q. listed as Team C. Α. We hired Dr. Barbara Wolf, Dr. George Yes. Behonick, and Dr. Christopher Holstege to serve as experts in this case. We learned through our investigation that we needed a team of three doctors to look at this case: A forensic pathologist to review the autopsy and the slides; a toxicologist with a Ph.D. to review and interpret the toxicology testing that was performed this case; and a medical doctor who is a toxicologist with a clinical background who deals with drug overdoses on a regular basis.

MS. SMITH: Commissioners, we are now going to walk through each of these teams and talk with Ms. Bridenstine about how the Commission interacted with them.

After you receive this background testimony, then we'll be calling each of these individuals in turn.

- Q. Let's begin with Dr. Christena Roberts. Why did the Commission speak with her?
- A. During our investigation of Mr. Pritchard's case, we realized that he had been working with Dr. Roberts in

reviewing this case and the cause of death.

Associate Director Beth Tanner called and spoke to Dr. Roberts. She learned that Dr. Roberts was also working with Mark Rabil, director of the Wake Forest clinic, and he had an open investigation into this case.

After this conversation, Ms. Tanner spoke to
Mr. Rabil about referring this case to the Commission. The
Commission then obtained Wake Forest's file on this case.
And we also obtained an unsigned draft affidavit from
Dr. Roberts regarding her opinion on cause of death for
Mr. Pritchard's case.

MS. SMITH: And, Commissioners, that unsigned draft affidavit was provided for you in your brief on pages 516 to 519.

- Q. Ms. Bridenstine, when did the Commission speak to Dr. Roberts?
- A. Beth Tanner and I spoke to her in late May 2021 after receiving and reviewing her draft affidavit. She reported to us that it was her opinion that morphine toxicity did not cause Mr. Whitson's death. She also reported that she has -- had consulted with a forensic toxicologist, Dr. Andrew Ewens, who agreed with her assessment in this case.
- Q. And why did the Commission speak to these other experts in this case?

A. It's the Commission's practice to hire its own independent experts for our cases. We always explain to potential experts that we are not seeking any particular opinion, that we are a neutral state agency looking for the truth, and that we will present whatever the expert opinion is in our case.

Because Dr. Roberts and Dr. Ewens were hired by Mr. Pritchard, we felt that we needed to hire a forensic pathologist and a forensic toxicologist to review the case for the Commission.

Since we had the opinion of Dr. Hall that
Mr. Whitson's death was caused by morphine toxicity and the
opinion of Dr. Roberts that Mr. Whitson's death was not
caused by morphine toxicity, we wanted to hire independent
experts to conduct a neutral review and tell us what their
opinions are regarding what caused the death in this case.

- Q. Ms. Bridenstine, remind the commissioners who Dr. Andrew Ewens is.
- A. He is a forensic toxicologist who consulted with Dr. Roberts about the toxicology testing that was performed in this case.
- Q. Did the Commission ever receive a report from Dr. Ewens?
- A. Yes, we did. After we realized that Mark Rabil and Dr. Roberts had consulted with him in this case, I

reached out to Dr. Ewens and requested that he provide his opinion to us. He provided a rough draft of an affidavit he was working on at the time, and he provided it to us on June 15, 2021.

- Q. Tell the commissioners how the Commission came to obtain the completed report of Dr. Ewens.
- A. Dr. Roberts told us that she -- there were certain documents and materials that she wanted to review in this case in order to complete a report.

Because we were able to locate the histology slides and the paraffin blocks that were collected during the autopsy as well as many additional documents and files that Dr. Roberts had not reviewed yet through her work with Mr. Pritchard's defense attorneys, we executed an agreement with her in order for her to complete a final report. We felt that since Dr. McLemore and Dr. Wolf had been provided opportunities to review those materials and autopsy samples, that we should give Dr. Roberts the same opportunity.

We executed an agreement with Dr. Roberts to be paid for five hours of work in November of 2021. And after we received the agreement from her, I sent the histology slides, paraffin blocks, and additional documents for her to review.

Dr. Roberts then asked me if the Commission wanted the completed report from Dr. Ewens as she was going to

reference her conversations with him in her final report.

told her yes. And when she submitted her report, she sent
to me the final report from Dr. Ewens.

- Q. Did the Commission speak to Dr. Ewens about his report?
- A. Yes. After we received it, I reached out to him and spoke about his testifying for the Commission. He agreed to accept the subpoena for his testimony.

I also sent to him the same materials that I had sent to Dr. George Behonick and Dr. Christopher Holstege as well as all the expert reports from everyone else and told him that he was welcome to review them prior to the commission hearing so that he had the same understanding of this case as the other experts did.

MS. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have any questions so far about Team A?

- Q. Can you tell us which experts the Commission spoke to after speaking with Dr. Roberts.
- A. Ms. Tanner reached out to forensic pathologist
 Dr. Jerri McLemore, who is the medical examiner for Forsyth
 County, after the phone call with Dr. Roberts. The
 Commission had previously worked with Dr. McLemore on
 another commission case a few years ago.
- Dr. McLemore reviewed the cause of death for that
 case and also testified at a commission hearing.

1 Dr. McLemore agreed to be an expert in 2 Mr. Pritchard's case and executed an agreement to be paid 3 for her services. 4 The day after Ms. Tanner spoke to Dr. McLemore, 5 she spoke to Dr. Jason Hudson, who is the current chief 6 toxicologist at the Office of the Medical Examiner in 7 Raleigh. Ms. Tanner had called their office to see what 8 materials they had other than documents related to the 9 autopsy that was performed, and she called specifically to 10 see if they had the histology slides or other samples from 11 the autopsy. 12 She spoke to Dr. Hudson and explained Dr. McLemore's involvement in this case. She also explained 13 14 the need for the Commission to hire a toxicologist to review 15 this case and then Dr. Hudson agreed to be an expert. Both Dr. McLemore and Dr. Hudson executed 16 17 agreements to be paid for their services and they received 18 case materials from the Commission on June 10, 2021. 19 And you mentioned looking for histology slides and Q. 20 tissue samples. 21What did the Commission learn about what was 22preserved from the autopsy? 23We learned that the Office of the Chief Medical 24 Examiner has a retention policy of five years and they had

nothing other than documents in their possession related to

this autopsy.

They recommended checking with the hospital where the autopsy was performed. We checked with that hospital, which is Watauga Medical Center, and learned that they still had the histology slides and the paraffin blocks from the autopsy. They did not have anything left other than documents related to the autopsy that they had already produced to us.

- Q. What did the Commission do next?
- A. We issued a subpoena to take possession of the histology slides and the paraffin blocks. I arranged to pick up the slides and paraffin blocks and transfer them to Dr. McLemore on the same day so that she could review them.

On July 15, 2021, I picked up the histology slides and paraffin blocks from the Watauga Medical Center in Boone, and then later I traveled to Winston-Salem and met with Dr. McLemore at a café to give her the histology slides and the paraffin blocks.

- Q. What happened when you met with Dr. McLemore?
- A. I gave her the histology slides and the paraffin blocks and she signed the commission chain of custody form. She then told me that her initial thoughts on this case were in line with Dr. Hall's findings and that she did not disagree with him. She said that she thought the likely cause of death was aspiration bronchial pneumonia but she

was reserving her opinion until she looked at the histology slides and paraffin blocks. She said that unless she saw something in those slides, her findings would be consistent with Dr. Hall's findings.

Q. Did she say anything else?

A. Yes. She said that she felt pressured by
Ms. Tanner to take the case. She said that she tried to
tell Ms. Tanner that she did not think she had time, but she
thought Ms. Tanner was desperate. She then said that her
employment contract with the Office of the Chief Medical
Examiner does not allow her to testify against a
North Carolina medical examiner.

I asked her if that was just for current medical examiners or if it also included past medical examiners, since Dr. Hall has not been a medical examiner since 2013. Dr. McLemore told me that no one has told her the answer, but she believed that it included past medical examiners.

She said that she had consulted with the Office of the Chief Examiner's legal counsel, Ashton Roberts. She said this had not been a previous -- had not been an issue with the previous commission case that she had worked on. She also said that since she believed her opinion would likely be in line with Dr. Hall's, she would not be violating her contract as she would not be testifying against him.

She said that her preliminary opinion, which could change after viewing the slides, was that the cause of death was complication of drugs, morphine toxicity, bronchial pneumonia.

We talked about the toxicology report briefly and then I left.

Q. Did the Commission put any pressure on Dr. McLemore to serve as an expert in this case?

- A. No, we did not. We had used her on a previous case and contacted her to see if she was available for this one. We were not desperate to have her serve as an expert in this case and she was the first person we reached out to about it and she agreed to do it.
- Q. When you met with Dr. McLemore, did you think that you were going to speak about the case?
- A. No. I thought I was just there to give her the evidence so that she could complete her review and I was not aware to what extent she had already begun reviewing the materials in this case.
- Q. What happened as a result of your conversation with Dr. McLemore?
- A. The Commission decided that a new forensic pathologist was needed to serve as an independent expert for this case. We also decided that any forensic pathologist needed to come from outside North Carolina, with no ties to

the state, in order to avoid any potential conflicts due to that contract language.

We also learned later that state employees of the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner would have a conflict with serving as experts as well in our cases. Had we known about Dr. McLemore's contract provision, we never would've hired her as an expert in the first place.

We also reached out to Dr. Hudson to see if he had a similar provision in his contract that would preclude him from testifying against a North Carolina medical examiner.

Q. Ms. Bridenstine, I will get to Dr. Hudson in just a little bit.

Did anything else happen with Dr. McLemore?

A. Yes. I spoke with her on the phone the day after I met with her in Winston-Salem and gave her the slides and the paraffin blocks. She said she had looked at the histology slides. She told me that her opinion was still the same and that Mr. Whitson had aspiration bronchopneumonia.

Ms. Tanner and I also had additional phone calls with Dr. McLemore about this case. During a recorded phone interview on July 27, 2021, she talked about her opinion of what the cause of death was. She discussed her employment contract and she discussed her prior knowledge of Dr. Hall.

MS. SMITH: Commissioners, you were

```
previously provided Handout 47, which is the transcript of
 1
 2
     the interview of Dr. McLemore that was conducted on July 27,
 3
     2021, by Ms. Bridenstine and Ms. Tanner.
 4
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                    So let me get this straight.
 5
                     We are hearing or reading an expert's opinion
 6
     who you just said the Commission, if they'd known everything
 7
     about, would not be seeking the opinion of someone who says
 8
     she has a contract that says she can't testify against a
 9
     state medical examiner's opinion and, lo and behold, she
10
     does not.
11
                     Is that where we are?
12
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: Yes.
13
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                    Thank you.
                                  Commissioners, I'm going to add
14
                     MS. SMITH:
     in my personal -- not personal -- my professional comment
15
16
     here.
                     As director, I am charged with presenting to
17
18
     you-all all relevant evidence. Because Dr. McLemore had
     already provided an opinion, we believe -- I believe as
19
20
     director that it's important for you to have that, but we
21
     also wanted you to have the context of how that opinion came
22
     to us.
                     You'll have an opportunity to ask
23
24
     Dr. McLemore questions because she is going to testify
25
     today.
             So ...
```

JUDGE LOCK: Commissioner Colbert. 1 2 MS. COLBERT: Didn't you say we have used or the Commission has used her previously? 3 4 MS. BRIDENSTINE: Yes. 5 MS. COLBERT: Okay. I just wanted to be 6 sure. Okay. Thank you. 7 And, Ms. Bridenstine, can you clarify for the Q. 8 commissioners why Dr. McLemore felt she did not have a 9 conflict in the prior case with respect to her employment 10 contract? 11 Α. She said that that case predated the current 12 system for how the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner employs and contracts with medical examiners around the 13 14 state. After your phone call with -- or phone interview 15 Q. 16 with Dr. McLemore, did the Commission ever again discuss 17 with her that employment contract? 18 Α. Ms. Tanner and I spoke to her on the phone 19 on August 17, 2021. Dr. McLemore reported that she met with 20 the chief medical examiner and legal counsel. She said that 21she was told that she can never work on a case that 22originated from the current medical examiner system, but 23that she could write a report and testify in this case. 24 She said that she was told that she could not work 25 with the Commission again. She also said that her previous

work with the Commission was okay because it happened before the current system.

She was told that the position is that this prevents bias for or against the North Carolina medical examiners who are being reviewed.

- Q. Did the Commission ever obtain Dr. McLemore's employment contract with the chief -- Office of Chief Medical Examiner?
- A. Yes. We served a subpoena on the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner for documents. As part of that request, we asked for the most recent employment contract for Dr. McLemore. The Office of the Chief Medical Examiner produced the current contract between the State and Wake Forest Baptist for autopsy services. This is the contract that Dr. McLemore was referring to in her conversation with us.

MS. SMITH: Commissioners, you were previously provided Handout 48, which is that employment contract.

The provision in question is paragraph 16 on page 9 of that handout, which states, quote: "Ensure that any pathologist employed by vendor does not enter into any contract or accept any additional employment to act as an expert witness in opposition to OCME. This includes publishing a report for litigation and/or offering testimony

1	that conflicts with the report or testimony of, one, a
2	professional staff member of the OCME; two, another
3	pathologist under contract with OCME; or, three, another
4	local medical examiner in the North Carolina Medical
5	Examiner system."
6	Commissioners, you also previously received
7	Dr. McLemore's report as Handout 3 and her CV as Handout 4.
8	Q. Ms. Bridenstine, what did Dr. McLemore conclude
9	was the cause of death in her report?
10	A. She stated that her opinion was that morphine
11	contributed to death. She determined that the cause of
12	death in this case was pneumonia due to obtundation due to
13	morphine intoxication. She said that the manner of death
14	was accident.
15	Q. Did the Commission provide any additional
16	materials to Dr. McLemore after she issued her report?
17	A. Yes. We gave her additional materials that we
18	also provided to the other experts and we also gave her the
19	other expert reports that were issued in this case.
20	MS. SMITH: Do any commissioners have any
21	additional questions for Ms. Bridenstine about Dr. McLemore?
22	Q. Ms. Bridenstine, I want to turn back to Dr. Jason
23	Hudson.
24	Based on what we learned about Dr. McLemore's
25	employment contract, did we determine if Dr. Hudson had a

similar contract provision?

A. Yes. The day after I met with Dr. McLemore in Winston-Salem, I spoke to Dr. Hudson and asked him if he had a contract provision that stated he could not testify against a North Carolina medical examiner. He informed me that he did not have an employment contract since he is a state employee and that Dr. McLemore is an independent contractor through a regional autopsy center.

He said that he thought that the contract provision was about preventing independent contractors from testifying against OCME pathologists. He said that there was nothing that would preclude him from offering an opinion in this case and that he did not have any conflicts.

He also brought up the fact that he cannot testify about manner and cause of death but only about interpreting the toxicology report.

Dr. Hudson said that his only issue was that he did not think he could accept payment for his services as he is a state employee and the Commission is a state agency. He does not bill state employees for any work that he does. He said that he was willing to work for free.

- Q. Did the Commission ultimately end up continuing with Dr. Hudson as a forensic toxicology expert in this case?
 - A. No, we did not. We continued to consult with him

for a few weeks as we understood he would continue to work as a forensic toxicologist for free. But on August 5, 2021, I received an email from him asking that we put a, quote, "hold status," end quote, on his involvement in this case.

He indicated that through Dr. McLemore, our request for his involvement had come to the attention of the chief medical examiner and the office counsel was determining the process for the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner's involvement in these types of reviews.

- Q. What happened to Dr. Hudson's hold status?
- A. On August 23, 2021, I received an email from Dr. Hudson saying OCME was not allowing him to serve as an expert or testify in this case since this case was an OCME case and he is a current employee of OCME, regardless of whether he was paid or unpaid.

He went on to say that he had received permission to speak to the Commission, quote, "regarding the scope of analysis, limits of detection, and other nuances of the tox report so that the appropriate information is translated to the experts and Commission for their interpretation.

However, I will not be able to provide testimony or interpretive opinions for the Commission," end quote.

Q. Did the Commission do anything to determine if the Office of Chief Medical Examiner's position of not authorizing Dr. Hudson's expert for the Commission was based

```
on any policy?
 1
 2
          Α.
               Yes. As part of the subpoena that we served on
 3
     the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner, we requested,
 4
     quote, "any current policies related to expert consultation,
 5
     expert retention, and expert testimony by any employee of
 6
     the Office of the Chief Examiner," end quote.
 7
                The Office of the Chief Medical Examiner produced
 8
     a secondary employment policy from the State of
 9
     North Carolina Office of Human Resources and stated that it
10
     used this policy to determine employee availability to serve
11
     as experts.
12
                     MS. SMITH: Commissioners, you previously
     received the secondary employment policy as Handout 49.
13
14
                     Does anyone have any questions for
     Ms. Bridenstine about Dr. Hudson?
15
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Yes, I have one.
16
17
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Yes, sir.
18
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: I guess maybe it's a
19
     question for the doctor. When she -- I was looking at the
20
     evaluation where she says that Dr. Hall's opinion that the
21
     decedent died from morphine toxicity is not entirely
22
     incorrect.
                     I just want to know, what does that mean?
23
24
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        She will be testifying, we
25
     think, later today. And I believe you're referring to
```

1 Dr. McLemore's report? 2 SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Right. 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Okey-doke. Thank you. 5 Q. Ms. Bridenstine, did the Commission reach out to 6 additional experts in this case? 7 Α. We did. Q. 8 Who else? 9 I corresponded with a previous expert, Dr. Sharon 10 Kelly, that the Commission had worked with on a previous 11 case. She is a professor in the psychiatry and 12 neurobehavioral sciences department at the University of 13 Virginia, and I asked her if she knew of any forensic 14 pathologists outside the state of North Carolina who might 15 be able to review the cause of death in a drug overdose 16 case. 17 She said that she did not know of any forensic 18 pathologists but she recommended contacting Dr. Christopher 19 Holstege, the chief toxicologist at the University of 20 Virginia School of Medicine. 21Based on Dr. Kelly's recommendation, I reached out 22to Dr. Holstege and spoke to him on the phone to ask if him 23if he could recommend any forensic pathologists who might be 24 available to work on this case. 25 Did Dr. Holstege have any recommendations? Q.

A. Yes. After explaining the facts of this case, he said that he could possibly serve as an expert as he deals with drug overdoses in the clinical setting and he also works closely with forensics.

He said that since he is a clinical MD

toxicologist, he can opine on manner and cause of death.

He also said that as a medical toxicologist, the Commission would need a toxicologist with a Ph.D. to explain the toxicology report.

He offered to review some of the case materials for free and asked if it would be okay for his fellows to also look at the case as he thought it would be good for their educational program.

- Q. Did the Commission provide materials to Dr. Holstege?
 - A. Yes, we did.

- Q. Did Dr. Holstege have any recommendations for additional experts for the Commission?
- A. Yes. As I said, he didn't know of any forensic pathologists, but after Dr. Hudson could no longer serve as our forensic toxicologist, I asked Dr. Holstege if he knew of any forensic toxicologists outside the state of North Carolina.

He recommended a couple of toxicologists, including Dr. George Behonick, a forensic toxicologist who

1 Dr. Holstege had worked with in the past. 2 Dr. Holstege also told me that when he works on 3 cases, it is usually with a team of three doctors: A forensic toxicologist who can opine on the toxicology test 4 5 and the validity of the test; a forensic pathologist who can 6 look at the autopsy and the slides; and a medical 7 toxicologist like him who can discuss the clinical side of 8 medicine as he cares for patients with drug issues and drug overdoses all the time. 9 10 Q. Did Dr. Holstege ultimately agree to serve as an 11 expert in this case? 12 Α. Yes, he did. He executed an agreement for his 13 services on September 27, 2021. 14 When he was consulting with the Commission, he wanted to find out more about what the "trace" designation 15 16 meant for the morphine found in the femoral blood sample. 17 Dr. Hudson provided some information about that to 18 me that I passed on to Dr. Holstege. And after he executed 19 his agreement, I sent to him the remaining materials he 20 would need to review this case. 21 MS. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have any 22questions about Dr. Holstege for Ms. Bridenstine? 23Ms. Bridenstine, you mentioned Dr. George Q. 24 Behonick. Remind the commissioners who Dr. Behonick is.

Dr. Behonick is the director and chief

25

Α.

- toxicologist of AXIS Forensic Toxicology, which is a lab based in Indianapolis. Dr. Holstege recommended that I reach out to him after Dr. Hudson put his status on hold for the Commission.
 - Q. Did Dr. Behonick agree to be a forensic toxicologist expert for the Commission?

- A. Yes, he did. After I explained the case and the need for a forensic toxicologist, he agreed and executed an agreement through AXIS Forensic Toxicology with the Commission on August 26, 2021.
- Q. Did Dr. Behonick make any special requests for the Commission?
- A. He said that in order to do a review in this case, he would need certain documents from the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner regarding the standard operating procedures and policies that were in place at the time of the toxicology testing on Mr. Whitson's samples. Specifically, he wanted to know all the standard operating procedures and analytical methods that were in place at the time to perform the toxicology testing, all standard operating procedures regarding the reporting of drug values from toxicology testing, the cut-off values for positive results for screening tests, and the lowest limit reported for confirmation tests for opiates, a list of all drugs and substances that were screened during the toxicology testing.

Dr. Behonick also wanted to confirm that raw data no longer existed for the toxicology testing that was performed in this case.

Q. Did you provide those documents to Dr. Behonick?

A. I did. I also provided them to Dr. Holstege for

A. I did. I also provided them to Dr. Holstege for his review. And after serving a subpoena on the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner, they produced the requested documents to the Commission. They also confirmed that they no longer had the raw data from the toxicology testing because it was outside their retention period.

MS. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions for Ms. Bridenstine about Dr. Behonick?

- Q. Did the Commission hire an independent forensic pathologist as an expert in this case?
- A. Yes, we did. We hired Dr. Barbara Wolf, who is a forensic pathologist and medical examiner in Leesburg, Florida.
- Q. Tell the commissioners how Dr. Wolf came to be the forensic pathologist independent expert for the Commission.
- A. I researched and spoke to several forensic pathologists who work outside of the state of North Carolina who offer -- who also offer their services as experts. I also asked Dr. Behonick if he had any recommendations for any forensic pathologists who might be able to serve. He recommended a forensic pathologist named

Dr. Tracy Corey, a medical examiner in Florida. I contacted 1 2 Dr. Corey, who informed me that she no longer takes cases, 3 but she referred me to Dr. Wolf, who works in the same 4 office as Dr. Corey. She said that Dr. Barbara Wolf was a 5 board-certified forensic pathologist who was well versed in 6 drug overdose cases. 7 Q. Did Dr. Wolf ultimately agree to be a forensic 8 pathologist expert for the Commission? 9 She did. We also provided the histology slides 10 for her review and she executed her agreement on 11 September 22, 2021. 12 MS. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have any 13 questions for Ms. Bridenstine about Dr. Wolf? 14 MR. BOSWELL: Not really about Dr. Wolf, but the slides that you're -- are these the same slides that 15 you're getting back and sending them to someone else and 16 17 getting back and sending them to someone else? 18 MS. BRIDENSTINE: Yes. So I got them from 19 Watauga Medical Center. I gave them to Dr. McLemore. 20 reviewed them and she shipped them back to me. I then gave 21 them to Dr. Barbara Wolf. She shipped them back to me. I 22then shipped them to Dr. Roberts. 23MR. BOSWELL: Thank you. 24 Q. Ms. Bridenstine, at this point, have all the

experts that we've discussed so far received the reports of

all of the other experts in this case?

A. Yes. Except for Dr. Hudson. Since he was not authorized to work for the Commission, we did not send him additional materials after he told us that.

MS. SMITH: Commissioners, as I call each expert today and tomorrow, please make sure that you pull up their reports. That will provide you with a list of what they were provided as well as what their expert opinions are in the case.

These, again -- these, again, are Handouts 3 through 14.

As we have explained to you, please remember that Team A and B have relevant information but they were ultimately not hired by the Commission as our independent experts. We will tender them as experts because they have expertise and allow them to provide those opinions but we believed it was necessary to hire these independent folks that were neither tied to the defense nor had the restrictions in their employment with OCME.

The only exception to this is you will not hear from Dr. Hudson, as he has not been given permission to proceed at all with the Commission.

As we hired independent experts which are denoted up here as Team C, I don't intend to march through every single report. You-all have had an opportunity to

```
1
     read them and I believe that that would be a waste of your
 2
     time.
 3
                     I will have some qualifying questions for
 4
                   I will also have a few pointed questions for
 5
     them after we have tendered them as experts. And then
 6
     you-all get to ask any questions that you have of them as
 7
     well.
 8
                     Before we begin calling our experts, does
9
     anyone have any more questions for Ms. Bridenstine?
10
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                    Thank you, Your Honor.
11
                     I'm just trying to -- in lieu of the expert
12
     parade coming, I want to try to get, not the lineup, I
     understand what you did and I think what you did was exactly
13
14
     right, by the way. I agree with you.
                     But I am trying to figure out who they are.
15
     In my reading -- and I want a summary from you.
16
17
                     My reading of the expert reports, at least at
18
     this point, is that Dr. Roberts, Dr. Ewens, Dr. Wolf, and
19
     Dr. Holstege have significant concerns with the Hall
20
     determinations. Dr. Behonick has a toxicology report that
21
     is somewhere in the middle, for lack of a better way of
22
     saying it. Dr. McLemore is the only one that seems in full
23
     agreement with Hall.
24
                     As a starting point, and I know that's
25
     global, is that an accurate summary?
```

```
MS. BRIDENSTINE:
 1
                                       I don't think I can
 2
     characterize the reports for you.
 3
                     MR. GLAZIER: All right.
 4
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          Based on her report -- I
 5
     just want to make sure I'm understanding her report -- when
 6
     I say "her," Dr. McLemore -- she says that he's not entirely
 7
     incorrect.
 8
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        She did say that in her
 9
     report. And she will be testifying, I believe, next.
10
                     MS. SMITH:
                                 Yes.
11
                     MR. BOSWELL: Is Dr. McLemore next?
12
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  She is.
13
                     Any other questions about the experts?
14
                     Before we move on to them, we do have a few
     items that came up earlier in the hearing that we want to
15
16
     clarify with you-all.
17
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                       So earlier there was a
18
     question about whether or not Stephanie Whitson Randolph's
19
     dad is a bondsman.
                         That was asked during the deposition and
20
     she confirmed that he is -- or he was a bondsman at the
21
     time. You can find those questions on page 69 and 70 of her
22
     deposition.
23
                     She also said that she did not -- she said
24
     that the status of her father as a bondsman didn't prevent
25
     anyone from giving her drugs that she was aware of.
```

1	There was also a question about whether or
2	not Dr. Hall's 2010 DWI charge was in DMV records. We have
3	DCIN records that include DMV and there is nothing regarding
4	the 2010 charge in those records.
5	MR. GLAZIER: Your Honor, before we proceed
6	can we take a quick five-minute break?
7	JUDGE LOCK: We certainly may.
8	Just for our planning purposes, how many
9	experts do you have on tap for this afternoon?
10	MS. SMITH: I'm not entirely sure how long
11	Dr. McLemore will take. We need to finish by 6:00 o'clock
12	today so I would like to assess where we're at when we're
13	done with her as to whether we move on to the next one or
14	wait until tomorrow morning.
15	JUDGE LOCK: Okay. Very good.
16	MS. SMITH: So I do think it's a great time
17	for a break.
18	JUDGE LOCK: All right. Let's break until
19	about a quarter till.
20	(Recess taken, 4:37 to 4:50 p.m.)
21	JUDGE LOCK: All right. Let's come to order.
22	I think Dr. McLemore is available. And I understand she
23	does not have a camera on her computer so this will be voice
24	only.
25	MS. SMITH: That is our understanding.

1	JUDGE LOCK: All right. Whenever you're
2	ready.
3	MS. SMITH: All right.
4	Commissioners, you may recall that
5	Dr. McLemore or that there was testimony earlier that the
6	Commission had retained Dr. McLemore early in our
7	investigation to evaluate the autopsy and review the cause
8	of death determination in this case.
9	We have provided previously with
10	Dr. McLemore's report again, that's Handout 3. And her
11	CV is Handout 4.
12	You will want to refer to that throughout her
13	testimony.
14	And at this time, the Commission calls
15	Dr. Jerri McLemore.
16	JUDGE LOCK: All right. Dr. McLemore, this
17	is Tom Lock. I'm chair of the Commission. I know you
18	cannot see me, but I need to have you sworn or affirmed.
19	Do you have any particular objection to being
20	sworn?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
22	JUDGE LOCK: All right. Do you have any
23	objection to being affirmed?
24	THE WITNESS: No, I do not.
25	JUDGE LOCK: All right.

Do you affirm, then, to -- that the testimony 1 2 you will give the Commission this afternoon will be the 3 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? Is this 4 your solemn affirmation? 5 THE WITNESS: It is. 6 JUDGE LOCK: Thank you very much. 7 Thereupon, JERRI MCLEMORE, MD, a witness having been called by the 8 Commission, was affirmed and testified as follows via telephonic 9 connection: 10 EXAMINATION BY MS. SMITH: (4:51 p.m.)11 Q. Dr. McLemore, my name is Lindsey Guice Smith. 12 the executive director of the Innocence Inquiry Commission. I am going to ask you a series of questions today, first, 13 14 starting with your qualifications and then moving into your 15 review of the case. When I'm done asking questions, the commissioners 16 17 who are seated around the table -- and I know you don't have 18 a camera but I'm not sure if you can see us -- but we have 19 eight main commissioners and three alternate commissioners 20 around the table, and all of them or any of them may have 21questions for you. 22We do have a court reporter present today so I 23would just ask that you keep your voice up and, of course, 24 respond audibly for us because the court reporter needs to

take that down, but also we can't see you.

25

1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	Okay.
3		Did you provide a report and CV to the Commission?
4	Α.	Yes, I did.
5	Q .	And do those documents outline your training,
6	education,	experience, and any certifications that you have?
7	Α.	Yes, they do.
8	Q.	Approximately how many times have you testified as
9	an expert	in forensic pathology before?
10	Α.	About 300 times.
11	Q.	And what types of cases have those been? Are
12	those typi	cally criminal cases or have there also been civil
13	cases?	
14	Α.	There have been a handful of civil cases, about
15	15.	
16	Q.	And the remainder would be criminal?
17	Α.	And the remainder is well, the remainder are
18	cases that	fell under the medical examiner system, yes.
19	Q.	Okay.
20		And in what jurisdictions have you testified?
21	Α.	I have testified in federal court, district court,
22	superior c	ourt in New Mexico, Iowa, and North Carolina.
23	Q.	And that includes state court in North Carolina?
24	Α.	Yes, it does. And I testified at one
25	court-mart	ial at Fort Hood.

And I believe you said other than around 15 civil 1 Q. 2 cases, the remaining cases in which you've testified have 3 been under your purview with the state medical examiner's 4 office. 5 Does that mean that your testimony has always been 6 for the State versus testimony for a criminal defendant? 7 Actually, no. And it's -- my testimony is neither Α. for the State nor is it for a defendant. It's in my 8 9 capacity as the medical examiner or forensic pathologist in 10 the institution I am working in. 11 Q. Okay. 12 And have you ever been hired as an independent consultant on behalf of a criminal defendant? 13 14 Α. I have been hired -- well, not hired, but I've been asked to consult on a prior case before the 15 16 North Carolina Commission. But otherwise, that's about the

- only one.

 Q. And when you say "the Commission," you're talking about the Innocence Inquiry Commission?
 - A. Correct.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. In reviewing this case, did you rely on your training and experience as outlined in your CV?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. In reviewing this case, did you utilize reliable principles and methods in the field of forensic pathology?

- 2941 Α. Yes, I did. 2 Q. Were you provided sufficient facts or data in your review of the case? 3 4 Α. Yes, I was. 5 Q. Did you also have a chance to review the 6 microscopic slides that were made during the autopsy? 7 Α. Yes. I actually requested to have the slides to 8 review. 9 Q. Did that also include -- so that included 10 histology slides and paraffin blocks? 11 Α. It was a review of the histology slides. 12 Q. Okay. Based on your analysis in this case, do you 13 14 believe that you have specialized knowledge that will assist 15 the Commission in evaluating the autopsy that was performed 16 by Dr. Hall and the cause of death of Jonathan Whitson? 17 Yes. Α. 18 Q. Have you formulated opinions in the case before 19 the Commission regarding the cause of death? 20 Α. Yes, I have. 21Does your report contain your opinions and the Q. 22basis of that opinion? Yes, it does. 23Α.
- MS. SMITH: Judge Lock, I would like to tender Dr. McLemore as an expert in forensic pathology.

1 JUDGE LOCK: All right. That tender 2 certainly is allowed. Dr. McLemore, when you were contacted by the 3 Q. 4 Commission, did commission staff make it clear that they 5 were not seeking any particular opinion in the case? 6 Α. Yes, they were -- yes, they did. 7 And did commission staff make it clear that they Q. 8 would present your findings no matter what those findings 9 were? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. Since you issued your report, you also have been 12 provided with additional materials. 13 Did you have an opportunity to review those? 14 Α. Very limited, but yes, I did. 15 And that included the reports by other experts who Q. 16 have reviewed this case; is that correct? 17 That's correct. Α. Yes. 18 Q. Did you have a chance to review those reports? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. Did any of the additional materials provided or 21 reports that you received change anything in your report or 22in your opinion as to the cause of death of Mr. Whitson? 23No, it did not. Α. 24 Q. And what is your opinion in this case?

My opinion as to Mr. Whitson's cause of death?

25

Α.

Q. Yes, ma'am.

- A. My opinion is that his cause of death was due to aspiration pneumonia due to his obtundation due to his use of morphine or drug intoxication.
 - Q. And the manner of death?
 - A. The manner of death I deemed as accident.
 - Q. Did anything other than the morphine cause Mr. Whitson's death?
- A. The morphine led to the chain of events that ultimately led to his death.
 - Q. And were there any other contributing factors to his cause of death?
 - A. I did not see any other contributing factors.
- Q. Can you tell the commissioners what aspiration pneumonia is.
 - A. Yes.

Aspiration pneumonia is, in -- especially in people who are -- lack or who have decreased mental awareness, have somnolence, or are somehow depressed respiratorily. I mean, they're not breathing much, they're not at their full functions mentally, they can aspirate or, in a way, vomit up secretions or stomach contents. Because they are not at full mental capacity, they can actually lose that gag reflex that helps prevent that secretions or those stomach contents from entering the windpipe and going into

the lungs.

And when that happens, then the lungs fight back from this foreign material and cause inflammation leading to pneumonia.

- Q. Is that a common finding in drug overdoses?
- A. Yes, it is a common finding in some degree in drug-related deaths.
- Q. And how does aspiration pneumonia contribute to the death in these drug overdose cases?
- A. So pneumonia basically means there is filling up of the air spaces in the lungs. That's what pneumonia of any kind means. When that happens, air cannot move from the lungs to the blood and therefore go to other parts of the body.

So the worse the pneumonia, the greater risk that the person will not get enough oxygen throughout the body, and this can lead to death.

- Q. How long does aspiration pneumonia take to develop?
- A. It's variable. But it can -- it can start causing problems in as little as -- in a few hours. Sometimes it takes even, you know, longer, like 12 hours. The longer it takes, the more likely a person is going to have symptoms of developing that pneumonia, like cough or a fever or things like that.

Q. Dr. McLemore, none of the other experts in this case who reviewed the slides found that Jonathan Whitson had aspiration pneumonia.

What was it in your review that made you think he

What was it in your review that made you think he had this aspiration pneumonia caused by using morphine?

- A. The examination of the lung tissue under the microscope, looking at the histology slides, the pattern of changes in the lung tissue are classic for aspiration pneumonia.
- Q. Dr. McLemore, you use the term "aspiration pneumonia," but Dr. Hall's autopsy indicates that he noted acute pneumonia; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. Are acute pneumonia and aspiration pneumonia the same thing?
 - A. They can be.
- Q. Can you explain that?
 - A. They're both pneumonias. And, again, pneumonia is anytime inflammation or any other material is filling up the airways.

He -- his use of the word "acute" is most likely related to the fact of the type of cell that is trying to fight the material, the foreign material -- in this case, a cell called a neutrophil, or in other terms, it's also called acute inflammation.

Is acute bronchial pneumonia a common finding in 1 Q. 2 drug overdoses, as Dr. Hall found in this case? 3 Well, acute bronchial pneumonia can also be Α. 4 aspiration pneumonia because, again, the cells that are 5 trying to eliminate the foreign material are what's termed 6 as neutrophils or acute inflammation. How long does acute bronchial pneumonia take to 7 Q. 8 develop? 9 Again, it's the same thing. Acute inflammation --Α. 10 acute bronchial pneumonia is like aspiration pneumonia. 11 can take a few hours to anywhere from even 15 hours. 12 Q. So I want to make sure that we understand. 13 When you say that you saw characteristics or 14 pattern -- patterns of changes that were classic 15 characteristics of aspiration pneumonia, are those patterns 16 different than acute bronchial pneumonia? Well, acute pneumonia can be construed to mean 17 Α. 18 aspiration pneumonia. Acute pneumonia, to me, is a more 19 general term. 20 Q. Okay. 21 If Dr. Hall reported that he did not see any signs 22of aspiration pneumonia in this case, would that then be a 23different opinion or inconsistent with your opinion? 24 Α. Yes.

And if he said that he didn't see any signs of

25

Q.

- aspiration bronchial pneumonia, would that be different your opinion?
 - A. I'm not sure I would understand the term, you know, "aspiration bronchial pneumonia."

Again, aspiration pneumonia, just because of where it is, the inflammation and the foreign material go out small airways, these are the bronchioles, and that's where the inflammation tends to start and then spread out through the rest of the lung.

So aspiration bronchial pneumonia can be aspiration pneumonia.

Q. Okay.

I want to turn to the toxicology report that was issued in this case. What does the level of 15 milligrams per liter morphine in the urine indicate to you?

- A. It indicates that there has been a prior, fairly recent use of morphine.
- Q. Is 15 milligrams per liter in the urine a lethal level of morphine?
- A. I don't think you can tell just from a urine level. We typically don't make calls from just levels in urine.
 - Q. And have you ever heard of 14 milligrams per liter of morphine in urine being a cutoff point for toxicity resulting in death?

1 Α. I would have to pass that on to a toxicologist as far as what they consider. 2 Okay. 3 Q. Can you determine that a drug was lethal based on 4 5 the level reported in urine at the time of death? 6 Α. In a vacuum, no. 7 Q. Are there other circumstances that would allow you 8 to make that determination? 9 So usually in autopsies we're not looking at one 10 single finding. So just giving me, "Oh, this is a certain 11 level in the urine, what does that mean," I don't know. I'd 12 have to look to see what the rest of the autopsy showed. 13 I'd have to look at tissue under a microscope, which I did. 14 So taking everything into account, you know, that's -- you know, that's how you use whether or not, you 15 16 know, is that level of morphine, you know, important. 17 Can you draw any conclusions from the designation Q. 18 of "trace" morphine in the femoral blood? 19 Α. The only conclusion with that, again, it was a 20 really recent use of morphine then. 21 Q. What does the designation of ethanol at 2240 milligrams per liter in the aorta blood mean? 23Well, if it's a real value, then 40 milligrams is Α. 24 equal to .04 percent. So that's a relatively low level of

25

ethanol.

- Q. And I misspoke, and so correct this, if this makes a difference: It's 40 milligrams per deciliter in the aorta blood.
 - A. Right. 40 milligrams per deciliter. So that is the same as .04 percent.
 - Q. And when we're talking about .04 percent, if we were looking at blood alcohol levels in the context of a .08 being the limit -- the legal limit for driving in North Carolina, is .04 half of the legal limit?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And that is the .04 percent that we're talking about here?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Does that amount, the 40 milligrams per deciliter or .04 percent, indicate whether or not Mr. Whitson consumed any alcohol before he died?
- A. Well, that's a tricky question. That's hard to know. Unfortunately, at the time of Mr. Whitson's death, the common practice to transport toxicology specimens to the toxicology laboratory at that time was through USPS. It was not uncommon for us to get low levels of ethanol detected because the tissues sat on docks or a sat in hot vans and they started to ferment and actually produced their own ethanol.

That's why I'm saying if this is a true value. If

- 1 it is a true value and it's not due to postmortem
 2 fermentation of the tissue, then it is still a low level of
 3 ethanol.
 - Q. And when you say it was not uncommon for low levels of ethanol to be detected because of this postmortem fermentation due to the shipping process, is .04 percent the type of level that you're talking about seeing commonly?
 - A. Actually, yes.

- Q. If we were to assume that it is not postmortem fermentation, would you be able to tell how much alcohol Mr. Whitson had consumed prior to his death?
- A. Not really. I mean, we're talking about alcohol at one point in time. And at this point, it's at his death. That could have been low level -- presuming that no alcohol was found in the urine or if they even tested for it, if there was no ethanol in the urine if they tested for it, then .04 is a very low level.
- Q. And if there is no alcohol in the urine, does that mean that it had not metabolized out?
- A. So it could mean that or, like I said, it could be an erroneous level because it was caused by postmortem fermentation of tissues.
- Q. And, again, assuming that it's not postmortem fermentation, would you be able to tell when Mr. Whitson consumed alcohol?

But if there's no -- I'll take that back. 1 Α. No. 2 But if there is no alcohol in the urine, then it 3 had to have been pretty quick because it didn't have time to 4 metabolize. 5 Q. Okay. 6 And you reviewed medical records for Mr. Whitson's 7 hospital stay in December 2010; correct? 8 Α. Correct. 9 Could the cellulitis, blood clots, and probable Q. 10 abscess that he is described as having in the records have contributed to his death? 11 I don't think so. 12 Α. What's the basis for that opinion? 13 Q. 14 Well, cellulitis in and of itself, although it may Α. be painful, usually doesn't cause death unless there is 15 16 breakout of the bacteria into the bloodstream, at which point it's called bacteremia, or, if it's very severe and 17 18 widespread, it's sepsis. 19 Do we have any way of knowing based on the Q. 20 materials that you were able to review whether or not there 21 was any bacteremia or sepsis in Mr. Whitson? 22I don't have any -- any evidence based on looking 23at the tissue under the microscope that there was any degree 24 of sepsis or other infectious process going on.

Are there any guidelines about how cause and

25

Q.

1	manner of death should be determined and reported?
2	A. There's guidelines based on the National
3	Association of Medical Examiners. They produced a booklet
4	that details how to formulate cause and manner of death
5	statements. There is also guidance from the World Health
6	Organization.
7	Q. Okay.
8	How confident are you in your cause of death
9	determination in this case?
10	A. Very confident.
11	Q. And, Dr. McLemore, I'm going to reference one
12	of our handouts is the transcript of your interview with us,
13	and I'm just going to pull it up really quick.
14	And I am sorry you don't have this in front of
15	you, but I'm going to read from it. This is from page 44,
16	line 10.
17	Our staff attorney Julie Bridenstine asked:
18	"Q. And how confident do you need to be until you
19	issue a cause of death after you do an autopsy?"
20	And your response was:
21	"A. Depends on the situation. But for this one,
22	I mean, it's more likely."
23	Ms. Bridenstine asked:
24	"Q. So in your opinion, this is a more likely
25	than not?"

1	And you responded:
2	"A. Yep."
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. Okay.
5	So I just want to clarify that that assessment of
6	more likely than not is not any different than what you're
7	saying today. I think you just said to me now that you were
8	pretty certain.
9	A. Yeah. I'm very confident, and that that
10	exceeds the bar of more likely than not.
11	JUDGE EVANS: Could she repeat that?
12	Q. Could you repeat that, please.
13	A. Yeah. I'm very confident in the cause and the
14	mechanism of the death here, and that exceeds the bar in
15	usually in these type of cases, that more likely than not.
16	MS. SMITH: Commissioners, what questions do
17	you-all have for Dr. Jerri McLemore?
18	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Good evening,
19	Dr. McLemore.
20	THE WITNESS: Good evening.
21	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: So I just have two
22	questions on the evaluation, autopsy finding of the original
23	report.
24	It says: "While sparse in description in
25	some areas, especially descriptions of the histologic

```
findings, Dr. Hall's opinion that the decedent died from
 1
 2
     morphine toxicity is not entirely incorrect."
 3
                     You may have answered that, but I guess my
 4
     question is:
                  From your opinion, morphine was a contributing
 5
     factor or did he die from morphine toxicity?
 6
                     THE WITNESS: Morphine certainly was
 7
     contributing, and it was actually a direct result because of
 8
     the complications of the morphine, not toxicity per se, but
     intoxication.
 9
10
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          So that's what you mean?
11
                     THE WITNESS: So -- yes. Aspiration
12
     pneumonia is a direct complication of morphine intoxication.
13
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          Okay. And I guess my
     last question would be based on a lot of the evidence that
14
     you were given, were you able to determine or able to find
15
16
     that the decedent had any type of heart issues or lung
17
     issues?
18
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Yeah -- no, I did not. Other
19
     than the aspiration pneumonia, I couldn't find any other
20
     pathology, except in the lungs there was foreign material,
21
     crystalline material around some of the lung vessels that is
22
     consistent with filler from pills or tablets that can't
23
     quite be crushed up.
24
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          Thank you, ma'am.
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  If I may ask a follow-up to that.
25
```

JUDGE LOCK: Yes.

Q. Dr. McLemore, just for the record, that was Sheriff Kimbrough, who is one of our commissioners, asking you those questions. And I'll ask our other commissioners to identify themselves so that you know who you're speaking with.

A follow-up question on the filler from the pills and tablets, is that something that would have developed from this instance of drug use or some prior instance of drug use or can you tell?

A. It would be difficult to tell. However, the crystalline material was within the vessel wall and there was no -- typically when this happens, there is a type of inflammation, if it sits there long enough, that occurs called granulomatous inflammation, and that had not occurred.

SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: So I guess my follow-up question would be: What you found, would that be consistent with somebody who has been using for an extended period of time or would that be found in somebody who just used it maybe say that particular time, in a 12-hour span?

THE WITNESS: So without the inflammation accompanying this crystalline material in the vessel, that's more consistent with a more recent use.

SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Thank you.

```
1
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                   This is Rick Glazier, Doctor.
 2
     Good afternoon.
 3
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Good afternoon.
 4
                     MR. GLAZIER: I have some questions, and I'll
 5
     be going through your report. And I'm looking to start on
 6
     the paragraph under Evaluation of Autopsy Findings of
 7
     Original Report.
 8
                     In your first sentence, you say:
                                                        "While
 9
     sparse in description in some areas, especially descriptions
10
     of histologic findings" -- could you tell me what was
     "sparse" when you were saying that? What should have been
11
12
     there that wasn't?
                     THE WITNESS: Yes.
                                         Dr. Hall basically -- I
13
14
     think it was a total of two short sentences in his
15
     description of the lung findings. He did not describe the
     crystalline material. He did not describe the pattern of
16
17
     inflammation and the large colonies of bacteria and
18
     macrophages that were in the lungs.
19
                     This kind of description would actually --
20
     for someone reading the report, would actually bring up the
21
     possibility of aspiration pneumonia.
22
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                   Were there other things that
23
     were sparse in the report?
24
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   The rest of the -- the rest of
     the organs, the liver and the kidneys and those major organs
25
```

```
were essentially normal. And so, you know, the description
 1
 2
     actually fit the findings.
 3
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                   The rest of your sentence,
                    "While sparse in description in some areas,"
 4
 5
     et cetera, "Dr. Hall's opinion that the decedent died from
 6
     morphine toxicity is not entirely incorrect."
 7
                     So what part of his opinion was incorrect?
 8
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    I would probably -- as I put my
9
     cause of death, obviously there are more factors that I felt
10
     caused his death.
11
                     So it wasn't just simply the morphine
12
     toxicity, although morphine can be toxic, but that implies
     that it's a morphine level that is in a high toxic range or
13
14
     the direct effects of the drug on the system that leads to
15
     death.
                     I don't think that's entirely correct.
16
17
     think it's the complications of the morphine intoxication.
18
                     MR. GLAZIER: Thank you, Doctor.
19
                     In the next paragraph, you mentioned that
     there was "no mention of subcutaneous or noticeable abscess
20
21
     of the arm or anywhere else on the body in the autopsy
22
     report," and that you couldn't assess the body -- you
23
     weren't able to confirm or eliminate the possibility that an
24
     abscess exists.
25
                     If there are medical records and anecdotal
```

```
1
     evidence that confirms there was serious issues for some
     length of time with the arm, including a swollen arm,
 2
 3
     including treatment in hospital where there was the
 4
     potential of amputation, would that change your opinion in
 5
     any way?
 6
                     THE WITNESS: Well, that would -- that would
 7
     certainly confirm that there was probably an abscess or
 8
     cellulitis there.
 9
                     But, again, the pattern of inflammation that
10
     I'm seeing in the lungs is not from sepsis due to any other
11
     site away from the lungs that spread to the lungs.
12
     also not a pattern of community-acquired pneumonia.
                                                          This
     was a pattern of aspiration.
13
14
                     MR. GLAZIER: You also mentioned that one of
     the reasons your view is that way, besides the lack of
15
16
     changes to the organs that were suggestive of sepsis, was
17
     the lack of anecdotal complaints of fever or other symptoms.
18
                     If there was evidence from multiple witnesses
19
     that the victim suffered from fever shortly before his
20
     death, would that change your opinion?
21
                     THE WITNESS: Not really. If he's developing
22
     aspiration, at that point, that can also give you a fever.
23
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                    What if that fever was
24
     multiple days prior to the event?
25
                                    Then he probably does have some
                     THE WITNESS:
```

```
1
     problems related to abscess or cellulitis. But, again, the
 2
     pattern of changes in the lungs is not from pneumonia caused
 3
     by sepsis. It's not -- it's not the same as
 4
     community-acquired pneumonia. This is a pattern of
 5
     aspiration.
 6
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                   Thank you, Doctor.
 7
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Doctor, I've got one more
 8
     question for you. This is Bobby Kimbrough again.
 9
                     I'll go back to the heading on the original
10
     report where you said "while sparse in description in some
11
     areas," and then you go down to the second paragraph and you
12
     say "no mention ... noticeable abscess of the arm or
     anywhere else on the body was in the original autopsy
13
14
     report."
                     I mean, are you saying -- I'm not trying to
15
     put words in your mouth, but was the original autopsy report
16
17
     sparse in description of the whole report?
18
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   I would say yes, it was.
19
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Dr. Perry.
20
                     DR. PERRY:
                                 Dr. McLemore, my name is Frank
21
     Perry, and the previous two commissioners have asked that
22
     you direct your attention to page 3, the same paragraph.
23
                     Perhaps it's the manner that you express
24
     yourself, which is fine, but in the evaluation first
25
     paragraph, continuing, "Dr. Hall's opinion," et cetera, "is
```

```
not entirely incorrect," and then you go from that manner of
 1
 2
     expression to your conclusions at the bottom of page 5.
                     To say "not entirely incorrect" is certainly
 3
 4
     different from "is entirely correct."
 5
                     What percentage would you put on that sparse
 6
     characterization not at the beginning of that statement, but
 7
     at the end?
 8
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   I'm not --
 9
                     DR. PERRY: What do you mean, Doctor, by "not
10
     entirely incorrect"? Is that less than 50 percent in your
     assessment or 30 percent?
11
                     THE WITNESS: So I don't think I can
12
     quantitate it as far as the percentage. It's not entirely
13
14
     incorrect because he was considering the morphine as being
15
     part of the death, if not all of it, the way it was written.
                     And I don't disagree that morphine played a
16
17
     role in this person's death.
18
                     DR. PERRY: But is there any way for
     laypersons that you could perhaps, say for discussion here,
19
20
     put a quantity on that as far as "not entirely incorrect"?
21
     Would that be 20 percent correct?
22
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   I'm not sure -- if I put
23
     percentage on it, I'm picking a number out of the air.
24
                     What I -- basically, we both agree that
25
     morphine played a role in this person's death.
                                                     It's just
```

1	the mechanism of how this death came about that we probably
2	disagree on.
3	DR. PERRY: But the level of causation you
4	would agree on as well; right?
5	THE WITNESS: Yeah. There's intervening
6	factors as far as the direct results the complications
7	versus the morphine in and of itself because of the level
8	and its effects on the body.
9	DR. PERRY: So that statement and I'm just
10	trying to understand your manner of writing.
11	That statement is not inconsistent with your
12	conclusion a page and a half later as to what you really
13	think happened?
14	THE WITNESS: Correct.
15	DR. PERRY: I think Executive Director Smith
16	said you characterized how did you put that? That you
17	were more certain than not as to your conclusions, with
18	greater than 50 percent?
19	MS. SMITH: "More likely than not" in your
20	determination of cause of death.
21	DR. PERRY: More likely than not as you end,
22	which is fine, that's an affirmation of what you've come to
23	see. But at the beginning, it just seems weaker, Doctor.
24	Am I making sense here?
25	THE WITNESS: Not really.

```
"Not entirely incorrect" just
 1
                     DR. PERRY:
 2
     seems rather weak.
 3
                     You use the word "sparse" and then you end
 4
     with "not entirely incorrect."
 5
                     I'm trying to grasp, what is that? 20
 6
     percent that Dr. Hall got right?
 7
                     THE WITNESS: Well, we both agree that
 8
     morphine had a role in the death.
 9
                     DR. PERRY: All right. Thank you.
10
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          So, Dr. McLemore, I guess
11
     I've got to come back to one more question. So he said
12
     that -- well, you said that report was relatively sparse;
13
     right?
14
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Yes.
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: So I guess my next
15
     question -- and I'm not trying to -- I guess I just should
16
17
     ask the question: Could the investigation or the autopsy
18
     have been sparse?
19
                     And then I guess my other question would be:
20
     Had you had the body and done the original autopsy, is it
21
     possible that the findings would be different?
22
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   That's asking for speculation.
23
     I can't -- just from a person's -- how they write the
24
     reports, that doesn't really have any indication of how the
25
     autopsy was performed physically.
```

```
So that's -- I don't know if I can say yes or
 1
 2
     no, you know, whether the autopsy was performed itself
 3
     adequately or not.
 4
                     As far as if I had done the autopsy, given
 5
     what I saw in the lungs, I would probably come to the
 6
     same -- I most likely would come to the same conclusion.
 7
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Commissioner Boswell.
 8
                     MR. BOSWELL: Dr. McLemore, this is John
 9
               I'm one of the commissioners. There was a lot of
10
     evidence that the victim was snoring loudly before he was
11
     found dead.
12
                     Can you speak to what that might indicate?
13
                     THE WITNESS: So whenever we hear, for
14
     anybody -- we have -- every day we have morning report where
     we go through the cases for the day and talk about the
15
     circumstances. Whenever anybody says "and they were heard
16
17
     to snore loudly," we kinda figure okay, that's -- that's a
18
     bad sign.
19
                     Snoring loudly indicates that the person is
20
     in a deep sleep, whether they are obtunded or whether it's
21
     from some kind of mental incapacity -- and, again, that
22
     rattling in the back is a defective gag reflex with
23
     secretions going down the windpipe. So snoring loudly is
24
     not a good sign.
25
                     MR. BOSWELL:
                                    Would that be -- would this
```

1	statement be accurate in your opinion: In both your opinion
2	and in Dr. Hall's opinion, but for the morphine, this person
3	would not have died?
4	THE WITNESS: Correct.
5	MR. BOSWELL: Thank you.
6	JUDGE LOCK: Commissioner Newton.
7	MS. NEWTON: If nobody else?
8	JUDGE LOCK: Go ahead.
9	MS. NEWTON: Dr. McLemore, I heard you say
10	some very specific words with regard to how this drug killed
11	this man, and I just want to clarify with you that that is
12	what you said.
13	As laypeople, we are quibbling over or I
14	am quibbling over whether toxicity or acute respiration
15	is different.
16	So I just wanted to ask you about the first
17	words you used. You said, as I understand it, that the
18	manner in which the morphine killed this individual was
19	you said it led to a chain of events, and then you said it
20	depressed him respiratorily, meaning the drug caused a
21	depression of his ability to breathe.
22	Is that an accurate assessment of what you
23	said, by "depressed respiratorily"?
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, although that's a pretty
25	awkward way of saying it, and I apologize. Yeah.

1	MS. NEWTON: I'm not a doctor so I'm just
2	trying to understand what exactly the drug did.
3	So once the drug, instead of being toxic in a
4	way that we all understand toxic to mean, it depressed him
5	in his breathing, and then you describe that he then vomited
6	the contents of his stomach with the depression, or you said
7	"loss" of a gag reflex, then those contents entered the
8	lungs and you said within a couple of hours could have
9	caused his death.
10	Is that fair to say how he died and why he
11	died?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	MS. NEWTON: Okay.
14	So I want to ask you
15	Your Honor, may I ask the Commission staff a
16	question at this point, before my question first?
17	JUDGE LOCK: Sure.
18	MS. NEWTON: Ms. Smith, do we know that
19	the actual commercial name for the morphine that was sold to
20	him?
21	MS. SMITH: We do not.
22	MS. NEWTON: I've heard the term Opana in
23	this case being used. Nobody confirmed what it was.
24	MS. SMITH: Opana is not morphine.
25	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Opana

```
1
                     MS. NEWTON: -- is Oxymorphone?
 2
                     Doctor, do you want to speak on that?
 3
                     THE WITNESS: No -- she's right.
                                                        Opana is
 4
     the tradename for Oxymorphone.
 5
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  Is that morphine compound?
 6
                     THE WITNESS: No, it is not. No.
                                                         It's two
 7
     separate drugs.
                                  Got it. Okay.
 8
                     MS. NEWTON:
 9
                     So is morphine -- I don't know what the drug
10
     was then.
11
                     So is morphine a drug that would have
     suppressed his ability to breathe in the way that you
12
     described, then, leading to, within a couple of hours,
13
14
     death -- possibly?
                     THE WITNESS:
15
                                    Yes.
16
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   Okay.
17
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Yes.
18
                     MS. NEWTON: And what effect would the part
19
     of morphine that causes a high or mental impairment -- is
20
     that the kind of mental incapacity you're talking about when
21
     John Boswell asked you about he was snoring and you said he
22
     was in a deep sleep and with mental incapacity, secretions
23
     would've gone down his windpipe.
24
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Right.
                                            Right.
                                                    So any
     narcotic -- and morphine is a narcotic, they work on the
25
```

```
body by producing somnolence, right, people get sleepy,
 1
 2
     people nod off. When they go -- when they go into sleep,
 3
     it's usually a very deep sleep. And -- because it also
 4
     depresses or suppresses respiratory function, your breathing
 5
     is slower, it's usually -- you know, it starts getting more
 6
     shallow and it takes -- you have a long time interval
 7
     between taking in breath and letting it out. It slows that
 8
     all down.
 9
                     And the way we clear secretions at night from
10
     our lungs is usually our lungs are able to take input
11
     breaths and expel all of that. That's one of the mechanisms
12
     that helps. And then the gag reflex is working.
13
                     But when you have a narcotic on board which
14
     suppresses or slows down these functions, you are at high
15
     risk for aspirating.
                                  Thank you, Doctor.
16
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  Commissioner Bass.
17
                     JUDGE LOCK:
18
                     MR. BASS: Dr. McLemore, Scott Bass. I'm
19
     looking at page 4. There's a line that says: "Opiates like
20
     heroin/morphine and opioids like Oxymorphone, hydrocodone,"
21
     et cetera, "can be associated with aspiration pneumonia."
22
                     And I know it's not a big factor in this
23
     situation, but can substantial alcohol use also be
24
     considered associated with aspiration pneumonia?
25
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Yes.
                                          High levels of alcohol,
```

```
again, just like opiates and narcotics, they also slow down
 1
 2
     those functions.
 3
                     MR. BASS:
                                So it sounds like, given that
     you're saying that but for the morphine this wouldn't have
 4
 5
     happened, had it been alcohol, you would say but for the
 6
     alcohol, this wouldn't have happened?
 7
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Correct.
 8
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Anybody else? Mr. Glazier.
 9
                     MR. GLAZIER: This is Rick Glazier again,
10
     Doctor.
                     In your review of the records, were you sent
11
12
     the victim's full records from Mission Valley Hospital?
13
                                    I believe I was.
                     THE WITNESS:
14
                     MR. GLAZIER: And did you review all the
     records including the time back in the year before when he
15
16
     came into the hospital complaining of and having an
17
     infection along with an IV site secondary to his drug abuse?
18
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Yes.
19
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                    Okay.
                                          And then the doctor
     writes that he was concerned about a deep line abscess. An
20
21
     IV was placed, labs were obtained. There didn't appear to
22
     be an evidence of a very, very deep abscess, but there did
23
     appear to be an abscess at that time that had formed.
24
                     Were you aware of that?
25
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Yes.
```

1	MR. GLAZIER: If that abscess went untreated
2	for months, would that have potentially led to a serious
3	body infection?
4	THE WITNESS: It could have.
5	MR. GLAZIER: Thank you.
6	JUDGE LOCK: All right.
7	Anybody else? Any further questions?
8	Dr. McLemore, thank you very much for being
9	with us this afternoon.
10	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
11	MS. SMITH: May she
12	JUDGE LOCK: Yes. She may be released from
13	her subpoena.
14	Thank you, Dr. McLemore.
15	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
16	(Witness stands down, 5:39 p.m.)
17	MS. SMITH: All right. Commissioners, it is
18	5:40. I am not going to call the next expert this afternoon
19	given the amount of time that I believe that will take.
20	I do want to give an update before we finish
21	this afternoon related to the medical records that we
22	subpoenaed this afternoon.
23	That subpoena was for all records from Spruce
24	Pine Community Hospital now known as Blue Ridge Regional
25	Hospital for the time period of $1/20/1982$, which is when

 \mid Mr. Whitson was born, to 12/31/1992.

In addition, we requested all records from Mission Hospital for the time period of 1/1/2005 to 1/1/2010. So that's the five years prior to Mr. Whitson's death. Spruce Pine Community Hospital is where Mr. Whitson was born.

Both of these hospitals now fall under the Mission Health network. The records from Spruce Pine Community Hospital where he was born have never been scanned. They will need to be physically located in order for the records to be provided to the Commission. They are going to work on that, but I cannot promise that we will receive those by the end of the hearing, but they are working on it.

The records from Mission Hospital were provided right away via secure encrypted email. It is 26 pages long. It indicates that Mr. Whitson sought medical attention only one time during the requested five-year time period, and this was for dental pain on August 8, 2007. It was specifically noted in the records that he had four molars that were significantly decayed and he went to the ER seeking help.

This is going to be found in Handout 53. I believe that has been uploaded to the Google Drive so you'll be able to access that on the overnight recess to review

```
1
     those records if you would like to do so.
 2
                     I will also remind you that today the items
 3
     that were uploaded for you, Handout 50, the district
 4
     attorney statement, you should review that on the overnight
 5
     recess or sometime during the day tomorrow prior to the
 6
     conclusion of the hearing.
 7
                     You were also provided today Handout 51,
 8
     which was Mr. Whitson's medical records chart related to the
 9
     few items that you-all had asked about during the day. I
10
     think you reviewed that during the hearing, but if you would
11
     like to review it overnight, do that as well.
12
                     Handout 52 has been uploaded. That is the
13
     Mission Hospital medical records from January 2010 until
14
     Mr. Whitson's death.
                           That is the, I believe, 377-page
     medical record; so you'll need to review those on the
15
     overnight recess.
16
17
                     And then, of course, these new records are
18
     Handout 53.
19
                     MR. BASS:
                                 So I know that some of those
     documents you just referenced are on this laptop.
20
21
                     Are they also on Google Drive?
22
                                 Everything should be on the
                     MS. SMITH:
23
     Google Drive now. There are a few things that maybe haven't
24
     made it to the physical laptop yet, but you will be able to
25
     access those via the Google Drive overnight.
```

1 Is there anything else that anyone needs this 2 afternoon? I'm going to give you, then, quickly, kind of 3 the trajectory for tomorrow. 4 It was our intent to kind of walk through the 5 experts by moving next to Dr. Roberts and Dr. Ewens, who 6 were the defense experts, and then having the Commission's 7 independent experts finish us off; however, based on the 8 number of questions that you-all probably are going to have for the Commission's experts, I anticipate, instead, maybe 9 10 calling them earlier in the day to give you-all plenty of 11 time to ask those questions while we're fresh in the 12 morning. We have testimony tomorrow. I believe it's 13 14 all going to be experts and then ending with Dr. Hall. So 15 it is just kind of a long day of experts' testimony. I do anticipate, based on timing today, that 16 17 we will go beyond 5:00 o'clock tomorrow. So come prepared 18 for that. If we happen to be here beyond 7:00 p.m. tomorrow 19 night, we will be able to order dinner for you, but I do 20 think we will wrap up hopefully with testimony before 216:00 o'clock tomorrow depending on how many questions there 22are from commissioners. 23(Discussion off the stenographic record.) 24 JUDGE LOCK: Everybody good to start at 8:30 25 in the morning? And I will try to leave earlier in the

```
1
     morning.
 2
                      MS. SMITH: Yes, 8:30. Thank you.
                (Overnight recess, 5:44 p.m.)
 3
                (Volume 2 begins on page 327.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA,

FROM YANCEY COUNTY 11 CRS 304

versus

11 CRS 305

JOHN PRITCHARD

'

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING, Volume 2 of 2

December 15, 2021

December 14, 2021, Setting of the

North Carolina Innocence Inquiry Commission

The Honorable Thomas Lock, Judge Presiding

Commissioners Attending:

Scott Bass (Alternate)
John Boswell
Luther Johnson Britt, III
Robin Colbert
Judge Yvonne Mims Evans (Alternate)
Rick Glazier
Sheriff Bobby Kimbrough
Deborrah Newton(Alternate)
Dr. Frank Perry
Ashley Welch

Reported by: Victoria L. Pittman, BA, CVR-CM-M, RCP AOC-Approved Per Diem Reporter

1	APPEARANCES:				
2	Lindsey Guice Smith, Director				
3	Beth Tanner, Assistant Director				
4	Julie Bridenstine, Staff Attorney				
5	NORTH CAROLINA INNOCENCE INQUIRY COMMISSION				
6	Post Office Box 2448				
7	Raleigh, North Carolina 27602				
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

		ა⊿ყ
1	CONTENTS	
2		Page
3	WITNESSES	
4	JULIE BRIDENSTINE	
5	Examination By Ms. Smith	331
6	BARBARA WOLF	
7	Examination By Ms. Smith	336
8	GEORGE BEHONICK	
9	Examination by Ms. Smith	382
10	CHRISTOPHER HOLSTEGE	
11	Examination By Ms. Smith	430
12	JULIE BRIDENSTINE	
13	Examination By Ms. Smith	469
14	CHRISTENA ROBERTS	
15	Examination by Ms. Smith	475
16	JULIE BRIDENSTINE	
17	Examination By Ms. Smith	505
18	ANDREW EWENS	
19	Examination By Ms. Smith	507
20	BRENT HALL	
21	Examination By Ms. Smith	522
22	JULIE BRIDENSTINE	
23	Questions by the Commissioners	530
24	Close of Case by Ms. Smith	544
25	Judge Lock Dictates the Opinion	548

			330
1		HEARING HANDOUTS	
2	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	ID'D
3	5 6	Dr. Christena Roberts Report Dr. Christena Roberts CV	474 474
4	7 8	Dr. Andy Ewens Report Dr. Andy Ewens CV	506 506
5	9 10	Dr. Barbara Wolf Report Dr. Barbara Wolf CV	334 334
6	11 12	Dr. George Behonick Report Dr. George Behonick CV	381 381
7	13 14	Dr. Christopher Holstege Report Dr. Christopher Holstege CV	$429 \\ 429$
8	50 54	DA Statement Besalt Chapter	525 537
9	55 56	Excerpt from Dr. Holstege's Text ***TO BE PRODUCED***	537 543
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
2324			
24 25			
⊿⊍			

```
WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 15, 2021 (8:55 a.m.)
 1
 2
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   All right. Looks like
 3
     everybody's here in place with their bright shining faces,
 4
     so we'll get started.
 5
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  All right. I'm going to briefly
 6
     recall Ms. Bridenstine this morning, and then we'll move
 7
     forward with our experts.
 8
                     JUDGE LOCK: All right. Good morning,
 9
     Ms. Bridenstine.
10
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        Good morning.
11
                     JUDGE LOCK: You remain under oath.
12
     Thereupon, JULIE BRIDENSTINE, a witness having been called by
     the Commission, was recalled and testified as follows:
13
14
     EXAMINATION BY MS. SMITH:
                                 (8:55 \text{ a.m.})
                Ms. Bridenstine, were you contacted by Mark Rabil,
15
          Q.
     the attorney for Mr. Pritchard yesterday afternoon?
16
17
                      He sent me an email and he let me know that
          Α.
                Yes.
18
     Lacey Pritchard is watching the livestream of the hearing,
19
     and she told him over text message that she never gave money
20
     to Robbie Brown.
21
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Commissioners, before we move on
22
     to the experts, do you have any other questions for Julie at
23
     this time?
24
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   I have one.
25
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Yes, ma'am.
```

```
1
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  Could you please clear up the
 2
     relationship -- it may be in something I have missed --
 3
     between Stephanie Whitson Randolph and Jonathan Whitson, the
 4
     victim.
 5
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                       Yes.
 6
                     So in the trial transcript, she testified
 7
     that they were dating at the time of his death.
 8
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   I'm sorry, I didn't hear that.
 9
     Someone coughed.
10
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        She testified during
11
     Mr. Pritchard's trial that they were dating at the time of
12
     his death. At my deposition of her, she testified that they
     never had a romantic relationship, that other people were
13
14
     under the impression that they were dating, but they were
15
     not in fact dating.
                                   Is her middle name Whitson?
16
                     MS. NEWTON:
17
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: Her last name is Whitson --
18
     her maiden name.
19
                                   I'm sorry. Is the name
                     MS. NEWTON:
20
     Stephanie Randolph Whitson or Stephanie Whitson Randolph?
21
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: Her name is Stephanie
22
     Whitson Randolph.
23
                     MS. NEWTON: And she has same middle name as
24
     the victim's last name?
25
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: My understanding is Whitson
```

```
1
     is her maiden name. Randolph is her married name, her
 2
     current name.
                     Before the trial, she got married to a man
 3
 4
     named Brandon Randolph. At the time this case was
 5
     investigated, she -- her name Stephanie Whitson.
 6
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  I'm sorry. Am I missing
 7
     something? Jonathan Whitson is the victim.
 8
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                       They have the same last
9
     name, that's correct.
10
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  And they're not related?
11
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: I do not know if they
12
     related. I am not aware of any close relationship but I do
13
     not know why they have the same last name.
14
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  In the DA statement that we were
     provided, she is referred to as his wife.
15
16
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: They were -- to my
17
     knowledge, they were never married.
18
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  Okay.
                                         Thank you.
19
                     MR. BASS: So if I recall correctly, I asked
20
     a question yesterday about why Jonathan Whitson was crying,
21
     and she said because she wanted to break up. That sounds a
22
     little at odds with whether they were boyfriend/girlfriend
23
     or not.
24
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        She -- with the deposition,
     she testified something to the effect of she didn't want to
25
```

```
1
     hang out with him anymore and she didn't want to do drugs
 2
     anymore, is my recollection of the deposition, but I would
 3
     check the transcript.
 4
                     MR. GLAZIER: I'm sorry, just a reminder
 5
     of -- rather than pulling it up, she didn't want to do drugs
 6
     anymore, didn't she have -- or wasn't she in sort of
 7
     under -- or was convicted of drugs in 2019?
 8
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        That's correct.
 9
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                   Thank you.
10
                (Witness stands down, 8:58 a.m.)
11
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Commissioners, you may recall
12
     that earlier there was testimony that the Commission had
     retained Dr. Barbara Wolf, a forensic pathologist, as the
13
14
     Commission's independent expert related to evaluating the
     autopsy and cause of death determination in this case.
15
                     You were previously provided a copy of
16
     Dr. Wolf's report as Handout 9 and her CV as Handout 10.
17
18
     You'll want to refer to that throughout her testimony today.
19
                     She is going to be via WebEx, and we are
20
     ready to call her as soon as Mr. Fitts can get her on the
21
     WebEx.
22
                (Pause in the proceedings.)
23
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  All right. Good morning,
24
     Dr. Wolf.
                                    Good morning.
25
                     THE WITNESS:
```

______335

1 JUDGE LOCK: Thank you for being with us this 2 morning. 3 My name is Tom Lock. I am a superior court 4 judge here in North Carolina and I am the chair of the 5 Innocence Inquiry Commission. 6 I assume you are sitting right now in 7 Florida; is that correct? 8 THE WITNESS: I am. 9 JUDGE LOCK: All right. 10 We do ask that testimony given to the Commission be under oath or affirmation. I will confess to 11 12 some reservations about my authority to administer an oath 13 to someone who is presently out of state, but unless you 14 have a notary public or someone else who may be authorized 15 under Florida law to administer an oath to you, I'm just going to do this virtually. 16 17 I generally ask folks to stand when taking 18 oaths or for affirmations, but if you stand, we'll lose 19 sight of you. So if you would, I just ask that you remain 20 21seated and raise your right hand. 22Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you 23will give before the Commission this morning will be the 24 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? Is this

25

your solemn affirmation?

```
1
                     THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
 2
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   All right. Thank you very much.
 3
     I'm going to turn you over at this time to our executive
 4
     director, Ms. Lindsay Guice Smith.
 5
     Thereupon, BARBARA WOLF, MD, a witness having been called by the
 6
     Commission, was sworn and testified as follows via WebEx
 7
     technology:
 8
     EXAMINATION BY MS. SMITH:
                                (9:04 \text{ a.m.})
 9
                Good morning, Dr. Wolf.
          Q.
10
          Α.
                Good morning.
11
          Q.
                Could you please tell the Commissioners about your
12
     education and experience.
13
                Certainly. I took my undergraduate and my medical
     degree in a combined accelerated six-year program at Boston
14
15
     University, actually between Boston University and Harvard.
                After that I had a one-year fellowship.
16
     had several hospital staff positions before relocating to
17
18
     Albany, New York, in 1990.
19
                At that time, I took the position of director of
20
     anatomic pathology for Albany Medical College. And I was
21
     also working with the medical examiners and coroners in
22
     surrounding areas.
23
                In 1996, I decided to pursue full-time forensic
24
     pathology so I stepped down from the directorship at the
25
     medical college where I was also a full professor of
```

```
pathology to, again, pursue full-time forensic work.
 1
 2
                In 1997, I became the chief medical examiner for
 3
     the County of Rensselaer, which is in the Albany area.
 4
     in 1999. I became the position of director of forensic
 5
     medicine for the medicolegal investigation unit of the New
     York State Police. At that time -- well, that was a
 6
 7
     part-time position; so I was also working with the coroners
 8
     and medical examiners in roughly 20 states -- 20 counties in
     the state of New York, predominantly on-call for homicides.
 9
10
                In 2001, I decided it was time to relocate to
11
     Florida so I took a position in the medical examiner's
12
     office in West Palm Beach. Three years later, I went to the
     office in Fort Myers.
13
14
                And then, in 2007, I took my current position.
                And what is your current position?
15
          Q.
                I am the Chief Medical Examiner for Florida's
16
     Districts 5 and 24, which are six counties in central New
17
18
     York [sic].
19
          Q.
                Overall, how many years have you been working as a
20
     forensic pathologist?
21
                Roughly 30.
          Α.
22
                And do you have any other professional positions?
          Q.
23
                      I am currently on the board of directors for
          Α.
24
     the National Association of Medical Examiners. I also serve
```

as the chair of their inspection and accreditation

committee, which is the accrediting body for medical examiners and coroners throughout the country and internationally, in some cases.

I serve as a commissioner on the Florida Medical Examiners Commission, the board that oversees the activity of Florida medical examiners, which was a government appointment -- government appointment. Excuse me.

Q. Thank you.

Do you have any academic appointments?

A. Currently, no. But when I was -- actually, we don't have a medical school in our district so I don't.

When I was in New York, I, as I said, was a full professor of pathology at Albany Medical College and at times during my career I also had academic positions at Boston University and Harvard.

- Q. And do you have any government appointments?
- A. Well, I mentioned the Florida Medical Examiners Commission. I was previously the full pathologist sitting on the Florida Child Abuse Death Review Committee. That -- I was on it for about 10 years up to being the chairman. That board reviews all child deaths in Florida related to injury or neglect.

While in New York, I was appointed by several governors to the New York State Commission on Corrections which reviews all deaths of individuals in jails or prisons

- 1 in New York as well as the Medical Review Board for the
- 2 | Commission on Quality of Care for the Mentally Disabled.
- 3 That board reviews all deaths of individuals in mental
- 4 health facilities throughout New York.
 - Q. Do you have any scientific appointments or serve on any committees related to that?
- A. Well, I already mentioned my work on the National
 Association of Medical Examiners. I am also a faculty
 member of the National District Attorneys Association and a
 faculty member of the International Firearms Specialist
- 12 Q. Okay.

Association.

5

6

11

21

- And are you currently involved in or have you

 previously been involved in any kind of scientific research

 or have any scientific publications?
- A. Yes. To date, I have somewhere in the realm of I think 130 peer-reviewed journal articles, abstracts, a textbook, and multiple book chapters.
- Q. Are any of those related to forensic or general pathology?
 - A. All of them would be.
 - Q. Do you have any certifications?
- A. Yes. I am board certified by the American Board of Pathology in anatomic pathology, hematopathology, which deals with blood and related organs, and in forensic

```
1
     pathology.
 2
          Q.
                Approximately how many autopsies have you
     conducted during your career?
 3
 4
                Somewhere in excess of 10,000.
 5
          Q.
                Is there anything else that you believe that's
 6
     important about your experience or education that we've not
 7
     outlined today?
 8
          Α.
                Not off the top of my head.
 9
          Q.
                Great.
10
                Did you provide your report and a CV to the
     Commission?
11
12
          Α.
                I did.
                Do those documents outline how many times you've
13
          Q.
14
     testified as an expert and in what types of cases?
15
                I don't believe I submitted a list of cases.
          Α.
16
     can say that I've testified in most states in the country,
17
     in some cases in federal court, mostly at the county level.
18
          Q.
                Do you have specialized training or knowledge that
19
     you use in evaluating cases involving cause of death?
20
          Α.
                Yes, I do.
21
                And is that part of what you've described to us
          Q.
22
     today?
23
                Yes, it is.
          Α.
24
          Q.
                In approximately how many cases have you issued
25
     opinions on cause of death in your career?
```

1 Α. Do you mean as a death certificate or in courtroom 2 testimony? 3 Q. Either or both. 4 Oh, I'm sorry. 5 Well, the death certificates would be basically 6 the same as the autopsies performed, somewhere in excess of 7 10.000. As far as courtroom testimony, it's somewhere over 8 5,000, and the majority of those would include testimony as 9 to cause and manner of death. 10 Q. Thank you. 11 When you make a determination of cause of death in 12 a case, what are the principles and methods that you rely 13 on? 14 Α. That may vary widely depending on the type 15 of death I'm dealing with. 16 In some cases, an elderly person with a medical 17 history, I may just perform a chart review. In other cases, 18 I may perform a full autopsy with various laboratory studies 19 as needed. And the extent and procedures of that autopsy 20 would vary with the case. 21 Q. Did you apply those principles and methods when 22you reviewed this case? Yes, I did. 23Α. 24 Q. In this case, were you provided sufficient facts

25

and data?

- A. I was supplied with everything that, to my knowledge, was available. There were other materials apparently weren't available, namely autopsy photographs.

 Q. Okay.

 And you outlined all of what you reviewed in page 1 of your report; is that correct?

 A. Yes. But subsequent to the report, I've reviewed several additional expert reports and transcripts of depositions and interviews.

 Q. And did you also have a chance to review the
 - Q. And did you also have a chance to review the microscopic slides that were made during the autopsy?
 - A. Yes, I did.

- Q. In this case, did you use those reliable principles and methods from your training and experience in forming your opinion?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Did you apply those principles and methods to the facts of the case as you understood them to be?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Based on your analysis in this case, do you believe you have specialized knowledge that will assist the Commission in evaluating the autopsy that was performed by Dr. Hall and the cause of death of Jonathan Whitson?
- A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Have you formulated opinions in the cases that are

- here before the Commission regarding cause of death of
 Mr. Whitson?
 A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. And the report and CV that you provided to the Commission, does it contain your opinions and the basis of those opinions?
- 7 A. Yes, it does.

5

6

16

17

21

22

23

- 8 MS. SMITH: Judge Lock, I would now like to 9 tender Dr. Wolf as an expert in forensic pathology.
- JUDGE LOCK: All right. That tender certainly is allowed.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- Q. Dr. Wolf, when you were contacted by commission staff, did commission staff make it clear that we were not seeking any particular opinion in the case?
 - A. Yes, they did. They indicated that they were a neutral body.
- Q. And did commission staff make it clear that they would present your findings no matter what those ended up being?
 - A. Yes, they did.
 - Q. I'm going to turn to your report and just have you briefly describe what materials you reviewed in preparation for writing your report.
- A. In general, with the exception of the additional

materials I received after the report, the materials I reviewed and took into consideration are all listed on page 1 and 2 of the -- of my report.

In general, they included the autopsy materials, autopsy slides, the only three photographs that were available, medical records, law enforcement and jail records, various expert reports and affidavits, as well as the transcript of the trial of Mr. Pritchard, and a deposition transcript from Dr. Hall.

Q. And you've mentioned that you were provided additional materials after you issued your report in the case.

What were those materials?

- A. Those materials were depositions and an interview from two witnesses to the scene as well as from an involved party, Ms. Whitson.
 - Q. And did you also receive the other expert reports after you issued your report?
- A. Some of them were subsequent; others were prior to issuing my report.
- Q. Did any of the additional materials that you reviewed change anything in your report or your opinions?
 - A. No, they did not.
- Q. What is your opinion in this case? What was the cause and manner of death?

- A. My opinion is that the cause of death was morphine toxicity with the contributory condition of pneumonia, and that manner of death was homicide -- excuse me. Let me step back -- and the manner of death was accidental.
- Q. Are there any guidelines out there about how forensic pathologists should make interpretations?
- A. Well, interpretations are largely based on experience and training. But as far as procedures and things to take into account and perform when doing an autopsy, there are numerous guidelines, including the National Association of Medical Examiners autopsy practice guidelines. In Florida, we have similar to the name -- publication, the Florida Association of Medical Examiners practice guidelines. And then there are numerous valuable textbooks and journals.
- Q. And are those the same guidelines that are used in determining cause of death -- how one should determine cause of death?
 - A. Yes, they are.

- Q. Is it important for a forensic pathologist to be aware of the law enforcement investigation and what witnesses reported?
- A. Okay. The easy answer to that is yes. But in some cases, it depends on the case. For example, I may not need law enforcement information if the death was totally

medically related; so -- but in general, yes.

- Q. Is that important particularly in a suspected drug overdose case?
 - A. Yes, it is.

- Q. Why would that be?
- A. A bit of a long-winded answer here.

The interpretation of postmortem toxicology and its bearing on cause of death is in many cases not straightforward. There are many variations in how a certain concentration of a drug or drugs should be interpreted or can be interpreted. And in some cases, we have to look at not just the concentration of the drug, which can be very different from death to death, but also at such things as medical history, the scene, and information about the circumstances of death.

An individual may die of a certain -- toxicity of a certain concentration of a drug or he or she may die of it on account of the same concentration, but dying, for example, in a car crash. So drug-related deaths are not always straightforward and frequently need ancillary information.

- Q. What does the term "tolerance" mean in the context of a drug user?
- A. Okay. Tolerance refers to the fact that in many cases, with habitual use of certain drugs, the body develops

- what is called tolerance. That means that the given

 concentration won't make -- have the same effect on the

 person and the person will require a higher dose to receive

 the same effect.
 - Q. And what happens to that tolerance when a regular drug user abstains from using drugs?
 - A. The tolerance would dissipate.
 - Q. And is there any particular time period for that dissipation?
 - A. It would depend on the drug.
 - Q. Is there any dissipation rate or anything like that that we can draw a conclusion to with respect to morphine?
 - A. I would defer that to a toxicologist.
- Q. Thank you.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- I want to turn now to Dr. Hall's findings and testimony in this case which you've had an opportunity to review.
 - Is Dr. Hall a forensic pathologist?
- A. No. To my knowledge, he is not board certified in forensic pathology -- or was not. He is board certified in anatomic and clinical pathology which deal with natural disease. But also, my understanding was, was that he would on some occasions perform essentially forensic autopsies for the Medical Examiner's Office in North Carolina.

- Q. And so does one have to be board-certified in forensic pathology in order to be called a forensic pathologist?

 A. There's no standard answer to that. Some people would say that it requires board certification; others might
 - Q. Okay.

- A. I guess the gold standard, though, is board certification.
- Q. What are the differences between an anatomic or clinical pathologist and a forensic pathologist?

use the term for someone who has a great deal of experience.

A. Okay. Actually, three different things there.

Anatomic pathology is the basic field of pathology that deals with natural disease through the study -- through the use of autopsies, the examination of cervical -- surgical specimens such as biopsies, oncology such as Pap smears, but they deal with natural diseases.

Clinical pathology is a separate certification that deals with -- how to put it? -- when working with hospital laboratories such as blood banking, clinical history, clinical chemistry, and others.

Forensic pathology is a subspecialty of anatomic pathology that requires training and experience in injury to the body and particularly in the evaluation of deaths that may not be natural in origin.

- Q. Did you agree with Dr. Hall's findings in this case?
- A. I agreed with some of the findings rendered in his report. I disagreed with some of the opinion that he offered.
- Q. And can you explain that a little -- in more detail for the Commissioners.
- A. Certainly. And if I may, I'm referring to my report to refresh my recollection.
 - Q. Yes, ma'am.

A. Dr. Hall rendered the cause of death -- well, initially as pending, which is appropriate if the cause of death isn't obvious and he's waiting for the laboratory studies. After receiving the toxicology report, he amended the cause of death to read morphine toxicity and the manner of death as accidental.

I agree with that as it's stated, but I would also add that pneumonia, bronchopneumonia was a contributing cause of death.

In particular, with a drug such as morphine that depresses the central nervous system and therefore depresses heartbeat and respiration, something else in the body that is impairing respiration may make the person more susceptible to the drug -- in this case, pneumonia. So I would have included that as contributory.

```
As far as opinions, Dr. Hall, in the trial
 1
 2
     transcript and I believe also in his deposition,
 3
     testified that -- and if -- I actually am quoting here,
     "Mr. Whitson's urine concentration of 15 milligrams per
 4
 5
     liter indicates a lethal level of morphine." That is an
 6
     opinion with which I respectfully disagree.
 7
                Concentration of drugs and their potential effect
 8
     on the body can only be interpreted from blood studies.
 9
                Urine is considered outside the body and the
10
     concentration does not accurately reflect the blood level.
11
     For example, if a person has had a lot of any liquid to
12
     drink, the urine will be more dilute, and that will change
     the drug concentration. In general, urine is used as a
13
14
     screen to see what might be in a deceased's system to be
15
     followed up by blood testing.
                So there is no such thing as lethal concentration
16
17
     of a drug in the urine. It is a -- it can corroborate the
18
     presence of a drug in the blood, but not that drug's
19
     concentration.
20
                The second opinion that I respectfully disagree
21
     with is Dr. Hall's opinion as to the pneumonia that was
22
     found in Mr. Whitson's lungs.
23
                You'll find that that pneumonia was a result of
24
     the morphine toxicity. He stated that in drug overdoses,
```

there is often a lot of fluid in the lung that we call

pulmonary edema. That is true. It is a very nonspecific finding that occurs because as the heart stops pumping, slowly blood backs up into organs. And you see it in organs such as the lung and liver.

However, Dr. Hall said that this fluid in the lung was a good medium for bacteria to grow on. There are two things here. First of all, pneumonia does not develop because the person has fluid in their lungs. The vast majority of drug overdoses that we see, and in my office now it's usually four or five deaths a day related to drugs, we see the edema without the pneumonia. It's a separate thing.

Additionally, examining Mr. Whitson's microscopic slides that were prepared by Dr. Hall, it is my opinion that the pneumonia that he had was preexisting, that it did not develop after the drugs he took on the afternoon/evening before his death. It happened -- it was -- it started earlier than that. It is older under the microscope.

Q. Thank you.

Was there anything that Dr. Hall did not to do that you would've done if you had conducted this autopsy?

- A. Okay. The main thing that -- and I'm not referring to opinions here, just procedures.
 - Q. Yes, ma'am.
- A. Dr. Hall took only three photographs of
 Mr. Whitson's face. He didn't take any photographs of

```
Mr. Whitson's body, and that is standard, to take full-body photographs in a forensic autopsy. Not having those photographs led to several questions that I was unable to answer, such as whether or not he had an abscess in his arm or elsewhere.
```

Q. And so because of those -- that lack of photographs, you felt limited in your review.

Is that an accurate assessment?

A. In that regard, yes.

- Q. Do you normally feel limited in your review if you're reviewing cases where you weren't present at the autopsy?
- A. Okay. I will say that it's always better to see the actual autopsy. However, it is the standard of practice for a forensic pathologist to document the findings in the autopsy both in written form and with photographs so that it can be reviewed by someone else if the occasion comes up, such as another forensic pathologist.

So in most cases, although I would never say it wouldn't be better to see the body, but if procedures are properly followed, I am usually able to make my interpretations without difficulty.

- Q. Would you have obtained any cultures in this case?
- A. Probably not based on the information that I understand that Dr. Hall had at the time about the scene and

the circumstances of death.

So at the start of the autopsy, individuals such as Mr. Ayers, who gave Mr. Whitson a ride the night he was released from jail, indicated that he appeared in good health.

Starting with that alone, I probably would not have initially performed cultures. However, when I was doing the internal examination and evaluating the lungs, based on the degree of pneumonia that would be present and would be easy to see and feel with the naked eye, I then would have done at least lung cultures.

- Q. Did you say "lung"?
- A. Lung. Because of the pneumonia.
- Q. And if you had seen an abscess or cellulitis on his arm, would you have considered doing any cultures then?
 - A. Yes, I would. Under that circumstance, I would have attempted to take a culture of the abscess itself, and I would also have taken blood culture to determine whether or not the deceased had what we call sepsis, which is a systemic infection after the organism, bacteria or virus, gets in the bloodstream and travels to the various organs.
 - Q. And is a culture the best way to tell whether someone has sepsis?
 - A. Yes. Again, in the decedent.
 - Q. Thank you.

1 Can you tell the commissioners what a culture is. 2 Α. A culture is taken as either blood drawn from a 3 blood vessel or a swab taken of an abscess or some other 4 tissue that is then submitted to the microbiology laboratory 5 and essentially they treat it to see if bacteria or other 6 organisms grow. And that may take, you know, several days 7 or more. 8 Q. I'm going to turn back now to some of Dr. Hall's findings. 9 He had found that Mr. Whitson had acute pneumonia 10 at the time of death that was, I believe, developed because 11 of the morphine. 12 You've already indicated that you do not think 13 that the pneumonia was a cause of the morphine but was there 14 prior to the morphine. 15 Α. If I may rephrase. I'm sorry. The morphine did not cause the pneumonia. 16 17 Q. Thank you. 18 Is the pneumonia that you were seeing acute 19 pneumonia? Is that how you would describe that? 20 Α. Well, this will be a bit of a long explanation. 21 There was a lot going on in Mr. Whitson's -- in 22his lungs under the microscope. Probably the best way to 23classify it would be acute and chronic pneumonia. 24 What Mr. Whitson's lungs showed -- included things

that we see under microscope that are called granulomas.

They are small nodules composed of cells that come from the body trying to eliminate foreign material.

In this case, the granulomas contained material that had the appearance or was consistent with crushed pill fragments, and that certainly doesn't develop overnight. It takes time. I don't know how much time, but definitely not a day or two.

He also had some areas of aspiration. That
means -- well, it essentially refers to a situation where
someone -- something goes down the wrong pipe, some people
say. Contents of the stomach may actually get into the
respiratory system and then set up a reaction against it.
He had a component of that as well.

Whenever there's something in an organ that's not normal, the body reacts to it and tries to eliminate the -- what's not normal, including pill fragments or stomach content or bacteria or viruses.

Which is the third component here. He had -- as far as the acute side, he had what are called acute inflammatory cells in the lungs indicating that a recent reaction was set up, not only to foreign material but also something in his system.

So yes, he had acute pneumonia but he had chronic factors in his lungs as well.

Q. When you say "chronic factors," can you just

1 describe that a little bit more.

A. Well, the granulomatous reaction and -- to what appear to be pill fragments, as I said, is not something that would develop in a day or two. So "chronic" means longer than at or about the period --

(Stenographer clarification.)

- A. Chronic refers to something that developed over time, not something that occurred at or about the time of death.
 - Q. Thank you.

Are you able to characterize how extensive Mr. Whitson's pneumonia was?

- A. I would describe it as did Dr. Hall, as moderate.

 On a scale of mild/moderate/severe, I would say moderate.
- Q. Can you tell us the difference between -- we talked about acute pneumonia, but the difference between that and aspiration pneumonia.
- A. Well, aspiration pneumonia can be acute. If someone aspirates foreign material near the time of death, the body will react to it, and that could be also an acute process. But pneumonia without aspiration would just be the inflammatory cells without foreign material.
- Q. So the aspiration indicates some kind of foreign material?
 - A. Yes. You typically get aspirate content.

- Q. And what, if anything, can you say about the features of aspiration that you saw here in terms of timing, when that might've occurred?
 - A. No, I cannot.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. You did see the features of aspiration in Mr. Whitson's slides; correct?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Is acute pneumonia a common finding in drug overdose cases, as Dr. Hall has stated in this case?
- A. No, it is not. And if we do see it, it's a completely separate process.
- Q. What about aspiration pneumonia? Is that a common finding in drug overdoses?
 - A. Occasionally. We more see it in people, in fact elderly people who are neurologically impaired. It is not something we often see in drug overdoses.
 - Q. How does aspiration pneumonia contribute to death in a drug overdose case?
 - A. As with all the pneumonia active here, pneumonia, if it's severe enough, makes -- adds difficulty in breathing and it would add to the central nervous system effects of the drug if that drug is, for example morphine, a central nervous system depressant.
- Q. How long does aspiration pneumonia take to develop?

- A. There's no answer to that. It's certainly not immediate or, I would say, at or about that time of death, because it does take some time for the body to react to the foreign material, and it is that reaction that we call pneumonia.
- Q. And when you say "some time to react," I mean, can we characterize that in any way? Are we talking hours?

 Days? You know, longer than that?
- 9 A. Referring specifically to aspiration, I would say 10 hours to days.
 - Q. Hours to days?
- 12 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

16

21

22

- MS. SMITH: I'm about to remedy the situation
 with the air-conditioning. I can't hear anymore so we're
 working on that.
 - MS. TANNER: There's no in-between.
- MS. SMITH: Apologies, Dr. Wolf. We have a bit of an air-conditioning and heat situation going on in our room here. Yesterday we were boiling; today it's pumping out air very forcefully.
 - THE WITNESS: No problem.
 - Q. What about pulmonary edema and congestion? Is that common to see in overdose deaths?
- A. It's very typical of overdose, yes. But it is also, as I explained earlier, a very nonspecific finding.

```
It's just a reflection of the fact that the heart didn't stop pumping immediately, such as in gunshot wounds to the heart, but stopped more slowly and not pumping effectively toward the end, and therefore, fluids back up into organs.
```

That is pulmonary edema. Sometimes we see congestion of the liver. And we see it in numerous types of deaths where there's not a sudden stop of the heart -- drug overdoses, heart attacks, drowning, et cetera.

- Q. And you may have answered this before, but is pulmonary edema and congestion related to pneumonia?
 - A. No.

- Q. Could Mr. Whitson's acute pneumonia have been caused by morphine?
- A. No. In my opinion, the process had started earlier than his ingestion of the morphine.
- Q. Are you able to tell when he developed the acute pneumonia?
- A. No, I can't. All that I can say is there were components of the pneumonia that may have been days old as well as older, maybe weeks to months, being the granuloma with the foreign body reaction.
- Q. I'm going to turn to the toxicology report.

 Understanding you're not a toxicologist and we do have toxicologists who will testify -- you've talked about this a little bit -- but just to clarify, what does the level of

1 | 15 milligrams per liter of morphine in the urine indicate to 2 | you?

- A. That concentration only indicates that the drug was or is present at the time of death in the person's blood. The concentration itself is meaningless to me.
- Q. What does the designation of ethanol at 40 milligrams per deciliter in the aorta blood mean?

A. 40 milligrams per deciliter is a low level of alcohol that may indicate that the person ingested some alcohol at some point prior to death. In a decomposed individual, it might indicate -- it might be a postmortem artifact because the body produces bacteria as it decomposes and -- sorry. I think -- alcohol, my fault. So bacteria can produce alcohol.

But in the case of Mr. Whitson, there was no evidence in the indications that he was decomposed described in the autopsy. So my opinion would be that at some point prior to his death, he had ingested some alcohol.

- Q. Can you tell based on just that information whether or how much he had consumed -- alcohol?
- A. No, I can't. Because we know from the circumstances and the testimonies of witnesses that Mr. Whitson was sleeping for a period and heard snoring loudly before he was found dead. That would give the time for the body to start or completely eliminate the alcohol.

```
So without knowing when the alcohol was consumed,
 1
 2
     I can't tell you what concentration that would have been at
 3
     that point. It would obviously have been higher because it
 4
     will go down with time.
 5
          Q.
                Can you tell how much morphine Mr. Whitson
 6
     consumed?
          Α.
                No.
 8
          Q.
                Can you tell when he consumed morphine based on
 9
     the trace finding in the femoral blood?
10
          Α.
                No.
11
          Q.
                Can you draw any conclusions from that designation
12
     of trace morphine in the femoral blood?
                Just that it was present although not
13
14
     quantifiable, and that is confirmed by the finding of
15
     morphine in the urine.
                And that's because when we see morphine in the
16
          Q.
17
     urine, we know that that has metabolized out of
18
     Mr. Whitson's system.
19
                Is that accurate?
20
          Α.
                It's been excreted, yes.
21
          Q.
                Okay.
22
                It's out of his system.
          Α.
23
                So at some point, then, it was in his system?
          Q.
24
          Α.
                Correct. Both the blood and the urine toxicology
```

confirm that.

- Q. What, if any, conclusions can you draw from the toxicology report that you've reviewed in addition to that?
- A. That's basically it. That -- and I'm sure toxicologists will have much more to say. But what I would conclude looking just at the toxicology is that morphine at some point was present in the body in a higher concentration than just the trace that was identified at autopsy. And we already spoke about the alcohol.
- Q. You reviewed the medical records from Mr. Whitson's hospital stay in December 2010.

Could the cellulitis and probable abscess that he described -- is described as having in those records contributed to his death?

A. That is a very difficult question to answer because largely of the lack of photographs and a more detailed description. Several witnesses indicated that at or about the time of death, his left arm appeared swollen. That indicates that an infection could be present but, again, I don't have any photographs to look at. However -- again, this is a case where we need to look at other information than just the autopsy and toxicology.

The description of, for example, Mr. Ayers, who picked him up on that night after he was released, is that he looked good, healthy. That would indicate to me that he was not septic, which means he did not have infection

- involving his entire body. Therefore, the abscess itself,
 in my opinion, if it was present, would not have been a
 contributing factor to death.
 - Q. Can you tell the Commissioners what sepsis is.
 - A. Okay. Sepsis is when an infection -- either bacteria, virus, or some other organism -- gets into the bloodstream and then spreads throughout the body. And you may get many organs affected by the drug in the body -- I'm sorry -- bacteria or virus, and the person will be clinically sick. High fever, problems with the lungs -- it would be a total body infection, essentially.
 - Q. Can sepsis cause death?
- 13 A. Yes, it can.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Can an abscess ultimately cause sepsis?
- 15 A. Yes, it can.
 - Q. Do you know if Mr. Whitson had sepsis?
 - A. I can't give the definitive answer without cultures done at autopsy, but based on observations of people who saw him prior to his death, my opinion is that he was not septic.
 - Q. And so how would you determine if he had sepsis?

 Is that just through the cultures?
- A. Yes. Or evidence of infection in other organs.

 You could see inflammatory cells, the body's response, in

 other organs such as liver, et cetera. You would see it

under the microscope. In this case, outside of the lung, I did not see evidence of infection.

- Q. And you had slides from various organs.

 Is that accurate?
- A. Yes, I did.

- Q. Which organs were you able to review slides for?
- A. Pretty much all of them, all of the major organs.
- Q. What contributed to the cause of death in this case?
- A. In my opinion, the cause of death was morphine toxicity with the contributing condition of pneumonia.
- Q. What are the most common signs that indicate a person died from a morphine overdose?
- A. A very typical scenario is someone that lapses into a coma, may appear to be sleeping, and it's the -- frequently, the loud snoring is described, and then they die. So it would depend on when in that process the person was observed.

But typically with a narcotic, an opiate which morphine is, because it depresses the central system, initially the person might appear uncoordinated, coughing, fever, et cetera, but that would only indicate that a secondary -- something secondary was going on.

The signs and symptoms of just pneumonia are -- of just a morphine overdose is usually lapsing into sleepiness,

unconsciousness, and death.

- Q. How common are cases in which a trace of a drug is found in the blood and the drug is ruled to be the cause of death?
- A. It's not the most common scenario, and that's why when we do see something like that, we have to look back at information as to how the person was behaving ahead of time, and if there was a prolonged period where the person may have been metabolizing the drug, reducing the level, which in Mr. Whitson's case, he did have that prolonged period.
- Q. From the materials that you reviewed, what things did you see in this case that are consistent with drug overdose deaths?
- A. Okay. First of all, the history. Secondly, the findings of pulmonary congestion and edema. Congestion in the lungs seen in the autopsy slides. And the circumstances, though I think I already mentioned that. The toxicology in light of the circumstance that there was a prolonged period of sleeping before he died.
- Q. And are any of those things consistent with deaths other than those caused by drug overdoses?
- A. Well, you know, there are various poisons, toxins, anything that would cause the heart to pump slowly can cause that congestion and edema. It's a nonspecific finding; so you would have to rule out what we call a competing cause of

```
death, meaning something else that the person could've died
 1
 2
     of.
 3
                In this case, outside of the lungs, his organs
 4
     were anatomically normal. The lung, in my opinion, had, as
 5
     I said, moderate pneumonia. That could have progressed,
 6
     but, in my opinion, was not severe enough nor was he
     clinically sick enough to have caused death at that point.
 7
 8
                So we have to look at everything including the
 9
     circumstances, the autopsy, the toxicology, medical history,
10
     if there is any, and interpret them all together in light of
11
     the case.
12
          Q.
                Thank you.
                Dr. Wolf, was morphine a contributing factor in
13
14
     Mr. Whitson's death?
15
                Yes, it was.
          Α.
                Did morphine directly contribute to his death?
16
          Q.
17
                Yes, it did.
          Α.
18
          Q.
                Was acute pneumonia a contributing factor in
     Mr. Whitson's death?
19
20
          Α.
                In my opinion, yes.
                Did acute pneumonia directly contribute to his
21
          Q.
22
     death?
```

Q. Can you tell if the pneumonia that Mr. Whitson had could have, alone, killed him?

It contributed, yes.

23

Α.

- A. My opinion based on the degree of severity and the lack of significant clinical symptoms is that pneumonia would not have caused death at that point. If it progressed, it could have caused death, if it got worse, if he got septic. But in my opinion, it did not cause -- it would not have, alone, caused the death at that point.
- Q. Can you tell if the morphine that Mr. Whitson used could have, alone, killed him?
 - A. If it could have? Yes --
 - Q. Would it have?

- A. -- alone, yes.

 There's no way to tell that.
 - Q. Could Mr. Whitson have died without the morphine?
- A. There is no reason that he would've died at the point in time that he did without morphine.

As I said earlier, later on, if the pneumonia progressed and he didn't get treatment, he could have died, but not at the time and in the circumstances of his death.

- Q. If Mr. Whitson had not had morphine -- trace morphine in his blood at the time of death, what would you have ruled the cause of death to be?
- A. That's tough because it's hindsight. If he had had nothing in his system and only the pneumonia, I would probably have called it pneumonia or I might have called it undetermined, but probably pneumonia although I would be

surprised because it doesn't seem to be severe enough. But different people react differently to different insults to the body.

- Q. And does your answer change at all if we saw morphine in the urine but no trace morphine in the blood?
- A. Essentially, no, because the urine would indicate that the morphine was present at some point in the blood.

So the urine confirms that it was in his blood, and after ruling out other potential causes of death, I would still -- and if he had a long period of essentially sleeping it off, I would still have concluded that morphine toxicity was the cause.

- Q. If Mr. Whitson had not had any pneumonia at the time of his death, what would you have ruled the cause of death to be?
 - A. Morphine toxicity.

- Q. What does "competing cause of death" mean?
- A. Okay. I got into that a little bit earlier.

 Competing cause of death refers to something else in the body that could have caused death. For example, with a drug case, because we can't interpret just the concentration alone, I would make sure that there was nothing else that caused his death -- heart disease, whatever.

As an example, if a person has cancer that's spread throughout their body that they could easily have

```
died of but shot themselves, committed suicide, the cause of death would still be that gunshot wound even though at some point, if he had not had the gunshot wound, we would've expected him to die from the cancer.
```

In this case, we don't know if he would have died as consequences of the pneumonia because we don't know if he had lived at that point, gotten worse, got treatment, then he may have survived.

So in this case, I would not consider the pneumonia a competing cause of death, but a contributory, and other -- i.e., the autopsy and the microscopic slides, other contributing causes of death were ruled out. He didn't have liver disease, he didn't have kidney disease -- all the other organs were normal.

- Q. Dr. Wolf, was morphine the but-for cause of Jonathan Whitson's death -- meaning but for the morphine, Mr. Whitson would've lived?
- A. Okay. The answer is yes, but that's a qualification. He would have lived -- he would not have died at the time he did. I am being a little facetious here to kind to bring the point home. He could've woken up, walked out to the street and gotten hit by a car the next day. So I can't say how long he would've lived; but I can say that he would not have died at that point without the morphine.

- Q. Is there a difference between how a forensic pathologist determines cause of death and the legal definition surrounding causation?
- A. Okay. I don't exactly know what the legal definition is but I can tell you that for a forensic pathologist, the cause of death is that which sets the process in motion toward death -- that results in death.
- Q. How confident are you in your cause of death determination in this case?
- A. I am confident to a reasonable degree of medical certainty.
- Q. And is that determination in this case based on the information that you have before you, understanding that there are things that you are missing, like photographs of the body that you didn't have for your review?
- A. Yes. My opinion is based on everything I have in front of me.
 - Q. Okay.

- MS. SMITH: Commissioners, that is all the questions that I have for Dr. Wolf. I'm sure that you-all have questions, I would just request that you state your name before you ask your questions so she knows who's asking the question.
- JUDGE LOCK: Dr. Wolf, this is Tom Lock. I'm
 the chair of the Commission. I'm going to ask just two or

```
three questions that will seem fairly redundant but I'm
 1
 2
     going to couch them in the legalese.
 3
                     THE WITNESS: Certainly, Your Honor.
 4
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  You've stated that you do hold
 5
     your opinions to a reasonable degree of medical certainty;
 6
     is that correct?
 7
                     THE WITNESS: I do.
 8
                     JUDGE LOCK: All right. Now, one of the most
 9
     important issues before this Commission obviously is the
10
     proximate cause or causes of the decedent's death.
11
                     Under North Carolina law, a proximate cause
     is defined as a real cause, a cause without which the death
12
13
     would not have occurred. And there may be more than one
14
     proximate cause of death.
                     So is it your opinion to a reasonable degree
15
     of medical certainty that morphine toxicity was a proximate
16
17
     cause of the decedent's death?
18
                     THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
19
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  And is it also your opinion that
20
     morphine toxicity was the primary proximate cause of his
     death?
21
22
                                   Yes, it is.
                     THE WITNESS:
23
                                  All right. Thank you very much.
                     JUDGE LOCK:
24
                     Commissioners.
25
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          Good morning, Dr. Wolf.
```

```
My name is Bobby Kimbrough. I'll try to be brief, but I
 1
 2
     have about maybe three or four questions.
 3
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Certainly.
 4
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          I just want to make sure.
 5
     You said you reached this conclusion based on the
 6
     information and based on the evidence that you received.
 7
                     So I guess my question would be that if you
 8
     had been provided more material, more evidence, more pics,
 9
     could your -- or would your opinion have changed?
10
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    In my opinion, no, it would
11
     not.
12
                     The main question being whether or not he had
13
                  However, based on the clinical -- meaning
14
     descriptions of Mr. Whitson before his death, I have no
15
     reason to believe that that abscess was a contributory
     factor, if it existed. The pictures would have enabled me
16
17
     to answer that question but it would not, in my opinion,
18
     have affected my opinion of the cause of death.
19
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          I guess then my next
20
     question is, and we've heard so much about heart conditions
21
     or holes in the heart, based on what you observed or had you
22
     been present or had you had the body, could we have
23
     determined whether or not he had any heart condition for
24
     things that would cause him --
25
                     THE WITNESS: As far -- you know, here, I'm
```

```
limited by taking Dr. Hall's description at face value, and
 1
 2
     I have no reason not to, but if there was a hole in the
 3
     heart or coronary artery disease, that would have been seen
 4
     at the autopsy and there was no description in the autopsy
 5
     or finding on the microscopic slides. A hole in the heart,
 6
     that would be seen. Coronary artery disease could be seen
     both with the naked eye and under the microscope.
 7
 8
                     It is very common for young children to have
9
     findings that suggest they have what is loosely called a
10
     hole in the heart, but in most cases, those resolve as the
11
     child grows. And no hole in the heart was found at autopsy.
12
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                         And so I guess my next
13
     question would be, and I guess you kind of -- you said that
14
     pneumonia existed prior to the morphine; correct?
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Correct.
15
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          So then my last question
16
               Minus the pneumonia, could he have survived the
17
     would be:
18
     usage of morphine found in his body -- minus the pneumonia?
19
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Okay.
                                          There is no answer to
20
            The reason being that there are so many individual
     that.
21
     variations in how one is affected by a drug that we can't
22
     look at just the presence of the drug.
23
                     I have no way of knowing what the
24
     concentration was before he metabolized the drug to the
25
     level that it was found at autopsy. So, unfortunately,
```

```
1
     there is no absolute answer to that.
 2
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: I appreciate you.
                                                             Thank
 3
     you.
 4
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Certainly.
 5
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  All right. Commissioner
 6
     Glazier.
 7
                                   Thank you, Your Honor.
                     MR. GLAZIER:
 8
                     Good morning, Doctor. My name is Rick
     Glazier.
 9
10
                     THE WITNESS: Good morning.
11
                     MR. GLAZIER: I appreciate your extraordinary
12
     experience and qualifications and your exceptional testimony
13
     today and thank you for it.
14
                     I just have three groups of questions.
                     One is: Have you had a chance to read
15
     Dr. Holstege's report?
16
17
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Doctor?
18
                     MS. SMITH:
                                Holstege.
19
                                    Holstege's report?
                     MR. GLAZIER:
20
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    I do not recall but I would
21
     have to look and see if I had that.
22
                     May I ask what his specialty is?
                                 He's a medical toxicologist.
23
                     MS. SMITH:
24
                     THE WITNESS: A medical toxicologist. I am
25
     sure that I was given that report. I do not recall his
```

```
specific opinion.
 1
 2
                     MR. GLAZIER: All right. Well, that takes
 3
     care of the second question, then, which I was going to ask
 4
     you if you agreed or disagreed with his opinion; so ...
                     THE WITNESS:
 5
                                   I can certainly answer if you
 6
     give me the opinion whether or I agree or disagree.
 7
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                   I'm getting there.
 8
                     His main opinion is: "Based on the
     information available to me at this time with the
 9
10
     limitations of the autopsy performed, the cause of death
11
     would be better listed as acute bronchial pneumonia with
12
     pulmonary emphysema as a contributing factor. The manner of
     death therefore, be listed as natural."
13
14
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   For all of the reasons we
     discussed, I respectfully disagree with that.
15
16
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                   All right.
                                                Thank you.
                     Do you remember reading Dr. Roberts' report?
17
18
                     THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
19
                     MR. GLAZIER: Do you have an opinion about
20
     whether you agree or disagree with the opinions Dr. Roberts
21
     expresses?
22
                     THE WITNESS: Well, Dr. Roberts expressed a
     number of opinions, but as far as her overall opinion of the
23
24
     cause of death, I would disagree.
25
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                    Thank you.
```

```
The last series of questions. When you're
 1
 2
     describing morphine toxicity, is that a description based on
 3
     the history of prolonged usage and what you're seeing, that
 4
     is, the toxicity is the body buildup over time?
 5
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   No, sir. Toxicity refers to --
 6
     I guess the more colloquial term is "overdose." So morphine
 7
     toxicity is referring to what's happening at or about the
 8
     time of death.
 9
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                   Thank you.
10
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Commissioner Boswell.
11
                     MR. BOSWELL:
                                   Dr. Wolf, I'm John Boswell.
12
     I'm one of the commissioners.
13
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Good morning.
14
                     MR. BOSWELL: What was the actual mechanism
     of death in your opinion?
15
16
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Okay. Mechanism, when you're
17
     dealing with an opiate or a narcotic as morphine is, is that
18
     they are central nervous system depressants. Other drugs
19
     like cocaine stimulate the central nervous system.
20
     brain has areas that control bodily function, including
21
     heartbeat and respiration. So in a case where the cause of
22
     death is solely a drug, an opiate toxicity, it would be that
23
     depression of the centers of the brain cause the heart and
24
     lungs to -- their function to be depressed and eventually
25
     cease.
```

```
In this case, we have the added I would say
 1
     "insult" or "finding" in his lungs of the pneumonia, which
 2
 3
     would also make breathing more difficult. And that's why I
 4
     would say it contributed.
 5
                     MR. BOSWELL:
                                    So was it the case that those
 6
     two things put together just simply caused him to stop
 7
     breathing and his heart to stop beating? Is that the
 8
     layman's expression of what happened?
 9
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Yes.
10
                     MR. BOSWELL:
                                    Okay.
11
                     We had -- Dr. McLemore testified yesterday
12
     that she believes that the victim aspirated, vomited, and
13
     some of that stomach matter went into his lungs and that
14
     caused aspiration pneumonia and that that could have
15
     resulted in as little as two or three hours.
                     Do you agree with that?
16
17
                     THE WITNESS: No, I don't. I think it would
18
     take longer than that.
19
                     MR. BOSWELL:
                                    Okay.
20
                     She also indicated that the granulomas that
21
     she saw in the lungs, at least some of them had not -- had
22
     been there so -- such a short period of time that the lung
23
     had not had the opportunity to sort of attack it and create,
24
     you know, some sort of encapsulation.
25
                     Did you see any of that yourself?
```

```
THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
 1
 2
                     MR. BOSWELL: In other words, were some of
 3
     the granulomas there for a long time and some shortly?
 4
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Yes.
                                         Although how shortly, I
 5
     would not say at or about the time of death, because there's
 6
     still a body reaction even though they're not encapsulated.
 7
     But essentially, yes, there were acute, meaning recent, and
 8
     chronic, meaning older, granulomas.
 9
                     MR. BOSWELL: We heard I think more than one
10
     witness indicate that the victim was snoring. And what does
11
     that indicate to you?
12
                     THE WITNESS: Okay. Without knowing if he
     snored under normal circumstances, I can't draw a definitive
13
14
     conclusion.
                 However, a typical scenario that we often hear
     from witnesses of people who die of overdoses is that before
15
     they're found unconscious or dead, they have a period of
16
17
     abnormally loud or prominent snoring.
18
                     MR. BOSWELL: And what causes that snoring --
     how is the snoring related to, you know, opioid toxicity?
19
20
                     THE WITNESS: I can't answer that. We see it
     not just with opiates. It can occur with any variety of
21
22
     drugs.
23
                     MR. BOSWELL:
                                   Okay.
24
                     THE WITNESS: It indicates a prolonged
25
     period.
              But the anatomy that causes the snoring, I'm sorry,
```

```
I don't know.
 1
 2
                     MR. BOSWELL: And then one of the points we
 3
     learned yesterday is that at the time this autopsy was done,
 4
     some of the samples were shipped just by U.S. mail and it
 5
     wasn't uncommon for alcohol to be found in a sample because,
 6
     I guess, it fermented during shipment.
 7
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Okay.
 8
                     MR. BOSWELL:
                                    Is that -- does that sound like
9
     at least a plausible reason why there could be alcohol in
10
     some of the samples?
11
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Okay. Well, I mentioned
12
     earlier that decomposition can cause bacteria to grow, and
13
     bacteria can produce alcohol. However, when we do an
14
     autopsy, at least nowadays, we put samples of blood, other
     organs and fluids into test tubes with particular
15
     preservatives that should stop that process of
16
17
     decomposition.
18
                     So not knowing what was done in this case, I
19
     can't answer that.
                         But it is possible.
20
                     MR. BOSWELL: Thank you very much for your
     testimony and your time.
21
22
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Commissioner Perry, did you have
23
     any questions?
24
                     DR. PERRY:
                                  Just request five minutes.
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                               Other commissioners
25
                                   All right.
```

```
would like breaks?
 1
 2
                     MR. BASS:
                                Just one question.
 3
                     JUDGE LOCK: Doctor, could you bear with us
 4
     while we take about a five-minute recess, a little comfort
 5
     break?
 6
                     THE WITNESS: Certainly.
 7
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  All right. We'll be in recess,
 8
     then, for about -- Commissioners, let's keep it to about
9
     five minutes, if we can.
10
                (Recess taken, 10:22 to 10:30 a.m.)
11
                     JUDGE LOCK: We'll come back to order.
12
                     Dr. Wolf, thank you very much for bearing
     with us.
13
14
                     I believe Commissioner Bass had a couple of
     questions.
15
                                Hi, Dr. Wolf. My name is Scott
16
                     MR. BASS:
     Bass. I think I only have two questions.
17
18
                     One is: What is the difference between
19
     pneumonia due to morphine toxicity versus pneumonia due to
20
     morphine intoxication?
21
                     THE WITNESS: Those -- the terms would be --
22
     those two terms would be interchangeable.
23
                     MR. BASS:
                                 Okay.
                                       Thank you.
24
                     And the other thing, had -- is it possible --
25
     have you seen heavy use of alcohol contribute to death in a
```

```
fashion similar to what you've concluded morphine did in
 1
 2
     this situation?
 3
                     THE WITNESS: Alcohol is also a central
 4
     nervous system depressant; so, yes, in very high levels, it
 5
     could present a similar circumstance.
 6
                     MR. BASS:
                                 Okay.
                                        Thank you.
 7
                     JUDGE LOCK: Were there other questions?
 8
     Anybody?
 9
                     Well, Dr. Wolf, I think that was it then.
10
                     Thank you again very much for your work in
11
     this case and for being with us this morning.
12
                     THE WITNESS: Thank you very much,
     Commissioners.
13
                (Witness stands down, 10:32 a.m.)
14
                                  Commissioners, while Mr. Fitts is
15
                     MS. SMITH:
     working on the technology, you may recall earlier that
16
17
     the -- there was testimony that the Commission had retained
18
     Dr. George Behonick related to evaluating the toxicology
19
     testing that was conducted in this case.
20
                     You've previously been provided with a copy
21
     of Dr. Behonik's report as Handout 11 and his CV as
22
     Handout 12.
23
                     You will want to refer to that throughout his
24
     testimony and as soon as he is available to be on the
25
     screen, we are calling Dr. George Behonick.
```

```
(Discussion off the stenographic record.)
 1
                     MS. SMITH:
 2
                                  Good morning, Dr. Behonick.
                                                                Can
 3
     you hear us?
 4
                     THE WITNESS: Yes.
                                          Good morning. Can you
 5
     hear me?
 6
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  We can.
 7
                     JUDGE LOCK: Good morning, Dr. Behonick.
 8
     am Tom Lock.
                   I am A superior court judge here in
 9
     North Carolina and I am chair of the Innocence Inquiry
10
     Commission.
11
                     Testimony before the Commission must be under
12
     oath or affirmation so I'm going to ask if you would raise
13
     your right hand.
14
                     Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you
     will give before the Commission this morning will be the
15
16
     truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? Is this
17
     your solemn affirmation?
18
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    I do.
19
                                   Thank you very much, sir.
                     JUDGE LOCK:
20
     Thereupon, GEORGE BEHONICK, MD, a witness having been called by
21
     the Commission, was sworn and testified as follows via WebEx
22
     technology:
23
     EXAMINATION BY MS. SMITH:
                                 (10:35 \text{ a.m.})
24
          Q.
                Dr. Behonick, I don't know if you can see us, but
25
     we can see you.
```

My name is Lindsay Guice Smith. We've spoken previously. I am the executive director here at the Innocence Inquiry Commission.

I'm going to ask you a series of questions and then when I'm done, we've got commissioners around the table who also may have questions for you. Okay?

A. Yes.

- Q. Could you just briefly describe for the commissioners you education and experience.
- A. My educational background, beginning with my undergraduate degree, was from The Citadel. I graduated in May of 1980 with a degree, a bachelor's of science in biology. I completed a master's of science degree from Hahnemann University in Philadelphia in 1983. The area of specialty was pathology. And my terminal degree, my highest earned academic degree is the Ph.D. in pharmacology and toxicology from St. John's University in New York, which was awarded in 1997.
 - Q. And tell us about your employment history.
- A. My employment history began with an interim transitional year as a research associate with the United States Army Medical Research Institute of Chemical Defense. That was in Aberdeen Edgewood area, Maryland.

I completed that in 1998 and began my tenure as a forensic scientist, forensic toxicologist with the Virginia

- Division of Forensic Science, Western Laboratory in Roanoke, 1 2 Virginia. That time span included from October of 1998 up to December of 2004. 3 4 In January 2005, I assumed duties as the director 5 of forensic toxicology at UMass Memorial Medical Center in 6 Worcester, Massachusetts, department of hospital 7 Our laboratory was charged with the laboratories. 8 responsibility of conducting all postmortem toxicological 9 analysis for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. 10 In November of 2009, I became affiliated with, at 11 the time, the laboratory was known as AIT Laboratories, 12 headquartered in Indianapolis, Indiana. And I have been in 13 continuous employment with that group since. 14 Now, in July of 2016, the forensic business unit 15
 - Now, in July of 2016, the forensic business unit from AIT Laboratories was purchased by an independent group of investors incorporated as AXIS Forensic Toxicology, and that is when I assumed duties as the director and forensic toxicologist to this current day.
 - Q. How long have you been working as a forensic toxicologist?
 - A. 23 years.

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Do you have any professional positions other than that employment or other professional affiliations?
- A. I have professional membership affiliations with the Society of Forensic Toxicologists as a member and with

the American Academy of Forensic Sciences, toxicology section, as an associate member.

- Q. Are you currently involved in any scientific or specialized research?
- A. That is not a primary duty right now. Obviously, we are a service laboratory that does postmortem toxicology. But because of the nature of our work, there is certainly an academic or a research interest in a lot of the things that we're doing.

For example, right now, I have a manuscript where I am first author on which is pending acceptance with the Journal of Analytical Toxicology, awaiting several revisions. And that work basically is based on our experience as an outsource toxicology laboratory with our case experience. So we do publish actively in that regard.

- Q. Do you have any certifications?
- A. I do. I am board certified by the American Board of Forensic Toxicologists. I hold the title Fellow,

 American Board of Forensic Toxicology. I gained that certification in 2004 and have successfully requalified several times since.
- Q. Is there anything else you believe is important about your experience or education that I have not covered with you so far today?
- A. No.

Did you provide a report and a CV to the 1 Q. Commission? 2 3 Α. I did. 4 Do those documents outline how many times you've 5 testified as an expert and in what types of cases? 6 Α. That was a separate request for the number of 7 testimonies rendered. Today, currently, this is my 55th 8 testimony since 2011. Testimonies in terms of court or 9 hearings primarily are on behalf of the State or prosecution 10 only because of the nature of our clientele. We service 11 medical examiners and coroners, and the nature of those 12 investigations are such that they are primarily driven by states' attorney offices, district attorneys, and so on. 13 14 that is how that particular division of labor would fall 15 out. We also -- or I also testify in civil cases. 16 in civil cases, I have testified on both behalf of plaintiff 17 18 and defendant. 19 Q. What is your specialized training or knowledge 20 that you use in evaluating toxicology testing? 21 Α. So the simplest way to state it is that the 22knowledge and experience that's applied in evaluating a case

or trying to interpret a case is represented by the

that includes my experience as working as a bench

cumulative knowledge that's been gained over the years, and

23

24

```
toxicologist in Virginia. It includes my experience as
 1
 2
     being a testifying toxicologist both in Virginia and
 3
     Massachusetts prior to coming to AIT/AXIS Forensic
 4
     Toxicology. It includes the cumulative body of knowledge
 5
     acquired through my formal education and Ph.D. program as
 6
     well as continuing education efforts through attendance at
     various continuing education workshops and sponsored events
 7
 8
     by the professional organizations I outlined.
 9
                For example, I attended a workshop in September at
10
     the SOFT meeting, Society of Forensic Toxicologists,
11
     meeting, in Nashville. That was an all-day workshop and it
12
     was on the emergence of the novel psychoactive substances,
13
     which are a particularly big problem right now in the United
14
     States.
              Additionally, I stay abreast of what is in the
     current literature. And I also have at my disposal my peer
15
16
     toxicologists that I can talk with throughout the country.
17
                So it's a cumulative body of experience, formal
18
     training, education, continuing education, knowledge of the
19
     literature, scientific literature, the authoritative texts
20
     related to our field that come to bear when you're looking
21
     at a case.
22
          Q.
                Thank you.
23
                When you evaluate toxicology testing, what are the
24
     principles and methods that you use?
25
          Α.
                Well, certainly when it's something done
```

```
internally from our laboratory, we are an accredited
laboratory so all of our analytical methods have been vetted
or validated in terms of performance. This would include
things like limits of linearity, limits of detection,
interferences, and so on.
```

We would certainly look at raw data in terms of quality control, calibration data. So those are the up-front kind of things that are looked at when you're -- when you look at a case.

Now, in an instance where I am asked to look at work from another laboratory, obviously I'm going to look at the methods that were employed in testing. I will certainly review those in terms of do they meet or are they in line with what we do as an industry. Are they acceptable, good laboratory practices in forensic toxicology, and do the procedures and processes follow in good order and are they consistent with good laboratory practice in postmortem forensic toxicology or maybe in human performance toxicology.

So those are just some of the things that come to bear when you're looking at this type of data.

- Q. Did you apply those principles and methods when you reviewed this case?
 - A. I did.

Q. In this case, were you provided sufficient facts

1 and data?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

- A. I was.
- Q. And you outlined those materials that you were provided starting on the first page of your report entitled "Enclosure 1"; correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. And that is the list of items that you reviewed as provided to you by the Commission; correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Since you issued that report, you've also been provided with and reviewed other reports by the other experts who have reviewed this case; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Based on your analysis of this case, do you believe you have specialized knowledge that will assist the Commission in evaluating the toxicology testing that was performed by the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner's toxicology laboratory in Raleigh, North Carolina?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Have you formulated opinions in the cases that are before the Commission regarding the toxicology testing?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do your report and CV contain your opinions and basis for those opinions?
- A. My report does, yes.

```
1
          Q.
                Thank you.
 2
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Judge Lock, I would now like to
 3
     tender Dr. Behonick as an expert in forensic toxicology and
 4
     postmortem toxicological interpretation.
 5
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   All right. That tender is
 6
     allowed.
 7
                Dr. Behonick, when you were contacted by the
          Q.
 8
     Commission, did commission staff make it clear that they
     were not seeking any particular opinion?
 9
10
          Α.
                Absolutely. And it was very -- made clear to me
11
     without a doubt.
12
          Q.
                And did commission staff make it clear that they
13
     would present your findings no matter what those findings
14
     were?
15
                Yes.
          Α.
                I'm going to turn your attention to your report
16
          Q.
17
     and just have you briefly describe what you reviewed in
18
     preparation for the report.
19
          Α.
                So enclosure 1 to the report was the nominal list
20
     of materials and documents that were reviewed. And the way
21
     I formatted my report, I did it in different sections or
22
     parts.
23
                The first part was a summary of the case history;
24
     the second part was discussion of the postmortem analytical
```

toxicology which was performed; the third part was an

- interpretation of the toxicology data; and the final part or next to final part was any opinions or conclusions I drew from the review of those materials; and the last part would be my cited references that I used in reaching those conclusions and opinions.
- Q. You testified a minute ago that you had reviewed additional materials in this case after you issued your report.

What materials were you provided and reviewed after you issued the report?

- A. Well, most recently, last week, there was a set of transcripts that were provided to me. This included the sister of the decedent, the mother of the decedent, and another person of interest or a friend or acquaintance of the decedent. Prior to that, there were several other reports that were proffered by other experts in the case that were provided to me for my review.
- Q. Did any of those additional materials, after reviewing them, change anything in your report or your opinions?
 - A. No.

- Q. One of the other experts' reports that you were provided in this case was Dr. Andy Ewens.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you agree with Dr. Ewens' report or opinions?

A. You may have to just kind of summarize some of his main points, but I think the one area that I do disagree with is the ability to try to go back retrogradely and determine what the morphine concentration was in a postmortem case using a retrograde calculation or calculation of body burden and using things like half-life of the drug and so on.

As forensic toxicologists, we have sort of a set of guidelines and a framework from which we offer opinion testimony or expert testimony. That sort of calculation is not sanctioned or recommended, advised by this particular set of guidelines; so that is not a calculation I would engage in or endorse.

Q. Thank you.

Are there any guidelines about whether or not a toxicologist can opine as to the absolute cause of death in a case?

A. Again -- and the document that I'm referencing, which is probably something that should be introduced here so you know exactly what I'm referring to, the name of this document is "Guidance (connectivity issue) and Testimony (connectivity issue) in Toxicology."

(Stenographer clarification.)

A. Yes. The document I am referencing is entitled "Guidelines for Opinions and Testimony in Forensic

Toxicology." It is a document promulgated by ANSI, it's abbreviated ANSI/ASB, Best Practice Recommendation 037, 1st Edition, 2019.

And this is -- ANSI is the American National Standards Institute. And this is in conjunction with the American Academy of Forensic Science Standards Board. And it's a very helpful document, very clear, and it spells out what -- and what should be avoided when you're offering opinion testimony or interpretive testimony in forensic toxicology.

Q. Thank you.

What can a toxicologist opine on as it relates to cause of death?

A. Well, I'm going to actually refer to the document and read directly from the document. This is a prohibition. "A toxicologist should not opine as to the absolute cause of death of an individual. However, this does not preclude a toxicologist from addressing the toxicological impact of any substances found in the toxicological analysis of specimens from the case."

So although I am not proffering or offering a cause of death, I certainly can talk to you about the mechanisms of action, how a drug brings about a lethal outcome or a fatal outcome, or how a combination of drugs can bring about a fatal outcome.

"Additionally, a toxicologist should not perform extrapolation calculations for drugs other than alcohol."

And this is what I alluded to earlier about trying to look at a postmortem blood concentration and then go back in time and say this is what it may have been or could have been based on pharmacological data of drug.

"Likewise, a toxicologist should not calculate the dose of a drug based on a postmortem drug concentration in blood."

And the reason many of these prohibitions are put in place is because there are just too many variables in postmortem cases that we cannot account for. And it's much more harmful to try to do a calculation or make an estimate and give somebody the wrong impression or give somebody something that's inaccurate and scientifically indefensible. So this is why these prohibitions are put in place for forensic toxicologists.

Q. Thank you.

Turning now to your opinion in this case, what is your opinion in this case?

A. So looking at the toxicology report that was done back in 2011, the results indicated the presence of morphine in a femoral blood specimen. And having had the advantage of looking at the clinical history of this decedent or hearing testimony related to his activities and whereabouts

during the time in question of his death, this finding is consistent with what was revealed in those documents in terms of testimony from other witnesses, what actually occurred over that period of time -- I believe it was the weekend of March 4th, 5th, 6th, 2011.

So the toxicology finding is certainly consistent with that history and what happened.

The one thing that was a little bit of an unknown at the time was the presence of alcohol. And it was determined to be present in an amount of 40 milligrams per deciliter. That is the same thing as saying 0.04 percent weight by volume. And the reason I point that out is just to give you some reference of how much alcohol does that really mean. We know that, for example, in driving under the influence cases or driving intoxicated, we know the legal statute is 0.08 percent. What was found in the postmortem blood of this decedent was about one half of that, 0.04 percent.

Now, the document that was provided to me last week, and it was the testimony -- or it was the transcript testimony given by the decedent's sister, helped shed some light on that because she made a reference to the decedent having been in the company of another person at a bar. So that at least in my mind clarified the potential for where that alcohol originated from.

Q. Dr. Behonick, it is important for a forensic toxicologist to be aware of law enforcement's investigation and what witnesses reported?

A. Absolutely. And it's not just law enforcement's investigation, documentation of the scene, a decedent's medical and social history, but it's also what a forensic pathologist or a medical examiner uncovers in their own investigation, whether that is the external exam, an internal exam of the body, as well as additional ancillary studies that might be histology or microanatomy studies and any other kind of specialized studies, for example, the heart or the brain.

So we do not practice the interpretation of forensic postmortem toxicology in a vacuum. It's not as simple as just looking at a result and looking at a number and coming to a conclusion. It's important to consider every piece of information that's available, and sometimes it may be incomplete, it may be inaccurate, and you've got to consider that when you're weighing exactly how significant is that information relative to the toxicology finding that you're looking at.

Q. I want to focus on the sections of your report titled "Part 2: Postmortem Analytical Toxicology" and "Part 3: Interpretation of Postmortem Toxicology Data." I have a few questions about those sections.

Can you explain which part of the toxicology testing is the screening portion and which part of the toxicology testing is the confirmation portion?

A. Okay. So if you refer back to the toxicology report -- and this is from the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner, Raleigh, North Carolina, you will see midway on the page, the specimens which were received. There was a femoral blood specimen. And for that result, morphine was reported semiquantitatively as being present in trace amount.

That finding represents a confirmation finding; that is, a confirmed test that was done basically off the screen of the aorta blood which is listed below that on the report. So the laboratory did the presumptive testing or screening testing in the aortic blood, and it was done by a method known as liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry. That was the screening methodology or an analytical technique performed on the specimen.

The presumptive positive from that, which was morphine, was then referred to testing by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry, which then resulted in a confirmed result.

In terms of the alcohol result, that was performed on I believe it was the aorta blood, and this was done by a method known as gas chromatography. I should further state,

```
1
     headspace gas chromatography flame ionization detection.
 2
     This essentially is a screening and confirmation method in
 3
     one because two columns were used to identify the alcohol.
 4
     So this is one of those methods where you can consider that
 5
     both a screening and confirmation method that was done
 6
     simultaneously, and that's what revealed the concentration
 7
     of 40 milligrams per deciliter of alcohol.
 8
                Additionally, there was testing done on a urine
 9
     specimen which revealed a concentration of 15 milligrams per
10
     liter of morphine -- free morphine, and that was done by
11
     GC/MS.
12
          Q.
                In looking at the urine screenings, can you tell
13
     whether or not they screened for alcohol in the urine as
14
     we11?
                There is no indication of that on the report.
15
          Α.
                                                                The
     only test result listed for urine was for the morphine.
16
17
          Q.
                Can you tell what kinds of drugs were part of the
18
     screening tests in this case?
19
          Α.
                The list of drugs certainly are what was contained
20
     on the report. As you see there, that included the
21
     benzodiazepine class, cocaine, opiates and opioids, of which
22
     morphine was determined to be present, nicotine, and then
     there is a very broad category, "other organic bases."
23
24
                And what I was able to learn from Dr. Winecker,
```

because I did speak to her, they were using, you know, a

very broad spectrum toxicology screen at that time, which probably was on the order of almost 400 different drug or drug metabolites, and that's what would have been included in those other organic bases.

It would be impractical to try to list every drug or drug class that they tested for on a single toxicology report just because it would just be too long. It would be too long of a report to try to just say that all of these were tested and are either negative or -- it would only report positive findings.

- Q. Did the screening portion of the toxicology testing, if you were able to tell, include blood pressure medication?
- A. I did indeed discuss this issue with Dr. Winecker once it was revealed to me that this possibility of a blood pressure medicine being used was revealed to me. And Dr. Winecker did affirm to me that that organic base screen that would have been done of aortic blood would've also included a number of antihypertensive or blood pressure medications -- now, not necessarily fully inclusive, and without knowing specifically what agent would have been involved, it's impossible to say whether or not it was indeed tested for at the time back in 2011.
- Q. And you've referred to Dr. Winecker. So just to clarify, Dr. Winecker was the toxicologist who did the

testing in this case 2011; is that correct? 1 2 Α. She was the chief toxicologist at the Office of 3 the Chief Medical Examiner in Raleigh at the time, yes. 4 Q. Thank you. 5 If you know, what sorts of effects could taking 6 too much blood pressure medication have on a person? 7 Α. It's a very difficult question to answer because 8 there are a number of different classes of drugs which are 9 used to treat hypertension or high blood pressure. 10 example, there are thiazide diuretics, an example would be 11 hydrochlorothiazide, and this is a drug that reduces blood 12 pressure by increasing the excretion of sodium and water. 13 Now, a side effect of that could be something 14 known as hypokalemia, which is a reduced or diminished 15 concentration of potassium in the circulating blood, which 16 in itself can have deleterious effects to a person. 17 type of medication would also be especially risky for 18 patients which have cardiac arrhythmias, left ventricular 19 hypertrophy, ischemic heart disease, congestive heart 20 failure. 21(Technology failure.) 22MS. SMITH: I think we have lost the volume. 23Can you hear me? 24 THE WITNESS: How about now? 25MS. SMITH: I can hear you now.

(Stenographer clarification.)

THE WITNESS: That's correct. Yeah. That's the statement.

A. So this would be a risky medication for somebody that has those predisposed conditions.

Another class of antihypertensive drugs would be beta-blockers. The prototype for this class would be propranolol, and that's spelled p-r-o-p-r-a-n-o-l-o-l. And this medication decreases blood pressure by decreasing cardiac output. It does so by reducing the sympathetic outflow from the autonomic nervous system. And it can inhibit a substance known as renin, which produces angiotensin II and the secretion of aldosterone. Again, that's how the mechanism works to reduce blood pressure.

For patients that are asthmatic or if they suffer from angina or peripheral vascular disease, they could be at a risk for side effects or toxicities of this drug class.

- Q. Thank you.
- A. ACE inhibitors would be a third category, and these are angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. They lower blood pressure by decreasing peripheral vascular resistance. An example would be lisinopril. That is an example of ACE inhibitor. Some of the side effects that could be associated with that would be hypercalcemia, that would be increased calcium in the blood. And, again, for

people with certain predisposed conditions, this could prove toxic.

So the answer to the question about what could a blood pressure medicine do to someone, you would first have to really be able to answer, well, what particular agent in question are you talking about. And of that, which particular class of agents in terms of mechanism of action would be involved in that drug.

Q. Thank you.

Can you tell the commissioners what "trace amount of morphine in the femoral blood" means.

A. So that notation "trace" -- it's a little bit of an older notation used in quantitative toxicology testing, and what it refers to is a small amount of drug or minimal amount of drug relative to what is the lowest calibration point for the test assay.

So in this particular case, the lower limit of detection or the lowest limit of quantitation for the assay was 50 nanograms per milliliter. So the actual case specimen had the presence of morphine which met criteria for identification both in terms of its mass spectral data and its retention time, but it was below the lower limit of quantitation, and therefore it was only reported as "trace."

So when you read that, it translates to an amount of morphine being less than 50 nanograms per mil.

1	Q. And do we know how close to zero the trace
2	morphine level was in the femoral blood sample?
3	A. No. Without a review of the actual data, you
4	could not be able to speculate on that.
5	And of course, at the time, the North Carolina
6	Office of the Chief Medical Examiner, the toxicology
7	laboratory, they were working under American Board of
8	Forensic Toxicology guidelines and standards, and the
9	standard records retention policy is five years by ABFT; so
10	those records, the hard copy, you know, are long gone.
11	Q. Do you know what the possible range was?
12	A. Well, we know from the confirmatory method that
13	the lower limit of quantitation was 50 nanogram per mil.
14	From the screening method, the LCMS training method, they
15	had a detection limit of 10 nanogram per mil.
16	So one would infer that at least there had to be
17	at least enough to trigger a positive from the screen which
18	would have been at least 10 nanogram per mil or higher, but
19	it did not satisfy meeting the quantitative criteria of
20	being above what the limit of quantitation was.
21	Q. I want to turn now to part 4 of your report,
22	"Opinions and Conclusions."
23	Why does the finding of 40 milligrams per
24	deciliter indicate that Mr. Whitson consumed alcohol before
25	he died and not related to postmortem decomposition?

A. There was no indication from the autopsy or from the scene that decomposition was an issue with this cadaver or with this decedent.

So normally in postmortem cases, when that is apparent, that's going to be noted to the toxicology laboratory in the way of a history or in the condition of the remains, and it will alert us to the possibility that the alcohol that could be detected may be secondary to that decomposition process.

That was not the case for this decedent so it wasn't mentioned either in the scene or in the autopsy.

- Q. Is it possible that Mr. Whitson did not consume any alcohol at all before he died?
- A. In my opinion, that's highly unlikely. There is nothing to suggest that this was just a neoformation of alcohol or spontaneous formation of alcohol in vitro. And I think what probably buttresses my opinion in that regard is the statements that were made by this decedent's sister in that additional testimony provided to me last week.
- Q. Could the ethanol that was detected in the aorta blood sample be attributed to the blood sample itself creating ethanol, as Dr. McLemore suggests in her report?
 - A. I don't believe that, no.
- Q. Are you able to tell how much alcohol Mr. Whitson had consumed?

- 1 Α. We have no idea what he was drinking or how No. 2 much he was drinking or when the drinking may have started 3 or ended. 4 Q. How does alcohol affect the central nervous 5 system? 6 Α. Alcohol is broadly categorized as a central 7 nervous system depressant, and it's going to exert most of 8 its effects on the brain. And it's going to happen in a 9 concentration-related fashion. 10 So at low concentrations, it's going to be the 11 higher centers of the brain that are going to be first It's the frontal lobe section of the brain. 12 affected. It's 13 the part of the brain that regulates things like our 14 inhibitions, judgment, and our critical thinking. 15 This is where, for example, at the office 16 Christmas party, for example, and you have the young, nerdy 17
 - This is where, for example, at the office
 Christmas party, for example, and you have the young, nerdy
 kind of guy that is quiet and self-reserved, and after one
 or two drinks, he is already dancing up a storm, very
 loquacious, very outgoing and so on. And what that is,
 that's the release of inhibition. That's the inhibition
 that is being removed at that time.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, as I said, the effects escalate with increasing concentrations. You get up to a blood alcohol of, say, 0.10 percent, and now you can start to see or experience some sensorimotor deprivations, there's changes

in vision, and there can already be some indication of sensorimotor imbalances in coordination. And obviously, if it escalates up to the point where you get up to a .35 or above and the drug -- or the alcohol itself could be enough to cause lethality in the form of acute alcohol poisoning.

So there's this whole spectrum of effects that occur over that range of concentrations.

- Q. How does morphine affect the central nervous system?
- A. Morphine is an opiate and it's going to direct its mechanism of action by way of receptors. The receptors are noted as mu, kappa, and delta receptors. They're located throughout the body.

The mu receptors are related to analgesia, which is the therapeutic purpose for morphine, is to provide pain relief or analgesia, but those receptors are also sites of action that bring about the euphoric feeling or the high, and they also play a role in the negative or serious toxic side effect, which could be respiratory depression.

Q. I want to go back and I'm going to try to ask this question so please correct me if I'm asking it incorrectly.

Because we are seeing a .04 alcohol concentration in Mr. Whitson's blood, that could mean that he consumed a drink or two immediately prior to death.

Is that accurate?

A. That's true. It could be, yes.

- Q. Because we do not have a screening of the alcohol concentration in the urine, we have no way of knowing whether or not he had begun to metabolize the alcohol out of his system; is that correct?
- A. That's fair to say that. And urine is a good corroborative matrix or specimen type for that purpose, as well as vitreous humor. The vitreous humor is the fluid from the eye. That holds exceptionally high corroborative value when you're trying to interpret a blood alcohol result.

It's preferable to have either urine or vitreous in combination with the alcohol result. And that also helps us when we're trying to discern whether or not is that alcohol the result of postmortem neoformation because of decomposition.

- Q. And it's your understanding that we also don't have the vitreous either?
- A. Right. Right. The only specimens that were submitted were 6 milliliters of blood obtained from a femoral vessel, the blood that was obtained from the aorta, a urine specimen, and a portion of liver tissue. Those were what was submitted to the toxicology laboratory.
- Q. And so based on the .04 concentration in the blood, the only conclusion that we can draw is that he had

- 1 .04 blood concentration at the time of death? 2 Α. That is the blood concentration that was measured 3 in the postmortem sample, yes. 4 Q. Okay. 5 Going to turn now back to the urine levels -- or 6 the morphine levels in the urine. Is 15 milligrams per liter in the urine a lethal 7 8 level of morphine? 9 And that's a trick question. And the reason I'm Α. 10 saying it's a trick question -- well, the reason it's a 11 trick question is because you cannot discern or infer 12 lethality, toxicity, or impairment from a urine positive 13 finding. 14 The urine merely is chemical evidence an individual was exposed to or used a drug, but you 15 16 cannot infer those other interpretive-type conclusions, if 17 you would, as you would in a blood specimen. 18 Q. So when Dr. Hall says that the level of morphine 19 at 15 milligrams per liter that was detected in 20 Mr. Whitson's urine is a lethal amount, that is not 21 something that you would agree with?
 - A. That doesn't support the conclusion of lethality.

 It -- what it merely suggests or does demonstrate is that
 the person used or was exposed to the drug morphine or a
 morphine-containing drug.

23

24

Q. And is the reason that we can't focus on those concentrations in urine because there are other factors that come into play, such as how much someone may have had to drink -- not alcohol necessarily, but how much liquid someone may have consumed or something along those lines?

A. The more basic answer to that question is to think of it this way: Urine is a sequestered fluid, and by being a sequestered fluid, I mean that any drug or drug metabolite that was circulating in the blood has already been excreted from the blood and resides in the urine. It's no longer circulating to the point where it's going to reach the target organ or set of receptors to bring about an effect; so this is downstream, long gone from the blood.

Now, what you were referring to as far as someone's hydration status or how well hydrated they are, whether it's a dilute urine or concentrated urine, yes, that can have an impact on the overall concentration that you're measuring. Obviously, a more concentrated urine drug is going to be higher whereas in a dilute urine, the concentration would be lower.

Q. Dr. Hall references 14 milligrams per liter of morphine in the urine being a cutoff point for toxicity resulting in death.

Is that an accurate statement?

A. It is a statement that I would not make, and I am

sure what he may have been looking at was either some case reports or some published data in literature which reported urine concentrations in fatal cases. But I don't necessarily automatically associate that with being a cause of death. And while -- and you can't. You can't because of the reasons I aforementioned, that you're not going to be able to infer lethality from a urine concentration.

- Q. From the toxicology testing conducted, can you tell how much morphine Mr. Whitson consumed?
 - A. No.

- Q. Can you tell when Mr. Whitson consumed morphine based on the finding of trace morphine in the femoral blood?
- A. Generally, blood affords you a limited window of detection measured by hours as opposed to a urine, where urine has an advantage in many ways because it extends that window of detectability or window of detection.

So you can detect drug use in a person from a urine specimen that may have been two or three days before or even longer, depending on the drug. That's not true for blood. Blood, you're looking at sort of a window that's defined by hours.

- Q. Can you draw any conclusions from the designation of trace morphine in the femoral blood?
- A. The most significant interpretation is the drug is present. The drug is there. And it goes back to what I

think I said earlier in my testimony about, you know, trying to interpret drug concentrations by themselves. It's not the only criteria that you're going to apply in trying to discern whether or not something is lethal or toxic.

You've got to look at the entire context of a case which, in a postmortem case, would be all those things that I mentioned -- the scene history, the decedent's medical and social history, the autopsy findings -- collectively, all of that information has to be consolidated, systematically reviewed, and then a conclusion can be drawn.

- Q. Is there a certain point at which a morphine drug level in the blood could be determined to be toxic?
- A. There are reports of toxic and lethal and therapeutic concentrations in the literature, yes, there are.

The point of emphasis, though, that I want to make, when you're looking at it in a postmortem setting, it's not the magnitude of the concentration alone that can be used to discern whether or not it played a role in someone's death or it had a role in someone's death. You've got to look at that in the totality of the case information.

- Q. What does the term "tolerance" mean in the context of a drug user?
- A. So "tolerance" refers to the desensitization of response to a drug, and this is something that practically

manifests where a person needs to use an increased dose or needs to use more of a drug to achieve the desired effect.

Now, most often we think of it or we talk about it in terms of pain relief, analgesia. So you could have a chronic pain patient that may be prescribed a drug like morphine or some other drug like oxycodone or hydrocodone for the treatment of pain. Over time, a person develops tolerance to that drug to where you're not getting the desired effect, the pain relief effect. So a dosing adjustment increase has to be made to get that same effect.

And the same can hold for illicit drugs that are abused or misused to try to get euphoric effects. Over time, a person develops a tolerance and the person has to use more of the drug to get that high or euphoric effect.

- Q. What happens to tolerance when a regular drug user abstains from using drugs?
- A. Abstinence can certainly remove tolerance; so it can -- it can cause tolerance to disappear. And it's not necessarily well defined as to how much time somebody has to be off a drug or withdrawn from a drug to be abstinent in order for that tolerance loss to occur, but we do know that it occurs with abstinence and certainly with prolonged abstinence as well.
 - Q. Okay.

Is it possible that the finding of morphine in the

blood was due to Mr. Whitson ingesting something other than morphine?

A. When morphine is reported on a toxicology report, one of the first questions that should enter your mind is what are the potential sources of that morphine, and I will outline some of those potential sources.

Number one, the morphine you see could have been derived as a metabolite of codeine. Codeine produces morphine as a minor metabolite. However, if you do not see codeine, then it's unlikely that the morphine that's there is because it's a metabolite of the codeine. I've never seen a case where morphine was detected in the absence of codeine and yet it was a codeine-containing drug that was ingested by the person.

The second possibility for morphine being revealed on a toxicology report comes from the use of a morphine-containing drug. And I will just name several examples: MSContin is an example of an oral form of morphine; Kadian is an oral form of morphine. So if somebody is using or taking that drug, you would expect to see that as a finding in the blood.

The third possibility for morphine being present is that it is a metabolite of heroin. And there are other -- there are other elements to discerning whether or not the morphine originated from heroin by looking at things

like 6-acetylmorphine, which would be a chemical footprint or fingerprint for heroin use as well as the collective scene investigation and what's known about the clinical history and social history of a decedent or a prescription history, for that matter.

So there are other -- there are additional information sources that could help you discern whether or not it is heroin.

Another minor -- I wouldn't say "minor," but I would say another possibility would be morphine derived from brewing up poppy seeds, unwashed poppy seeds and brewing it as tea to where persons are trying to extract the morphine and codeine that are contained in the poppy seeds.

So that would be another potential source.

- Q. Dr. Behonick, you mentioned that it could be a metabolite of heroin, but is there anything from what you're seeing in this case to suggest that that could be the case here?
- A. No. There was no suggestion in the clinical history of this decedent that would've pointed to heroin use, and there was certainly nothing else in the toxicology, namely 6-acetylmorphine that would've pointed you in the direction of it being heroin.
 - Q. What is Opana?
 - A. Opana is a -- it's a commercial drug. It's a

tradename drug for Oxymorphone hydrochloride, and it's a drug that's prescribed for the treatment of moderate to severe pain.

- Q. Based on the findings in the toxicology report, is it possible that Mr. Whitson ingested Opana?
- A. There's nothing in the toxicology findings that would suggest Opana or Oxymorphone hydrochloride was ingested or used. And the reason for that conclusion is twofold: Number one, it wasn't picked up on the general screen, the liquid chromatography/mass spec screen done by the laboratory; nor was it picked up in the confirmation testing that included the morphine. Oxymorphone would've been part of that confirmation testing.

So it was neither picked up in the screen or the confirmation testing.

- Q. Is it possible that Mr. Whitson took a drug that was not screened for by the toxicology testing performed by the Office of Chief Medical Examiner?
- A. That's always a possibility in any postmortem case, and it is something that, as toxicologists, we emphasize to our clients, our end-users, whether it is medical examiners or forensic pathologists, to be very conscientious in providing us history about a decedent.

And there may be a prescribed drug or a drug that is not part of our normal test profiles or panels that could

have been implicated in a case. And in such case, you'd have -- as a laboratory, you'd have to make alternative arrangements to try to test for that drug.

But this speaks more completely to what you mentioned before. Why is it important for us to have the scene information and the full decedent information, case history, prescription history -- these are the reasons why.

When we look at a toxicology result, we want to look at that with eyes wide open in knowing what we knew about a particular decedent.

- Q. From the materials that you reviewed, what things did you see that are consistent with drug overdose deaths?
- A. Obviously, the finding of morphine is a pertinent finding, especially in someone that, from all appearances, was using it illicitly -- there was no prescription for morphine, there was no medical reason to be using morphine. So that would be a prime indicator right off the bat.

And some of the descriptions that were provided by witnesses about his appearance in terms of sleeping on the couch and snoring loudly and so on and so forth, that can be suggestive of an opiate intoxication. It's not what I would consider to be exclusive to that, but it can give an indication that an opiate was involved.

The opioid methadone, which we see -- and we went through a number of cases of opioid poisoning by methadone,

```
many times that's the type of thing you would hear in the
 1
 2
     history. Well, person, John Doe, was laying on a couch and
 3
     he sounded like he was breathing kind of funny or he's
 4
     snoring loudly and didn't really think anything of it, and
 5
     then, you know, a while later, we went back and he was cold
 6
     and blue and was dead.
                So, I mean, those are the kind of scenarios we
 7
 8
     hear about in terms of case histories. But, again, I'm not
 9
     going to just hang my hat on that and say that's
10
     pathognomonic for that particular reason. It can be a
11
     manifestation of poisoning by an opiate or an opioid.
12
                     MS. SMITH:
                                All right. Those are my
13
     questions. I'm sure the commissioners have questions.
14
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Commissioners?
                     Commissioner Boswell.
15
                     MR. BOSWELL: Dr. Behonick, this is John
16
17
     Boswell. I'm one of the commissioners here.
18
                     Would -- if the lab had tested for urine
19
     in -- I mean, I'm sorry -- for alcohol in the urine, would
20
     we have a report that urine is zero? I just want to make
21
     sure that they didn't test for it and because they didn't
22
     find it, it wasn't on the toxicology report.
23
                     THE WITNESS: I see no indication where it
24
     was tested on the report; so it only appears to me that the
25
     morphine was tested in the urine.
```

```
Now, you know, just to kind of expound on
 1
 2
     that point a little bit, I can tell you what we do in our
 3
     laboratory, and that is when we have a positive blood
 4
     alcohol result for a case and when vitreous humor is
 5
     submitted, we are -- we automatically reflex alcohol testing
 6
     to the vitreous to have that corroborative specimen.
                                                           \mathbf{If}
 7
     vitreous was not available but urine was available, we
 8
     reflex to the urine for the alcohol testing.
 9
                     And it's just that it's -- it provides you an
10
     additional layer of information. It provides you an
11
     additional element of interpretation when you're trying to
12
     look at alcohol results. So it's desirable to do that.
                     Now, in this case, it doesn't appear that
13
14
     that was done.
                     MR. BOSWELL: We had -- you had a question
15
     earlier where one of the possible reasons that another
16
17
     expert indicated why there might be alcohol or ethanol in
18
     the -- in a sample was because maybe it fermented in transit
19
     because it was being sent by United States Postal Service as
20
     opposed to overnight mail, and you said you didn't believe
21
     that.
22
                     What was the basis for thinking that that was
     not likely in this case?
23
24
                     THE WITNESS: It would take some extreme
25
     environmental conditions to produce that. And the other
```

```
premise for saying that is because, in all likelihood --
 1
 2
     and, again, I don't know this for a fact, but in all
 3
     likelihood, the blood was collected in a vessel that most
 4
     likely contained sodium fluoride and potassium oxalate as
 5
     preservatives. And the reason sodium fluoride is added as a
 6
     preservative to postmortem blood samples is for that very
 7
     reason, to prevent in vitro formation of alcohol.
 8
                     MR. BOSWELL:
                                   And that was --
 9
                     THE WITNESS: Now, I can't say for certain
10
     whether that was the type of specimen container used because
11
     it wasn't described on the autopsy report, but good
12
     laboratory practice would deem or dictate that you use that
13
     preservative in your collection.
14
                     MR. BOSWELL: And would that have been true
     back in 2011 as well?
15
16
                     THE WITNESS: Yes.
17
                     MR. BOSWELL: And would the fact that this
18
     was collected in March, when it wouldn't be particularly
19
     hot, also suggest that it didn't have fermentation as a
20
     result of extreme conditions?
21
                     THE WITNESS: It would make it less likely,
22
          When you're talking about the in vitro formation of
23
     alcohol, you're talking about some pretty extreme
24
     conditions, where temperature as well as time of exposure to
25
     those temperatures would have to come into play to be
```

```
1
     contributing factors to that occurring.
 2
                     MR. BOSWELL: Those are my questions.
                                                             Thank
 3
     you very much for your testimony.
 4
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Commissioner Bass.
 5
                     MR. BASS:
                                I'm Scott Bass, and forgive me if
 6
     you've answered these but there's still a question in my
 7
     mind.
 8
                     In 24 hours from a person's death to an
 9
     autopsy, would a blood alcohol level change?
10
                     THE WITNESS: Not unless there was something
11
     that was particularly unusual or atypical about the
12
     conditions. For example, extreme conditions where the body
13
     would have been in some way affected through thermal injury,
14
     say thermal burns, injuries where the cavities would've been
15
     exposed where bacteria proliferate very rapidly and so the
16
     bacteria metabolize sugars and so forth to produce that
17
     fermentative effect. So there would have to be some very,
18
     very extreme circumstances for that to occur.
19
                     Now, depending on the position of a body,
20
     movement of a body, can alcohol sort of move from areas of
21
     higher concentration to lower concentration? Yeah, that's
22
                For example, if a body is reclining and you start
23
     moving it around, any alcohol that is in the gastric, in the
24
     stomach, could diffuse out from an area of higher
25
     concentration to a lower concentration.
```

But that would only, I think, again, be
evident in concentrations that are extremely high. And, you
know, I can think of my own personal experience where I had
a case one time where it was a suicide by alcohol ingestion,
and the vitreous alcohol was in excess of 0.89, the blood
alcohol concentration was in excess of 1 percent.

Now, part of that had to do with the fact
that the decedent consumed an extraordinary amount of

Now, part of that had to do with the fact that the decedent consumed an extraordinary amount of alcohol but he was sitting in a reclined kind of position. So the body was sitting upright in a recliner just as I am sitting right now, and that occurred over a number of days where he was sitting like that. And then obviously when the body was removed and it was put on the litter, you start -- the stomach was full of alcohol and now that alcohol distributes to other spots.

And so the bottom line was the results that we saw quite likely were affected by that diffusion of alcohol because there was so much there and because of the position of the body. So those would be the types of conditions that -- that you would be concerned about when you're trying to interpret a result.

MR. BASS: And in general, if a person were drinking and went to sleep for eight hours, in that eight-hour period of time of sleeping -- what can you say about changes in blood alcohol level during that eight hours

of sleeping?

THE WITNESS: I will make a general statement about postmortem alcohols in that regard. And generally, most people die with -- in a postabsorptive state with respect to alcohol. Meaning that the alcohol that they consumed has been absorbed and it's distributed to the body and it's already being metabolized and eliminated. That's for most postmortem cases.

Now, there are exceptions to that. You could have somebody in a bar that's drinking, they finish their last drink, they get in their vehicle, and in five minutes, they wrap their vehicle around a tree. Now, obviously they didn't have time to absorb that last drink; so there can be exceptions to it.

But most people die in a postabsorptive state -- in other words, the blood alcohol has already peaked and it's coming down. And generally, alcohol is eliminated at a rate of 0.015 percent per hour. And there are some ranges that could be quoted with that, but I use the range 0.015 percent. So that means, in two hours' time, you would've eliminated 0.03 percent alcohol.

So as long as the heart is still contracting, as long as blood is circulating, the alcohol is going to metabolize.

MR. BASS: And at that rate over eight hours,

```
1
     how much would be metabolized?
 2
                     THE WITNESS: Well, the rate would be at a
 3
     rate of 0.015 percent. That's the average. It's probably
 4
     more appropriate to state it as a range anywhere from 0.01
 5
     percent per hour up to maybe about 0.025 percent per hour.
 6
                     But the thing with postmortem cases, you
 7
     really don't know when the drinking started or when it
 8
     ended; so to try to go back and say, "Well, this is what his
 9
     BAC would've been at six hours before" would be kind of a
10
     futile exercise to do that.
11
                     I think you can generally infer that if there
12
     is a period of survival when drinking has ended, and this --
13
     again, as long as the heart is contracting and blood is
14
     circulating, alcohol is going to metabolize.
15
                     MR. BASS:
                                 Thank you.
                     JUDGE LOCK: Any other questions?
16
17
                     Yes, Commissioner Newton.
18
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  Dr. Behonick, my name is Deb
19
              I'm alternate defense seat commissioner. Thank you
20
     for your testimony. I do have one question in follow-up
21
     with the executive director's question.
22
                     At the outset, you said that in your
23
     capacity, you can say how a drug could bring about a fatal
24
     outcome.
                     Is that what you said you could tell us?
25
```

1	THE WITNESS: The mechanisms of action, yes.
2	MS. NEWTON: Yes, sir.
3	So you said that, like alcohol, morphine is a
4	depressant to the central nervous system.
5	Did I get that right?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
7	MS. NEWTON: Okay.
8	And it can cause respiratory depression, use
9	of morphine, like alcohol?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11	MS. NEWTON: You mentioned the mu, along with
12	kappa and delta, but with the mu, that depresses or that
13	affects the analgesic response, pain response, euphoria, and
14	respiratory depression; correct it's all involved in
15	that; correct?
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	MS. NEWTON: So you were asked by the
18	director about tolerance, the definition of tolerance and
19	desensitization to the effects of the drug.
20	When someone abstains, you said the tolerance
21	disappears and it's questionable just how long that might
22	take, but tolerance will disappear.
23	Is that what you said?
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.
25	MS. NEWTON: What you were not asked is what

```
I am interested in.
 1
 2
                     What happens or what is the effect of the
 3
     drug on the body when the person uses the same dose as was
 4
     being used prior to abstention after, let's say, a 60-day
 5
     abstention from that dose?
 6
                     And I'm asking could that contribute to or
 7
     bring about a fatal outcome?
 8
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   It can. And that's a very
 9
     well-known phenomenon because we see it oftentimes with
10
     decedents that have been incarcerated, they've been in jail
11
     or in prison for a period of time where they are drug-free
12
     or abstinent from the drug, that then get back out on the
13
     street and they resume their previous drug habits, which
14
     means going back to their drug of choice as well as a dose
     or an amount that they were previously experienced with and
15
     it meets with disastrous outcomes.
16
17
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  Can you describe what you are
     referring to as "disastrous outcomes"? What exactly
18
19
     happens?
                     THE WITNESS: Well, in -- I mean, the most
20
     severe outcome would be death -- could be death, yes.
21
22
                                   In your statement, you could say
                     MS. NEWTON:
     how the drug can bring about a fatal outcome. Can you
23
24
     describe how that actually kills a person?
                                    So with a drug like morphine,
25
                     THE WITNESS:
```

```
1
     one of the ways that it works is it occupies mu receptors.
 2
     The mu receptors are integrally involved with the
 3
     respiratory process, which is an involuntary process.
 4
     mechanistically, what happens is opiates such as morphine
 5
     depress the body's responsiveness to CO2 concentrations,
 6
     carbon dioxide concentrations.
 7
                     So in a normal physiologic state, we have
 8
     chemoreceptors throughout our body, and these chemoreceptors
 9
     monitor oxygen blood saturation and our CO2 or carbon
10
     dioxide concentrations.
11
                     When that starts to become imbalanced, where
12
     the CO2 starts to gain in excess to O2, these receptors are
13
     going to send signals to the brain and tell the brain,
14
     "You've got to breathe more deeply or you've got to breathe
     more frequently to blow off the CO2 and take in more
15
16
     oxygen."
17
                     That's the normal physiologic response.
18
                     The problem with an opiate like morphine and
19
     other opioid drugs like Fentanyl or oxycodone, in excess,
20
     they blunt that response, they mute that response.
21
     person can now start to experience decreased respirations,
22
     bradypnea, which is a slowed rate of respiration, and unless
23
     it's somehow interrupted or changed, that can proceed all
24
     the way to apnea, where a person completely stops breathing.
```

And then at that point, within minutes, you

```
can have critical organ functional derangement, you know,
 1
 2
     such as in the brain, anoxic brain encephalopathy, anoxic
 3
     brain injury, or even for the heart, cardiac arrest.
     becomes a cascade if it's not interrupted.
 4
 5
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  And just one follow-up.
 6
     Honor, may I?
 7
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Sure.
 8
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  When you mentioned apnea, an
 9
     indicator of apnea, could that be possibly snoring with --
10
     you can see that?
11
                     THE WITNESS: Well, apnea is the cessation of
12
     breathing.
                 So you're not breathing at that point.
                     But the things like snoring or snoring
13
14
     loudly, breathing in a very strange or labored kind of way
     certainly can be the signs that somebody is already
15
     experiencing the respiratory decline or respiratory
16
17
     depression.
18
                     So decreased respirations -- decreased depth
19
     of respirations, the quality of respirations are affected.
     So all of that -- that could be an actual clinical or
20
21
     outwardly manifestation of those changes occurring.
22
                                   Thank you, Dr. Behonick.
                     MS. NEWTON:
23
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Anybody else?
24
                     All right. Dr. Behonick, thank you very much
25
     for your time and work in this case and for being with us
```

```
1
     today.
 2
                     THE WITNESS: Okay. You're quite welcome.
 3
                     MS. SMITH: Thank you.
 4
                (Witness stands down, 11:54 p.m.)
 5
                     MS. SMITH:
                                Your Honor, now would be an
 6
     appropriate time for the lunch recess.
 7
                     JUDGE LOCK: What time is the next witness
 8
     slated?
 9
                     MS. SMITH:
                                 When we tell them to be slated.
10
     It's 11:54.
                  So if you-all are okay with a shorter lunch,
11
     maybe 12:30? That would be about 35 minutes.
12
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Does that give everybody enough
13
     time to eat and maybe make phone calls? All right. 12:30,
14
     then.
                     Recess to 12:30.
15
                (Recess taken, 11:55 a.m. to 12:35 p.m.)
16
17
                     JUDGE LOCK: All right. We will come back to
18
     order.
19
                     Whenever you're ready, Ms. Smith.
20
                     MS. SMITH:
                                 Just one moment.
21
                     All right. Commissioners, you may recall
22
     that earlier there was testimony that the Commission had
23
     retained Dr. Christopher Holstege related to the
24
     cause-of-death determination in this case.
25
                     You've previously been provided a copy of
```

```
1
     Dr. Holstege's report as Handout 13 and his CV as Handout
 2
     14.
         You will want to refer to those throughout his
 3
     testimony.
 4
                     And we now call Dr. Christopher Holstege.
 5
                     Dr. Holstege, can you hear us?
 6
                     THE WITNESS: I can. Very clearly. Can you
     hear me?
 7
 8
                     MS. SMITH:
                                We can.
                                           Thank you.
 9
                     Your Honor.
10
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Dr. Holstege, good afternoon to
11
           Sir, my name is Tom Lock. I am the chair of the
12
     Innocence Inquiry Commission. I'm a superior court judge
     here in North Carolina.
13
14
                     Testimony before the Commission must be under
     oath or affirmation so I'm going to ask if you would please
15
16
     simply just raise your right hand. You may remain stated
17
     because if you stand up, we'll lose sight of you.
18
                     Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
19
     before the Commission this afternoon will be the truth, the
20
     whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
21
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    I do.
22
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Thank you very much.
23
                     All right, Ms. Smith.
24
                     MS. SMITH:
                                 Thank you.
```

Thereupon, CHRISTOPHER HOLSTEGE, MD, a witness having been

- called by the Commission, was affirmed and testified via WebEx technology as follows:
- 3 EXAMINATION BY MS. SMITH: (12:37 p.m.)
- Q. Dr. Holstege, I am Lindsey Guice Smith. We've spoken on the phone before today. I'm going to ask you several questions today and then we've got the commissioners here in the room. All of them will have an opportunity to ask you questions as well at the conclusion of my questions.

 Okay?
- 10 A. Okay.

17

21

- Q. Dr. Holstege, did you provide the Commission with a copy of your CV?
 - A. I did.
- Q. And does that CV outline all of your education and your work experience?
- 16 A. It does.
 - Q. Do you have any certifications?
- A. I do. I am a boarded medical toxicologist and a boarded emergency physician. I am also a physician who practices medicine in Virginia and in South Carolina.
 - Q. And will you tell the Commissioners what your current job is.
- A. Yeah. I'm employed at the University of Virginia.

 I've been at the University of Virginia since 1999. I'm

 chief of the division of medical toxicology, a program that

I started in 1999 and now has 10 physicians within it.

I'm also a professor of pediatrics and emergency medicine at the University Virginia and I'm the director of the Blue Ridge Poison Center, which serves a 3 million population of Virginia.

- Q. What does it mean to be a medical toxicologist?
- A. So a medical toxicologist is a physician who cares for patients who encounter drugs or other substances, poisons, and that can be in a number of different realms. That can be in the hospital, presenting to the emergency department. It could be in the intensive care unit, could be in clinics, which we have in our own program, could be by telemedicine and telehealth, and it can be in the laboratory, forensics.
- Q. How many years have you been practicing as a medical toxicologist?
 - A. Over 20 years.

- Q. Do you do any kind of work specifically related to patients who use drugs and abuse substances?
- A. Constantly. It's a large part of my practice and our program. In regards to our clinical program at the University of Virginia, we have about 500 patients per year that are primarily transferred in who are critically ill. We also manage the patients at 48 other hospitals. We do over 2,000 consults from those hospitals, and we're really

the gatekeepers for transfers into our hospital. But then we also do about another 20,000 calls related to the poison center, and many of those cases that we manage have to do with substance use and misuse.

- Q. How long have you been treating, patients with substance abuse issues and patients experiencing drug overdoses?
- A. Since I started practicing medicine, which goes back to 1993.
- Q. Is there anything else that you believe is important about your experience or education that we've not outlined for the Commissioners so far?
 - A. No. I think it -- I would hope that that is it.
 - Q. Okay.

In how many cases have you consulted or issued an opinion regarding cause and manner of death?

A. Yeah. So with the poison center, first of all, we have death (technology issue) each year, they're called death abstracts.

And those death abstracts are about 25 per year over 20 years, so about 500 that are written. Those go to our national organization and then they go to the CDC. That gets published in a national report.

I also am involved with legal cases associated with both local and federal government. The ones I'm

primarily involved with have been associated with cyanide toxicity, there's three cases that I've been directly involved with the cause of death, one murder in regards to ethylene glycol toxicity, and then one murder in regards to opioid toxicity.

- Q. And in the cases where you've been retained as an expert, who is retaining you? Is it the prosecution or defense or civil litigants?
- A. It's a mix. For the cyanide cases I mentioned, it's been the prosecution; ethylene glycol, the prosecution; the opioid, it was the defense. There's other work that I've done that I've not been with deaths such as drug toxicity in sexual assaults as well as consulted with other groups in government regards to poisonings.
- Q. What is your specialized training or knowledge that you use in evaluating these cases?
- A. That goes back to my training in biochemistry, medicine, and toxicology. But it's what's available in the clinical evidence, what's available in analytics, and those analytics can be laboratory testing, it can be ancillary such as electrocardiograms, radiology. All of that plays a role in regards to how we look at the cause of death.
- Q. Did you apply your specialized training or knowledge to this case?
 - A. I did.

And in this case, were you provided sufficient 1 Q. 2 facts or data? 3 If you mean by that sufficient facts and data to 4 come to an opinion based on those facts and data, yes. 5 it -- if you're referring to would I have liked to have 6 other data, I would've also liked to have had other data 7 too. 8 Q. Okay. Since you issued your report, you've been provided 9 10 and reviewed other reports by the other experts retained in this case; is that correct? 11 12 Α. Correct. And based on your analysis in this case, do you 13 Q. 14 believe that you have specialized knowledge that will assist 15 the Commission in evaluating the cause of death of Jonathan 16 Whitson? 17 I think so. Α. 18 Q. Does your report contain your opinions regarding 19 cause of death and the basis for those opinions? 20 Α. My report has in it that I don't know what the 21cause of death was in this and the reasons for that. 22Q. Thank you. 23Judge Lock, I'd like to tender MS. SMITH: 24Dr. Holstege an expert in medical toxicology.

All right.

That tender

JUDGE LOCK:

certainly is allowed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Dr. Holstege, when you were contacted by the Commission, did the staff make it clear that they were not seeking any particular opinion?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did the commission staff make it clear that they would present your findings regardless of what your opinion was?
- 9 A. Yes.
 - Q. And the additional materials you reviewed after your report, what materials did that encompass?
- 12 A. Yeah. There were only two reports that were given
 13 to me afterwards, and that did not impact anything in my
 14 report.
 - Q. Thank you.
 - What is your opinion in this case?
 - A. Simply my opinion is I don't know what caused the death. My opinion also is that I cannot claim morphine as the cause of death.
 - Q. I'm going to turn back to -- or turn to the background section that begins on page 3 of your report.
- 22 Focusing on pages 3 and 4, can you walk us through what you
- found relevant about Mr. Whitson's hospital stay from
- 24 December 27, 2010, to December 30, 2010?
- A. Yeah. So the -- a few things. The admission on

```
1
    12/27/2010, he admits to using -- IV drug use, which is
2
    always a concern to me in my practice when people are
3
    injecting drugs. There's always the risk of injecting other
4
    materials, and they often do. It includes infectious
5
    materials as well as other particulate materials and other
6
    substances.
7
               It's interesting, he states that -- admits to
8
    prior IV drug use, but "I am not a druggie," not admitting
9
    to his substance use, which is very common to me in my
```

They talk about his arm pain and swelling in that report, that he, 10 days ago, a friend injected morphine into his left antecub, which is the front part of your elbow.

clinical practice.

The laboratory data show a white count of 13 with a left shift. That means that there's signs of infection. When you see that, that's systemic. And that there are a -- they did a CAT scan, which I got to give credit to the medical team for doing that, that showed a small subcutaneous abscess that was about 8 millimeters, which is pretty small, but still an abscess nonetheless, surrounding left arm cellulitis, which means infection of the skin with what is known as also superficial vein thrombosis. It's not the deep veins that are at risk for a clot going to the lungs, but he does have some clotting of the veins there

1 because of the infection too.

There's some -- he also notes IV injection of OxyContin three times a day. He talks about 250 milligrams of this per day, intravenous.

And so a number of things that come up in that case. He was hospitalized, which I would've done too. It was the appropriate thing. They started antibiotic treatment. Then they discharged him, expectation for him to take antibiotics, 300 milligrams clindamycin orally four times a day for 14 days, which is the appropriate therapy, especially with an IV drug abuser who has signs of cellulitis.

- Q. Dr. Holstege, I think that you just said that there was a report of Mr. Whitson self-reporting OxyContin use up to 250 milligrams a day; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And is OxyContin the same thing as morphine?
- A. It's an opioid, so different, but works very similarly to morphine.
 - Q. Would a dosage of 250 grams of Oxy -- milligrams, sorry -- OxyContin have the same effects as 250-milligram dosage of morphine if it's being shot up?
 - A. Different -- there could have -- yeah. It's being shot up, and that gets to be a bit challenging too.
 - I find it interesting, 250 milligrams is a large

- dose. So clinically, I typically -- if I have someone with 1 2 a fracture, for example, I will start on 5 to 10 milligrams 3 every 4 hours for pain. And so you may use, if you're doing 4 10 milligrams six times a day, 60 milligrams a day. 5 four times that amount. That's a large amount. 6 certainly patients who I have who have more chronic pain or 7 just are having breakthrough pain, we go higher on, but 8 250 milligrams is a fairly large dose.
 - Q. Also in the medical records from Mission Hospital is the prescribing of an antibiotic -- and I'm going to butcher this name -- clindamycin?
 - A. That's good.
 - Q. Was it good?
 - A. That was good.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What can you tell us about that antibiotic that he was prescribed?
- A. Yeah. The antibiotic is used for skin infections. It's the appropriate antibiotic to use in someone who -- you know, IV drug use. And they're going to do it for 14 days. So you want to do it for a set time period to make sure the infection resolves.
- As you, I'm sure, all are aware, as physicians, we always want them to finish their antibiotics or the infections can come back. We do it long enough to hopefully stop the infection. A little challenging sometimes if you

```
have an abscess because the antibiotics can't get quite into
 1
 2
     that abscess because the abscess is small.
 3
                I agree with what they did in management.
 4
     not big enough for me to incise and drain, but it's
 5
     something to keep an eye on to make sure that it does not
 6
     enlarge.
 7
                The antibiotics, often with small abscesses, may
 8
     take, but not always.
 9
                In your review of the materials provided to you,
          Q.
10
     did you see anything that would indicate that Mr. Whitson
11
     completed the full 14-day dose of that prescription?
12
          Α.
                     And so if (indiscernible) before he went to
13
     prison he may be able to have gotten one week, but there's
14
     no mention of it with his entrance into jail. I don't
15
     notice the continuation of his clindamycin while he was
16
     incarcerated, and so I have no evidence that that was
17
     continued, then, for the further -- for another week.
18
                So I don't -- I don't have evidence that he
19
     completed that full 14 days.
20
          Q.
                What happens or can happen if a person doesn't
21
     complete the antibiotic course?
22
                       If you don't finish your antibiotics, that
23
     may mean that it's only partially treated and then infection
24
     can come back.
```

What is sepsis?

25

Q.

A. It is the body's kind of extreme response to infection. And typically sepsis is when the organism gets into the bloodstream and infects the body more diffusely and the body's response to that. That includes inflammatory response, typically. I commonly see people who are septic who come into the emergency department. There's various phases of sepsis, but you can get into the worst phase, which is septic shock, when your blood pressure drops and you have to go to critical care.

But in the emergency departments, for example, we often see people who come in with sepsis and it is the systemic, full-body response to an infection.

- Q. Can an abscess cause sepsis?
- A. Yes.

- Q. How would you determine if a person has sepsis?
- A. It can be difficult. As I said, there's different phases of sepsis and how people look. It depends on their health status prior. That's one of the challenges I have when I teach my residents and fellows here at the University of Virginia. But it's based on signs, symptoms, and laboratory studies, and there's still actually quite a bit of debate in the literature as to exactly the definition. There's defining factors that we use for sepsis, and pretty important during this time right now with COVID and other diseases, influenza for example, to try and determine when

patients come in, but difficult for us clinicians.

- Q. Can sepsis cause death?
- A. Yes.

- Q. I want to turn to your summary, which begins on page 21 of your report. Why is the history pertaining to your patients who use or misuse various substances notoriously unreliable?
- A. Yeah. You know, we've published quite a bit in regards to clinical toxicology and management, and we really bring this to light a lot both in our publications and in our teaching with residents, fellows, medical students, nursing students.

The problem that we have in our patient population particularly -- so in medicine, we often will get the diagnosis based on the history. It's exceedingly important for me as a clinician. However, in our overdose patients, those who are suicidal or those who are substance users, there's issues that arise. One is they don't want to admit what's going on, there's manipulation of health care workers, they have altered memories of the events depending on when they're doing their substance use. Sometimes it's things that they don't realize that they receive, that they're buying things off the street. Social situations often are very difficult to navigate.

And so -- and sometimes they're just trying to

cover up what really, truly occurred.

And I really, in my clinical practice, much more important when we have our patients coming in, managing them, we look at the clinical, the physical examination as well as ancillary tests. Again, the laboratories, other tests such as the electrocardiogram are extremely important, digital imaging.

- Q. Can you tell us how much or when Mr. Whitson -- how much morphine or when Mr. Whitson consumed the morphine from the trace level that was found in his femoral blood?
 - A. No.
- Q. Can you draw any conclusions from that designation of trace morphine in the femoral blood?
- A. No.

Q. In your report, you say that you would not expect the trace level to stop respirations, result in aspiration pneumonia, or cause death.

Can you explain how you come to that conclusion.

A. Yeah. We do have data -- so the thing about evidence-based medicine and what we know in the literature, we know that people take morphine therapeutically. I give morphine regularly in my practice.

We know what levels are when people get certain doses. For example, if you come in with a fracture, I give you 10 milligrams of morphine, I know that your morphine

```
levels may go up to, for example, the literature suggests
nanograms per mil.
```

And so there's therapeutic levels, depending on your pain, what we're trying to accomplish with the morphine. And then there's levels that actually go higher, where we know we can start running the props, especially if they're opiate naïve. That's all pinned to what the clinical picture when you look at levels, but with trace level that's below, like George Behonick very clearly stated in his report when he looked into where the cutoffs were, the level below 50 nanograms per mil was a lower level. It's in the therapeutic range, not a range where I think someone would stop breathing and certainly die.

MR. GLAZIER: Can he repeat the level he just said? Because it wasn't clear whether he said 15 or 50.

- Q. Can you repeat the level? We you didn't hear whether you said 50 or 15.
- A. Yes. From George Behonick's report, it was 50 nanograms per mil was the cutoff for trace. And so at a level -- when they say "trace," then my understanding from my colleagues who are doing the analytics, then, that means that the level is somewhere below. It could be 1 nanogram per mil, that could be 40 nanograms per mil.

But, again, those are not levels where I would see someone stop breathing or die. Otherwise, all my patients

who I'm giving morphine to would be dying.

- Q. For a person with that level of morphine, under 50 nanograms per milliliter, would you expect that person to be able to drive a car?
- A. Yeah. And so I put in my report also I found it interesting the Canadians have used a cutoff for inebriated driving of 80, 8-0 nanograms per mil. And so we do know that patients who are receiving opioids, depending on what they're using them for, certainly can drive.
 - Q. What about walk around?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Be able to be woken up if asleep?
- 13 A. Yes.

- Q. How much, if any, of an additive effect does ethanol have when used in combination with morphine?
- A. Yeah. So ethanol and morphine work by two different mechanisms. Opioids work at the opioid receptors, makes sense, whereas ethanol works at a channel called the GABA channel, that causes sedation. It's a similar channel where, for example, benzodiazepines work, like Valium. They also work at a few other channels such as what's known as the NMDA receptor.

What does this all mean? It means that opioids and alcohol can cause people to be sedated. It's why as a physician if someone's taking an opioid, I tell them not to

```
mix them. And so they both have additive effects,
 1
     potentially, for sedation.
 2
 3
                I want to turn now to Dr. Hall's findings and
          Q.
 4
     testimony in the case.
 5
                Did you agree or disagree with Dr. Hall's
 6
     findings?
 7
          Α.
                Disagree.
 8
          Q.
                What did you disagree with him about?
 9
          Α.
                The urine. He talked about the urine level and
10
     that the urine level indicated that -- in fact, I'm looking
11
     at his exact wording. But he talked about that was what he
12
     really attributed for Mr. Whitson's death for morphine
13
     toxicity.
14
                And I completely disagree with that.
          Q.
                Is 15 milligrams per liter in the urine a lethal
15
16
     level of morphine?
17
                We cannot determine lethality based on a morphine
          Α.
18
```

A. We cannot determine lethality based on a morphine urine level. That is a basic, fundamental principle that we have. Urine levels and urine positivity means past exposure. It does not mean what is occurring at that time, either in the living or the dead.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And Dr. Hall says that 14 milligrams per liter of morphine in the urine is a cutoff point for toxicity resulting in death.

Is that an accurate statement?

A. No.

- Q. Why must there be an appreciable level of morphine found in the blood for the cause of death to be called a death by acute toxicity of morphine?
- A. Yeah. If you think about -- if someone dies from a drug, by death, that means that there's cellular death, the cells stop functioning. When cells stops functioning, we cannot metabolize drugs anymore. The circulation is no longer flowing, it's not going to the liver, and you can't metabolize and you're not excreting in the urine because the kidneys have stopped working too.

Morphine is metabolized in the liver and morphine can be excreted in the urine. Both stop at death. So if morphine was the cause of someone's death, the morphine level would still be there in the blood when they did the analysis.

Q. In your report, you state, quote: "If the patient died due to morphine, even with the additive effects of ethanol, I would expect the level to be measurable above 50 nanograms per milliliter."

Can you explain why you would expect that.

A. Correct. Again, to attribute a death to morphine, even with an ethanol level of 40 milligrams per deciliter, I do not -- it would not cause death at those lower levels even with the ethanol. If that were the case, I'm --

```
hopefully my patients are not compliant with ethanol.
 1
 2
     would have a lot of my patients dying too, and that's not
 3
     what we're seeing.
 4
                And, again, I can't stress enough, a level less
 5
     than 50 nanograms per mil is an exceedingly low level.
 6
     That's a therapeutic level, not a toxic level where we see
 7
     death.
 8
                In fact, I'm a bit intrigued that Dr. Hall
 9
     actually mentioned in one of his statements that he uses the
10
     textbook Besalt. The textbook Besalt, we all use.
11
     nothing in the book of Besalt that talks about a urine level
12
     being used to 40 (connectivity issue) nanograms per mil --
13
     to relate to death.
14
                But certainly it clearly states case in Besalt,
     the death range that we see even with the additive effects
15
16
     of other drugs, their ranges are somewhere around the range
17
     of 200 nanograms per mil at the lowest up to thousands at
18
     the highest.
19
                So, again, I'm a bit intrigued that the Besalt
20
     reference was used. That says something completely
21
     different.
22
                Thank you.
                            Talk a little bit now about ammonia --
          Q.
23
     I'm sorry, pneumonia.
24
                What does aspiration pneumonia look like?
                       Aspiration pneumonia is pretty common in
25
          Α.
                Yeah.
```

overdoses. If you think about it -- and I'll give you an analogy. In my University of Virginia student population, the biggest concern I have is alcohol. They get sedated, they've been drinking a lot of alcohol, how do students tend to die? It's that alcohol coming back up when they're sedated and getting into their lungs. They are basically vomiting into their lungs and then they aspirate or they inhale the vomit material into their lungs.

A very common occurrence that we see in our practice is aspirant pneumoniae. We often will intubate people and put them on life support to prevent that from occurring. That is a very common occurrence for us, and I often -- every week, patients come through the unit that I'm managing that we're preventing from having aspiration pneumonitis.

- Q. How long does aspiration pneumonia take to develop?
- A. Yeah. It depends on the amount of material that's aspirated. So you can have an aspiration pneumonia fairly quick if a large amount of material is aspirated or it can occur slowly if you have just small amounts that are entering the lungs and slowly causing the lungs to become inflamed.
- Q. When you say "fairly quick," can you give us any kind of time frame on what "fairly quick" means?

In other words, if I have a patient --1 Α. Minutes. 2 I've had this happen to me in the emergency department --3 comes in and they suddenly start to vomit, that can go directly into the airway really quickly. 4 5 Q. Dr. Hall has stated that acute pneumonia is a 6 common finding in drug overdose cases. 7 Is that an accurate assessment or statement? 8 Α. Aspiration pneumonia is a common occurrence. 9 Pneumonias themselves are a rare occurrence. 10 typically the pneumonias, acute pneumonias occur because of 11 the aspiration. So the acute pneumonia -- like if we're 12 thinking of pneumococcus pneumonia, other pneumonias, those are rare and typically are my with patients who are in the 13 14 ICUs longer. 15 Can an acute pneumonia alone cause death? Q. Yes. If it's extensive and you can't oxygenate or 16 17 move the carbon dioxide out, it can cause death. It's one 18 of the problems that we're having with COVID right now. 19 Q. When a patient comes into the ER as a drug 20 overdose from morphine, what does that typically look like? 21Α. Variable. So it depends -- everything in 22toxicology is about dose, how much of the substance is in

in just with altered mental status, meaning that they are --

they look inebriated or they're sleepy, their pupils can be

So if you think about morphine, if they come

23

24

25

their system.

```
pinpoint, their respirations may be depressed, their
 1
 2
     breathing, they can talk if you stimulate them, or they can
 3
     be extreme, where they're not -- they're not breathing, they
     need to have what they call bag-assisted breathing or are on
 4
 5
     life support, or depends on whether or not they take the
 6
     antidote.
 7
                And we see a lot of public use of Narcan,
 8
     naloxone, where people are sedate but get naloxone, wake up,
 9
     and come in to us.
10
                So we see a variable. They may be just a little
11
     sedate or they can be comatose.
12
          Q.
                Dr. Holstege, in your opinion, what was the cause
     of death in this case?
13
14
          Α.
                It's not clear to me.
                Can you say what contributed to the cause of death
15
          Q.
16
     in this case?
```

A. It's difficult for me to say what's contributed when we don't know clearly what the cause is. He's a substance abuser. I can suppose that that is certainly a contributor to that because we commonly see complications that are associated with substance use. But, again, it's

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. From the materials that you reviewed, what things did you see that are consistent with drug overdose deaths?

just not clear to me what caused his death.

A. Yeah. The most obvious is the needle track marks.

```
In fact, the quote was "needle marks are present in the left antecubital fossa on the left forearm." We can certainly see lungs that have what they talk about, pulmonary edema and congestion. In the end stages, you can see the capillaries get leaky and they'll get pulmonary edema, but there's many other things that can cause that too.
```

- Q. What are the questions that you have in this case that are left unanswered?
- A. So the primary one, the one that's I think the most challenging right now and what we do a lot of work with our pathology folks and the laboratory teams in clinical chemistry, is the analytics.

If we don't get an answer in the analytics -- and this is routine in my practice -- we have really done much more extensive analytics, actually, at the University of Virginia because we're working closely with the Virginia Department of Health and with the forensic team in Richmond, Virginia.

But I would like to see more analytics. In fact,
I put in place in our own team -- we collect extra blood and
urine at the beginning so that we can go back and do
analytics if the common testing that we do doesn't show us
the answer.

Other issues in this case, you know, certainly there's the potential of doing cultures, there's pictures of

various parts of the body, there's testing on various items
that were maybe found at the scene, from spoons to needles
to syringes. The scene pictures that could occur. There's
further history with the family about sudden death, such as
cardiac dysrhythmias or QTC prolongation where they talk
about familial QT.

I didn't see any of that in the records.

- Q. And do you feel like if you had all of those things that you may have been able to determine the cause of death?
 - A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Are you able to tell us whether morphine caused Mr. Whitson's death?
- A. The level is too low to quantitate. It did not cause the death.
 - Q. Did morphine directly contribute to his death?
 - A. By "directly" if we mean that that is what caused him to -- his heart to stop, again, I would have to say no, it did not directly cause his death.
 - Q. Was morphine a contributing cause of Mr. Whitson's death?
 - A. Again, it's hard to tell because it's trace. I would put the emphasis more on that substance use. Does it contribute? Potentially.
 - Q. Did morphine play any role in Mr. Whitson's death?

```
1
                Again, I apologize to the panel. I wish I could
 2
     answer that question for you directly.
 3
                If I had a level that was more than trace, I could
 4
     answer that question. I cannot answer that question.
 5
                Trace, again, is fairly meaningless to me other
 6
     than that he was exposed. There's small levels that are
 7
     there, and I don't know what the small levels even are
 8
     because they didn't quantify them because they were too
 9
     small.
10
                     MS. SMITH:
                                 Commissioners, those are my
11
     questions for Dr. Holstege. I'm sure you-all will have
12
     questions for him.
13
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  All right.
                                               Sheriff.
14
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          Good afternoon,
                    This is Bobby Kimbrough. I guess you
15
     Dr. Holstege.
     answered one of my questions, was -- when you spoke about
16
17
     not enough evidence provided to you to reach a conclusion.
18
                     So I guess my next question would be -- so I
19
     was looking at your report, and you said for the cause of
20
     death to be called death of acute toxicity of morphine,
21
     there must be appreciable levels of morphine in the blood,
22
     which is not the case here.
23
                     So my question is: Is that a fact or an
24
     opinion? In order for something to be classified morphine
25
     toxicity, does it have to be in the blood?
                                                 Is that an
```

```
1
     opinion or is that a fact?
 2
                     THE WITNESS: Sir, from my perspective, that
 3
     is a fact.
                That is a basic teaching that I do and that's a
 4
     basic teaching -- my and other forensic teaching, and what
 5
     we talked about in my textbook that I wrote.
 6
                     I cannot tell somebody -- I cannot state that
     someone died from a substance that I cannot detect or
 7
 8
     quantify levels.
 9
                     So I would actually tell you it's my opinion
10
     but it's also a fact and it's part of my teachings.
11
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          So let me ask you one
12
     more question.
                     So did you read Dr. Wolf's opinion?
13
14
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   I read all of the opinions.
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: So I guess my question
15
     would be, is:
                    If what you're saying is a fact, then how did
16
17
     she conclude that morphine was the cause of death? If
18
     that's a fact -- is she ignoring the facts? I mean, you may
19
     not can answer, but I'm just baffled by, you know, if that's
20
     a fact, how do we ...
21
                     THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. And I agree with you
22
     on that because I'm baffled too.
                     Part of the reason -- and just for the group,
23
24
     just to understand my background a little bit more. I work
25
     closely with a clinical response group of the FBI for this
```

It's not all about levels. It's also about 1 very reason. 2 how do we see that happening in clinical practice. 3 But I've got to admit, this case -- and when 4 this case came before me. I was a bit stunned at some of the 5 assumptions that were made and some of the definitive 6 statements that were made. Again, I can't yet understand 7 that someone is stating that morphine was the cause of 8 death. 9 I give you one other -- because I think we're 10 maybe coming in on this. You cannot have -- for example, if 11 a patient's morphine levels were higher earlier and then 12 came down and then he died later, the question still comes: 13 Why did he die when the morphine levels were so low and he 14 was able to metabolize them? And if he aspirated and that was part of the death from the morphine, he should've been 15 16 coughing and doing other reactions to that aspiration which 17 were not documented by anybody. In fact, he would have been 18 awake at that time with a level that low and would have been 19 them asking for help.

So it's counterintuitive to me why you would say that morphine caused this death.

20

21

22

23

24

25

And in the current environment, what I see currently in my practice, there can be other substances that can certainly be injected -- he had the cardiomyopathy and there's dysrhythmias, there's a whole host of things that

```
can lead to a death with substance users. Substance users
 1
 2
     are exceedingly challenging in regards to my medical
 3
     practice as to what's bringing them in for their care, but
 4
     it also makes it exceedingly challenging as to the diagnosis
 5
     when they die also.
 6
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          Thank you, sir.
 7
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Commissioner Glazier.
 8
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                   Thank you, Doctor. And the
9
     point we're getting to is really sort of the crucial point
10
     in the case, I think. And I appreciate your candor.
11
                     First, can you repeat -- I'm going to ask you
12
     a couple of questions.
                     Can you repeat the name of the textbook that
13
14
     you authored, to start with.
                     THE WITNESS:
                                  Yes.
                                         So it's "Criminal
15
     Poisoning: Clinical and Forensic Perspectives." This was
16
17
     published, I think, back in 2010, if I recall correctly. I
18
     was the chief editor on it, and it was also edited by two --
19
     with two people from the FBI and one of my colleagues at
20
     Indiana University. There's authors from a number of
21
     various different universities who were -- did different
22
     sections in that book.
23
                     MR. GLAZIER: And when you looked at this
24
     case, did you by any chance run your concerns or theories or
25
     thoughts by any colleagues to get your own second opinion
```

```
1
     about what you were thinking and seeing?
 2
                     THE WITNESS: No, I didn't. I keep, usually,
 3
     my opinions -- you know, the cases to myself. The only ones
 4
     that I dialogued with this from a training perspective and
 5
     not the specifics of the case but some aspects of the case
 6
     were with my fellows. I have four fellows who train with
 7
     me.
 8
                     MR. GLAZIER: And did you talk about any
9
     aspect of this case with your four fellows?
10
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   The only aspects that I
11
     dialogued with was just the tenets of how you do analysis on
12
     drug levels, but not the specifics of the case itself.
13
                     MR. GLAZIER: Would there be other colleagues
14
     of yours across the country that you would recommend as
15
     another source to look at this case given your very
16
     different view -- not inconsistent with several other people
     we're going to hear from, but inconsistent with one we have
17
18
     heard from, in Dr. Wolf?
19
                     So do you have a recommendation of any
20
     national expert that you think we ought to talk to?
21
                     THE WITNESS: Yeah. If you are interested, I
22
     can send some names. But Brent Furbee, who is emeritus at
23
     Indiana University is who trained me 20 years ago now, does
24
     forensic work, also was editor on the book. There are
25
     others also that I can send you if they have time.
```

```
1
     happy to send you names of others.
 2
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                    Thank you.
 3
                     Can I ask one last question because I think
 4
     you've answered a bunch: Is there any text, authoritative
 5
     treatise or text that would support the view in this case
 6
     that based on the .15 finding in the victim, that that would
 7
     allow a cause of death to be attributed to morphine
 8
     toxicity?
 9
                     THE WITNESS: So you're talking about the
10
     urine level that was found; correct?
11
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                    Right.
                                            Because that's really
12
     the only level we have.
                     THE WITNESS: Correct.
13
                                              The answer is no.
                     MR. GLAZIER: So, again, let me be clear,
14
     because I wasn't -- I didn't ask the most articulate
15
16
     question.
17
                     You're saying that there is no authoritative
18
     treatise that you know of that would allow any pathologist
19
     at this point, or toxicologist, to state with certainty that
20
     the cause of death was morphine toxicity based on the
21
     morphine -- limited morphine information we have in this
22
     case?
23
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Correct.
24
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                   Thank you.
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Commissioner Boswell.
25
```

```
MR. BOSWELL: Dr. Holstege, this is John
 1
 2
     Boswell.
              This is interesting. We are hearing markedly
 3
     different opinions from different doctors, and what I glean
 4
     from previous testimony is the opinion that the toxicity of
 5
     morphine, which was higher than it was at death because
 6
     there's morphine in the urine, that that level of toxicity
 7
     prior to the death coupled with pneumonia either from
 8
     aspiration or previous pneumonia sort of created a level of
9
     sedation and difficulty breathing that caused the CO2 level
10
     to rise or the lung -- the breath to stop, the heart to
11
     stop, which led to the death.
12
                     I think I'm articulating that in the way I
     understood the previous experts.
13
14
                     So speak to me about why that is an
     implausible theory in this case.
15
16
                     THE WITNESS: Sir, that's a plausible theory
     in regards to the aspiration.
17
18
                     I still am puzzled why the patient, if they
19
     aspirated, why the morphine level is not at high levels.
20
     in other words, they're opining, if I understand your
21
     comment correctly, that the patient aspirated, laid on the
22
     couch for a while, the morphine was then metabolized, they
23
     could no longer detect it, it was less than trace, and then
24
     the patient died.
25
                     Is that what I'm understanding?
```

```
1
                     MR. BOSWELL: More or less, yes.
 2
                     THE WITNESS: Let me tell you, I can also
 3
     make a lot of speculative in a drug abuser, and I got to
 4
     admit, I'm a little incensed at people making that up,
 5
     because I can make up that I had a cardiomyopathy and had a
 6
     dysrhythmia, I can make up that someone suffocated them -- I
 7
     can make up a lot things that may have happened.
 8
                     What it comes back down to for you as a panel
9
     to understand, and again, I'm stunned that anybody would
10
     make this up -- if the patient died, and I will tell you I
11
     take care of a lot of these patients. When they aspirate,
12
     they will be coughing, they will not be doing well with that
     aspiration, and if they are talking about that they have an
13
14
     aspiration large enough to then kill them, that should have
15
     occurred, then, when the morphine level was still elevated.
                     So to have time pass when the aspiration was
16
17
     that big to cause their death and then later on they die
18
     when the morphine has been metabolized now hours later and
19
     we can't detect it, it's below trace, that is a made-up
20
     story.
21
                     And that's -- I don't know why someone would
22
              But as a clinician who takes care of these
     patients all of the time, that is not plausible.
23
24
                     But what I will tell you what is potentially
25
     plausible -- there's lots of things that are plausible.
                                                               0ne
```

```
1
     of them is cardiac dysrhythmia. One is he injected
 2
     something else that they didn't detect.
                     I wish we had more blood and urine to do more
 3
 4
     analytics. We do not have that. In my practice, we see a
 5
     lot of substances that are injected -- these are mixed
 6
     drugs, and they very rarely get what they think that they're
 7
     getting.
 8
                     And so this was a suboptimal forensic
9
     toxicology and suboptimal analysis. And so I got to admit,
10
     I'm frustrated at this case. And part of the reason I
11
     agreed to come on this case, it's just, again, stunning to
12
     me that this was diagnosed the way it was.
                     MR. BOSWELL: Does the witnesses' reporting
13
14
     heavy snoring from the victim while he was sleeping on the
15
     couch that went on for quite some period of time, does that
16
     mean anything to you?
17
                     THE WITNESS: So snoring can occur for many
18
     reasons.
               Certainly we see it with opioids. My wife snores.
19
     There's many people who snore.
20
                     Again, you know, yes, it can be associated
21
     with opioid toxicity. It can be associated with many
22
              It's one of the things that you could say, yeah,
23
     that's related, but it would certainly be nice to have a
24
     morphine level that also went along with that. That's part
```

of the whole picture that we're looking at. I can't say

```
1
     that a patient who's snoring who has an aspiration that's
 2
     secondary to morphine even though the morphine is no longer
 3
     there.
 4
                     MR. BOSWELL: If the aspiration happened at a
 5
     point in time when the morphine level was high enough to
 6
     sedate the gag reflex and then the pneumonia happened over
 7
     the next couple of hours but there wasn't further
 8
     aspirations, would you still have a gag reflex if you had
 9
     the stomach contents already into your lung but not actively
10
     coming through?
11
                     THE WITNESS: At the time of death, that
12
     morphine level was below trace. At the time of death, he
13
     had a gag reflex; right? There's not morphine there that
14
     they are detecting at levels that would depress his gag.
     that were true, my patients who are getting morphine
15
     wouldn't have a gag either.
16
17
                     So that, again, doesn't make sense to me.
18
                     MR. BOSWELL: No, I didn't ask a good
19
     question. Let me try again.
20
                     Assuming that the aspiration happened at a
21
     time when he was heavily sedated as a result of morphine but
22
     it was a one-time event and then the time of death he was no
23
     longer aspirating but the stomach contents were already in
24
     the lungs creating the pneumonia, would -- would you have a
```

gag reflex if the stomach contents were already in the lung

```
but you weren't continuously aspirating?
 1
 2
                     THE WITNESS: Yeah. Your gag reflex stays
 3
     intact as long as something is not suppressing it.
 4
                     So for example, the patients who I have who
 5
     have opioid overdoses, they subsequently are intubated,
 6
     which is certainly going to give them a gag reflex. Even
 7
     after intubation, right, I have somebody who is sedate from
 8
     opioid who has an aspiration pneumonia, I just intubated
     them, they will still be coughing because of that
9
10
     endotracheal tube I put in place and I have to give them
11
     other drugs to sedate them.
12
                     But depending on how much morphine is in
     their system, and by far the majority of my overdoses that
13
14
     come in presedate and then I aspirate when I intubate them,
15
     I had to give them additional drugs to keep them down
     because that gag reflex is still intact and that
16
17
     endotracheal tube irritates them.
18
                     MR. BOSWELL: So am I understanding that
     while the gag reflex would be back, but if they already had
19
20
     the material in their lung, they would be coughing -- they'd
21
     wake up coughing because they would no longer be sedated
22
     enough to prevent from coughing. So even if they weren't
23
     gagging, they'd be coughing if the material was in the
24
     lungs?
25
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Correct.
                                              And so, you know,
```

```
other questions I think to ask further pathologists too, but
 1
 2
     when I looked at it, you're absolutely right. He's got a
 3
     morphine level that's trace, it's less than 15 nanograms per
 4
           His gag reflex would be intact at the time and so
 5
     would his coughing. And so all the things would be
 6
     occurring.
 7
                     Now, if they're arguing that he had so much
 8
     aspiration that his carbon dioxide levels went up and that
 9
     was a narcotic and that caused him not to wake up, that's
10
     pretty spectacular. That's a lot of aspiration to do that.
11
     You're locking up all of your airways for the most part with
12
     vomit, and I'm not sure that was seen at the autopsy.
13
     is not what I read.
14
                     MR. BOSWELL:
                                   Thank you.
                     THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
15
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Dr. Holstege, I've got
16
17
     another question for you that just came to me.
18
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Yes, sir.
19
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: You want to fact check me
20
     because I was going back over my notes.
21
                     So in the original report, it says morphine
22
     contained -- condition obtained was 15.
23
                     So does that mean, that that was the amount
24
     of morphine that was detected in the blood, the urine, or
25
     what?
```

```
1
                     THE WITNESS: Yeah.
                                           So the morphine -- the
 2
     15 milligrams per liter in the urine, which was stated to be
 3
     a lethal level of morphine, that was in the urine, not the
 4
     blood.
 5
                     Remember the levels --
 6
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          Right.
 7
                     THE WITNESS: It was trace.
                                                   They never gave
 8
     us a quantifiable level.
 9
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          Okay.
10
                     MS. COLBERT:
                                   Hi.
                                         I'm Robin Colbert. I
11
     just had -- I want to run a statement by you that one of the
12
     experts had said when somebody questioned the individual,
     and it went something like this: But for the morphine, this
13
14
     individual would not have died.
                     THE WITNESS: And, again, I have to ask how
15
     can you state that if the morphine level was trace?
16
17
                     What people are doing is making assumptions
18
     that the morphine contributed or was directly a result of
                We can't say that. I don't know if there was
19
     the death.
20
     another substance there that caused the death. I don't know
21
     if there was a whole nother issue that arose with this
22
     patient -- other infections like cardiomyopathy, a
23
     dysrhythmia.
24
                     And so this -- I would absolutely agree if he
25
     had a detectable level that was a level that's associated
```

```
with death, detectable on quantitative, quantified --
 1
 2
     50 nanograms per mil would give us some clue.
 3
                     I have no clue with a trace what that level
 4
          This is someone who certainly had used drugs earlier in
 5
     the evening.
                   We don't know what those were -- was it
 6
     morphine, was it something else.
 7
                     But certainly seemed to be functioning at
 8
     that time.
 9
                     So, again, it's hard -- I'm a scientist. I'm
10
     a physician.
                   I like absolutes. I really need that blood
11
     level to tell me that morphine was the thing that resulted
12
     in this patient's death.
13
                     But trace just doesn't do it for me.
14
                     MS. COLBERT:
                                    Thank you.
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Mr. Glazier.
15
                                   Thank you, Judge.
16
                     MR. GLAZIER:
17
                     I want to follow up. Earlier, you had kindly
18
     given us the name of another national expert that we might
19
     use.
20
                     I want to go to the textbook issue because
     you also answered that question.
21
22
                     I'm going to ask it in the positive.
23
     what you just said, that you cannot take the trace level in
24
     the blood and the .15 in the urine and equate that to
     morphine toxicity, can you give us a citation or come up
25
```

```
within a fairly short period of time citations to treatise
 1
 2
     or authority besides the one you've given us that would back
 3
     that up as well?
 4
                     THE WITNESS: I would go back to Besalt.
 5
     think Besalt is pretty good about, you know, where are the
 6
     lethal levels and what are you using.
 7
                      If you -- I would have to think through who
 8
     states, but I mean, it's ...
 9
                     MR. GLAZIER: Would it be fair to say it's
10
     sort of black-letter textbook law?
11
                     THE WITNESS: It's an assumption by all of us
12
     that we're not using urine exposure. Urine does not tell us
13
     what's going on at the time either during life or death.
14
     Urines are very useful to us. We certainly know he was
     exposed to morphine. I just don't know what kind -- how
15
     that contributed.
16
17
                     So that's why it's so important, when we're
18
     doing the testing in forensics and what we're doing in our
     clinical practice, why we grab a blood right away, what was
19
20
     the level at the time in the blood. The urines only tell us
21
     that they were past exposed, and that can be days ago.
22
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                   Thank you.
23
                                  Any further questions?
                     JUDGE LOCK:
24
                     All right. If not, Doctor, thank you very
25
     much for being with us this afternoon. Thank you for your
```

```
1
     time in working this case.
 2
                     THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Good luck to
 3
     you on all of your decisions.
 4
                (Witness stands down, 1:32 p.m.)
 5
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                    Judge, before we go to the next
 6
     witness, can I ask the executive director a question?
 7
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Sure.
 8
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                   And after your answer, maybe --
 9
     I don't know if it needs to be an under oath answer, but I
10
     leave that to the discretion of the chair.
11
                     Ms. Guice Smith, did -- when Dr. Wolf
     testified this morning and talked about that "but-for"
12
     cause, had she ever told you or your staff that before?
13
14
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  I would like to call on
     Ms. Bridenstine to go through what our conversations with
15
16
     Dr. Wolf were and when we learned that information.
17
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                    That would be really helpful.
18
                     MS. SMITH:
                                 Absolutely.
19
                (Discussion off the stenographic record.)
20
                     JUDGE LOCK: Is the next witness on standby,
21
     the next expert?
22
                                  Is she on the phone yet?
                     MS. SMITH:
23
                     MR. FITTS:
                                  She's not on the phone yet.
24
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Okay. You want to do that now,
25
     then?
```

```
MS. SMITH:
                                 Let's call Ms. Bridenstine to go
 1
 2
     through that question with Mr. Glazier. And then while
 3
     we're doing that, if you can work on getting Dr. Roberts
 4
     ready.
 5
                     Your Honor, will you want to take a break
 6
     before we have --
 7
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   That might be good. She will be
 8
     a little bit, won't she?
 9
                     MS. SMITH: Probably not as lengthy as the
10
     last three experts, but I do anticipate it will probably
11
     take us an hour.
12
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Okay.
     Thereupon, JULIE BRIDENSTINE, a witness having been called by
13
14
     the Commission, was recalled and testified as follows:
15
     EXAMINATION BY MS. SMITH:
                                (1:33 p.m.)
                Ms. Bridenstine, we have provided commissioners
16
          Q.
     with Dr. Wolf's report. In Dr. Wolf's report, does she say
17
18
     that morphine was the but-for cause of Mr. Whitson's death?
19
          Α.
                No, she does not.
20
          Q.
                Did there come a point after she issued her report
21
     that commission staff spoke with Dr. Wolf?
22
                      Specifically about the facts of the case.
23
     believe after she issued her report, we've had two
24
     conversations with her about her opinions and one was last
25
     Wednesday.
```

1	Q. And in that conversation prior to last Wednesday,
2	had Dr. Wolf ever informed commission staff that the
3	morphine was the but-for cause of Mr. Whitson's death?
4	A. No.
5	Q. In that conversation with Dr. Wolf last Wednesday,
6	December 8, is that the first time that she informed
7	commission staff that morphine was the but-for cause of
8	Mr. Whitson's death?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Do you have any additional information to provide
11	as to what she said about that?
12	A. I could tell you a little bit more about our
13	previous conversation with Dr. Wolf, if you give me a second
14	to find our journal entry on it.
15	On October 11 of this year, I had a WebEx meeting
16	with Dr. Wolf, Lindsey Guice Smith, and Beth Tanner to talk
17	about her findings in this case, and I detailed the
18	conversation in our journal. And during that conversation
19	we talked about her opinion, and she informed us that you
20	cannot say "but for the morphine, he would not have died."
21	She indicated that morphine
22	MR. GLAZIER: Wait a minute. I'm sorry.
23	Did you just say she said "you cannot say but
24	for"?
25	MS. BRIDENSTINE: That was my interpretation

of what she said.

Last week, she talked about how -- and she testified today about how it was at this point in time.

Back then, she was saying that morphine could have killed alone or pneumonia could have killed alone, that you had competing causes of death in this case. She would've called the death as morphine toxicity and acute pneumonia. She described how she would've listed it out, the different ways she might have listed it on a death certificate.

She did say that morphine was a contributing factor for death. She would not agree it was a but-for cause. She did not think that Dr. Hall got it wrong because morphine is sufficient to be a cause of death, but she would have said that acute pneumonia contributed to the cause of death.

And she again stated that she believed morphine is the cause of death. If there was no pneumonia and just morphine, she would've been happy calling it morphine toxicity, that morphine contributed to death in this case. If he did not have morphine and just had pneumonia, she would've called it pneumonia.

And she also indicated that she was completely confident based on the information that we had provided to her.

MR. GLAZIER: Thank you.

```
JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Commissioner Britt, did you have
 1
 2
     some questions?
 3
                     MR. BRITT:
                                 When was the last conversation
 4
     with her, what date?
 5
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                       Last Wednesday, December 8,
 6
     I believe. And I was not present for that conversation, but
 7
     Ms. Smith and Ms. Matoian spoke with her on the phone.
 8
                     JUDGE LOCK: Any other questions?
 9
                     Thank you very much.
10
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                   Your Honor, may I request that
11
     we -- and I don't know we can get it in time, but it -- I
12
     may have a motion to do something else about the hearing.
13
                     But it would be really important for me to at
14
     least see the textbooks that are being referred to, that
     were referred to by the last witness just to pick up the
15
     article if we could pull them offline.
16
17
                                  They may be online.
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                                        If staff
18
     can locate them online, I would ask that you could provide
19
     those if at all possible.
20
                     I don't know that the published textbooks
     would be available to us online in time for this meeting.
21
22
                                  We'll work on it.
                     MS. TANNER:
23
                     JUDGE LOCK: You can work on it?
                                                        Okay.
24
     Thank you.
25
                                 Thank you. Let's take about a
                     All right.
```

```
five-minute recess, then. We'll come back at quarter until
 1
 2
     2:00.
 3
                (Recess taken, 1:40 to 1:52 p.m.)
 4
                     JUDGE LOCK: All right. Looks like everyone
 5
     is in place. Let's come back to order. And I believe the
 6
     next witness is with us, it looks like.
                     MS. SMITH: Thank you, Judge Lock. I'm going
 7
 8
     to let Ms. Tanner let you-all know what she found out over
9
     the break.
10
                     MS. TANNER:
                                  Just before we get started with
11
     our next witness, we have contacted the witness we just
12
     talked to. He is going to send us a copy of the chapter
     from the Besalt book on morphine; so that will hopefully
13
14
     give us what we need.
                     He's also going to check the other book he
15
     referenced working on himself, any relevant information and
16
17
     chapters, and send those to us. But I want to make sure
18
     before I leave that piece that there is nothing else that
     you guys wanted. Besalt is, as I understand it, sort of
19
20
     the -- what they use.
21
                     Anybody else need anything else? Is that all
22
     we were looking for?
23
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Thank you very much.
24
                     MS. TANNER:
                                  Uh-huh.
                                 Commissioners, you may recall
25
                     MS. SMITH:
```

```
that earlier there was testimony the Commission had received
 1
 2
     a draft affidavit from Dr. Roberts related to her review of
 3
     this case when she was retained by Mr. Pritchard's
 4
     postconviction attorney and later by Wake Forest University
 5
     School of Law Innocence and Justice Clinic. We provided
 6
     that to you in your brief.
 7
                     We also provided additional materials for
 8
     Dr. Roberts to review, and she completed a final report for
9
     the Commission. You've been provided a copy of that as
10
     Handout 5 and a copy of her CV as Handout 6.
11
                     You'll to refer to those throughout her
12
     testimony.
                     The Commission now calls Dr. Christena
13
14
     Roberts.
                     Dr. Roberts, can you hear us?
15
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    I can.
16
17
                     MS. SMITH:
                                 Great. We can hear you as well.
18
                     Your Honor.
19
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  All right. Good afternoon,
20
     Dr. Roberts.
                   Dr. Roberts, my name is Tom Lock. I'm a
     superior court judge here in North Carolina and chair of the
21
22
     Innocence Inquiry Commission.
23
                     Your testimony this afternoon will need to be
24
     under oath or affirmation. I'm going to ask that you simply
25
     remain seated since, if you stand up, we won't be able to
```

```
1
     see.
 2
                     If you would just raise your right hand.
 3
                     Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you
 4
     will give to the Commission this afternoon will be the
 5
     truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
 6
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Yes
 7
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Thank you very much.
 8
                     We're seeing a thumbnail image of you rather
 9
     than the entire screen on the monitor. That's what we're
10
     working on.
11
                (Discussion off the stenographic record.)
12
     Thereupon, CHRISTENA ROBERTS, MD, a witness having been called
     by the Commission, was affirmed and testified as follows via
13
14
     WebEx technology:
15
     EXAMINATION BY MS. SMITH:
                                (1:55 p.m.)
                All right. Dr. Roberts, my name is Lindsay Guice
16
          Q.
17
     Smith. We've spoken over the phone prior to today.
18
     going to ask you some questions about -- a few questions
19
     about your qualifications and then some questions about your
20
     review in this case.
21
                When I am done, then the Commissioners who are
22
     seated all around the table here will also likely have some
23
     questions for you. Okay?
24
          Α.
                Okay.
                Did you provide a report and CV to the Commission?
25
          Q.
```

- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And do those documents outline your training, education, and experience?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Have you done any presentations that are specifically relevant for this case?
- A. Yes. I did some morphine toxicology research and presented that at the American Academy of Forensic Sciences. The way that research came about was a physician in Florida somehow became involved in hospice deaths for the medical examiner's office. There was supposedly free morphine versus total morphine being higher, and subsequently ruled eight deaths in the hospice systems as homicides and intentional overdose.

And so the Medical Examiner's Commission formed a committee to review all those cases with physicians and toxicologists and decided that all of those cases actually just represented normal morphine levels within a hospice patient.

And so I, along with Dr. Bruce Goldberger, who's the president of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences and has a laboratory at the University of Florida, and Dr. Julia Martin, and we did a study of free and total morphine levels and found that there was extreme variability, and we supported the conclusions of the

- Commission that those did not represent overdoses.
- Q. How did you become involved in the case that we're hearing today?
 - A. I had worked with attorney David Belser in Asheville, North Carolina, in the past. He asked me to get involved in this case on behalf of Mr. Pritchard and his family.
 - Q. In reviewing this case, did you rely on your training and experience as outlined in your CV?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

20

21

22

23

- Q. In reviewing this case, did you utilize reliable principles and methods in the field of forensic pathology?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. In this case, were you provided sufficient facts or data for your review?
- 16 A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you also have a chance to review the microscopic slides that were made during the autopsy?
- 19 A. I did.
 - Q. Based on your analysis in this case, do you believe that you have specialized knowledge that will assist the Commission in evaluating the autopsy that was performed by Dr. Hall and the cause of death of Jonathan Whitson?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Have you formulated opinions in the case before

1 the Commission today regarding the cause of death? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Does your report contain your opinions and the 4 basis for those opinions? 5 Α. It does. And I would add that since I wrote this 6 report, just this past Friday I was given additional 7 information -- depositions of family members that were not 8 testifying at trial -- and I think that they contained very 9 important information about the clinical presentation in 10 this case, and so I would like to include that information 11 today as well. 12 Q. Absolutely. Judge Lock, at this point, I'd 13 MS. SMITH: 14 like to tender Dr. Roberts as an expert in forensic 15 pathology. JUDGE LOCK: All right. 16 That tender 17 certainly is accepted. 18 Q. Dr. Roberts, when you were contacted by the 19 Commission, did commission staff make it clear that we were 20 not seeking any particular opinion from you? 21Α. Yes, you did. 22Did the Commission staff make it clear that we Q. 23would present your findings no matter what they were? 24 Α. Yes. Did the Commission also inform you that since the 25Q.

- 1 Commission is a neutral state agency and you had been hired 2 by Mr. Pritchard's defense counsel prior to our 3 investigation, that we would be seeking our own independent 4 forensic pathologist to conduct a review as well? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. Have you had an opportunity to review the other 7 expert reports in this case? 8 Α. I have. 9 Q. Did anything in those reports change the opinion 10 that you have provided in your report? 11 Α. There was only one item in Dr. McLemore's report, 12
 - A. There was only one item in Dr. McLemore's report, and I included this in my final report, is that she had knowledge that in this time frame it was common practice for the toxicology samples to be sent through the mail to the laboratory, and so as we talk about whether or not there was an alcohol production, then that would be important there.
 - Q. Okay.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And you said that you also received other materials this past week, deposition and interview transcripts that would supplement, I guess, your opinion or your findings of this case.

Is that accurate?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay.

25 What is your opinion in this case?

A. Well, I believe I am disagreed with Dr. Hall's opinion that this is acute morphine toxicity. You cannot use urine to tell the acute values of the blood at the time of death. It only tells what the levels were over several days.

I do not believe that the clinical presentation and the toxicology reports support a diagnosis of acute morphine toxicity.

- Q. What is or was the cause and manner of death?
- A. As it stands right now, and I'd like to detail what I think should have been done and what was done, but as it stands right now, it could either be called bronchopneumonia with contributing factor of emphysema and a natural cause of death, or probably more appropriately undetermined.
- Q. I want to turn now to the discussion section of your report that begins on page 8. You talk there about the elimination half-life of a drug.

Why is that important to you in the context of drug overdoses?

A. Well, elimination half-life is the amount of time that the body clears a drug from the system half the amount. And so if you're at a lethal concentration, it's incredibly important to know what that gap is, how much time has to pass before half of that lethal dose will then be

eliminated from the body. And then it takes that time again to get to a quarter, and half that time again to get to 18.

So in this particular case, when you're looking at morphine, there are several preparations of morphine, and you have to know what the elimination half-life is in order to make those calculations.

Q. In your report, you note that Dr. Ewens, a toxicologist, had informed you that he calculated the highest concentration of morphine in Mr. Whitson's death would have been after the second round of injections as described by Stephanie Whitson, who goes now by Stephanie Whitson Randolph.

Why is that important information to you?

- A. Well, morphine is a respiratory depressant drug, and so knowing through the course of the day, as detailed by Stephanie of when they did injections, it would be important to know when the highest concentrations are because morphine's respiratory depressant effects are dose dependent. And so when the concentration is highest is when you would have the highest amount of respiratory depression and the greatest risk for death.
- Q. I want to turn to the toxicology report that was issued in this case.

Can you draw any conclusions from the designation of trace morphine in the femoral blood?

- Trace is just that the drug is present but it's 1 Α. 2 low -- below the cutoff levels. It is not present at a 3 therapeutic, super therapeutic, or toxic level. 4 What is an appreciable level of morphine in the blood? 5 6 Α. Well, that was language I used in my first 7 affidavit, and that just refers to the fact that Dr. Hall 8 was calling this acute morphine toxicity based on levels in 9 the urine. Urine only shows you use over several days. 10 In order to have acute toxicity from a drug, you 11 actually have to have a measurable amount in the blood. And 12 in this case, it was trace -- that's not even in the therapeutic range, let alone a super therapeutic or toxic 13 14 range.
 - Q. Turning now to levels of morphine in the urine, is
 15 milligrams per liter a lethal level of morphine in urine?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. No. There is no such thing as calling that a lethal level for what was in the body on or around time of death.

Urine shows use over several days. It changes throughout the day based on concentration and liquid intake and it does not in any way tell you what the person's blood levels were on or about the time of the death.

Q. Is 14 milligrams per liter of morphine in the urine a cutoff point for toxicity resulting in death as

indicated by Dr. Hall?

- A. No. Again, for the same reasons that I just stated.
- Q. What conclusions can you draw from the toxicology report in this case?
- A. The only conclusions that you can draw is that there was recent use of morphine and perhaps heroin. Heroin breaks down very quickly into morphine and 6-AM which was not tested for, I believe. And it just tells you recent use.

And the trace level shows you that, again, recent use but the body had had time for the elimination half-life to metabolize that off to the point where it was below the detection level.

Q. I want to turn now to Dr. Hall's findings and testimony in this case.

Are there any things that you think Dr. Hall could have done during the autopsy that he did not do or that you would have wanted to do if you were conducting the autopsy?

A. Yes, certainly.

When you do an autopsy, you can't always determine cause and manner of death in the isolation of the autopsy findings. You have to put that together with medical history, which I see no indication in the report that was sought.

This is a 29-year-old male who's dead suddenly. We certainly would want to know his medical history. We know from testimony that he had a history of asthma and a lot of breathing problems and some sort of congenital malformation of his heart, and we did get more information about that in the depositions.

In addition, at autopsy, since we have a sudden death of a young man who was in apparent good health, again, you wouldn't want to just jump to the conclusion that this is going to be a drug overdose case, but you would also want to do the appropriate cultures. And so in a sterile method before the autopsy is performed, you would want to do blood cultures and you would want to do viral cultures and cultures of the lungs as well.

Q. And are those all done --

A. And I would add also -- I'm sorry. I have one more thing to add to that.

The only photographs that we received for this case are identification photographs of the face. We have report from the medical records from the family that he also had an abscess and a blood clot in his arm, and so as there are no photographs taken of that, I cannot independently evaluate that.

Q. And all of those things that you just outlined to us, are those all things that you would have done if you

were conducting that autopsy? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 Are you aware whether there any standard operating Q. 4 procedures in North Carolina with respect to how to conduct 5 an autopsy? 6 Α. The last time I checked in reference to a case, 7 there were not applied to this time frame. I don't know if 8 they're in place now. 9 Would you characterize it as a commonplace Q. 10 practice for a pathologist to not take these extra steps 11 that you've outlined? 12 Α. No, I would not think it's commonplace. I would 13 think that based on my training and experience, it would be 14 expected that you would take these cultures. 15 Q. Dr. Hall found that Mr. Whitson had acute 16 pneumonia at the time of his death. 17 Do you agree with that assessment? 18 Α. I agree that he had bronchopneumonia, but I agree 19 with Dr. Wolf's assessment that it appears by the level and widespread nature of this bronchopneumonia that it was 20 21present prior to that evening, that it was already 22 preexisting. 23Dr. McLemore has stated that Mr. Whitson had Q. 24 aspiration pneumonia caused by morphine.

Do you agree with that assessment?

```
1
                No, I don't because his clinical presentation of
 2
     that evening when we go through the timeline does not match
 3
     the time frame for that to occur. He may have had terminal
 4
     aspiration as well, that's a very commonplace finding at
 5
     autopsy, but I don't believe that we have evidence that he
 6
     was obtunded or snoring long enough for him to have
 7
     developed that pneumonia that day. I believe it was
 8
     preexisting.
 9
                (Stenographer clarification.)
                                    "Terminal," yes.
10
                     THE WITNESS:
11
     T-e-r-m-i-n-a-1.
12
                     THE STENOGRAPHER:
                                         Thank you.
                Dr. Roberts, you used the term "obtunded" a moment
13
          Q.
14
     ago.
                Can you tell us what that means.
15
                So consciousness is on a level or scale.
16
          Α.
17
     you're alert and conscious, and then if you're under the
18
     influence of a central nervous system or a respiratory
19
     depressant drug, then you can become kind of groggy and out
20
     of it, and then as you become more towards unconsciousness,
21
     obtunded is basically very lethargic, difficult to arouse,
22
     to the point where you're unconscious.
23
                Were you able to tell or classify how extensive
          Q.
```

Just that its presence in the slides was, I think,

Mr. Whitson's acute pneumonia was at the time of death?

24

25

Α.

too extensive to have formed in just a few short hours. It appeared to be preexisting.

- Q. Are you able to tell when he developed the pneumonia beyond that?
 - A. No.

- Q. You noted that there are granulomas.Can you tell us what a granuloma is.
- A. Certainly. So when you're taking a pill and you're crushing it and then making a fluid and injecting it, there is a lot of large inactive particles in there, and they'll end up in different parts of the body -- in the lung, in this case. He had had a thrombosis from it. And then the body, when it sees these particles, wants to wall it off because it's an irritant.

And so there's little cells called macrophages, I had referred to them as Pac-Man cells, and they will try to block off these foreign materials. And several of them get together and you'll have several nuclei in that cell to wall that off. And that takes days to weeks. It is not part of an acute pneumonia. It is a chronic condition.

Q. And so you've described these granulomas potentially coming from crushed-up pills.

Would you say that those came from the drug use on the night prior and day prior to Mr. Whitson's death or would those have come from some drug use from some other

prior time?

- A. It had to have come from his drug use prior to him even being in jail because it takes days to weeks for these things to form. They cannot form overnight.
- Q. Are those granulomas a feature of aspiration in the lungs?
- A. Well, you can have aspiration and not die from it, and then over days to weeks, you can develop that.

Usually an aspiration, you're in the airways and then you can have the body try to wall that off. But it's, again, a chronic thing and not an acute thing.

- Q. Is acute pneumonia a common finding in drug overdoses, as Dr. Hall has stated in this case?
- A. We can have very early aspiration pneumonia as Dr. McLemore states, and that takes hours to days to develop. So if you have a clinical presentation of somebody doing drugs and then, you know, they're difficult to arouse, people try to get them to stay awake, they're seen snoring, you know, for 12 hours or more -- then you can develop within that time frame an aspiration pneumonia, but it's early -- very early in acute.
- Q. And when you looked at the slides for this case, did you see aspiration pneumonia in the slides?
- A. I saw acute bronchial pneumonia and there was some evidence of aspiration of gastric contents as a nonspecific

finding at autopsy, and you can see it in all types of deaths.

- Q. Specifically thinking about aspiration pneumonia, is that a common finding in drug overdose deaths?
- A. It can be. But, again, very early in acute and it would have to be a situation where I just described.

The other way that someone can die in a drug overdose is they will try to do the same amount -- or they'll take too much or it's a different drug they're not used to and they'll actually die from direct cardiac toxicity, and that's a kind of crime scene where the person is slumped over the bathroom, the needle might still be in their arm or the needle and the spoon are there on the floor next to them, and they're just slumped over and they died suddenly. They die too quickly to develop aspiration pneumonia.

- Q. How long does aspiration pneumonia typically take to develop?
- A. Again, early acute aspiration pneumonia, hours to days.
- Q. Could Mr. Whitson's acute pneumonia have been caused by morphine?
- A. Well, it's more likely just acute bronchial pneumonia based on the information that we have now about his clinical presentation. He was coughing and had a fever

so it's more likely community acquired or acquired in the 1 2 jail. 3 So tell us a little bit about that. I believe Q. 4 what you're referring to is some of the materials you 5 reviewed and the transcripts you were provided last week. 6 What did you find in those materials that had an 7 impact on what your opinions are? 8 So specifically -- and there's a couple of different categories here. So one I'll address is about the 9 10 pneumonia and the other I'll address about the competing 11 cause of death, which would be sepsis. 12 So depositions were provided for Nikki Angel, who was the sister of the decedent. And she had indicated that 13 14 her grandmother told her that when Mr. Whitson arrived that night, it was cold and rainy. And she told him that, 15 16 "You're going to be dead with a cold out walking in the cold 17 and rain," because he was very sick. She said that he was 18 coughing and that he had complained of a fever and he had a 19 runny nose, as they described him as being real sick. 20 Then with the deposition -- and I'm just going to 21stick to the topic of the pneumonia and then come back to 22her testimony for other things. 23I had a deposition from the mother, she did not 24address being sick, and then deposition from Stephanie

Whitson who was with him that day and allegedly using the

drugs.

She had indicated that he told her that he had been carrying a fever or having a fever over the past -- I don't think she quantified the number of days. She had asked him whether he felt that was from withdrawal from pills, and he said, no, that it was from something else but he didn't clarify what that something else was and she didn't ask.

The other -- and I'm glad you asked me questions about this. Do you want me to detail the medical records here before he went to jail or --

- Q. That's fine.
- A. Okay.

So let me double-check the dates to see if I have this right. And I am referring to my report now on page 6.

On December 27, he went to the emergency department via ambulance complaining of pain, redness, and swelling in his arm. He reported that a friend had injected him with a solution of drugs in the vein in his left antecubital fossa, which is the front of your elbow, and the pain and redness had worsened over the week. And then when he developed a fever and chills, he was encouraged to go to the emergency department.

At the emergency department, with a CT scan, he was diagnosed with a blood clot within the vein of that arm

```
1
     and it was noted that his skin was red and very swollen and
     indurated -- "indurated" means soft tissues were very
 2
 3
     hard -- and there was a probable abscess or an infection in
 4
     his arm.
 5
                So that was treated with IV antibiotics,
 6
     clindamycin. And when he was discharged, he still had a
     part induration of his arm as noted by the physician and he
 7
 8
     still had pain extending in his arm.
 9
                So he was discharged on December 30. He was
10
     supposed to follow up in two weeks in clinic and he was
11
     supposed to take IV antibiotics -- sorry -- oral
12
     antibiotics, clindamycin, four times a day.
13
                So we know from the recent deposition from
14
     Stephanie that she was with him during that hospitalization.
15
     He was not sent home with medications to take, and that's
16
     typical. They usually don't give them to you in the
               They write you a prescription for them and you go
17
     hospital.
18
     to have it filled. She had stayed with him for a couple
19
     of days. She did not see him take any prescription
20
     medications nor did she know that he even filled the
21
     prescription.
22
                She did note him to be injecting drugs at that
23
     time and did not see him take any prescription medications.
24
     So without that antibiotic, that infection is inadequately
```

It is not -- if you don't take the antibiotics,

25

addressed.

then you're going to get the infection again.

We don't know if that prescription was filled. He was certainly not seen taking those prescription medications. And then he was incarcerated seven days after he got out of hospital. We know from reviewing the jail records that he was not given any medication during the time that he was in jail. He received no medical care.

So we know from the records that this infection was inadequately treated. We know from family's phone calls with him at the jail shortly before he was discharged -- and that is in the deposition of Annette Greene, his mother -- that even shortly before he was released from jail, he was complaining about that arm hurting and aching.

The mother, when he died, noted that his left arm was up behind his head in the pillow. And if you review the crime scene photos, you'll see that his arms are down under the blanket. Nikki Angel, his sister, testified that when her mother was out of the room after he was discovered dead, that she lifted his arm and she could see that it was swollen and -- from the shoulder to the elbow, but especially in the area of the elbow and that it was red. And so she witnessed that. And then the blanket was put up so that when the police took photographs, no photographs showed his arm and then, of course, at autopsy they did not take photographs of his arm.

Q. Could --

A. So it's a very high likelihood based on all of this that he still had an infection in his arm.

Sorry to interrupt you.

Q. No, that's okay.

Having reviewed all of that information, could cellulitis and the probable abscess that he is described as having in December 2010 have contributed to his death?

- A. Yes.
- Q. How do you know that?
- A. Well, because cellulitis can become a bloodstream infection or sepsis, which can lead to death. And then a blood clot can also embolize to different places in the body, go to the heart, go to either the lungs or the brain.
 - Q. Did Mr. Whitson have sepsis?
- A. I don't know. The cultures weren't done. You can sometimes see indications on the microscope slides. The lungs already had bronchopneumonia so it makes it difficult to see in there. You could perhaps see some signs around the blood vessels of the heart, but I found from the histology slides there was a lot of what's called artifact tissue fracturing of the heart material, where large chunks were missing. That is either from poor fixation from putting too thick of sections of heart in or it could be some, you know, process of the fixation or the blade that

```
was doing the cutting. But there was large pieces of that tissue missing so I didn't see any signs of sepsis on the slides. But there also was cytolysis, which means the breakdown of cells after death, so that makes it harder.

The culture certainly would have helped within that respect, especially the lung cultures and the blood cultures.
```

- Q. And can sepsis cause death?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Are there any guidelines about how the cause of death should be determined?
- A. There's no national standards. It is from your training and experience.
- Q. How often would you say deaths are classified as undetermined?
 - A. It's a small percentage of medical examiner cases.
 - Q. And what does "undetermined" mean?
- A. Well, again, cause of death can often be determined by autopsy and combining that with other investigative factors. The manner of death is based on how something came about. So let's say that you have somebody that has been in a car wreck and died and they have blunt force trauma. Well, did somebody run them over with a car? That's homicide. Did they accidently run their car into a tree? That's accident. Did they purposely run their car into a tree? That's suicidal.

And so "undetermined" is when it's not a natural cause of death, but you can't decide on the other categories so it is listed as undetermined.

- Q. What contributed to the cause of death in this case?
- A. Well, I think that it's important, before I answer that question, to discuss the clinical presentation here and what the root cause was.
 - Q. Okay.

A. It was alleged that -- it's alleged that this is acute morphine toxicity.

number of injections of crushed morphine pills that were made into a liquid. As we talked about earlier, the highest concentration would've been after the second injections.

And so since morphine is a dose-dependent respiratory depressant, the highest point of that day where they would have the most respiratory depressant effects would have been after that second injection. They were both up, walking around and talking. They were not laying on the couch, nodding off. They weren't obtunded. Stephanie actually went and met her godmother, which we know from the recent depositions, at Hardee's -- we knew that she met somebody; we didn't know who. And she stated that she was walking and talking just fine and her godmother didn't even know that

```
1
     she was high.
 2
                Then they went back to the residence and allegedly
 3
     did more drugs.
 4
                So I think what was key at trial was the timeline
 5
     and the information that was provided. So it appeared that
 6
     Christine Angel was offered on the stand that Jonathan
 7
     Whitson was seen snoring loudly for more than 12 hours
 8
     before he was found dead. And as you look at what she
9
     actually testified and the other witness statements, that's
10
     not accurate.
11
                She actually testified that she went to bed, in
12
     police statements, at 8:00 o'clock, 9:00 o'clock, and
     10:00 o'clock.
13
14
                Stephanie Whitson said she left at 10 till 10. So
     let's say 10:00 o'clock.
15
                Greene said that she told him to go to bed, but
16
17
     she testified that she never actually saw him go to bed.
18
                And then we knew initially that he got up three
19
     times during the night and each time he did, he went into
20
     her room and said that he loved her. So he was up, walking
21
     and talking.
22
                What we know now from the additional discovery is
     the police had interviewed Nathan Angel, which is Jonathan
23
24
     Whitson's father -- stepfather, and that is present in
```

discovery as handwritten notes from the police officer or

investigator that interviewed him. It was not typed up as a supplemental to include in the police reports and because he was dead at the time of trial, it's my understanding that the jury never heard his statements.

So what his statement was, after Stephanie left, Jonathan actually left Christine Angel's home and came to his house, which was some, I think -- somewhere, they said, 300 yards away.

He had a home that had electrical issues, that's why he was staying with Christine Angel at the time.

So in those handwritten statements by an officer, Nathan Angel stated that Jonathan came to his place and they stayed up for an unknown amount of time talking, hanging out. Then when they decided to go up to the house, they both walked up to the house and then they talked for some time more. We don't know what that time frame this.

But we do know that when Nathan Angel went to bed, Jonathan was sitting in the living room, sitting up, watching TV. He was not laying on the couch obtunded or groggy. He was not in any way ever, in any witness statements, to have ever said that he was groggy or out of it or couldn't be aroused.

Then, from Nathan Angel's statement, we know that at 4:00 or 4:30, he was seen to be snoring loudly. And Wade, who is their father, shook Jonathan and he stopped

```
snoring as loudly. So he was arousable. He was arousable
 1
 2
     at that point.
 3
                There's conflicting information at 8:00 or 8:30,
 4
     perhaps 9:00 a.m. he was still snoring. Nobody tells of
 5
     volume.
 6
                There's some information that Granny says he was
 7
     snoring at 10:30 when she came home from the grocery, but
 8
     then she testified that she wouldn't know because she was in
 9
     the kitchen, cooking.
10
                At 10:40, when Nathan Angel's son shook Jonathan,
11
     he -- no. It wasn't Nathan Angel, it was the one who
12
     testified. I want to make sure I have that right.
13
                So when the witness shook him that morning, they
14
     knew he was dead right away. And so it's unlikely that he
15
     was snoring 10 minutes prior and then died.
                I initially thought perhaps that they knew he was
16
17
     dead right away and didn't tell EMS to come and try to
18
     revive him because he must've been cold and stiff at that
19
     point, which meant that he would have been dead for a little
20
     while.
21
                Nikki Angel's deposition says that she was really
22
     upset that they didn't call the ambulance because he was
     still hot to the touch when she got there and she felt like
23
24
     he could have been revived.
25
                So the takeaway point from this is the clinical
```

presentation is critical.

After the two of them did the same amount of drugs, they were up, walking and talking, people didn't even know they were high, they were not laying around, people having to try to wake them up. And this is extremely important for respiratory-depressant drugs, especially when the highest dosage was at midday.

It was assumed and was sort of adopted at trial that he went to bed at 9:00 and snored all throughout the evening, and that wasn't the case whatsoever. We don't know what time he went to bed but we do know that the three times he came into Granny's room had to be after he returned back to the house with Nathan Angel, his stepfather, because he wasn't even in the home to be able to go into her room three times. So whatever time it was, whether it was 11:00 or midnight when they got back to the house, then he had to have gone into her room three times after that because he wasn't physically in the house.

And so all of this is my basis for there's absolutely -- you cannot call this acute morphine toxicity. It's not consistent with the clinical picture that we see.

Q. And, Dr. Roberts, I know that in the materials you reviewed, everything you saw indicated that Mr. Whitson and Stephanie Whitson injected the same amount of morphine.

Would your assessment of this change at all if you

learned that Mr. Whitson had actually injected more morphine than Stephanie Whitson?

- A. Even if he had injected more, let's say double, then I would expect her to be just be acting high, and if he injected enough for it to be a respiratory depressant and toxic, that he would have distributed symptoms of that. He would be groggy. Typical cases where you read the history, people are getting high together, one person does too much and the other person's trying to keep them awake, saying, "Don't go to sleep, don't go to sleep, you have to stay awake," and they'll yell at that person and say, "Oh, you're ruining my high." And then they go to sleep and they're snoring. And that's not the clinical picture at all.
 - Q. All right.

And you may have answered this, but I just want to be clear on the record: Did morphine directly contribute to Mr. Whitson's death?

- A. No.
- Q. Was morphine a contributing factor in Mr. Whitson's death?
 - A. Not in my opinion, no.
 - Q. Did morphine play any role in Mr. Whitson's death?
 - A. I would say that in a broader category, his chronic drug use probably contributed to his death -- whether was cellulitis or sepsis, blood clot perhaps. I

```
think chronic drug use probably was important.
 1
 2
          Q.
                Thank you.
 3
                     MS. SMITH: Commissioners, that's all the
 4
     questions that I have for Dr. Roberts. But I'm sure that
 5
     some of you may have questions.
 6
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Commissioners, any questions?
 7
                     Commissioner Boswell.
 8
                     MR. BOSWELL: Dr. Roberts, this is John
 9
     Boswell.
               I'm one of the Commissioners.
10
                     The granulomas that you saw in the lungs, how
11
     long, if ever, would it take for the body to get rid of
12
     those in the sense that you didn't see them anymore?
                     THE WITNESS: Well, we don't really get rid
13
14
     of them per se. They just kind of -- it gets walled off.
15
     And then -- if you're looking at in three-dimensional, it's
16
     walled off and very tiny, but then when you're doing your
17
     microscope slides, you're cutting into that and so you see
18
     the granular material in there.
19
                     Eventually, the body might just scar it over
20
     as calcification, but that takes a very long time.
21
                     MR. BOSWELL: Did you see any evidence of
22
     sepsis in any of the slide material from the heart or the
23
     lungs or the liver or anything?
24
                     THE WITNESS: I did not see it in the lungs
                 And then, again, it could've been present in the
25
     or kidney.
```

```
1
     lungs but you have acute bronchopneumonia and so it would be
 2
     harder to see that.
                     MR. BOSWELL: Those are my questions.
 3
                                                             Thank
 4
     you for your testimony.
 5
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   You're welcome.
 6
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Other questions?
 7
                     All right.
                                 Well, Dr. Roberts, thank you very
 8
     much for your time and work in this case and for being with
 9
     us this afternoon.
10
                     THE WITNESS: You're very welcome.
11
                (Witness stands down, 2:37 p.m.)
12
                     MS. SMITH:
                                It will take us just a few
13
     minutes to get Dr. Ewens on the phone so we would appreciate
14
     just a short break, Your Honor.
                     But before we do that, just wanted to provide
15
                 The hospital records for Mr. Whitson from birth
16
     an update.
17
     to 10 years, the hospital is working very hard to get those.
18
     They have a goal of 5:00 p.m. today, if they can get them to
19
          They are on microfilm and they are very difficult and
     us.
20
     time-consuming. So that is the update that we have on that.
21
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Thank you.
22
                     Let me make one other statement,
23
     Commissioners. You may have noticed that since the lunch
24
     break, Ms. Smith does not spend quite as much time
25
     qualifying the witnesses as experts.
```

```
Please rest assured that is not because she
 1
 2
     thinks the witnesses who have been called since lunch are
 3
     any more credible or less credible than witnesses called
 4
     yesterday or this morning. It was at my request in an
 5
     effort simply to expedite and streamline the procedures
 6
     because we do understand we're operating under some time
 7
     constraint, and it was also based on my earlier review of
 8
     the CVs of all of these witnesses. It's my belief that all
9
     of them would easily qualify as expert witnesses before any
10
     superior court judge of this state if tendered.
11
                     So just offer that statement for your
12
     benefit.
13
                     All right. 10 minutes be enough to come
14
     back?
15
                     MS. SMITH:
                                 Absolutely.
                                              Yes.
                     JUDGE LOCK: All right.
                                              10-minute break.
16
17
                (Recess taken, 2:39 to 2:54 p.m.)
18
                     JUDGE LOCK: All right, folks. Looks like
19
     everybody's in place. We'll come back to order.
                                 Commissioners, given that we have
20
                     MS. SMITH:
21
     already heard from five experts between yesterday and today,
22
     I'm briefly recalling Ms. Bridenstine just to give you a bit
23
     of a roadmap of what we expect to hear from Dr. Ewens in a
24
     few minutes.
25
                     Is she still under oath, Your Honor?
```

Yes.

JUDGE LOCK: 1 Yes. 2 Thereupon, JULIE BRIDENSTINE, a witness having been called by 3 the Commission, was recalled and testified as follows: 4 EXAMINATION BY MS. SMITH: (2:55 p.m.)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Ms. Bridenstine, understanding that you are not an expert witness or have no medical expertise, to preface Dr. Ewens, can you let the Commissioners know what important points we expect to hear from him kind of in light of what we've heard from the other experts.
- Α. Sure. We are specifically looking for him to explain how he was able to estimate the concentration of morphine throughout time in the blood of Mr. Whitson.

And then there two points in his report that we wanted to understand what the -- how he came up with those opinions, and that would be from paragraph 10 and 13 of his reports where he states, quote: "When a person dies from a morphine or ethanol overdose, they usually die because the concentration of morphine or ethanol in the brain of the respiratory center is so high that the person stops breathing," end quote.

And then the other point is, quote: "With only trace concentrations of morphine in the blood, the effect on Mr. Whitson's breathing would be negligible to nonexistent at the time of his death," end quote.

(Witness stands down, 2:55 p.m.)

```
Commissioners, so you may recall
 1
                     MS. SMITH:
 2
     that earlier there was testimony that Dr. Christena Roberts
 3
     had consulted with a forensic toxicologist to evaluate the
 4
     toxicology testing when she was reviewing the case for
 5
     Mr. Pritchard through the Wake Forest School of Law
 6
     Innocence and Justice Clinic prior to the Commission's
 7
     investigation.
 8
                     At the request of Dr. Roberts, Dr. Ewens
 9
     finalized his report and provided it to the Commission.
10
     You've previously been provided that report as Handout 7 and
     Dr. Ewens' CV as Handout 8. And you'll will want to refer
11
12
     to those throughout his testimony.
                     The Commission now calls Dr. Andrew Ewens.
13
14
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Good afternoon, Dr. Ewens.
15
                     Dr. Ewens, my name is Tom Lock.
                                                       I am a
     superior court judge and I am chair of the Innocence Inquiry
16
17
     Commission.
18
                     We are going to ask that you testify to us,
19
     please, under oath or affirmation. If you would please
20
     raise your right hand.
21
                     Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you
22
     will give to the Commission this afternoon will be the
23
     truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
24
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    I do.
                (Discussion off the stenographic record.)
25
```

```
JUDGE LOCK:
 1
                                   Thank you very much, sir.
 2
     Thereupon, ANDREW EWENS, a witness having been called by the
 3
     Commission, was recalled and testified as follows:
 4
     EXAMINATION BY MS. SMITH:
                                 (3:57 p.m.)
 5
          Q.
                Dr. Ewens, my name is Lindsay Guice Smith.
 6
     the executive director here at the Commission. We spoke on
 7
     the phone last week.
 8
                I have a few questions for you today, and then
 9
     potentially the Commissioners around the room will have
10
     questions when I am done. Okay?
11
          Α.
                Okay.
12
          Q.
                Can you tell the Commission how you became
13
     involved in this case.
14
          Α.
                Yeah.
                       I was asked by Dr. Roberts to take a look
     at this case while it was under review by Dr. -- not Dr. --
15
16
     by Mr. Mark Rabil at Wake Forest University.
17
          Q.
                And have you been paid anything in connection with
18
     the case?
19
          Α.
                     I am doing this case solely pro bono.
                No.
20
          Q.
                Did you provide a report and a CV to the
     Commission?
21
22
                Yes, I did.
          Α.
23
                And do those documents outline your training,
          Q.
24
     education, and experience?
```

25

Α.

Yes.

1 Q. They also have the number of times you've 2 testified as an expert and in what types of cases? 3 Α. Yes. Correct. Based on your analysis in this case, do you 4 Q. 5 believe you have specialized knowledge that will assist the 6 Commission in evaluating the toxicology testing that was 7 performed by the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner's 8 toxicology lab in Raleigh, North Carolina? 9 Α. Yes, I do. 10 Does your report contain your opinions and the Q. 11 basis for those opinions regarding the toxicology testing? 12 Α. Yes, it does. MS. SMITH: Your Honor, based on the 13 14 information provided in Dr. Ewens' report and CV, I'd like 15 to tender him as an expert in antemortem and postmortem 16 toxicological interpretation. 17 JUDGE LOCK: All right. That tender is 18 allowed. 19 Q. Dr. Ewens, when commission staffers talked to you, 20 did they explain to you that our agency is neutral and 21 independent? 22Α. Yes. 23After you provided your report to the Commission, Q. 24 did you receive some additional materials including other

expert reports from the Commission?

25

Yes, I did. Α.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 2 Q. Has anything in the documents that you received 3 after providing your report changed your opinions or your 4 report in any way?
 - Α. No. My opinion is the same.
 - Q. And what is your opinion in this case?
 - My opinion is that I don't think it can be Α. concluded that Mr. Whitson's death was caused by morphine.
 - Are there any guidelines about whether or not Q. toxicologists can opine as to the cause of death -- the absolute cause of death in a case?
- Α. Not that I'm aware of. I think it's usually best to be a collaboration between pathologists and toxicologists. 14
- 15 Q. And did you collaborate with Dr. Roberts in this 16 case?
 - We talked about the case. Α. Yes.
 - Q. Could the ethanol that was detected in Mr. Whitson's aorta blood sample be attributed to anything other than Mr. Whitson drinking alcohol?
 - Well, in the aorta, it was .04 grams per Α. deciliter. So it could cause mild intoxication but certainly not close to being to the level that would cause death.
 - And thank you for that, but my question, I think, Q.

is a little bit different.

Is there anything other than ingestion or consumption of alcohol that would cause Mr. Whitson's level blood alcohol level in the aorta blood sample to be .04?

A. Oh, I see what you're saying.

Yes. It is possible. You could have fermentation after death within the body or you could have fermentation in a blood sample that was collected. And the time difference between when Mr. Whitson died and when he was autopsied and blood was collected is fairly short so that's probably not very likely that there was fermentation in the body, but it could be very possible that there was fermentation in the blood sample after it was collected, especially if there was already bacteria in Mr. Whitson's blood.

- Q. And when you say bacteria in the blood, what kinds of things would that be from?
 - A. If he had sepsis.
- Q. Moving on, turn to paragraph 10 in your report. You state, quote: "When a person dies from a morphine or ethanol overdose, they usually die because the concentration of morphine or ethanol in the brain at the respiratory center is so high that the person stops breathing," end quote.

What is the basis for that opinion?

A. So that is based on basically the most critical principle of toxicology, that is: The dose makes the poison.

So at a low concentration, a drug is going to have very little effect. As that concentration increases, the effect of that drug will also increase. And this is -- this has been known since the 1500s. A Swiss physician named Pericles [sic] coined the phrase "the dose makes the poison," basically saying that anything can be poisonous depending on how much you give, you can even die from drinking too much water, and it's just the amount or the concentration that is going to cause that effect.

Q. I want to go back real quick back to the reasons why alcohol may appear in the blood sample after a person dies that's not from ingesting alcohol.

You said it could be -- it could develop in a blood sample that's already been collected by, like, bacteria, like sepsis.

Is it possible that it could also develop in that sample during transport to the lab from the medical examiner, for example, if that transport is through the USPS?

A. Well, that's when it would occur. There would -you would have blood in the vial, and I'm saying if someone
already had bacteria in their blood from something like

sepsis, that would then allow the bacteria to ferment inside the vial. And if you're shipping this in a nonrefrigerated or not frozen manner, then the chances of those bacteria being able to grow and ferment would be higher than if this were shipped frozen.

- Q. So would you have to have some bacteria in the blood in order for that to occur?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. In other words, if the blood didn't have any bacteria, would it create alcohol on its own just by virtue of being shipped?
- A. No. But you could get bacterial contamination during the collection depending on how it's done. So it could be through -- maybe the needle that they're using brushes up against the body or something else. That could also cause it.

I'm just saying, like, if you have a condition where someone already has bacteria in their blood to begin with, then they're ready to go. They might even be producing some ethanol in the body before collection.

Q. Okay.

If there were preservatives in the test tube or sample container for that blood, would those preservatives prevent the formation of ethanol if the person had some kind of bacteria?

- A. It's meant to reduce that from happening, but it's still possible for it to -- for bacteria to grow and ferment even with preservatives, especially if they're being shipped without being refrigerated or frozen.
 - Q. And is a --

- A. So it makes it less likely. I'm just saying the preservatives make it less likely but not impossible.
- Q. And is the value of a .04 the type of value you would expect to see if the blood was fermenting after it had been collected?
- A. I don't know exactly. I believe it sounds like a reasonable concentration.
 - Q. Thank you.
- A. And that it would all depend on conditions and how much bacteria, how much probably sugar that's in the blood. So all the different -- there's lots of variation and factors that can go into determining how much alcohol would be produced. So it's not like you could just say, yes when you would see fermentation in the vial of blood which produces .04. Can't say that. It's dependent on too many variables.
 - Q. Thank you.
- I'm going back -- and I apologize for jumping from these two things. When we were talking about that paragraph 10 of your report, you used the phrase "usually

die."

What are the circumstances for which people die from morphine overdoses even when the concentration of morphine is not high enough to stop them from breathing?

A. It could be something where it impairs them to the point where they could have an accident, like driving a car and die from traumatic injury, or it could even have something where it impairs them so that they get into a certain position that might not be able to allow them to breathe, that they could die because they're too impaired to get themselves out of that position.

So this could be, like -- it's called positional asphyxia. Like if someone is impaired and they fall off a bed and somehow get pinned between the bed and a dresser or something to a point where they can't breathe, if they are so impaired they can't get out of that position, that they could die from that.

- Q. Looking at the designation of trace morphine in the femoral blood, can you draw any conclusions from that designation?
- A. You can only say that at some point in time
 Mr. Whitson had consumed morphine. But beyond that, you
 can't make interpretations.
- Q. In paragraph 13 of your report, you state, quote:
 "With only trace concentrations of morphine in the blood,

the effect on Mr. Whitson's breathing would be negligible to nonexistent at the time of his death," end quote.

What is the basis of that opinion?

A. Again, that's the core principle of toxicology. If you have very low concentrations of a drug, it doesn't matter how toxic it is, if those concentrations are too low to cause death, death is not going to happen. You have to have a concentration that reaches to a high enough level where it is going to cause death.

And so there's also a principle called "threshold."

So the threshold pretty much means at very low concentrations of drug, nothing is happening. For -- in order for a drug to cause its effect, it has to bind to a receptor on a cell and then elicit a response in that cell. If the concentration is so low it's not really binding onto very many receptors and not activating very many cells, there's going to be no appreciable effect on the person.

Below -- if you have a concentration that's below this threshold level, you won't see any effect from that drug. It's not until the concentration gets higher than a threshold concentration that you'll start to see physiological effects from that drug.

So at a level that says trace, we have no idea what the actual concentration is; so it could be zero if

516 that concentration was below that threshold with no 1 2 physiological effects occurring. But we don't know because it is not quantitated. 3 4 Q. Okay. 5 On pages -- sorry -- paragraphs 15 to 18 of your 6 report, you've included some extrapolation calculations or 7 estimates. 8 Is it standard practice for toxicologists to try 9 to figure out how much morphine is in the blood throughout a 10 relevant period of time? I don't know that I would say it's standard 11 Α. 12 practice. What practice you do on which case really depends 13 on the case and what information you need to find out. 14 Basically all I was doing was applying reported half-lives of morphine and trying to see how much drug was 15 16 still in Mr. Whitson's body at a certain time based on those 17 half-lives, and those half-lives were published in Besalt's 18 "Deposition [sic] of Toxic Drugs in Man." Can you repeat that? Besalt's "Deposition of 19 Q. 20 Toxic Drugs" --21 The book is called "Deposition of Toxic Drugs and Α. 22Chemicals in Man," and this is the 12th edition, by Randall

> Q. Thank you.

23

24

25

Besalt.

Is there any speculation that's involved in doing,

kind of, those extrapolations or estimations?

A. There is. There is no solid timeline that I can go of off as to when Mr. and Mrs. Whitson had taken the morphine, but there was some testimony from Mrs. Whitson about relative amounts of time as to when they were taking the different doses of morphine.

She had stated that it was -- probably the first session was around 3:45, second session was sometime before 6:00 p.m. because she had left to go eat dinner at that time, and then sometime around 8:30 or so.

So there is some speculation as to when those -- when each dose was actually taken.

- Q. Aside from the Besalt book that you have just shown us, is there any other literature that supports these calculations being made in a case like the one before us?
- A. I can't think of a book or something about that, but it's -- basically all you're doing is applying the half-life to the time interval between when they took one dose and the next dose or the last dose and when Mr. Whitson was found dead.

So it's a very simple calculation. You're just applying the half-life of that drug to the case.

- Q. Could Mr. Whitson have survived --
- A. So I --
- Q. Sorry. Go ahead.

1 Α. Sorry. 2 I would just say it's probably not standard practice, but I believe that it's done in many cases. 3 4 Could Mr. Whitson have survived the peak 5 concentration of morphine in his body but still died from 6 the effects of taking morphine? Is that a possibility? It's possible if the concentration of morphine was 7 Α. 8 so high that set into motion something that continued on its 9 own without the morphine that then eventually led to death. 10 Q. Do you have any examples of what types of things that could be? 11 12 Α. It could be something like morphine is blocking 13 the cough reflex. It can also cause people to get nauseous. 14 They could vomit and aspirate the vomit into their lungs. 15 Somehow they live for many, many hours after that, then 16 maybe they would still have time to metabolize the morphine. 17 But at that point, the morphine is just -- it's not -- it's 18 no longer acting on them at the time that they die. 19 MS. SMITH: All right. Commissioners, those 20 are my questions for Dr. Ewens. 21 Do commissioners have questions? 22JUDGE LOCK: Commissioner Glazier. 23MR. GLAZIER: Thank you, Judge. 24 Thank you, Doctor. Regardless of the 25 accuracy of the extrapolations, would it be correct to say

```
that with only trace amounts of morphine in the blood and
 1
 2
     only 1.5 in the urine, that there was less than threshold --
 3
     appears to be less than threshold amounts of morphine
 4
     consumed?
 5
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Yeah.
                                           I think that's a safe
 6
     assumption to say at the time of the death, the trace
 7
     amount, I'm sure, was below Mr. Whitson's threshold.
 8
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                    Okay.
                                           Thank you.
 9
                     THE WITNESS: And -- sure.
10
                     MR. GLAZIER: Thank you.
11
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  All right. Any other
12
     commissioner have any other questions?
                     If not, Doctor, thank you for much for being
13
14
     with us.
                     THE WITNESS:
15
                                    Thank you.
                (Witness stands down, 3:18 p.m.)
16
17
                     MS. SMITH:
                                 All right, Commissioners.
18
     this point, the last witness would be Dr. Hall, if
19
     commissioners have questions for him.
20
                     While I originally did not have any questions
21
     for him, based on some of the testimony over the last
22
     two days, I do have one question for him. I wasn't sure if
23
     commissioners also might want to ask him questions.
24
                     And I'm happy to tell you what my question is
25
     and see if that's a question that you-all want answered.
```

```
1
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Okay.
 2
                     MS. SMITH: My question is just to know
 3
     whether or not he recalls whether there were preservatives
 4
     in the tubes, the blood collection tubes, in 2011 in this
 5
     case.
 6
                     That's the question that I would have for
 7
     him.
 8
                     I would also ask him -- I'm sorry. I would
9
     also ask him whether it was shipped refrigerated or frozen.
10
                     He had said in his deposition it was shipped
11
     via U.S. Postal Service, and so those would be our
12
     questions.
                     JUDGE LOCK:
13
                                   All right. Will he be
14
     testifying live or virtually?
15
                     MS. SMITH: He would be testifying via WebEx
     as well.
16
17
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   How long would it take to get
18
     him on the line?
19
                                   Hopefully very quickly. He's
                     MS. TANNER:
20
     expecting us.
21
                     MR. BOSWELL: Lindsey, I would be interested
22
     to know about the preservative too. I'm not sure that I
23
     would necessarily call him just to ask that question, but if
24
     we're going to call him, let's ask that question.
25
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Okay.
```

```
Dr. Hall is ready if y'all are
 1
                     MS. TANNER:
 2
     ready, we just need to get him on the line.
 3
                     JUDGE LOCK: We're ready whenever you are.
 4
                (Discussion off the stenographic record.)
 5
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Commissioners, while we wait on
 6
     Dr. Hall, the update on the resources that were requested,
     Dr. Holstege has not provided those chapters yet. Staff is
 7
 8
     currently contacting Dr. Roberts to see if she has those,
9
     also contacting the public libraries in Wake County and the
10
     N.C. State Library to see if any of them have those.
11
                     So that is the update on that.
12
                     And as of now, we're still waiting on the
     hospital records.
13
14
                (Discussion off the stenographic record.)
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Commissioners, I will remind you
15
     that the WebEx is still live and so all comments can be
16
17
     heard by the public at this time.
18
                (Discussion off the stenographic record.)
19
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   All right. Let's come back to
20
     order then.
21
                                  Good afternoon, Dr. Hall.
                     MS. SMITH:
22
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    Hey.
23
                                  Can you hear us clearly?
                     MS. SMITH:
24
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Yes, ma'am. Can you hear me?
                     MS. SMITH:
25
                                  I sure can.
```

```
JUDGE LOCK: All right. Dr. Hall, my name is
 1
 2
     Tom Lock.
                I'm a superior court judge and I'm the chair of
 3
     the Innocence Inquiry Commission.
 4
                     I think Ms. Smith will have a couple of
 5
     questions for you and then perhaps a couple commissioners
 6
     will have some questions.
 7
                     We do ask that testimony before the
 8
     Commission be under oath or affirmation. So if you would
 9
     raise your right hand, please.
10
                     And do you swear or affirm that the testimony
11
     you will give before this Commission this afternoon will be
12
     the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
                     THE WITNESS: Affirm, yes, sir.
13
14
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Thank you very much, sir.
     Thereupon, BRENT HALL, MD, a witness having been called by the
15
16
     Commission, was sworn and testified as follows via WebEx
17
     technology:
18
     EXAMINATION BY MS. SMITH: (3:28 p.m.)
19
               Hello, Dr. Hall.
          Q.
20
          Α.
               Hey.
21
               My name is Lindsay Guice Smith. I'm the executive
          Q.
22
     director here at the Commission. As Judge Lock pointed out,
23
     I just have a couple of questions for you.
24
                So that you're aware, the Commissioners in the
25
     room have been provided prior to this hearing a full copy of
```

```
your deposition transcript with Ms. Bridenstine, a staff
attorney with the Commission. So they've already got your
full testimony there.
```

And I just have a couple of quick follow-up questions for you and then they may have some questions as well.

In thinking back to the samples -- the blood sample that was collected at Mr. Whitson's autopsy, do you know whether that sample would have been put into a vial or container with any kind of preservatives in it?

- A. It's been a while but to the best of my recollection, those were collected with either purple top or gray top tubes, and they both have a preservative.
 - Q. What is the purpose of that preservative?
 - A. It is to stop the cellular breakdown.
- Q. Would it also prevent any fermentation of the blood?
- A. No, won't prevent it because you could still get a -- I mean, if the -- it would slow it down but it wouldn't prevent it. If a sample was delayed in shipping, for instance, and was out in the hot sun, you may get an increase in alcohol level, for instance.
- Q. And as I recall, you said in your deposition that the samples would've been shipped using the United States Postal Service.

Is that accurate? 1 2 Α. That's correct. Yes, ma'am. 3 And do you recall whether, when those samples were Q. 4 shipped through the United States Postal Service, if they 5 were shipped refrigerated or frozen in any way? 6 Α. No, ma'am. I usually do the autopsy one day and ship them very next day. They're typically kept in the 7 8 refrigerator after collection and before shipment. 9 And then when they were shipped, would they be 10 shipped with any kind of special designation like overnight 11 or just through the regular mail? 12 Α. It's just through the regular mail. 13 MS. SMITH: Commissioners, those are my 14 questions for Dr. Hall. 15 Do any of you have questions? JUDGE LOCK: Sheriff. 16 17 SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Hello, Dr. Hall. How you 18 doing, sir? 19 THE WITNESS: Good. Hope you're all right. 20 SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: I'm good. Thank you. 21 I've got maybe one question, just trying to 22get an understanding on something. 23Can you tell me if it is fact or opinion for 24 the cause of death to be called a death by acute toxicity of 25 morphine, there must be appreciable levels of morphine in

```
the blood?
 1
 2
                     Is that something that has to be factual or
 3
     that's an opinion that someone could come to?
 4
                     THE WITNESS:
                                    There has to be certain levels
 5
     of morphine in the body, either in the blood or in the
 6
     urine.
 7
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH:
                                          Thank you.
 8
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Anyone else?
 9
                     All right. Dr. Hall, thank you very much for
10
     your time during the deposition and your time this
11
     afternoon.
12
                     THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Hope y'all have a
13
     good evening.
14
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Thank you, sir. You also.
                (Witness stands down, 3:32 p.m.)
15
                                  Commissioners, we do have a staff
16
                     MS. SMITH:
17
     member following up on the hospital records to see how far
18
     along they are in that process. We are still working on
19
     getting the chapter from the Besalt text.
20
                     So those things are still outstanding.
21
                     I would like to remind you if you haven't had
22
     a chance to read it yet, that Handout 50, which was
23
     previously provided to you, is the statement of the district
24
     attorneys -- the district attorney for you to review.
25
     statement indicates that six experts were hired on behalf of
```

```
1
     the defendant. I will remind you that Dr. Christena Roberts
 2
     and Dr. Andy Ewens were hired by the defense prior to the
 3
     Commission's involvement in this case.
 4
                     Dr. Jerri McLemore and Dr. Hudson were
 5
     originally contacted by the Commission to serve as the
 6
     Commission's independent experts; however, based on the
     conflicts and terms in their employment contracts or
 7
 8
     restrictions on secondary employment were unable to serve in
 9
     those roles.
10
                     Dr. Barbara Wolf, Dr. George Behonick, and
11
     Dr. Christopher Holstege were ultimately hired by the
12
     Commission as independent experts. All were told that the
13
     Commission was seeking their opinion regardless of what that
14
     opinion was and that any opinion rendered would be presented
     to the Commissioners if this case went to hearing.
15
                     As you have heard over the last couple
16
     of days, all of them -- all of those opinions were provided
17
18
     to you.
19
                     Dr. Wolf, Doctor Behonick, and Dr. Holstege
20
     were not hired on behalf of the defendant.
21
                     The Commission's victim services coordinator,
22
     Emma Paul, has had contact with three members of the
     victim's family. All have chosen not to attend this hearing
23
24
     this week. None have chosen to provide a victim impact
25
     statement; so you will not hear from the victim's family
```

```
1
     members in this case.
 2
                     Normally, what I would do now is close -- do
 3
     a brief closing with your standard of review; however, once
 4
     I close the hearing, no additional evidence can come in. So
 5
     if you-all would like to hold to see if -- what the update
 6
     is on the medical records and those texts, we can do that.
 7
     Or you can choose to proceed without those.
 8
                     And we should have an update, I believe,
9
     shortly as to the medical records and when they anticipate
10
     being able to get those to us, if it will happen.
11
                     JUDGE LOCK: Yes, sir, Sheriff.
12
                     SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: I know that I asked for
     the birth records, but I am good now. I don't necessarily
13
14
     need that.
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  How about the excerpt from the
15
     treatise? I know, Representative Glazier, you were
16
17
     interested in that.
18
                     MR. GLAZIER: I think when we get into
19
     discussions if it becomes a major issue, I would ask us to
20
     extend our -- or delay our decision. But if it's not an
21
     issue for anybody else, I'm ready to proceed.
22
                                 And, Your Honor, if I may, I will
                     MS. SMITH:
23
     remind the Commissioners that if we were to extend this
24
     hearing, it would have to be completed before December 31st
     of this year as we do have commissioners that will be
25
```

```
leaving us that are in this room. And the only other option
 1
 2
     to do that beyond December 31 is we would re-present this
 3
     hearing in its entirety to a new group of commissioners.
 4
     So ...
 5
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  This is purely my personal
 6
     opinion and observation. I'm not sure I would understand
 7
     the learned treatises if I were to read the pages
 8
     themselves, but perhaps we can just wait four or five
     minutes to see if they can get copies of the treatise
9
10
     scanned and emailed to us.
                     Would that satisfy your need?
11
12
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                   Yes.
                     MS. COLBERT: I'm interested in seeing it as
13
14
     well.
                     JUDGE LOCK: All right. It appears that a
15
     couple of folks would be interested. Why don't we take
16
17
     about --
18
                (Stenographer clarification.)
19
                     MS. NEWTON: Your Honor, I have a question
20
     about alternate commissioners.
21
                     JUDGE LOCK: Will deliberations be taking
22
     place in this room or some other time?
23
                                 They will take place in this
                     MS. SMITH:
24
     room; so everyone who is not a voting member or myself will
     need to leave for deliberations. You are more than welcome
25
```

```
1
     to stick around for the decision. We are also happy to give
 2
     you a call and let you know the outcome, if that is your
 3
     preference.
 4
                     When we come back on the record, because I
 5
     know some of you haven't participated before, it's very
 6
     brief, just for Judge Lock to read what the opinion is into
 7
     the record and then we can go home; so ...
 8
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   That's entirely up to you.
 9
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   So you'll begin deliberations
10
     once you get the records or decide how to --
11
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Yeah.
                                          We'll take about a
12
     five-minute break right now just to see if they can get the
13
     excerpts from the treatises.
                     Yes, ma'am, Judge Evans.
14
                     JUDGE EVANS: Before you take a break, I
15
     would like to ask Ms. Bridenstine a question about two
16
17
     people that we heard -- we read about but didn't hear about
18
     any attempts to contact.
19
                                   All right. Why don't we do that
                     JUDGE LOCK:
20
     now while staff members are trying to locate that treatise.
21
                     Ms. Bridenstine, if you could come back up,
22
     please.
23
                     And of course the witness remains under oath
24
     as she has the last two days.
25
     Thereupon, JULIE BRIDENSTINE, a witness having been called by
```

```
the Commission, was recalled and testified as follows:
 1
 2
                     JUDGE EVANS:
                                    (3:38 p.m.) Ms. Bridenstine, in
 3
     Mr. Pritchard's statement and in the statements of some
 4
     other witnesses, there was testimony that Wade Angel was in
 5
     the home and made an attempt to wake up Jonathan Whitson at
 6
     some time to stop him from snoring.
 7
                     Was there ever any effort on the part of the
 8
     Commission to interview Wade Angel?
 9
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: Yes. But Wade Angel passed
10
     away prior to the Commission's investigation. I don't
11
     remember the exact date. I testified about that yesterday,
12
     but we determined that he died, and so -- he would've been
13
     interviewed had we ...
14
                     JUDGE EVANS: I missed that yesterday.
     apologize.
15
                     Secondly, there was testimony in someone's
16
     statement that William Angel, Nathan Angel's brother, was
17
18
     present at Nathan's trailer when Jonathan was there on the
19
     night of March 5 drinking.
20
                     Was there ever any attempt to contact William
     Angel?
21
22
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        Yes.
23
                     William Angel, from my understanding from my
24
     conversation with Christine Angel, lives at Christine
25
     Angel's house.
                     I left my card there and asked him to call
```

```
1
          I also sent a letter to their residence.
 2
                     I believe Ms. Angel told me that he was not
 3
     living there at the time that Mr. Whitson passed away, and
     there were some indications in our searches for his
 4
 5
     whereabouts that indicated that he might have had an address
 6
     in Tennessee at the time. And I can also check the journal,
 7
     but I spoke to another relative who told me something about
 8
     Mr. Angel as well.
 9
                     So I can follow up with that and confirm
10
     those details for you.
11
                     JUDGE EVANS: Well, you're satisfied from
12
     your investigation that he was not present on March 5?
13
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: I would say I didn't have
14
     much information to suggest that he was there, but some
     people did indicate that they believed that he was there,
15
16
     including Mr. Pritchard. His name came up from someone else
17
     that I can't remember right off the top of my head, but he
18
     wasn't listed in the police reports as somebody who was
19
     present that morning. And of the witnesses who were
20
     interviewed for the police investigation and at the trial
21
     testimony, and his name did not come up.
22
                                   All right.
                     JUDGE EVANS:
                                                Thank you.
23
                     MR. BOSWELL: Your Honor, I have two
24
     questions for Ms. Bridenstine, if I can.
25
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Sure.
```

```
MR. BOSWELL: Do we have a record of the
 1
 2
     terms of Mr. Pritchard's probation?
 3
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: We would have to -- I would
 4
     have to double-check, but we obtained the probation records
 5
     from DPS that they have for Mr. Pritchard. So we could look
 6
     and see if those terms were listed -- if that was part of
 7
     their probation record that was provided to us.
 8
                     MR. BOSWELL: The reason I ask is because he
9
     testified that under his probation terms, there were some
10
     places he couldn't go -- you know, he couldn't to Robbie's
11
     house. And I was just wondering if we had any corroboration
12
     of that in his actual probation terms or if that -- because
13
     we didn't see that in any records.
14
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: I did not personally review
     them so let me check for you and I will let you know if that
15
     came with his probation file to us.
16
17
                     MR. BOSWELL:
                                   Okay.
18
                     And the other question was in his testimony,
19
     he testified about taking morphine pills according to
20
     their -- to the prescribed amount and times. And then I --
21
     did we -- did the police ever seize his morphine bottle or
22
     was there any record of there being more pills gone than
23
     should have been gone based on the amount of time he last
24
     filled it and the number of pills he should have taken?
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        No.
                                             And he wasn't arrested
25
```

```
in this case until several months later. But the District
 1
 2
     Attorney's Office did subpoena his medical records from the
 3
     VA Hospital, and they obtained the records all from around
 4
     the date of Mr. Whitson's death and a little bit over a
 5
     year, I believe, prior to that. So we have the records from
 6
     the hospital.
                     And, additionally, former Chief Deputy Farmer
 7
 8
     said in his report that he had tried to obtain prescription
9
     records for both Robbie Brown and John Pritchard. He tried
10
     a couple of pharmacies in the area. He was able to find
11
     prescription records for Robbie Brown right after
12
     Mr. Whitson's death but he wasn't able to locate anything
     for Mr. Pritchard.
13
14
                     MR. BOSWELL: Any pharmacy records for
     Mr. Pritchard?
15
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                        Correct. But after he was
16
17
     indicted in -- for this case, the District Attorney's Office
18
     did obtain his medical records which included his
19
     prescription records from the VA that showed when he was
20
     first prescribed, when he would fill the prescription. And
21
     they're actually in your brief, his prescription records
22
     themselves, that go back in time from -- I think it was like
     March 10, 2011, and back. And you can -- you can see how
23
24
     often he was refilling those prescriptions and on the dates.
25
                     MR. BOSWELL:
                                   Did -- was there any evidence
```

```
that the pills were being prescribed or received more often
 1
 2
     than they should have been based on his prescribed
     consumption rate?
 3
 4
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: I would say I would check
 5
     the prescription records because they showed how often --
 6
     when they were actually filled. And I believe he was
     refilling them pretty consistently every month. I don't
 7
 8
     recall if he got it a few days early or not based on the
9
     quantity they were giving him.
10
                     MR. BOSWELL: Okay. Thank you.
                                                       Those were
11
     the questions that I had left over.
12
                     MS. NEWTON: Your Honor, may I ask a
     question?
13
14
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Sure.
                                  Ms. Bridenstine, the issue came
15
                     MS. NEWTON:
     up with one of the witnesses that it took an hour for the
16
17
     family to call 911 after they realized that he had died.
18
                     Did you in any of your interviews hear about
19
     that issue as to why that happened?
20
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: I don't recall hearing
     anyone say that there was a delay in a call to 911.
21
22
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  There was about a 50-minute
23
     delay in a call to 911, I think it was.
24
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: Ms. Newton, do you recall
25
     where you saw that?
```

```
1
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   It seems like it might -- well,
 2
     I'll look and see if I can find that now.
                     And I know that it was one of the doctors who
 3
 4
     also mentioned it for the defendant, that there was a delay
 5
     in the call.
                  It seems like you said at one point something
 6
     about the delay and that you didn't get any information
 7
     about that -- some part of what you were talking about.
 8
                     You don't recall?
 9
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: We definitely talked to
10
     witnesses who reported hearing a rumor that his body was
11
     moved before the police were called, from Nathan Angel's
12
     trailer to Christine Angel's house. But we were never able
13
     to confirm from anyone that that did in fact happen.
14
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   Okay. Let me see if I can find
     it.
          Thank you.
15
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Commissioner Boswell, I believe
16
17
     I may do this.
18
                     I will simply take judicial notice of the
19
     fact that it is a regular term and condition of probation
20
     under North Carolina General Statute 15A-1343, all cases in
21
     which someone is placed on supervised probation, that that
22
     person not knowingly associate with any known or previously
23
     convicted users, possessors, or sellers of any such illegal
24
     drugs or controlled substances and not knowingly be present
25
     at or frequent any place where such illegal drugs or
```

```
controlled substances are sold, kept, or used.
 1
 2
                     So that would be in all cases of supervised
 3
     probation, notwithstanding any other special conditions of
 4
     probation that the judge may have imposed.
 5
                     MR. BOSWELL:
                                   Fair enough.
                                                 Thank you.
 6
                     MS. SMITH: Do you wish to have the staff
 7
     testify about what we found in his records?
 8
                     MR. BOSWELL: Not unless you're going to tell
9
     me it's something different than what he just said.
10
                     MS. SMITH:
                                 No, sir.
11
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  I saw Judge Evans out of my eye
12
     and she started nodding.
13
                     JUDGE EVANS: I was kind of whispering it
14
     over here too.
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Did you to want to take a
15
     five-minute break and then see if you have anything to
16
17
     report to us before you close the hearing?
18
                     MS. SMITH:
                                 In one moment.
19
                     Are there any other questions from
20
     commissioners of Ms. Bridenstine? She is going to answer
21
     one of your questions in a moment. She's just pulling that
22
     up now.
23
                     But any others that are outstanding before we
24
     do that and then take a break?
25
                     Ms. Bridenstine, after you've had a moment to
```

```
look at that, I believe what you're looking for are
 1
 2
     references to the Commission's attempts to locate William
 3
     Angel or talk to others about William Angel.
 4
                     When you've had a chance to do that, if you
 5
     will please provide that information to commissioners.
 6
                (Discussion off the stenographic record.)
 7
                     MS. SMITH: I would recommend that you take a
 8
     slightly longer break, get beverages or anything that you
     might want to be in here for your deliberations.
 9
10
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  4:00 o'clock?
11
                     MS. SMITH:
                                 That sounds great, 4:00 o'clock.
12
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  All right. It is now 12 till.
     We'll be in recess until 4:00 o'clock.
13
                (Recess taken, 3:49 to 4:15 p.m.)
14
                     JUDGE LOCK: All right. Now it looks like
15
     everybody is in place and we will come back to order.
16
17
                     Yes, ma'am, Ms. Smith.
18
                     MS. SMITH: During the break, Commissioners
19
     were provided two handouts.
20
                     Handout 54 is the chapter from Besalt book
21
     about morphine.
22
                     Handout 55 -- I'm going to let Ms. Tanner
     explain what the Commission did there. That is excerpts
23
24
     from Dr. Holstege's book, but I'll let her explain more.
25
                     MS. TANNER:
                                   So Dr. Holstege never got back
```

```
to us about the specific chapters of his own book which he
 1
 2
     was referencing or indicated that we should review.
                                                           This is
 3
     his book.
                We found the material online. We provided you
 4
     with the table of contents, and we've done a quick scan
 5
     through the book and pulled the chapters that might be
 6
     relevant. I'm sorry, I have not had time to read those
 7
     chapters yet, but I'll be happy to do that as soon as
 8
     possible.
 9
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                   Page 81.
10
                     MS. TANNER:
                                   Excellent.
11
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Okay.
12
                     Ms. Bridenstine -- do y'all want to take a
13
     break to read that now or would you like to read that once
14
     you start your deliberations?
                                   During deliberations.
15
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                     MS. SMITH:
16
                                  Okay.
17
                     Ms. Bridenstine remains on the stand, Your
18
     Honor.
19
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   All right.
20
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Prior to the break,
21
     Ms. Bridenstine had been asked about our efforts to locate
22
     William Angel.
                Have you found any additional information that you
23
          Q.
24
     can provide to the Commissioners about that,
25
     Ms. Bridenstine?
```

A. Yes. So when I went to interview Christine Angel, I asked her about William Angel. She said he was currently living with her and he had remodeled her house or helped remodel some of her house and changed the layout, including the area where Jonathan Whitson passed away on the couch.

She said that he was not living there at the time.

She gave me a phone number that she said was his phone
number. I called it and spoke to his niece, who was in
Tennessee, I believe. She did not know if he was living in
Tennessee at the time.

I also mailed a letter to Ms. Angel's residence addressed to William and did not hear back from him.

After looking through the materials, his name did not come up in the police investigation, no one identified him at the time as being at the house. There was nothing in the trial transcript that indicates William Angel was around.

From our interviews, Nikki Angel listed the people that she believed were present that morning and she did not include her uncle, William Angel.

Annette Whitson Greene said during her deposition that she thought William Angel was there and present that morning. Stephanie Whitson Randolph during her deposition with the Commission said that he would come up sometimes to the house. She was not sure if he was living there at the

time. She did not mention seeing him there when she was with Mr. Whitson prior to his death.

Mr. Pritchard mentioned him in his interview with us and said that he understood that William Angel and Nathan Angel moved Mr. Whitson's body.

Looking through our searches to find him in LEXIS-NEXIS and CJLeads and DCIN criminal history searches, he does have addresses listed pretty much throughout both North Carolina and Tennessee, including Burnsville for this time period. It does not appear that he was incarcerated at the time in either state, although it does appear he was given three years' supervised probation in 2008, which means he might've been on probation from a North Carolina case at the time.

JUDGE EVANS: All right. Thank you.

MS. BRIDENSTINE: Regarding the other issue about when the death was reported and if there was a delay in report, Nathan Angel was interviewed by police on September 26, 2011. He is the only person to report a time when he thought that 911 was called -- or when the body was discovered, when Mr. Whitson was discovered deceased, and he said approximately 10:40 a.m. The police reports indicate that the call came in at 11:33 a.m.

So Nathan Angel is the only person at the time of the investigation to put a time on when Mr. Whitson

```
1
     was discovered deceased.
 2
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   So -- can I, Your Honor?
 3
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Sure.
 4
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   In following up on that, on --
 5
     page 10 of the brief, it has a timeline -- Scott was kind
 6
     enough to point that out to me. It talks about a sequence
 7
     of having breakfast after 10:30, that Christine cooks
 8
     breakfast and everybody but the victim eats.
 9
                     And then he said -- or then the timeline says
10
     that Nathan tells Christian Angel, approximately 10:40 a.m.,
11
     to wake up the victim and that Christian discovers him dead
12
     on the couch and that they were in that residence -- Nathan,
     Christine, Wade, Christian, James Angel and another young
13
14
     son of Nathan's were there.
                     And then the -- page 39 of the police report
15
     and the timeline there report that Yancey County got the
16
17
     call -- the 911 call at 11:33, and that is consistent with
18
     the police report.
19
                     So no one else mentioned -- following up this
20
     question, Ms. Bridenstine -- no one else mentioned to you
21
     that that was a -- kind of a long delay?
22
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE:
                                       No one else told us what
23
     time it was when they found Mr. Whitson's body and
24
     discovered that he was deceased. The only time that I'm
     aware of is from Nathan Angel, who reported it roughly
25
```

```
1
     over -- a little bit -- six months after Mr. Whitson's
 2
     death.
 3
                     The other information that's present in that
 4
     particular timeline entry comes from information that was
 5
     reported in other police reports. So the time just comes
 6
     from Nathan Angel. The additional information in there
 7
     comes from other people who spoke to the police as well.
 8
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  So the police report -- the
 9
     further police reports did not document anything unusual
10
     about that or document where that came from, from any other
11
     witnesses who were also present?
12
                     MS. BRIDENSTINE: When the police discovered
13
     Mr. Whitson's body, nobody put a time on it or indicated
14
     that there was a delay in calling 911.
                     We have obviously heard from people now who
15
     have heard the story that there was a delay because
16
     Mr. Whitson's body was moved, but nothing like that was
17
18
     reported at the time except that James Whitson, Annette
     Whitson Greene's brother, said that they had heard rumors
19
20
     and that Annette Whitson Greene was concerned her son's body
21
     had been moved.
22
                                         It was interesting.
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                  Okay.
23
     said they -- the timeline said they were eating breakfast,
24
     not lunch. And, you know, it's closer to 10:40 -- anyway.
25
     I just wanted to know if there was anything else related to
```

```
1
     that.
 2
                     Thank you.
                                 Thank you, Judge.
 3
                     MS. SMITH: Are there other questions for
 4
     Ms. Bridenstine?
 5
                     May she step down?
 6
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Yes
 7
                (Witness stands down, 4:23 p.m.)
 8
                (Discussion off the stenographic record.)
 9
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Commissioners, the latest update
10
     that I have on the medical records, which I know you have
11
     now indicated you may not need, they are still working on
12
     those.
13
                     I can put us a placeholder as Handout 56 in
14
     the event that they come in prior to you completing your
15
     deliberations, and they will come as Handout 56 if we
16
     receive them.
17
                     It sounds like maybe we'll get something in
18
     the next little bit, but the lady who has the answers is
19
     missing. So -- we're trying.
20
                     Thank you all for your patience and attention
21
     during this hearing. This concludes the Commission's
22
     presentation of this case.
23
                     Do any commissioners wish to review any
24
     additional documentation before we move to deliberations?
25
                     Outside of Handout 56, which we will accept
```

if it comes in during deliberations, you may only consider
what has been presented and provided to you during the open
hearing during your deliberations. So if there is anything
that you think we haven't presented or provided to you that
you would like to consider, now is the appropriate time to
let me know.

You are now asked to decide whether you conclude there is sufficient evidence of factual innocence to merit judicial review.

As a reminder, Mr. Pritchard has been convicted of four separate crimes: Second-degree murder; delivery of a controlled substance Schedule II, which is a Class H felony; possession with intent to sell, manufacture, and deliver a Schedule II controlled substance, which is a Class H felony; and maintaining a vehicle, dwelling, place for controlled substances, which is a misdemeanor.

As a body, we are not charged with the review of misdemeanors so you will only consider three of the four convictions. You will not be considering the maintaining a vehicle, dwelling, or place for controlled substance.

Furthermore, you have heard evidence in this case regarding both the cause of death, the possession of controlled substances, and the delivery of controlled substances. You are charged with considering all relevant evidence, which includes consideration of the evidence you

have heard related to each of these convictions and whether 1 2 each conviction individually merits judicial review. 3 Please note that a claim of factual innocence 4 in our statute includes the following: A claim on behalf of 5 a living person convicted of a felony in the general court 6 of justice of the State of North Carolina asserting complete 7 innocence of any criminal responsibility for the felony for 8 which the person was convicted and for any other reduced level of criminal responsibility relating to the crime. 9 10 In this case, Mr. Pritchard has been 11 convicted of three distinct felonies, each of which have 12 their own statutory elements. 13 Each of these crimes deserves your separate 14 consideration and you must ultimately vote on each distinct 15 felony. You have three options as to each felony. 16 17 You may decide that there is sufficient evidence, and the 18 cases will be referred to a three-judge panel. Mr. Pritchard's convictions resulted from a 19 guilty verdict after a trial; therefore, five of eight 20 21 commissioners must conclude that there is sufficient 22evidence of factual innocence to merit judicial review in 23order for the case to move forward to a three-judge panel. 24 You may decide that there is not sufficient

evidence and the case will be closed.

25

```
1
                     You may also instruct the commission staff to
     continue the investigation and reconvene the hearing at a
 2
 3
     later date. Again, with the caveat that we will need to
 4
     reconvene before the end of the year or we need to rehear
 5
     the case in its entirety.
 6
                     At this time, I would ask Judge Lock to close
 7
     the hearing to the public for the Commission's confidential
 8
     deliberations.
 9
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   All right.
10
                     Before I do that, let me first make sure that
11
     the three commissioners for whom there are alternates
12
     attending feel well and are able to proceed with the
13
     deliberation.
14
                     So that would --
                     You feel okay, Mr. Britt?
15
                     MR. BRITT:
16
                                  Yes.
                                   Ms. Colbert, you feeling well?
17
                     JUDGE LOCK:
18
                     MS. COLBERT: Yes.
                                          Thank you.
                                   Ms. Newton, you are --
19
                     JUDGE LOCK:
20
                     MS. NEWTON:
                                   Mr. Glazier.
21
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                  Mr. Glazier?
22
                     All right. One last thing, I know that one
23
     of the Commissioners wanted to say something to staff before
24
     we adjourn and go into deliberations.
25
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Do you want that on the record
```

```
for this hearing?
 1
 2
                     JUDGE LOCK: It's fine for it to be on the
 3
     record.
              Yes.
 4
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Okay.
 5
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Commissioner Boswell.
 6
                     MR. BOSWELL: I just wanted to express my
 7
     appreciation for all of the hard work the staff did, as
 8
     every case I have ever been in, the investigation has been
 9
     exhaustive. You guys have put forth a very clear,
10
     well-organized, well-reasoned case and with all the evidence
11
     that anybody could hope for, and I wanted to express my
12
     appreciation and my thanks for that.
13
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Thank you.
14
                     MR. GLAZIER:
                                    Ditto.
                     JUDGE LOCK:
15
                                   All right.
                     With that, then, this hearing is -- the
16
17
     evidence is closed.
                          Deliberations will be closed.
18
                     The proceeding is recessed until we reach a
     decision.
19
20
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  Correct.
21
                     JUDGE LOCK:
                                   Thank you, folks.
22
                (Deliberations, 4:30 to 5:53 p.m.)
23
                     JUDGE LOCK: All right. We will come back to
24
     order in the case of State versus Pritchard.
25
                     The Court will be signing -- the Chair will
```

```
be signing the following order: An Opinion of the
 1
     Commission.
 2
 3
                     This matter came on for hearing before the
 4
     North Carolina Innocence Inquiry Commission (hereafter "the
 5
     Commission") on December 14 and 15 of 2021 pursuant to NC
 6
     General Statute 15A-1460 through 1475. After careful review
 7
     of the evidence presented, the Commission hereby makes and
 8
     enters the following findings of fact.
 9
                     Number 1: On March 6, 2011, Jonathan Whitson
10
     was found dead in his family's home at 410 English Branch
11
     Road in Burnsville, North Carolina.
12
                     2:
                         In the autopsy report dated March 7,
13
     2011, Medical Examiner Dr. Brent Hall identified Jonathan
14
     Whitson's cause of death as morphine toxicity.
                     Number 3: On April 17, 2014, John Pritchard
15
     was convicted after a jury trial of second-degree murder,
16
17
     delivery of a Schedule II controlled substance, possession
18
     with intent to manufacture, sell, or deliver a Schedule II
19
     controlled substance, and maintaining a vehicle or dwelling
20
     place for controlled substances. He received a sentence
21
     totaling 170 minimum, 213 months maximum.
22
                                On September 30, 2019,
                     Number 4:
     Mr. Pritchard applied to the Commission. Mr. Pritchard
23
24
     submitted a questionnaire and consent form to the
25
     Commission.
                  Pritchard asserted his complete factual
```

```
innocence related to the death of Jonathan Whitson and the
 1
 2
     Commission began an inquiry pursuant to Article 92, Chapter
 3
     15A, of the North Carolina General Statutes.
 4
                     Number 5:
                                Throughout this inquiry,
 5
     Mr. Pritchard has fully cooperated with commission staff, in
 6
     accordance with NC General Statute 15A-1467(g).
                         On December 14 and 15, 2021, the
 7
                     6:
 8
     Commission held a full evidentiary hearing in this matter
 9
     pursuant to NC General Statute 15A-1468.
10
                     Number 7:
                                During the hearing, the Commission
11
     considered testimonial and documentary evidence.
12
     evidence included among other things: (a) 519-page brief
13
     provided to the Commission by the commission staff prior to
14
     the hearing; (b), supplemental documentation provided prior
15
     to and during the hearing, including transcripts of
16
     interviews and depositions conducted by commission staff,
17
     two audio recordings, 55 handouts, and a PowerPoint
18
     presentation; (c), expert reports and evaluations by
19
     Dr. Jerri McLemore, Dr. Christena Roberts, Dr. Andy Ewens,
20
     Dr. Barbara Wolf, Dr. George Behonick, and Dr. Christopher
21
     Holstege; (d) live testimony by commission staff attorneys
22
     Julie Bridenstine and Brian Ziegler, additional testimony by
23
     John Pritchard, Stephanie Randolph, Dr. Brent Hall,
24
     Dr. Jerri McLemore, Dr. Christena Roberts, Dr. Andy Ewens,
25
     Dr. Barbara Wolf, Dr. George Behonick, and Dr. Christopher
```

```
Holstege; (e), affidavits from Attorneys Daniel Hockaday,
 1
 2
     Christine Vance, Robert Sirianni Junior, and Sofia
 3
     Hernandez; and, (f), a statement provided by the District
 4
     Attorney's Office of the 35th prosecutorial district.
 5
                     At this time, I will be asking, since our
 6
     votes, Commissioners, are of public record, I will be
 7
     asking -- and because the vote is not unanimous, I'll be
 8
     asking that Ms. Guice Smith conduct a roll call of the
 9
     Commission.
10
                     We will do it as to all three of the felony
11
     charges.
12
                     We will start first with the offense of
13
     delivery of a Schedule II controlled substance.
14
                     When your name is called, please answer yes
15
     or no.
                     A "yes" vote means that you do find
16
17
     sufficient evidence of factual innocence to merit judicial
18
     review of the claimant's conviction and to refer the matter
     to a three-judge panel. A "no" vote means that you do not
19
20
     find sufficient evidence of factual innocence to merit
21
     judicial review of the conviction or to refer the matter to
22
     a three-judge panel.
23
                     So, again, "yes" means that you would refer
24
     the matter on; "no" means no.
25
                     We'll start first with the charge of delivery
```

1	of the Schedule II controlled substance.
2	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Colbert.
3	MS. COLBERT: No.
4	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Perry.
5	DR. PERRY: Yes.
6	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Glazier.
7	DR. PERRY: No.
8	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Kimbrough.
9	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: No.
10	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Boswell.
11	MR. BOSWELL: No.
12	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Britt.
13	MR. BRITT: No.
14	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Lock.
15	JUDGE LOCK: No.
16	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Welch.
17	MS. WELCH: No.
18	JUDGE LOCK: All right. That then would be a
19	vote of seven to one that there is not sufficient evidence
20	of factual innocence to merit judicial review of that
21	charge.
22	Now as to the vote of manufacturing, selling,
23	or delivering a Schedule II controlled substance.
24	Ms. Smith.
25	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Colbert.

1	MS. COLBERT: No.
2	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Perry.
3	DR. PERRY: Yes.
4	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Glazier.
5	DR. PERRY: No.
6	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Kimbrough.
7	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: No.
8	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Boswell.
9	MR. BOSWELL: No.
10	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Britt.
11	MR. BRITT: No.
12	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Lock.
13	JUDGE LOCK: No.
14	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Welch.
15	MS. WELCH: No.
16	JUDGE LOCK: Again, that is a vote of seven
17	to one that there is not sufficient evidence of factual
18	innocence to merit judicial review of that offense.
19	Now, as to the charge of second-degree
20	murder.
21	Ms. Smith.
22	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Colbert.
23	MS. COLBERT: Yes.
24	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Perry.
25	DR. PERRY: Yes.

1	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Glazier.
2	MR. GLAZIER: Yes.
3	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Kimbrough.
4	SHERIFF KIMBROUGH: Yes.
5	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Boswell.
6	MR. BOSWELL: Yes.
7	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Britt.
8	MR. BRITT: Yes.
9	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Lock.
10	JUDGE LOCK: No.
11	MS. SMITH: Commissioner Welch.
12	MS. WELCH: No.
13	JUDGE LOCK: All right.
14	That vote, then, is six to two; that is, six
15	to two, there is sufficient evidence.
16	So the opinion will contain the following
17	findings, and I'm picking up at paragraph 8.
18	After carefully considering this evidence,
19	the Commission has concluded by a vote of seven to one that
20	there is not sufficient evidence of factual innocence to
21	merit judicial review of Pritchard's convictions of delivery
22	of a Schedule II controlled substance and possession with
23	the intent to manufacture, sell, or deliver a Schedule II
24	controlled substance, Yancey County case number 11 CRS 305.
25	Paragraph 9: The Commission has further

```
1
     concluded by a vote of six to two that there is sufficient
 2
     evidence of factual innocence to merit judicial review of
 3
     Pritchard's conviction of second-degree murder in Yancey
 4
     County case number 11 CRS 304.
 5
                     Finally, paragraph 10: As to the charge of
 6
     maintaining a vehicle or dwelling place for purposes of
     keeping controlled substances, that conviction is a
 7
 8
     misdemeanor; and therefore, it is not statutorily eligible
     for review by the Commission.
 9
10
                     Wherefore, pursuant to NCGS 15A-1468(c),
11
     Yancey County case number 11 CRS 305 is now closed.
12
                     Pursuant to NCGS 15A-1469(a), and as chair of
13
     the Commission, the undersigned refers Yancey County case
14
     number 11 CRS 304 to the Honorable Gary M. Gavenus, senior
15
     resident superior court judge for North Carolina Judicial
16
     District 24, and respectfully issues -- sorry -- and
17
     respectfully requests that the Chief Justice of the Supreme
18
     Court of North Carolina appoint a three-judge panel and
19
     issue commissions to its members to convene a special
20
     session of the Superior Court in Yancey County to hear
21
     evidence relevant to the Commission's recommendation.
22
                     A copy of this opinion shall be filed with
23
     the Clerk of Superior Court of Yancey County and delivered
24
     to Judge Gavenus, the District Attorney's Office for the
25
     Prosecutorial District 35, and to counsel for the convicted
```

```
1
     person.
 2
                     This, the 15th day of December, 2021.
                     It will be signed Thomas H. Lock.
 3
 4
                     All right.
                                 Is there any further business
     before the Commission?
 5
 6
                     MS. SMITH:
                                  No, Your Honor.
 7
                     JUDGE LOCK: If not, this concludes the
     business of the Commission. The hearing of State versus
 8
9
     John Pritchard, 11 CRS 304 and 305 from Yancey County is now
10
     adjourned.
                     Thank you, folks.
11
12
                (Hearing concluded, 6:03 p.m.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA) COUNTY OF WILKES)
2	COUNTI OF WILKES
3	CERTIFICATE
4	I, Victoria L. Pittman, BA, CVR-CM-M, the officer before
5	whom the foregoing proceeding was held, do hereby certify that
6	said hearing, pages 1 through 555 inclusive, in two volumes, is
7	a true, correct, and verbatim transcript of said proceeding.
8	I further certify that I am neither counsel for,
9	related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in
10	which this proceeding was heard; and, further, that I am not a
11	relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the
12	parties thereto, and am not financially or otherwise interested
13	in the outcome of the action.
14	Dated at Elkin, North Carolina, the 4th day of February,
15	2022.
16	
17	
18	Undawa XIII Mana
19	Victoria L. Pittman, BA, CVR-CM-M
20	AOC-Approved Per Diem Reporter
21	
22	
23	Date Requested: 12/15/21
24	Date Delivered: 02/04/22 Total pages: 556
25	