NORTH CAROLINA

## IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE

## SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION

WAKE COUNTY

FILE NO. 91-CRS-71728

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

GREGORY FLINT TAYLOR,

Defendant.

## NORTH CAROLINA INNOCENCE INQUIRY COMMISSION

HEARING

At Raleigh, North Carolina September 4, 2009 9:12 a.m.

Reported by: Ira Anderson

## ORIGINAL



1	APP	EARANCES
2	For NC Innocence	Kendra Montgomery Blinn, Esq.
3	Inquiry Commission:	Michael R. Epperly, Esq.
4		Sharon Stellato
5		Grace Wallace
6		Juanita Betts
7		STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
8		INNOCENCE INQUIRY COMMISSION
9		P.O. Box 2448
10		Raleigh, NC 27602
11	Commissioners	Charles L. Becton, Esq.
		THE BECTON LAW FIRM
13	·	Suite 100
14		e Road
ز ــ		Durham, NC 27705
16		Ms. M∈ Chilton
17		Executive Director
18		NC COUNCIL FOR WOMEN/
19		DOMESTIC VIOLENCE COMMISSION
20		422 North Blount Street
21		Raleigh, NC 27601
22		
23		
24		

1		A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)
2	Commissioners:	Sean P. Devereux, Esq.
3		DEVEREUX & BANZHOFF
4		The Jackson Building
5		Suite 1100
6		22 S. Pack Square
7		Asheville, NC 28801
8		Ms. Jackie Greenlee, Ph.D.
9		Director, Organizational Devt.
10		GUILFORD TECHNICAL COMMUNITY
11		COLLEGE
12		P.O. Box 309
13		Jamestown, NC 27282
14		Mr. Heath Jenkins
15		Chief of Police
16		STANLEY POLICE DEPARTMENT
17		P.O. Box 279
18		Stanley, NC 28164
19		William D. Kenerly, Esq.
20		District Attorney
21		State of North Carolina
22		19C Prosecutorial District
23		232 N. Main Street
24		Salisbury, NC 28145

1		APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
2	Commisioners:	Ms. Barbara Pickens
3		Criminal Justice Consultant
4		220 Margaret Hoffman Drive
5		Mount Holly, NC 28120
6	Presiding:	Quentin T. Sumner
7		Senior Resident
8		Superior Court Judge
9		P.O. Drawer 1215
10		Rocky Mount, NC 27801
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

STIPULATIONS

It is hereby stipulated and agreed between the parties to this action, through their respective counsel of record:

- 1. The continuance of the hearing of the North Carolina Innocence Inquiry Commission may be taken on September 4, 2009, beginning at 9:12 a.m. at the North Carolina Judicial Center located in Raleigh, North Carolina, before Ira Anderson, Notary Public.
- 2. Said hearing shall be taken for the purpose of discovery or for use as evidence in this above-entitled action or for both purposes.
- 3. Any objections of any party hereto as to notice of the taking of said deposition or as to the time or place thereof or as to the competency of the person before whom the same shall be taken are deemed to have been met.
- 4. Objections to questions and motions to strike answers need not be made during the taking of this deposition but may be made for the first time during the progress of the trial of this case, or at any pretrial hearing held before any judge for the purpose of ruling thereon, or at any other hearing of said case at which said deposition might be used,

except that an objection as to the form of a question must be made at the time such question is asked or objection is waived as to the form of the question. That the original of this hearing will be mailed first class postage to the appropriate party. Notice of filing is hereby waived. 

JUDGE SUMNER: Thank you very much and welcome back this morning. We are going to resume this hearing at the point where we ended it yesterday.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Thank you, your Honor.

JUDGE SUMNER: Just a few housekeeping matters, I guess, before we get too far afield. Let me remind all Commissioners that the press is here, as you know, and there are hot mikes in this room, so even your casual comments to another during breaks and other times are being recorded. So I pass that on to you. I know that you wouldn't say anything out of the way or untowards, but just be careful because we are live, as they say.

Another matter being I trust that you all have had an opportunity to read your homework last night. If anybody is not up to snuff, as they say, I will take a break and let you get up to par and read it. But I'm assuming everybody has done what we all said we would do.

(NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.)

JUDGE SUMNER: There being no negative

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

comments, Kendra.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Thank you, your One thing that I'm not sure I made clear to all of you yesterday is that all of the interviews that we do, even the phone interviews, are recorded. We record everything that we do. We try to get as much as we can into transcripts, some of the transcripts were drafts, so all of the interviews that Ms. Stellato testified about yesterday are recorded. If anybody wanted to hear any portions of them, they are more than welcome to. I've got them. We can get them. We can play the audio. So please just keep that in mind. We were just trying to truncate for you and have her summarize, but if you want a hearing of them, we've got them. We try very hard to record every single thing that we do.

And Commissioners, at the end of the day one of you asked me for a map of locations, so we have that for you. We got that prepared for you last night. I'm going to go ahead and get those handed out. Thank you, Ms. Wallace. And I think what I will do is remind you all that we

were in the middle of Ms. Stellato's testimony 1 2 about the Craig Taylor interviews, and I'll ask 3 Ms. Stellato to explain those maps to you during 4 her testimony. I think they are fairly self-5 explanatory, but she can walk it through for you. And so at this time I will re-call 6 7 Ms. Stellato. 8 (MS. STELLATA TAKES THE WITNESS 9 STAND.) 10 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: May I approach 11 the witness, your Honor? 12 JUDGE SUMNER: Yes, you may. 13 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Your Honor, is 14 the witness still under oath or does she --15 JUDGE SUMNER: Yes, she is still under 16 oath. Thank you. 17 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Okay. Thank 18 you. 19 20 EXAMINATION BY MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: 21 Q. All right. Ms. Stellato, did you and 22 Ms. Wallace and Mr. Epperly work together last 23 night to get a map created that shows at least some of the locations that have been testified 24

about?

- A. Some of the locations, yes.
- Q. All right. And all of the Commissioners have a copy of that map. Would you please just walk us through it and tell us where all of these things are and what this means?
- A. Sure. If you look at the very bottom in the middle of the map marked number 1, you will see the cul-de-sac. It's actually not -- it doesn't look like a cul-de-sac in this, in this map, but right there at the end of South Blount Street is the cul-de-sac where the victim was found.

  Number 2, which is approximately in the middle of the page on the corner of Cabarrus and E Street is where Eva Kelly's home was.

  Number 3, which is near the top of your map, is where the victim's home was at 902 South Blount Street, and above that at number 4 is where Craig Taylor's home was. I believe it's 995 South Blount Street.

The area around number 5 in one of the interviews is where Craig tells us that he was dealing drugs on the night of September 26, 1991, and 6, 7, and 8, as best we could number,

- is The Block area. The Block is actually bigger than a block, it's about two blocks, but we do know that those three areas surround it. And number 9 is a stop that Craig Taylor made for drugs that he's pretty sure of the location on the night of the murder. And number 10 is where he also knows that he bought drugs on the night of the murder.
- 9 Q. Okay. Ms. Stellato, we were ready to discuss
  10 the third interview that you conducted with
  11 Craig Taylor. Have you got that with you or do
  12 you need me to hand it up to you?
- 13 A. I have it with me, thank you.
- Q. All right. So the date of this interview is June 2, 2009?
- 16 A. It is.

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 17 Q. Okay. And is this again at Lumberton
  18 Correctional Institute?
- 19 A. Yes, it is.
- 20 Q. And again, who all is present for this interview?
- 22 A. Grace Wallace and Craig Taylor.
- 23 Q. And yourself?
- 24 A. And myself.

1 Q. Are there any guards in the interview room? 2 No, there are not. Α. 3 And what kind of interview room are you in? Q. 4 Α. We are in the same location that I spoke about 5 at the end of interview one and all of interview 6 two, a room that the guards commonly do reports 7 in. 8 Okay. And do you remember if Craig Taylor is 0. 9 handcuffed? 10 Α. He is handcuffed. 11 Q. Okay. And what was his demeanor at the start of 12 this interview? 13 I would describe his demeanor as calm. Α. 14 Okay. Now, on -- and what we'll do is just, Q. 15 again, walk through this interview like we did 16 with the others. 17 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners, if you have any questions and I go past 18 19 that page, just please stop and ask your 20 questions. 21 Q. On page 380 you ask him if he is -- well, first 22 off, why did you go back for this third 23 interview? 24 Α. At that point we were continuing to gather

1 information regarding Craig Taylor and we, I 2 realized at that point that he may have some 3 information about the murder, so I wanted to go back and talk to him again. 4 5 Okay. And did you also bring any materials with Q. 6 you to collect the DNA sample? 7 I did, yes. Α. 8 Okay. And what did you bring with you? Q. 9 DNA swabs. Α. 10 Okay. Q. 11 A DNA swabbing kit and the evidence log. Α. 12 Q. Did you have a court order to obtain 13 Mr. Taylor's DNA or were you planning just to ask him if he would give it voluntarily? 14 I was planning to ask if he would volunteer. 15 Α. 16 Q. Okay. Now, on page 30 you ask him if he is 17 right-handed or left-handed. Why do you ask him 18 that question? 19 Α. The victim had injuries to the right side of her 20 head when she was found, and I was just trying 21 to determine whether he was right-handed or 22 left-handed. 23 And he tells you that he is both? Q. 24 Α. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

22

23

- Q. Okay. And you ask him what kind of car he drove and he replies, an '89 5.0 Mustang. Why did you ask about the car?
  - A. The interviews that we were conducting at that time had a bunch of, a bunch of witnesses who had seen different cars, from Andy Manuel's car that we knew about to a dark colored vehicle.

    And then I had spoke with Laurnette Perry on June 12 and June 15 regarding --
  - Q. So it was actually after this interview you spoke to her?
- A. Right, that was after. I was trying to
  determine what kind of car he drove at that
  time. And then I later spoke with Laurnette
  Perry and asked her if she was familiar with
  that vehicle.
- 17 Q. And what did she say?
- A. She said that she was familiar with a black

  Mustang GTO that would bring the victim home

  late in the evening, but she did not know who

  drove the car.
  - Q. Okay. And on page 381 he tells you that the police interviewed him around -- he's now talking about the original police interview.

- 1 A. Uh-huh (yes).
- 2 Q. And he says the timing was that the sun had come
- up. He says 6:00-something, close to 7:00, is
- 4 that right?
- 5 A. That he was interviewed by police?
- 6 Q. That's what he said?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And what does the police interview time state,
- 9 if you remember?
- 10 A. It is between 7:25 and I believe it's later,
- approximately 7:45, 7:50, but after 7:00 a.m.
- 12 Q. After 7:00. And did the police officer testify
- that they discovered the body after 7:00?
- 14 A. Yes, they did.
- 15 Q. And you start asking Mr. Taylor about a whole
- lot of different people.
- 17 A. Uh-huh (yes).
- 18 Q. And are you just, again, asking names here to
- see what it might spark, where the conversation
- 20 might go?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. And does he know the majority of the people you
- ask him about?
- 24 A. He does know the majority of them, yes.

- Q. Okay. And you ask him, one of the people you ask him about is George Murray. Why do you ask him about that person?
  - A. George Murray was one of the names in the, that we discussed yesterday regarding an informant who had stated that George Murray was driving a vehicle that the victim had got into on the night of the murder, and I was trying to determine if he knew anyone by that name.
- 10 | Q. Is that the Virginia car theory?
- 11 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Okay. On page 382 he talks about sort of the area that he was selling drugs and he says he'd walk up five, six, or seven blocks, maybe ten blocks around?
- 16 A. Uh-huh (yes).
  - Q. And so is he just giving you -- are you just trying to get the location where he sells drugs?
    - A. I was trying to determine the location that he sells drugs and also whether he drove or walked, and I think that it depended on the evening and the, and how far.
    - Q. Okay. And that's where the location that is on this map comes from?

1 A. Correct.

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Okay, but it really is a radius, is what he's telling you?
  - A. The -- during the interviews it is in a radius of approximately -- he says ten blocks. I believe when we looked at it, it was approximately eleven blocks.
  - Q. Okay. And you just continue to ask him about people, and at this point I think you're showing him some photographs sometimes?
- 11 | A. Yes, I am.
- Q. And do the photographs have people's names on them?
- 14 A. No, they don't.
- 15 Q. And where do you get the photographs from?
- 16 A. Primarily through DOC's Website.
- 17 Q. Okay. So the same, a lot of the same
  18 photographs that we've been using in the
  19 PowerPoint?
- 20 A. All of the same photographs.
- Q. Now, on page -- so you continue to show him
  pictures of people, and then on page 385 at the
  bottom you start talking to him about Jacquetta
  and her then-boyfriend, James Gist. Is that who

you're talking about?

1

2

3

4

5

18

- That is who I'm talking about, yes. Α.
- Okay. In here you, you know, you start talking Q. to him and saying that Jacquetta was in love with James. Where do you get that information from? 6
- In the, in the evidence that the police 7 Α. collected from her home, Laurnette Perry's home, 8 9 there were several photographs and letters and 10 things of that nature from her mom and her 11 family, and in there there were also two cards 12 that she had written to James Gist while he was 13 in the Wake County jail. I'm not sure how those cards got returned to her, but they were opened 14 15 and not returned through the mail. But in both 16 of those she professes his love, her love for him and stating that she wants to be with him. 17
  - Okay. And he, so he actually thinks that you're Q. trying to trick him there?
- 20 Α. Yes, he does.
- 21 He says, this is tricky stuff or trickery stuff, Q. 22 he says?
- 23 Correct. Α.
- 24 Okay. And then he uses that phrase again at the Q.

- bottom of page 386. You're talking about that

  and he says, you can't control who you catch

  feelings for. He says that again on, what, 386?
- 4 A. On the bottom of page 386, yes.
  - Q. Okay. And then on the top of page 387 is when he tells you that he had feelings for Jacquetta?
  - A. Uh-huh (yes). He tells me that he had feelings for her, as well as telling me that he spent time with her and her four children.
- 10 | Q. Okay. And he talks about that?
- 11 A. Yes, he does.

6

7

8

- 12 Q. Okay. And on the top of page 388 --
- 13 A. I'm sorry, if I could go back just one second.
- 14 Q. Yes, please.
- A. When he's talking, the reason that he's talking about the children is he states that he wishes he could go back -- excuse me, that's 388 -- that he wishes he could go back every day, back to that day.
- 20 Q. And that's when he's talking about her children?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, again he starts talking about -- let me see. Okay. So you ask him again, you told me that you did not rape her that night. And he

- says, stand by it 100 percent. And you ask, did you have sex with her that night? And he denies that.
  - A. He denies it by saying I would have if things had gone different. And then he states he would have if she didn't have had Johnny with her.
- Q. Okay. And then you start to talk to him about

  Sohnny and -- Johnny Beck, right --
  - A. Uh-huh (yes).
- 10 | Q. -- is who we're talking about?
- 11 A. Uh-huh (yes).

2

3

4

5

6

- Q. And at this point you confront him because he previously told you that he had jumped Johnny
  Beck in jail, and since then you had interviewed Johnny Beck and we did he say about that?
- 16 A. We had not interviewed Johnny Beck at that time.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- A. We were trying to locate Johnny Beck still. I

  told him that I had spoken to Johnny Beck and
  that I hadn't heard anything about a fight
  between the two of them. And he -- we'd talk
  about it for a little while and he backs off of
  it somewhat and says it was actually all the
  guys on their block had jumped on Johnny Beck

- and that he had participated. He stated that a lot of the people in the jail knew Johnny Beck.
  - Q. Okay.

- A. Excuse me, knew the victim.
- Q. And then you later interviewed Johnny Beck and you asked about that. What does Mr. Beck, what did he say then?
- A. He states that there was never an incident, that he has never been hit or in a fight while in jail or prison.
- Q. Okay. And then he does talk about selling drugs to Johnny Beck and says that he did sell him drugs. I don't know if regularly is the right word or at least had before.
- A. Yes. He does state that he has sold drugs to Johnny Beck. Johnny Beck denies -- at one point he states that Johnny Beck traded a VCR and other household items for drugs, and Johnny Beck states that he had a wife and children and never took items from the home, that he did buy drugs with cash, but that he was a dealer himself and does not recall buying any from Craig Taylor.
- Q. Okay. So he doesn't recall or he denies?
- A. He doesn't recall.

1 Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. He denies knowing him and later states, he could know me, a lot of people knew me, but he denies specifically remembering ever purchasing drugs from him.
- Q. Okay. Tell us right now in this part of the interview, what is Craig Taylor's demeanor?
- A. He -- I would describe his demeanor as still calm. He never is looking directly at me, but that's his behavior throughout the first, second and third interview. He looks at me sporadically when we are speaking.
- Q. Okay. So he is not turned around, as we talked about before?
- A. He generally is turned to the side with his legs out to the side as opposed to under the table and will look at me sporadically.
- Q. Now, on page -- let's go ahead and go to page 392. And you ask him, at the bottom of 392 you ask him if he knew whether she was menstruating at the time.
- 22 A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. And why are you asking that?
- 24 A. There was blood found in the, on the victim,

inside of her underwear, as well as when they 1 did the rape kit, they found blood, and I was 2 trying to determine whether or not he knew if 3 she was menstruating at that time. 4 And does he indicate if he knew? 5 Q. No, he does not. 6 Α. He doesn't know? 7 0. He said he doesn't know anything about that. 8 Α. Okay. And then on the next page, about two-9 Q. 10 thirds of the way down you say, you're talking 11 about a number of different things and then you 12 say, are you still angry with Jacquetta? 13 Yes, I do. Α. 14 And he says, to a certain degree, is that right? Q. That's correct. 15 Α. Okay. So you start talking about that with him 16 Q. for a little while and explore that? 17 About his anger toward the victim. 18 Α. 19 His anger? Q. 20 Α. Yes. 21 And then on page 394 --Q. 22 At that point we're talking about whether or not Α. 23 he trusts me. Okay. And what is his demeanor like now? Is it 24 Q.

1 the same or --

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

21

22

23

- A. I would describe it as the same.
- Q. Okay. And then on page 395, it looks like you talk to him for a long time and you tell him, I will not let them harm you, and then you talk to him about aggravating and mitigating factors and explain roughly how that works --
- 8 A. Correct.
  - Q. -- and how a jury might think about that. And then you move into -- I'm on page 397 now.
- 11 | A. Okay.
- Q. You say, you talk to him about DNA now. You
  start talking to him a little bit about DNA and
  asking him if he'll let you take his DNA?
- 15 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And at some point you tell him -- let's see -so you start talking about that on 397, and then
  on, somewhere on 398 you say, Detective Howard
  from the Raleigh Police Department can come and
  get it. What are you talking about here?
  - A. I'm not talking about -- I don't know a

    Detective Howard. At that point we're talking
    about getting DNA and whether or not he is

    willing to consent to me obtaining DNA.

- Q. Okay. So you're just bluffing here or just talking?
- 3 | A. I'm bluffing, yes.
- Q. Okay. And Detective Howard, that name is just a name from somebody who originally investigated the case and doesn't work with --
- 7 A. Detective Howard does not work there any longer and --
- 9 Q. So that's just a name that came to your head as you were talking?
- 11 | A. Yes. I do not know him.
- Q. Okay. And the person that you testified about yesterday that we talked to in the Raleigh Police Department --
- 15 A. Detective Lynch?
- 16 | Q. -- was Detective Lynch?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. All right. And then on page 398 he says -- you're talking about the DNA and he says, I'm saying I was a gambler and rolling dice.
- 22 A. Uh-huh (yes).
- Q. And then on page 401 he lets you swab him voluntarily?

- 1 A. Yes, he does.
- 2 | Q. Okay. So he says, go on up and swab me.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. And that's when you do, you do that?
- 5 A. I do swab him, yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. Now we're on page 402.
- 7 | A. Okay.
- Q. And he says -- at the bottom of 402, will you read that, just that last little block to us?
- 10 A. Are you speaking, there is a part of me --
- $11 \mid Q$ . The only thing going to come out of this.
- 12 A. Okay. The only thing going to come out of this

  13 is two people get free. The victim's family, it

  14 ain't going to be right. Okay, yeah, now we

  15 know, we got the killers locked up, okay, I'm

  16 still not, it's still not going to bring her

  17 back or whoever else, bring them back. I mean,
- lock them up and throw away the key. I'm saying that's where he's supposed to be at.
- 20 Q. And that's Craig Taylor talking --
- 21 A. Talking about --
- 22 | Q. -- and he's talking about himself?
- 23 A. He's talking about himself, yes.
- Q. Okay. And I'm sorry, that was Craig Taylor

- 1 talking, not you talking? You're just --
- 2 A. That was Craig Taylor speaking.
  - Q. All right. Then on page 403 you talk for a long time, and then at the very end of what you say, you say, do you know what Jacquetta's injuries were that night? If you don't know, you don't want to see these, you know what they were?
- 8 A. Uh-huh (yes).

4

5

6

7

9

- Q. And at this point do you have some photographs?
- 10 A. I do have photographs of the victim.
- 11 | Q. And you don't show them to him?
- 12 A. I do not show them to him. He will not look at them.
- 14 | Q. And then he says what?
- A. Regarding the injuries, he states that the
  injuries -- I ask him if he knows where the
  injuries of the victim came from and he says,
  multiple different things on parts of her body,
  on parts of the body.
  - Q. Okay. And you have not told him this before?
- 21 A. No, I have not.
- Q. And you have not shown him the -- or you -- he has not looked at the photographs, at the very least?

- A. I have not told him how the victim died or what her injuries were and, to my knowledge, he has not seen any photos of the victim.
  - Q. Okay. And as far as you know, the photos that we passed around yesterday are the ones that the police showed him?
  - A. He has not seen any photo other than the interview one when he tells me that he was shown a photo of the victim, which I believe is the photo we passed around yesterday.
- Q. Okay. But we don't know for sure what photos
  the police showed him, but their records show
  that's what they show?
- 14 A. Correct.

5

6

7

8

9

- 15 Q. Okay. And then again on page 404 you talk about
  16 the photos and you don't show them. What is his
  17 demeanor like now at this point?
- A. At this point he is no longer half facing me.

  He is, his body is still turned to the side, but
  his head is turned to the wall and it's resting,
  he's resting his forehead against the wall. He
  will not look at the photos.
- 23 Q. Okay. All right. On page 406 --
- 24 A. Okay.

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. -- down at the bottom you're talking about whether she cared for him or not and you say, did she tell you that she cared about you? And he says, no, I guess I probably took it the wrong way, possessed, that's all. Is he talking about himself being possessed, if you can tell?
- A. Yes, he is. He goes on a little bit in that conversation to talk about his impression that she had feelings for him and he's talking about he was possessed with her, I believe.
- Q. And he continues to talk about that for a while and on into 407, and he talks about, he says, I just jumped to conclusions, and he's talking about the way that she felt?
- A. He's talking about the way that he believed she felt.
- Q. Okay. He's telling you he jumped to conclusions about how she felt and he's not sure?
- A. Correct.
  - Q. Okay. All right. So you talk about that for a while, and then it looks like you talk for a long time, and then on page 409 you ask him again about the DNA and you say, is it going to match you? And he says, if it's accurate it

1 should match me. And then he says, so are we 2 done? 3 Is he ending the interview? Yes, he is. 4 Α. 5 Q. Okay. I believe by stating, are we done, that he was 6 Α. 7 ending the interview. And what is his demeanor now? 8 Okay. Q. He's very calm, quiet. 9 Α. 10 Okay. Q. I think he wanted to leave. 11 Α. 12 He ends that interview? Q. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Okay. Q. 15 Commissioners, MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: 16 any questions about interview three that I 17 have not covered? MR. DEVEREUX: I have a question. 18 19 page 394 you, at the bottom, Sharon, the 20 last paragraph, you tell him, actually, you do have to talk to me. I'll tell you that, 21 22 in fact, if you were to get the guard and 23 say that you don't want to talk to me, you 24 still have to talk to me?

1 Α. Correct. 2 MR. DEVEREUX: Why did you tell him 3 that? 4 I go on to say that you do not have to answer Α. 5 me, so if he wants to just time there, there's nothing that I can do to make him answer me. 6 7 Is this one of those things that you were Q. 8 telling about, us about that you read it and you 9 think on a transcript it's, you're reading it 10 and thinking, oh, why did I say that, or --11 I think the way, I think the way that -- yes. Α. 12 Of course, when it's written on paper, the way 13 that it comes across, it does appear that in the 14 beginning I'm telling him that he has to talk to 15 I do, however, think I clarify it by saying 16 that he doesn't have to answer my questions. 17 In other parts of all of the interviews do you Q. tell him he doesn't have to talk to you? 18 19 Yes, I do. Α. 20 MR. DEVEREUX: At one point in the 21 interview before that you told him that --22 he asked for a lawyer and you tell him that 23 if you tell me that you were involved, I 24 don't want to waste the lawyer's time if

you didn't have anything to do with this, 1 2 but if you tell me that you were involved 3 I'll see to it that you get a lawyer, and he says, I was involved. Did you ever make 4 5 an effort to call the attorney that had represented him on the charges that he was 6 in custody for? 7 No, I did not. 8 Α. 9 MR. DEVEREUX: Okay. And on page 408, 10 about a third of the way down, you tell 11 him, I do have leverage, pull, doing what I 12 do --13 Excuse me, a third of the way down? Α. 14 MR. DEVEREUX: Maybe a little more 15 than a third. 16 Okay. (Witness examines document.) Okay. Α. 17 MR. DEVEREUX: And he mentions that in 18 his letters to you later about you having 19 pull. What did you mean when you told him 20 that? 21 Α. Actually, I clarify that statement as well with, 22 I can't make it all go away for you. I would be 23 lying. You know, you would know I was lying if 24 I told you, hey, don't worry, everything is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

going to be okay, just say that you did it.

So I was trying to clarify -- in his letter, I don't recall if it's the first or the second letter, I believe that when he is referencing that he is speaking about getting a doctor for him.

MR. DEVEREUX: Okay. That's all.

- Q. And Ms. Stellato, you talked to him later on about giving his aunt the information to try to get him a doctor. What are you talking about there?
- A. This interview happens before we actually have the recorded phone calls from DOC that he was making. In a subsequent interview he's talking to his aunt on the telephone about a kidney issue he's having and he is stating that he doesn't believe he's getting the correct medical care. I ask him about it when I come and I tell him that I will provide his aunt with a phone number if they are not getting him the proper medical care, and I did.
- Q. And what -- who do you give -- what agency do you give her the phone number for?
- A. Prisoner Legal Services.

1	Q.	Okay.
2		MR. DEVEREUX: That's all I have.
3		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners,
4		any more questions?
5		(NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.)
6		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Okay.
7	Q.	All right, let's move on to interview four.
8		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners,
9		I will remind you again that we changed the
10		date of this interview to July 30, 2009. I
11		mean, we didn't change the date, it was
12		just a typo at the top of the interview.
13	Q.	Okay. Will you set this interview up for us
14		again? Is it the same location?
15	Α.	Yes, it is in the same location as the end of
16		the first, the second and third interview.
17	Q.	Okay, that same little room. And who is there?
18	Α.	Myself, Craig Taylor, and Grace Wallace.
19	Q.	Okay. And are there guards in the room for this
20		interview?
21	Α.	There are not.
22	Q.	And go ahead and tell us it looks like you
23		start this interview with telling him that we've
24		got some preliminary DNA results, is that right?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. And do you actually have those with you?
- 3 A. At that point we had preliminary DNA results --
- I'm sorry. I don't recall if I had it with me or not.
- 6 Q. Okay.
- 7 A. We did so much testing, particularly from
- 8 approximately January until currently, and I
- 9 don't recall if I had them with me or not.
- 10 | Q. Okay.
- 11 A. I've never showed him DNA reports, if that's
- 12 what you mean.
- 13 Q. Right, okay. Well, yeah. Later on you talk
- about it and ask, do you want to see them, or
- don't you want to know, and he says no, right?
- 16 A. Right; right.
- 17 Q. Okay. So you come, do you come in there
- 18 expecting him to want to know what those results
- 19 | are?
- 20 A. I come in expecting him to want to know whether
- or not DNA matches him.
- 22 Q. And he actually doesn't?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. You say, don't you want to know, and he says no?

A. Correct.

1

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Or something like that, which we'll get to in a minute.
- 4 A. He said, I believe he says he already knows.
  - Q. Okay. And that comes up in the interview.

    Okay, so talk to us about you've waited two

    months now between, almost two months -- your

    last interview with him was June 2 and now we're

    on July 30. Why do you wait so long?
    - A. The first three interviews that I conducted with him happened, I guess, within about a two- or a three-week period, again, interview number two being that I thought he had contacted us. And at that point when he ended the interview on the third interview, I felt like he needed a cooling off period and that I needed to gather more information.
    - Q. Okay. And during that time, those two months, are you out doing a lot of investigative work?
    - A. During that time we conducted numerous interviews, yes.
    - Q. Okay. And that's when you found out about

      Laurnette Perry, what you said earlier about the

      car, that she says there was a black Mustang

- 1 that dropped the victim off?
- 2 A. At that time I had spoken to her, yes.
- Q. Okay. And have you been showing his photograph to other people?
  - A. Uh-huh (yes). We obtained his, actually four photographs from DOC and showed them to several people that we interviewed to see whether or not they would recognize him.
  - Q. Okay. Now, in this interview you do not tell him that this interview is recorded. In the other interviews is the recorder right there on the table?
- 13 A. In the other interviews the recorder is on the table. In this interview it is not on the table.
- 16 Q. Okay. Where is the recorder?
- 17 A. The recorder is with Grace Wallace.
- 18 Q. Okay. Is it in her pocket?
- 19 A. Yes, it is.

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Okay. And now on -- so you actually tell him on page 410, you tell him about that, the car, that Laurnette Perry describes his car?
- 23 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. And what is his demeanor here now?

- A. He was, I would say, at interview four, in my opinion, more receptive. He seemed to be listening more intently than he had in the past.
  - Q. Okay. What about his behavior made you say that he was listening intently or more perceptive?
  - A. He was just speaking more -- you know, he was quicker to answer things. He didn't seem surprised that I had returned. In my opinion, his actions didn't look as though he was surprised that I had returned and he just seemed to be listening a little bit more.
- Q. Okay. So you talked to him about the phone calls that he's been making out of prison?
- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And just for clarification, are you, you were listening now or you are getting recordings of the phone calls he's making out of prison?
  - A. At that point we had, from -- excuse me -- from May 14 until July 30, at that time or thereabouts, we had obtained the phone records, his phone records from the Division of Prisons.
  - Q. Okay. And the Department of Corrections always, as far as you know, always records phone calls made from inmates?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. I was told that they record all of the phone calls made from inmates.
  - Q. And then you were able to or the Commission was able to obtain a court order to access the recordings of those phone calls?
- A. By providing his DOC number.
  - Q. Okay. And then on -- let's see, you talk about that for a while. On page 415 you finally get his street name. He tells you his street name. What is his street name?
- 11 A. His street name is Ninja.
  - Q. Okay. And then again you start -- again you start showing him more photographs of people. And on page 415 you show him a photograph of somebody and it takes him a while to identify that person. Who is that?
  - A. The photograph that I show him, I believe it takes him approximately a minute all total, and I would have to look at that. But the photograph I show him is of Johnny Beck, who he stated in the first, second, and third interview that he knows.
  - Q. Okay. And what photograph of Johnny Beck are you showing him?

- A. We had photographs of Johnny Beck from DOC.

  There were three photographs, one from 1991 when he was arrested for something other than this, and then two more.
  - Q. Okay. So you're showing him all three of those at once?
    - A. And I would say that they were -- yeah, they are all on one page. I would say that they are probably over a 20-year period.
- 10 Q. Okay.

6

7

8

- 11 A. That's just a guess.
- Q. And on page -- so we go through the photos and you're talking with him a little bit more about just people and who he might know in those photos. And then on page 419 he tells you that he looked somebody up on the computer?
- 17 A. Uh-huh (yes).
- 18 | Q. Oh, he looks his son up on the computer, right?
- 19 A. On 419 he's talking about Tanika Stewart's son.
- Again, he has expressed that he believes that person to be his son.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- A. And he -- there was some information that that person had been charged with the murder and he's

- telling me that he looked him up and didn't find that information.
  - Q. Okay. And did you later confirm whether or not he has Internet access in the Department of Corrections?
- 6 A. They do not have Internet access.
- 7 Q. They do not?
- 8 A. No.

4

- 9 Q. Okay. And -- but their case managers have 10 access to computers, is that right?
- 11 A. Yes, they do; uh-huh (yes).
- Q. And so then from there on you talk about his family for a long time. He talks about his family.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And just go through that and all the people in
  17 his family. And then it's on page 423 that you
  18 say -- I'll let you get there.
- 19 A. (Witness examines document.)
- 20 Q. You ask him why he has not asked about the DNA.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And what does he say?
- A. He says -- I ask him if it should be on -- if it -- is it supposed to be on there, and he

- states, it should be on there; what did I tell
  you last time, it should be on there.
  - Q. Okay. He says, it's supposed to be on everything because, I mean, I don't know. And you say, it's supposed to be on there. And that's when he says, what did I tell you last time, it should be on there?
  - A. Correct.
    - Q. And then you ask him, are you surprised that somebody has come in to talk to you about this 18 years later? And he says, sure enough did.
- 12 | A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 13 | Q. And do you take that to mean he is surprised?
- 14 A. He is surprised. I take that to mean he is surprised.
- Q. All right. Now we're on page 424 and this is
  what we just talked about a minute ago, that you
  talked to him about the doctor and you tell
  him --
- 20 A. Correct, what we were speaking about.
- Q. Okay, and he talks about that after this, the pull, this is what Mr. Devereux was asking about. And then you say, you need to have her call someone, okay? I know who she needs to

- 1 call. I'm going to call her and I'm going to 2 tell her who she needs to call. 3 And you did call her and give her Prison Legal Services' number? 4 Yes, I did. 5 Α. 6 Okay. On page 425, that same page, you ask him, Q. do you believe Johnny Beck and Gregory Taylor 7 8 killed Jacquetta, right? 9 Yes, I did. Α. 10 He says nope? 0. 11 Α. He says nope. 12 Nope. And then -- so you talk about that for a Q. 13 while -- I'm sorry, that was page 426. 14 Okay. Α. 15 And then you start to talk to him about being 0. 16 jealous and he says -- I'm on page 427 now -- he says, I'm always a jealous person. And then 17
- 18 he's talking about that for a while and he says, 19 again he says, nobody controls -- and you 20 actually finish that one for him -- who you have feelings for, so that's the can't catch who you 21 22 have feelings for.
- 23 Uh-huh (yes). Α.
- 24 And he says, exactly --Q.

A. ∪h-huh (yes).

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. -- and love hurts and love kills, is that right?
  - A. That's correct. At that point it's actually, I believe, near the bottom of page 426 and then on to where we're talking about, he had started talking about the victim and the feelings he had

for her and, yes, he's talking about the

8 feelings that he had for Jacquetta Thomas.

- Q. Okay. And then on page 428 he starts talking about what happened that night?
- A. On 428 he starts talking about the murder, yes.
- 12 Q. Okay.

## MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Now,

Commissioners, I am going to play a portion of this for you. The portion I'm going to play starts on, just for the interest of time, starts at the top of page 432, is the part where I'm going to play. But if you want any more, let me know.

- Q. But, Ms. Stellato, can you just walk us through up to that page 432, what he's saying about that night?
- A. Okay, from 428 to 432?
- Q. Yeah, give us from 428 all the way through 431.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Α. As you were just talking about, he was talking about the feelings prior to that that he had had for the victim. On page 428 he is stating that he didn't argue with her that night, and he's also stating -- at that point this is where he's discussing whether or not the victim followed Johnny Beck to Greg Taylor's vehicle. Earlier he had stated that he had seen her with Johnny Beck and now he is exchanging, he's talking to me that he felt like, or that it was her common practice to exchange sex for crack, which he refers to as a trick. He is stating at that point that she followed them out, and I'm asking him if he followed them in his car. He says that she did not in the -excuse me, that she did. And then as I'm continuing to ask him questions about that, going on more, he's, I think, he, well, he is making inconsistent statements about that.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. He goes on to say that he doesn't know if she was in the vehicle with them, that he did see her follow Johnny Beck out, and that when he came back, quote, he says he saw her walking

behind him and that she was already high when she came up the first time, and he doesn't know if she got in the car. When he came back she was standing on the sidewalk.

I asked him if he was jealous and if he believed or knew if the victim was having sex with Johnny Beck or Greg Taylor and he states, there wasn't enough time, no.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- A. He also states that he, on page 431, that he commonly gave the victim a ride home, that he didn't like to see her walk home. This is when I asked him about Laurnette Perry stating that she had seen a black Mustang.
- Q. Okay.
  - A. At that point we're talking about whether or not she got in the vehicle and he states, Laurnette Perry already told me. And I asked him if he brought her home that night, and he states no.
- Q. He says no, I didn't bring her home that night?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. But he says she got in the vehicle?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And he says he is jealous and possessive?

1	Α.	At the bottom of page 431 he says that that
2		pretty much sums me up, jealous and possessive,
3		and that it didn't seem like she cared about
4		him.
5	Q.	Okay.
6		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners,
7		before I play this portion of audio, any
8		questions about the interview up to page
9		432?
10		(NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.)
11		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: No questions?
12		The audio is about 20 minutes. Do you want
13		to take a break or are we ready to go right
14		into the audio?
15		JUDGE SUMNER: Let's go on.
16		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Okay.
17		MR. DEVEREUX: Kendra, I know you've
18		got it queued up. Would it be possible to
19		go back when you play it, play the stuff
20		that Sharon was just talking about?
21		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Sure,
22		absolutely. It will
23		MR. DEVEREUX: Unless everybody else
24		doesn't want to listen to it. Maybe back

1 as far as 428? 2 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: We definitely 3 It's just there are such long pauses, that was, that was why I was -- because it 4 5 will take a long time but, I mean, of course we can. It might take me a minute 6 7 to get it queued back to there. Can you tell me exactly where on 428 you want to 8 start it and we'll get it queued up and 9 maybe take a five-minute recess while I do 10 11 that? 12 MR. DEVEREUX: Well, why don't you go 13 ahead and play what you have, you got, and if I want to hear more --14 15 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: I'm very happy 16 to do it. I'm just saying it will take me 17 a couple of minutes to get it ready to do. MR. DEVEREUX: Let's go ahead and 18 19 listen to what you've got. 20 JUDGE SUMNER: We'll give you an 21 opportunity during executive session to do 22 that. 23 MR. DEVEREUX: Right. It's just, I 24 think what she was just talking about is

1		very important, I'd like to hear it at some
2		point today.
3	,	JUDGE SUMNER: We can arrange that.
4		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Okay, yeah, I'm
5		very happy to play it. I just made the
6		decision based on time is all, but it's
7		not
8		MR. DEVEREUX: Can I ask Sharon a
9		question just to get some context?
10		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: of course;
11		absolutely.
12		MR. DEVEREUX: Sharon, a couple of
13		interviews ago at 388
14	Α.	388?
15		MR. DEVEREUX: Yeah, way back.
16	a.	Okay.
17		MR. DEVEREUX: I'm not even sure which
18		interview it was.
19		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Interview
20		three.
21	l)	MR. DEVEREUX: You he's you're
22		asking if he would have had sex with her
23		that night and he says, if she didn't have
24		Johnny with her, right?

1 Α. I asked her, did you try to have sex with her 2 that night, and he says, I would have. And I asked him, if things had gone different, if 3 things hadn't gone different? And he states, if 4 5 she didn't, if she didn't have Johnny with her. 6 MR. DEVEREUX: And I'm still confused. 7 I'm not asking for your closing argument at 8 this point, but --9 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: I don't give 10 you a closing argument. 11 MR. DEVEREUX: -- but just some notion 12 that the evidence seems to support Craig 13 seeing her go in the direction, go in the 14 direction of Beck. He has it in his head 15 that if she's getting drugs from somebody, 16 she's probably going to have sex with him. 17 Is there evidence to support the notion 18 that he actually gets in his car? I'm 19 trying to figure out how everybody ends up in the cul-de-sac. It seems --20 21 Α. I understand. He, Craig Taylor -- you 22 understand how Johnny Beck and Greg Taylor end 23 up in the cul-de-sac? You are asking how --24 MR. DEVEREUX: I got that part, yeah.

1 In the beginning when he's speaking to Α. 2 me, he's saying that she got in a car --3 MR. DEVEREUX: Right. -- in the white Pathfinder. 4 Α. MR. DEVEREUX: Right. 6 Α. When I'm asking him to clarify that and asking a 7 lot of questions, he's becoming inconsistent. As that time goes on, the way that I'm 8 9 understanding it is that Johnny Beck, in his --10 what he is telling me is Johnny Beck came up, 11 purchased drugs from Craig Taylor --12 MR. DEVEREUX: Right. 13 -- turned around -- three rocks for \$50 --Α. 14 turned around and walked away, and that Jacquetta Thomas was following Johnny Beck out. 15 16 On foot? MR. DEVEREUX: 17 Α. On foot, correct. Greg Taylor is parked on the side of the road and Johnny Beck gets into that 18 19 vehicle. 20 MR. DEVEREUX: Right. 21 Α. And he's stating, Craig Taylor is stating that 22 he does not believe they had time to have sex, 23 nor does he know at that point --24 MR. DEVEREUX: Have sex right there at

1 that spot? 2 Α. Yes. 3 MR. DEVEREUX: Okay. But he has backed off whether or not she got in 4 Α. the vehicle. 5 Is he saying that he saw her following and then 6 0. 7 he walked, Craig Taylor walked around the block and when he came back she was still there? 8 9 Yes; yes. Α. MR. DEVEREUX: Okay. And all that's 10 happening on --11 12 Do you want to go over -- I mean, we can just Α. verbally go over 428 to 432 again without 13 14 playing it. 15 MR. DEVEREUX: Okay, but I'm just trying -- I think this will mean more, the 16 tape will mean more if I got it in my head. 17 18 Right, I understand. Α. 19 MR. DEVEREUX: All that where Craig 20 sees her going in the direction of Taylor 21 and Beck is on the map on Bloodworth, where Craig Taylor says he sold drugs? 22 23 Craig Taylor states that he -- yes. I do not Α. 24 know what area -- I know that Greg Taylor and

Johnny Beck state they stopped at three 1 2 different areas to purchase drugs. 3 MR. DEVEREUX: 6, 7 and 8? No, sir, 9 and 10; 6, 7 and 8 is an area called 4 Α. The Block. They additionally say that they were 5 in the area called The Block, somewhere in that 6 area, but I don't know if it's 6, 7, or 8, just 7 8 somewhere in that area. MR. DEVEREUX: All right. 9 And then as you can see, 5 is a little bit above 10 Α. 11 diagonal of 6. 12 MR. DEVEREUX: Right. 13 And that is where Craig Taylor is stating he Α. sold drugs that night. 14 MR. DEVEREUX: And that's where he 15 16 sees them together? 17 Yes, sir; I believe so, yes, sir. Α. 18 MR. DEVEREUX: Okay. And is there evidence to support the assertion that he 19 does follow them? 20 There -- I -- in that area I don't know if they 21 Α. 22 purchased drugs directly from him. I don't know 23 if he followed them. I know that he stated that he saw the victim, as I said, walking after 24

Johnny Beck and that he went around the block 1 2 and came back and that the victim was still 3 there. MR. DEVEREUX: Okay; all right. I've 4 5 got it, thank you. You're welcome. 6 Α. 7 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: More questions, Commissioners? 8 9 (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.) 10 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Okay. I'm 11 going to play the start of page 432 and 12 then I'm going to play it all the way 13 through on 435 where he says the last 14 question. So we're going to stop there on 15 435, although you are more than welcome to 16 hear the end. I think she just talks about 17 calling his family. So that's where I 18 planned to stop, but I'll be happy to play 19 any additional portions that Commissioners 20 do request. And it's about 20 minutes. 21 (THEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS WENT OFF 22 THE RECORD WHILE THE AUDIO RECORDING WAS 23 PLAYED.) 24

- 1 | Q. Ms. Stellato, how was that recording made?
- A. Digital, digital recording by devices that the Commission has.
- Q. And who was present when that recording was made?
- 6 A. Grace Wallace, myself, and Craig Taylor.
- Q. And did you see Ms. Wallace making that recording or turning the recorder on?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- 10 Q. Okay. And did you see her turning it off?
- 11 | A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And did you come back to the office and see it
- or participate in it being uploaded onto this
- digital format?
- 15 A. I believe I uploaded it.
- 16 Q. Okay. And having listened to that, was that a
- fair and accurate recording of your interview
- 18 that day?
- 19 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. And do you recognize the two voices on that
- 21 recording?
- 22 A. Yes, I do.
- 23 Q. And who are they?
- 24 A. Myself and Craig Taylor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. Okay. What was Mr. Taylor doing during those long pauses, or what was his demeanor during what we just heard?
  - A. During most of the pauses he was crying,
    breathing heavily, shaking his head, during --
  - Q. You say shaking his head. What do you mean?
  - A. He would, he would place his hands like this (indicating) and shake his head. When I would ask him specific questions, he would cry and shake his head. At times he was drumming -- you can hear a little bit in the tape -- he was drumming his hands on the table. He was swallowing hard, and at times he was, in some of the audio you can hear at times he was sighing.
- 15 Q. Okay. Was he looking at you?
- 16 A. Yes. He was looking at me the whole time.
- Q. Was that unusual for him to be looking at you compared to your experience in the past with him?
- 20 A. It was unusual for him to be looking at me.
- Q. And then when we stopped the recording had he stopped answering questions?
- A. Right near the end there I say that I'll only ask him two questions. I actually ask him one

- and then another, and then the last one is the third one, as to where he left her, and he did not answer.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. At that time he stopped answering. I didn't ask after he didn't answer me.
- 7 Q. Okay. So he stopped answering?
- 8 A. Yes.
- Q. And then the last page-and-a-half is you talkingto him about talking to his family?
- 11 A. Talking to his family.
- 12 Q. He wants you to give them a call?
- 13 A. Yes, he does. He wants me to tell them.
- 14 Q. And do you do that?
- 15 A. I call his aunt and I -- he gave me permission

  16 to call his aunt and his mom. When I called his

  17 aunt, his mom and her live close together, and

  18 she actually came in the home.
- 19 Q. Okay. So did you speak to them?
- 20 A. I spoke to the aunt first and the mom second.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. He had already spoken to his mom.
- Q. Okay. And did you give the aunt the phone number for Prison Legal Services?

1 Α. Yes, I did. 2 And is that the end of your interviews with Q. 3 Mr. Craig Taylor? 4 That is the last time I talked to Craig Taylor Α. 5 over interviews, yes. 6 Q. Okay. 7 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners, do you have any more questions about these 8 four interviews? 9 10 MR. DEVEREUX: Sharon, when you say he 11 was crying, I mean, sobbing crying, tears 12 streaming down crying, or --13 He was not sobbing crying. At times when he's Α. 14 breathing heavily, when he's talking about the 15 details, I wouldn't describe it as sobbing 16 crying, I would describe it as tears running 17 down his face. But the breathing heavily I 18 would not describe as sobbing, per se. 19 MR. DEVEREUX: Do you all know about 20 any mental health history that --21 Yes, we do. We have actually a huge box, about 22 a copy size --23 MR. DEVEREUX: Of Craig? Yes; yes. We have all his mental health and 24 Α.

medical records. 1 2 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: We're going to 3 go through that. MR. DEVEREUX: Okay, great. That's 4 5 all I have. MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: I would ask 6 7 that Ms. Stellato be released from testimony? 8 JUDGE SUMNER: Thank you, ma'am. 9 10 (MS. STELLATO IS DISMISSED FROM THE 11 WITNESS STAND.) 12 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: All right. So last 13 night, Commissioners, you had some reading materials to go over, and one of the things that 14 15 was in there that is not on this slide, but was 16 just excerpts from the media coverage of this 17 case, and that was simply so you could see what 18 had been written about the ways that the victim 19 had been killed. Does anybody have any 20 questions about those? 21 (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.) 22 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: You also had 23 correspondence and phone calls, so let's talk 24 briefly about the correspondence. There was,

after the last interview that you just heard that Ms. Stellato conducted with Mr. Taylor, he wrote her a letter. You have that letter and you were able to read that last night. And she took some time and ultimately the Commission sat down and we responded to that letter. You have her response. And then he wrote her another letter and you have that one. And in that letter he says, tell Greg that I'm very sorry that he had to spend 16 years in prison for a crime he's never committed. I can't make up for the 16 years he's lost, but thanks to my confession he has a new start at freedom.

And you have the entirety of that letter that was provided to you last night. We never did respond. Ms. Stellato and no one from our Commission ever responded to that letter, so that's the last correspondence we have from him. As far as we know he has not followed up on that letter in anything that we've received.

Any questions about the letters?

(NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.)

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: The other thing that was in your reading packet was phone calls. As

Ms. Stellato testified, the telephone calls made out of the Department of Corrections by inmates are recorded. We were -- we made a motion and received a court order to obtain access to the recordings of those telephone calls starting with the time that we had begun interviewing him, and we could periodically get a CD sent to us of those phone calls from the Department of Corrections.

We were able to transcribe a number of the phone calls for you and you've got those and you went through those last night. I was going to play a portion of one of the phone calls for you, but we'll be happy to play any of the phone calls that you would like or that you would ask me to play. On the phone call made on August 1, 2009, the phone call to his mother, that was the portion that I was going to play for you. It will take me just a minute to get it queued up on here. If you would like to take just a couple of minutes while I get it queued up and be in recess for a few minutes, I'll have it queued up.

JUDGE SUMNER: We'll just sit here.

MR. BECTON: What date? Which one? 1 2 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: The one that we're 3 going to play is August 1, 2009. It's at the very bottom of page 2. We're not going to play 4 5 the whole thing unless you would like it. 6 August 1, 2009 phone call to his mother. 7 MR. DEVEREUX: Is that the one that starts at 7:16 p.m.? 9 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Yes, it starts at 10 I'm going to begin playing the 7:16 p.m. 11 recording at the very bottom of page 2 with the 12 line where his mother says, but don't you think 13 you did something like that you should have come 14 forward? And that's the line I'm going to start 15 with, and then unless anybody requests something 16 different, and I can play any phone calls that 17 vou would like. 18 (THEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS WENT OFF 19 THE RECORD WHILE THE AUDIO RECORDING WAS 20 PLAYED.) 21 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: All right. 22 you've already heard a little bit, we tried to 23 do as much factual corroboration as we could of 24 some of the things that Craig Taylor was talking

about or some of the other things that he mentioned. We did contact the Raleigh Police Department because he talked about that other murder, the murder of the possibly homeless man, and you already heard testimony about that. The Raleigh Police Department was very cooperative and tried very hard to help us find it. They were not able to find that. They just felt that they did not have enough location details at least at this time, so we don't know. That may or it may not exist.

Laurnette Perry said that she saw a similar car, a black Mustang, that the victim was regularly dropped off in in the early morning.

She did not know who drove it. Craig Taylor told us that he drove a black Mustang at the time of the murder.

We talked to a number of people and showed them pictures of Craig Taylor to see if they recognized him or did not. Many people did recognize him, were able to confirm that he was a drug dealer. Tanika Stewart, James Gist, Sharon Ricks, they all recognized him and confirmed that he was a drug dealer. Nobody

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

said anything about him particularly with the victim.

We received a court order to obtain copies of all of Mr. Taylor's medical records and psychological records from the Department of Corrections. We have a whole banker's box full of those. We did send all of the psychological records and at least portions of the medical records to an expert on the reliability of confessions, and he'll be coming in, and he did review all of those. The portions that appear to be at least the most significant, although I don't claim to be a psychologist and know exactly, but it did confirm his medical status. He talks to Ms. Stellato about his medical It did confirm that. It's hard to tell status. exactly what diagnosis he had and exactly when. He talks about the diagnosis changing. All we can tell from the records is he does have those diagnoses, but it's very hard to tell -- the records switch back and forth -- at the exact moment that he was diagnosed.

At one point in the medical records they talk about he tells the medical staff that he

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

wants to confess to two murders, and he tries to confess to two murders. And they, you know, they note that in the records but they don't contact any authorities about that.

His IQ was in the normal range. He -- the medical records and psychological records confirm that he does have anger control problems. They talk about him having aggression and having lots of anger, and he does have a number of different mental health diagnoses, but they vary. Sometimes they diagnose him one way and sometimes another way, so there is not anything that I can consistently say is a diagnosis across the board for the entire time that he is in prison, but they do come and go. At one point he is diagnosed paranoid schizophrenic, but then at a later time they rediagnose him as other things, so it's not something straight across the board. But they do a lot of medical and psychological evaluations of him. Anybody who wants to see any of those records, we've got the box. We'll pull through anything that you'd like. If you want to look at them during recess or if the

Commissioners want to take them back into a room and go through them, they certainly can.

we also consulted with the medical examiner. This is Dr. Radisch, who conducted the original autopsy report back in 1991. We talked with her about the possibility that a bat was used and a pocketknife. She's going to be here to testify today so I will let her answer those questions directly to you.

We talked to another expert on crime scene reconstruction as well and had him take a look at all the crime scene photos before we said anything to him about what Craig Taylor had told us. And same thing, we actually consulted with Dr. Radisch before we had ever met with Mr. Taylor, with Craig Taylor, and talked to her, and then re-consulted with her after meeting with Craig Taylor.

Another expert on crime scene reconstruction took a look at all the photos, gave us opinions, then we talked to him about the evidence from Craig Taylor. He will be here to testify today. He's actually next to testify.

And then we talked to an expert on the reliability of confessions, a law school professor from Northwestern, and he will be here today. He reviewed all of the files that had anything to do with Craig Taylor and most of the other factual parts of the files and those records, the psychological records that we talked about. And he was able to form an opinion and he'll be able to talk with you about that today and give you some thoughts on that.

And now I am ready to take a short recess and call the crime scene reconstruction expert.

JUDGE SUMNER: All right. At ease.

(THEREUPON, THERE WAS A SHORT RECESS.)

JUDGE SUMNER: Ms. Blinn, are you ready?

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: I am, your Honor, thank you. Before I call in Mr. McCann are there any questions any Commissioners have for me or anything thus far that we need to answer questions about? Did you have one, Mr. Devereux?

MR. DEVEREUX: Oh, I'm sorry, I did. The medical condition, is that going to come out of -- I don't want to go into a lot of detail, but he was HIV positive, is that --

1 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Uh-huh (yes). 2 MR. DEVEREUX: It has been confirmed? 3 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: That has been confirmed. We cannot tell the -- in the DOC 4 medical records they switch between HIV and AIDS 5 6 very frequently, so we can't tell at what point 7 that diagnose has changed, but they seem to use those words interchangeably. 8 9 MR. DEVEREUX: Okay. When he attempts --10 you mention that he attempted to discuss two homicides with the -- was it with the 11 12 correctional --13 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Why don't we just 14 find that one and see if we can --15 (Ms. Montgomery-Blinn confers with Ms. Stellato). I had previously prepared those to 16 17 hand out and forgot. Thank you, Ms. Stellato. 18 Ms. Wallace will pass that around. 19 MR. DEVEREUX: And then finally on the -- I 20 realize that the psychiatric records, 21 psychological records are extensive, but was 22 there medication prescribed for him? Do you 23 know that? 24 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Ms. Stellato can

answer that question.

MS. STELLATO: There was medication prescribed for him on several different occasions, on several different occasions, and normally it would go about a month and he would come back and he would not be taking his medication. So at all of the times that they diagnosed psychosis, he would not continue the medication at all, and that's why eventually he was diagnosed paranoid schizophrenic for approximately six years, but eventually they removed that diagnosis because he wasn't taking the medication.

MR. DEVEREUX: Right. But he was -- they did prescribe anti-psychotic medication and he took it for some period of time?

MS. STELLATO: Very often, yes.

MR. DEVEREUX: Sharon, they -- it was -- nothing developed out of this, this attempt to --

MS. STELLATO: No, sir, there is no remaining records indicating if they, if they contacted anyone or what the results of that was. It's not ever discussed here.

1 MR. BECTON: This is a 7/2/96 date? 2 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: May I see it? 3 MR. BECTON: Second page, I believe, is 7/2/96 or --4 Ms. Stellato: It is, yes. 6 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Any more questions 7 before we start talking about Mr. McCann? 8 (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.) 9 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: All right, I will be 10 presenting Mr. McCann or asking, I'll be asking 11 the Commission to consider him as an expert in 12 crime scene analysis. He also does some 13 criminal profiling work and that's really more based on behavioral theories and a less well 14 research science. It's usually used more during 15 16 an investigation as opposed to a trial, so I'm 17 not asking him to testify about that. Only one 18 time prior has he testified about psychological 19 conditions, and that was about rape trauma 20 syndrome. However, if the Commission decides 21 that they want to ask him about that and venture 22 into that ground, that is certainly fine with 23 I'm not planning to ask him about that. me. 24 I'll leave that up to the Commission if you

1 decide that you want to. I'm going to ask him specifically about findings that he made based 2 3 on the physical evidence that he was able to view, the photographs, the physical evidence of 4 5 things. You've had his CV handed out to you just 6 7 like for the other experts so that I can qualify him a little bit more quickly. And we are now 8 ready for Mr. McCann. 9 10 11 THEREUPON, 12 LARRY McCANN, 13 Having first been duly Sworn, was examined and 14 Testified as follows: 15 16 EXAMINATION BY MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: 17 All right. Mr. McCann, state your name for us 0. 18 and please spell it. 19 My name is Larry McCann, M-c-C-a-n-n. Α. 20 Q. All right. And where are you employed, 21 Mr. McCann? I am employed at the Academy Group, 22 Α. 23 Incorporated. 24 And tell us what the Academy Group is. Q.

- A. The Academy Group is composed of former FBI,
  U.S. Secret Service, and Virginia State Police
  criminal investigators and psychological
  profilers. It's a forensic behavioral science
  consulting firm.
- Q. Okay. And where is it located?
- 7 A. It's located in Manassas, Virginia.
  - Q. All right. Now, how long have you been working with the Academy Group?
- 10 A. Been there ten years.
- 11 Q. All right. Tell us first about your educational background.
  - A. I'm a 1970 graduate of Bridgewater College,
    bachelor's degree in psychology. Did some
    master's work at the American University in
    Washington, D.C. A graduate of the Northern
    Virginia Police Academy, the Virginia State
    Police Academy, the Virginia Forensic Science
    Academy, the Armed Forces Institute of
    Pathology, the basic forensic pathology, and the
    Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, advanced
    forensic pathology. A graduate of the FBI
    Police Fellowship, the blood spatter workshop at
    the Minnesota Forensic Science Laboratory, the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

- University of Virginia course in management of forensic and technical services, various FBI

  National Academy courses in interpersonal violence, death investigation, applied psychology. I also attended the University of Virginia School of Law in psychiatry and criminal law and I've attended countless assorted in-services over the years.
- Q. Okay. Now tell us more about your work experience. Were you with the Virginia State Police Department?
- 12 A. (Witness examines document.) Yes, I just don't
  13 want to leave anything out.
  - Q. Oh. Well, we've got your CV so highlights are okay.
    - A. All right. I was with the Virginia State Police for 26 years, worked as a special agent in violent crimes for 19 years, and I was in law enforcement for a total of 29 years before my retirement.
- Q. And since then you've been with the Academy
  Group?
- 23 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 24 Q. And with the Virginia State Police Department

- you've been with a number of different violent crimes groups within that?
- 3 A. Yes, ma'am.

5

21

22

- Q. Okay. And did you help develop some national guidelines for crime scene investigation?
- 6 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And is that something that is now currently used?
- 9 Let me get you the year on that one. Α. 10 1997 United States Attorney General Janet Reno 11 asked me to assist the U.S. Department of 12 Justice with a technical working group dealing 13 with the subject of crime scene investigation. 14 During the course of this involvement with the 15 Department of Justice I co-authored a book 16 titled "Crime Scene Investigation, a Guide For Law Enforcement." This was published in January 17 18 of 2000 and it established the national 19 guidelines for crime scene investigation in the United States. 20
  - Q. And have you been doing consultation work since then or is that what you do with the Academy Group?
- 24 A. That's what I do with the Academy Group, but

when I was with the State Police I was called 1 2 upon to assist various police departments around 3 the world, Canada, the UK, the Netherlands, Australia. I assisted them as they would call 4 5 upon me. Okay. And you consulted in over 2,000 homicide 6 Q. 7 cases? Yes, ma'am. 8 Α. Okay. You consulted in the Norfolk Four case Q. 10 recently in Virginia? 11 Yes, ma'am. Α. 12 And you have testified before in court? Q. 13 Yes, ma'am. Α. 14 And you've testified as a blood stain pattern Q. 15 analyst, a crime scene analyst, and a crime 16 scene analyst and reconstruction expert, is that 17 correct? 18 Yes, ma'am. Α. 19 It looks like a combined total of well over 20 Q. 20 times? 21 Α. Yes, ma'am. And that is both in state and federal court? 22 Q. 23 Α. Yes. 24 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: At this time,

your Honor, I would ask that the Commission 1 2 consider Mr. McCann as an expert in crime 3 scene analysis? 4 JUDGE SUMNER: He is deemed to be qualified. 5 Thank you. 6 Α. 7 Mr. McCann, did you review the case of State Q. versus Gregory Taylor on behalf of the Innocence 8 9 Inquiry Commission? Yes, ma'am, I did. 10 Α. 11 Q. How were you contacted in this case? 12 It was a telephone call to our headquarters from Α. 13 Michael Epperly, and then headquarters called me 14 and asked me to contact Michael, and I did. And we had numerous conversations and then various 15 materials I asked for and received and I 16 examined them. 17 Okay. You said you spoke to Mr. Epperly. Who 18 Q. 19 else have you spoken to about this case? 20 Α. I have spoken with you and with Sharon Stellato. 21 Okay. Those are the only people you've talked Q. 22 to about this case? 23 Yes, ma'am. Α. 24 Okay. And what is it that you were asked to do Q.

2

18

19

20

21

22

23

- by the Innocence Inquiry Commission in this case?
- 3 Well, I was asked to review the physical evidence and review it in light of some current 4 information, so what I did is I performed a two-5 phase examination of the evidence. The first 6 7 phase was a crime scene analysis and 8 reconstruction of the physical evidence, the 9 crime scene photographs, the autopsy report, the 10 laboratory reports, the police reports 11 concerning the crime scene search, area maps, 12 information about the victim. And then after I 13 had in my mind what happened, then I was 14 provided information -- well, you asked me 15 questions and I answered the questions, and then 16 later I was provided information from Craig 17 Taylor to compare to my conclusions.
  - Q. So before you even heard about Craig Taylor had you made conclusions and looked at the photographs and the autopsy, all those things you're talking about in the first phase?
  - A. Yes, ma'am, before I ever had any information from Craig Taylor.
    - Q. Okay. Now, at any time were you given any

- indication about a desired result of your review
  from the Commission staff?
  - A. No. My understanding is seeking the truth, which is what I feel my job is, is to seek the truth, and you weren't looking for anything in particular, just what happened and how does it compare to this statement.
  - Q. Did Commission staff ever talk to you and say, here's what we think happened?
- 10 | A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Did Commission staff ever talk to you and say, we hope you'll say this is what happened?
- 13 | A. Oh, no; no.
- 14 | Q. Did you --
- A. Matter of fact, I specifically said, if I write a report, you're not allowed to tell me what to say.
- 18 Q. Okay. And did anybody try to tell you what to say?
- 20 A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Did you ever get the impression or have any feeling that a decision on whether or not you would be called to testify at this hearing would be based on your report or what your

conclusions were?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

- A. No. I was told that whatever conclusions I reached, that I would be called to testify.
- Q. Okay. Were you actually told about the hearing and planning to come down before you had ever even reached any conclusions at all, before you had even reviewed the reports?
- A. Yes, ma'am. I had it penciled in before I ever received any materials.
- All right. So let's talk about that first phase 10 Q. 11 then of your review. This is before you knew 12 anything about what the Commission's 13 investigation detailed, you only had the police 14 investigation, the autopsy report, those 15 photographs. Will you list briefly for us your 16 conclusions that you made and then let's go 17 through each one in more detail?
  - A. Certainly. I reached seven conclusions: first of all, that the victim was beaten with a wooden two-by-four building stud; that the two stab wounds inflicted on the victim were perimortem.
  - Q. What does perimortem mean?
- A. Perimortem means around the time of death. And that she was beaten at the location in which she

was found. I also concluded that the offender would have the victim's blood on him, on the offender; the two-by-four would have had the victim's blood on it; and that the offender took the two-by-four when he left; that a small pocketknife inflicted the two stab wounds and would not have had much blood on it. The offender's vehicle would possibly have had the victim's blood on exterior panels and probably on interior surfaces. Another conclusion was that the Nissan Pathfinder was not involved in this incident. And the last conclusion was that the homicide was staged to look like a sexual assault had occurred.

- Q. Okay, let's go ahead and go through those conclusions now in a little bit more detail.
- A. Okay. The conclusion concerning that the victim was beaten with a two-by-four revolved around the shape and the size of the wounds. The shape and size of most of the wounds fit the configuration of a two-by-four. What I'm talking about here is parallel. Do you have another --

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: May I approach

1 the Witness, your Honor? 2 JUDGE SUMNER: Yes, certainly. 3 Thank you. Α. You're welcome, and I do have your photos if you 4 0. need them. 5 I will be looking at them. 6 7 Let me know when you're ready. Q. 8 If you look at a two-by-four, you see that the Α. 9 lines on a two-by-four, the edges of a two-by-10 four are parallel and they are slightly 11 radiused. They have -- they're not sharp, they 12 are not sharp edges. This two-by-four here, 13 it's even got more radius to it. The lines are 14 less distinct. So what I was seeing is parallel 15 lines with one-and-a-half inches between them. The short dimension of a two-by-four is one-and-16 17 a-half inches. The long dimension is three-anda-half inches. 18 19 Before I go any further, can I talk about laceration versus cut? 20 21 Please do. Q. 22 Α. Okay. In reading the autopsy report and in 23 talking about wounds to people, it's important 24 to understand the difference between a

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 laceration and a cut. A laceration is tearing 2 of the skin, it's tearing of the skin caused by 3 blunt trauma. If this were to strike me, the skin would stretch and stretch and stretch until 4 5 it could no longer stretch and then it would rip, it would tear. That's a laceration. 6 7 produces ragged wound edges, bruised and crushed margins, and bridging. This is one of the 8 9 important aspects of it. Bridging is -- the skin is, the skin is torn, but the vessels, the 10 11 nerves that go across that area are intact. 12 There may be undermining or a pushing up of 13 If I'm struck by an object and it comes in at this angle you can see where the skin 14 15 would bunch up indicating the directionality of 16 the force.

An incised wound is different. We usually think of incised wounds as cuts.

- Q. May I interrupt you really quickly and just -you might have said it. Is blunt force trauma
  something that can cause lacerations?
- A. Well, blunt force trauma does cause lacerations or can cause. It depends on how hard you're hit.

Q. Right.

- A. I mean, I'm not lacerating myself now, but if I was hit hard enough, certainly. It can cause laceration, blunt force trauma.
- Q. Okay, thank you.
- A. It's a tearing of the skin. Now, an incised wound or a cut is a clean division of the skin and the underlying tissues. It's a nice clean division so the margins, the edges of the wound are almost always free of damage and the lower structures are cut. So you can tell the difference by looking at the wound. If all the way to the base of the wound all of the structures are broken, are cut, we have a laceration, and if the margins are sharp and they are not crushed, they are not abraded, we have a cut. We have a cut.

When you're speaking about cuts and stabs, you need to know the difference between a cut and a stab. A cut is an incised wound on the skin that is longer on the surface than it is deep. A cut is longer on the surface than it is deep. A stab is deeper than it is longer on the surface. That's the difference. A stab is

deeper than wide. A cut is wider than deep.

Okay, so that's the difference between laceration and cut, and they are both described in the autopsy report. I thought it was important to talk about that.

How did I get the conclusion of a two-byfour? If we can look at autopsy photograph number 2.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners,
Mr. McCann has indicated he'd like to use a
number of photographs for his testimony.

Most of them were used at trial and are in
your report, but not all of them, and they
are not numbered in the way that he is
referring, so he has asked me to make them
available on the PowerPoint, and I'm going
to do that. They are very graphic. They
are the autopsy photographs.

- Q. And just let me know when you need me to change the --
- A. Certainly. In this photograph of the victim's back you can see the victim's head. We are looking at the victim's shoulder, the right shoulder here. We're going to talk about --

this is a distance indicator, two centimeters.

We're going to talk about these wounds right

here (indicating), and we're going to talk about

this cruciate, this cross-shaped wound right

here, and they are both on the victim's right

shoulder and right back. All right, can we go

to 2E, please?

- Q. Let me ask you just really quickly to clarify in the photo. The white patches of skin that you see, is that skin slippage?
- A. Yes. These white patches of skin, this is, this is called skin slippage. This is where the skin slips and falls off the body. It's early stage decomposition of the body.
- Q. It's not something that was inflicted in the murder?
  - A. No. This is caused because she was, the victim was at the scene in the sun. She was there too long and decomposition started. That's what causes this.
- 21 Q. Okay.
  - A. All right, now this photograph, this is the right shoulder, and I'm looking particularly at this, this area right here (indicating). Now,

that area right there is approximately three 1 centimeters in length, which is approximately an 2 3 inch-and-a-half, which is the short dimension of a two-by-four. Now, what we see here is we see 4 the edges of the weapon, the edges of the, what 5 6 I'm calling a two-by-four. We're seeing the 7 edges right here and right here. What we're also seeing is abrasion right here between the 8 9 two lines. This is a flat object impacting with the shoulder. This is not a rounded object. If 10 this were a rounded object such as this baseball 11 12 bat, what you would have is called tramlines, 13 like railroad tracks or a tram. You would have parallel lines with blanching between them with 14 a white area, a less abraded area between them. 15 what happens when the round surface strikes, it 16 17 forces the blood away from the center, so the 18 center now blanches but it leaves parallel 19 lines, tramlines. We don't see that in this 20 photograph. We don't see tramlines on our 21 victim anywhere, no tramlines, no blanching. 22 All right, the next one, please.

Q. (Ms. Montgomery-Blinn displays next photograph.)

23

24

A. This is her back. This is the right upper back,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α.

and this distance here is two centimeters. Two centimeters is approximately three-quarters of an inch. What we're seeing here is an object -- may I go up to the screen?

JUDGE SUMNER: Yes, sir.

What we're seeing here is an object that is coming in like this and puncturing the skin, and over here we have abrasion, we have a sharp edge, and we have undermining. The object, as it penetrates the skin, these areas of the skin now come up and rub against it and abrade, leaving this. What we have here is a line which is the back, which is one of the edges of the object, one of the edges of the two-by-four. what you've got here is this wound, this penetrating wound, perforating wound, is caused by an object striking like this with a sharp edge, with a sharp edge. And this undermining is caused because either the weapon moved a little bit or the victim moved a little bit and undermined the skin in that area.

We also have the sharp edge that I was talking about indicative of the two-by-four. We don't have any rounded edges. There are no

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- rounded edges on this wound. Okay, also talking -- well, talking about size here, the next photograph, please.
  - (Ms. Montgomery-Blinn displays next photograph.) 0.
  - The size of the wound gives you an indication of Α. the weapon. This laceration right here is oneand-a-half inches in length, gives you an indication of the size of the weapon that was used.
  - Mr. McCann, will you just explain what the 0. longest cut that goes across that head is just so that everybody understands?
  - These are lacerations. These are blows to Α. the victim. This is an artifact -- this is a cut. This is an artifact of the autopsy where the scalp is reflected so you can go inside the cranial vault and examine the brain and the underneath of the skull. So the vertical cut is exactly that, it's a cut, it's an artifact, didn't have anything to do with the assault on It's a result of the autopsy. this woman.
- 22 Thank you. Q.
- All right. And the next photograph, please. 23 Α.
  - Q. (Ms. Montgomery-Blinn displays next photograph.)

1 Α. One of my conclusions was that the stabs --2 there were two stab wounds to the victim -- that 3 the stabs were perimortem, right around the time of death, and that's because this stab wound 4 right here caused very little blood flow, very, 6 very little blood flow from this. Her blood pressure is down, it's just not pumping out of 7 8 this tiny little wound. 9 The next one -- before we go there, the 10 next photograph is -- I don't really know if you 11 want the camera on for this. It's full frontal of the victim. 12 13 Q. We had the camera away. 14 Okay, okay, just -- okay, that's fine. Α. 15 yeah. Let's just wait a minute, let the - the camera, 16 0. 17 I understand, is not filming the PowerPoint. 18 (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.) 19 Q. Okay, good, I'm getting confirmation. 20 Α. Okay. I just wanted to know your opinion. 21 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Ms. Batts, will 22 you just follow them out and make sure that 23 they're okay? 24 Are you ready for the next photograph, Q.

Mr. McCann?

A. Yes. The next photograph is an indicator that she was beaten right there at the scene, the multitude of the varying size blood stains all around her body and the, this discontinuous blood stain here from the hand and from the head, there's a gap in it right there which shows me that this hand has been, has moved quickly from that location to this location, and we can talk about that later if you wish. But there is this discontinuous bleeding pattern and there is this multitude of blood stains. You wouldn't have the fine blood staining around the body if she had been beaten somewhere else.

Okay, we can take that one off.

- Q. Okay. Are you done with the photos for a little while?
- A. For a few moments, yes.
- Q. Okay. I'm just going to go ahead and try to figure out how to turn the -- maybe.
  - A. Okay. Conclusion concerning the number of offenders, the offender or offenders would have been covered with blood. The large number of blood stains on the pavement immediately around

the victim and the nature of the powerful beating wounds that she received suggest a great likelihood that the offender or offenders would have the victim's blood on himself and his clothing. What happens is when blood pools and then it is struck, the blood shatters or spatters, is the term, and it goes in all directions. The photograph you will see in a few moments shows that, but we'll get to that in a moment.

Also about the two-by-four, it would have been covered with the victim's blood and the offender took it with him. It was not found at the scene. The dogs didn't even find it, so it was gone from the scene.

About the knife -- a knife was used -- it would have been small sized. The breast wound measures five-sixteenths of an inch. Well, this is your typical Swiss army knife that you can buy anywhere. This is the largest blade on this Swiss army knife and the maximum width of this blade is five-sixteenths of an inch. So this is just a tiny little knife that inflicted these two wounds, one five-sixteenths of an inch, one

three-eighths of an inch. Well, three-eighths of an inch is six-sixteenths of an inch, so all it would take was a little bit of movement and you've got the extra sixteenth of an inch. Just the sizes of those two stab wounds are indicative of a tiny little knife. The knife would have had very little blood on it and the offender took it with him. It wasn't found at the scene.

Now we can go to the next photograph, CCBI-188, please.

Q. That one?

A. There we go, that one. About the offender's vehicle, if the offender's vehicle would have been within about ten feet of this beating, there should, there should have been stains, blood stains on the exterior panel, panels of that vehicle. And there are blood stains.

Right here is one tiny blood stain that I can see in this photograph. I am sure there are others because if this one is over here, I know there's going to be some more in this area. You just can't see them in these photographs. You can see the ones that are close, but you don't

find these smaller ones in the photographs. So if that vehicle had been within ten feet of her, there's a high possibility, a high probability there would have been blood on the exterior of that vehicle. And since the victim -- excuse me, since the offender and the two-by-four both had blood on them, there is a very high probability that the inside of the offender's vehicle would have had blood in it. There should have been blood on the inside of the offender's vehicle because of the two-by-four having blood, the offender took the two-by-four, and the offenders got blood on them.

About the Nissan Pathfinder, I concluded that Pathfinder didn't have anything to do with this incident. The only blood was on the outside and can be explained by the vehicle driving through the victim's blood and splashing and casting blood onto the underside of that vehicle. There was no blood inside the Pathfinder even though the blood-covered two-by-four was taken from the scene, and there was no blood inside the vehicle even though the offender would have had blood on them. Also,

1 there was, from the photographs of that vehicle 2 at the area there seemed to be no attempt to extricate the vehicle. 3 The homicide final conclusion was that this 4 homicide was staged to look as if it involved a 6 sexual assault. would you like to wait to discuss that or talk Q. 8 about that part now? 9 We can wait to talk about that. Α. 10 Okay. All right. Are you done with the Q. 11 photographs right now or not? 12 Α. Well, there was --13 No, you're right, okay. Q. 14 -- another thing about a baseball bat. Α. 15 All right. So after you made these 0. conclusions -- these are the conclusions that 16 17 you made before I spoke to you about our 18 investigation, is that correct? 19 Α. That's right. The conclusions we've just talked 20 about were made before any information was 21 revealed to me concerning Craig Taylor. 22 Q. Okay. Then we gave you a list of questions 23 before we even told you about Craig Taylor, but

just asked you, you know, was the victim killed

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

at the scene or deposited there, those types of things. One of the questions that we asked you was could a baseball bat have been used to beat the victim. And your answer?

- A. My answer is no, a baseball bat could not have been used to beat this victim. The next photograph, 1719.
- Q. (Ms. Montgomery-Blinn displays the next photograph.)
- This is the inside of the victim's skull. This Α. area here is the right side of the victim's skull. I say no, a baseball bat could not have been used to beat the victim because the injuries show the victim was beaten by an object with parallel sides that form 90-degree angles and had radiused edges. This is a two-by-four. One dimension of the object was an inch-and-ahalf. If a baseball bat had been used to produce the depressed fracture of this victim's skull -- and you have to understand, skulls are very hard, very hard. And to push the skull in, to push the skull in like this -- this is coming at you -- to push this skull in like this would have taken a tremendous amount of energy.

- this is concave. If this were a baseball bat, 1 this would be convex. The skull would have been 3 pushed in and would have, would have taken on the shape of the object that hit it. But this 4 is concave. This is two blows to the head from 6 a sharp object. Okay.
  - Do you feel that that could have been made, that Q. particular injury could have been made by a twoby-four?
- 10 Yes. Α.

5

7

8

- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 Α. Yes; yes, I do.
- 13 Q. Are you done with that photograph?
- 14 Α. Well, I say it could be made from a two-by-four 15 because on the outside of the scalp are the 16 lacerations which produced the crushing injury 17 to the skull in the same place.
- 18 Yes, ma'am, I'm done with the baseball bat; 19 yes, ma'am.
- 20 Q. Okay. Are you completely done with the 21 photographs?
- 22 Yes; yes, ma'am. Α.
- 23 May I remove the photographs? 0.
- 24 Yes, ma'am. Α.

- Q. Thank you. If you need to re-refer to them, please just let me know.
- 3 A. Thank you.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. All right. Now, after the Commission talked to you about their investigation or after we explained our investigation to you and talked with you about some of the things that we had uncovered and the statements that Craig Taylor had made, did any of your conclusions change?
- A. Slightly. One conclusion slightly changed.

  Since there were two weapons involved, a two-byfour and a small knife, and especially since the
  knife was used right around the time of death, I
  was of the opinion that there was a possibility
  there were two offenders present because two
  weapons generally indicate two offenders.
- Q. And after we spoke with you about Craig Taylor did you -- what did you think then? Did your conclusions change or did they remain?
- A. Well, my conclusions confirm his information.
- Q. Okay. Are you of the opinion that there only could have been two offenders?
- A. There -- well, when you see two weapons, there's a likelihood of two offenders.

Q. Okay.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Yes, there could have been two offenders because we saw two weapons used. That's what we usually see.
  - Q. Okay. And does that refute what Craig Taylor stated to us, what we told you about what Craig
    - A. Well, that -- yeah. If Craig Taylor said -- I understand Craig Taylor said he was there by himself with the victim.
    - Q. And could that be consistent as well with the evidence, what he stated?
    - A. Yes, it could be consistent, especially because of his statement about the stab wounds. Yes, he could have done, he could have done the beating and done the stabbing himself, certainly.
  - Q. And is that because the stab wounds were not inflicted at the same time as the beating?
    - A. Right. The beating, the beating occurred, and right at the time of death, just before, just after, moments before or after, she was stabbed.
    - Q. Okay, all right, please go ahead. You just said a minute ago that your opinions confirmed some of the information from Craig Taylor. Can you

talk with us about that? 1 Certainly. My opinions confirm the information 2 Α. 3 from Craig Taylor as follows: that the victim was beaten at the location where she was found; 4 that the victim was beaten with a wooden bat, which is a slang term for two-by-four. 6 7 would you please talk about that a little bit Q. more? 8 It's my knowledge that a leftover piece of two-9 Α. by-four is sometimes on occasion referred to as 10 11 a bat. That's been my -- that's been -- just 12 from personal experience I've heard that. was that information provided to you by myself 13 Q. or any member of the Commission staff? 14 No -- oh, about Craig Taylor saying --15 Α. Did we suggest to you that a bat could also mean 16 Q. 17 a two-by-four? No; no. 18 Α. 19 Okay. Were we actually skeptical of that? Q. 20 Α. You were very skeptical of that, yes. 21 Okav. Please continue. Q. Okay. Craig Taylor stated he had the victim's 22 Α. 23 blood on him. Craig Taylor stated he took the 24 bat away from the scene. Craig Taylor mentioned

the stab wounds to the victim. Craig Taylor stated he made the scene look like a sexual assault and the Nissan Pathfinder had nothing to do with this incident. My conclusions really do not refute anything in his statement, but there is an inconsistency in that he uses the word bat and the weapon that was used was a two-by-four. But again, my knowledge is that that's an occasional slang term for a leftover piece of two-by-four.

- 11 Q. Is it your understanding that Craig Taylor said 12 bat and not baseball bat?
- 13 A. That's my understanding, that he used the word bat, yes, ma'am.
  - Q. But did not actually say baseball bat?
- 16 A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. All right. Now, you've talked to us a little bit about that you felt that the scene looked like it was staged or that there were elements of staging. Can you talk with us just about the physical elements of staging, what you saw in those photographs that historically have been concluded or others have talked about staging?

Α.

It's a crime scene in which someone, most often the offender, arranges the scene or commits certain acts to have the scene convey a motivational intent other than the original motive. It's an effort to mislead the investigators. And when this is done, the people that do it do it with their understanding and the way they think a, let's say, sexual assault should appear. But I've seen so many of these that you can, you can see the clues.

And what I saw here, the elements of staging that I saw here, the elements of making this look like something other than it was, was her partial nudity. The victim's slacks and panties were lowered to expose her pubic region, but not sufficiently low to permit penetration. Her clothing was pulled down and the bra was pushed up, but it was not removed. The slacks were not removed. The panties were not removed. The blouse was open and the bra was askew. And one other sign of staging is her left arm, her left arm is in symmetry with the right arm, and you can see where it's skipped where it was

bleeding, bleeding, bleeding, and then it moves a little bit. And there was what could have been finger marks on her wrist, as if somebody had picked her up, picked her hand up and then moved it so that now her body is in a symmetrical position. Those are the physical evidence pieces of staging that I saw.

- Q. All right. Mr. McCann, what about -- you had talked to me at one point, when you look at the photograph from her head all the way down, that her legs are not in perfect line straight up, that they are shifted to the side. What does that indicate to you?
- A. Her legs, as you look from if you were standing in the cul-de-sac at her head and you looked down the long axis of her body, her legs were a little askew, a bit askew to her left. This is somebody either dragging the body -- and there were other indications that the body was dragged because the blouse was bunched up behind her head -- it's an indication that someone was pulling her pants, dragging her body, and then just dropped her right there. She doesn't -- she didn't push her legs over like that,

somebody else did that. 1 And you're saying that is consistent with the 2 Q. 3 staging as they were pulling the pants down, that the body would have been dragged some short 4 distance? 5 There is a possibility that the body would have 6 Α. 7 been moved a short distance as the pants were being pulled down, yes. 8 9 Q. Okay. MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioner 10 questions? 11 MR. KENERLY: Mr. McCann, talk to me 12 about the relationship between this scene 13 14 being staged versus a crime committed in a 15 rage by someone that says they blacked out. Staging seems premeditated --16 17 Q. Staging --MR. KENERLY: -- and cold-blooded and 18 19 not the act of somebody in a rage to me, 20 but you're the expert. 21 Yes, staging is premeditated. It's a thought to Α. make it look like something else. And in a rage 22 you don't remember things. I mean, you're mad, 23 24 but you don't remember things sometimes in a

rage. So I'm not putting it beyond the realm of possibility that it was, that there was a little bit of premeditation, but that it was forgotten. But you're exactly right in that premeditation is involved when you stage a scene, when you rearrange it to make it look like something else.

Q. Is it -- I'm sorry.

MR. KENERLY: The -- what you described as drag marks -- and I'm sorry, I can't quote the autopsy report for the length of those -- but my recollection is they would be more, seemed to me, at least, to be more extensive than what you've described, that is, what would be involved in pulling her slacks down.

A. The blouse had ridden up her back and was, a lot of it was bunched behind her neck, and there were some parallel abrasions on the left shoulder that didn't appear to have been inflicted by any weapon, appeared to be more dragging on the pavement. But the distance which she was possibly dragged would have been a very, very short distance, maybe a foot at the

maximum.

MR. KENERLY: And the weight of her body alone you think would cause the drag marks?

A. The marks on her shoulder could have been in the scuffle. I mean, she defended herself. She didn't go peacefully. This lady defended herself. You can see the defensive injuries to her arms. When you see -- well, it was the left hand, I believe, that had the tremendous laceration between the fingers and the lacerations on the arms. These are defense wounds. She's trying to defend herself. And the wound, the abrasions, the parallel abrasions on the left shoulder could be a function of squirming or a function of dragging.

MR. DEVEREUX: Mr. McCann, can you say anything at all about the origin of the two-by-four? I mean, is there any reason to believe that it was available at the scene, just something spontaneously picked up? That's probably a hard -- it is a hard question.

A. Well, no, what you usually see is if you plan,

if you have a plan to do something, you take 1 your weapon with you, but if you don't have a 2 3 plan then you use whatever is available. That's why you see so many people beaten with fists and 4 5 stomped, is because there is no plan and they use what is available. If there is a 6 7 screwdriver laying on the floor of the vehicle, a screwdriver will be used, whatever is 8 available. And it seems to me in this instance 9 that there was a little piece of two-by-four 10 11 available, I mean, longer than this 12 (indicating), but I don't know how long. 13 enough that whoever was swinging that two-byfour could get a lot of force into it. 14 15 MR. DEVEREUX: You have examined the, all the photos, a number of photographs of 16 the scene --17 18 Yes. sir. 19 MR. DEVEREUX: -- with an eye toward, 20 after reaching these conclusions. Was 21 there lumber laying around anywhere? I 22 mean, I wasn't looking for that when I looked at them. 23 24 I didn't see any. I didn't see any, so my Α.

thought would be that it was just something in 1 2 the offender's vehicle that just happened to 3 have. But, you know, it's -- well, I didn't see 4 any in the scene, no, sir. 5 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioner has a couple. 6 7 MR. BECTON: Mr. McCann, when you said 8 that any vehicle within ten feet of the 9 beating would have had blood on it, you 10 meant if it were parked there at the time 11 of the beating? 12 Yes, yes, excuse me. That's what I meant. Α. Ιf there were a vehicle parked within ten feet of 13 14 this lady when she was beaten, there should have 15 been some blood on the exterior surfaces of that 16 vehicle. Not necessarily a lot, but if you look 17 for it, it should have been there. 18 MR. BECTON: Did you do any 19 examination or review any reports of what 20 may have been blood underneath, on the bottom of the Nissan? 21 22 Yes, sir. Yes, sir, I did see some reports Α. 23 about blood underneath the wheel well and on the 24 frame of the Nissan. Yes, sir, I did see those,

and that's consistent with splashing, spattering 1 blood, and consistent with blood being on a tire 2 and being cast off as the tire rotates. 3 But this vehicle -- do 4 MR. BECTON: vou know whether that vehicle -- the 5 vehicle was still there the next morning, 6 7 right? 8 Yes, sir. The area --Α. 9 MR. BECTON: So if the vehicle got blood on it from the scene, it was before 10 11 getting stuck? 12 Yes. But what I'm saying is if a vehicle was Α. 13 parked within ten feet, was parked within ten feet of this beating, there should have been 14 blood on exterior surfaces. My understanding 15 16 from reading the reports concerning the Nissan 17 is that the blood is underneath. It's on the frame and it's up in one of the wheel wells. 18 MR. BECTON: We don't know if that is 19 20 human blood or not. But I'm saying if it's 21 human blood from the scene, that would have 22 had to have gotten there before the vehicle 23 got stuck? 24 Α. Yes; yes, sir. Yes.

- Q. Mr. McCann, you talked just a minute ago about the premeditation required in staging a scene.

  Could that come -- the staging happened after the beating or at least after some of the beating, is that what you believe?
- A. That's when the staging would have occurred, not before the beating for sure but, you know, it could have been afterward or as an afterthought, as an afterthought to this incident.
- 10 Q. Okay. So after the rage of the beating, the staging happens?
- 12 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

20

21

- Q. If you can say it. If you can't --
- A. Well, it depends on the blood on her clothing,
  and my understanding is that the blood on the
  victim's pants was on the inside of the
  clothing, which that's hard to explain unless it
  came from the offender dripping her blood on her
  clothing as the clothing was pulled off.
  - Q. Or what about if somebody did the beating, left, and then returned, would that also be consistent with staging?
- 23 A. Oh, coming back to the scene --
- 24 Q. Uh-huh (yes).

- 1 A. -- as an afterthought and doing this?
- 2 | Q. Uh-huh (yes).
- 3 A. Yeah, that's -- staging could occur then.
- Q. Okay. And then you mentioned the wound that's here on her fingers.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Is that a laceration or a cut?
- 8 A. That's a laceration.
- 9 Q. Was that caused by a knife or a blunt force object?
- 11 | A. That was caused by blunt force.
- Q. Okay. So this is actually a tearing of the fingers apart, not a cutting?
- 14 | A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. If you look at the wound you can see it has all
- the indications of a laceration. The edges are
- abraded, the edges are rough. This is not a
- cut. This is a defensive wound. She's throwing
- 20 her hands up, she's throwing her arms up and she
- 21 is struck.
- 22 | Q. Okay. But it's not that a knife is going
- between her fingers. It's an object such as a
- two-by-four or something like that?

1	Α.	Yes, ma'am.
2		MR. BECTON: Would the infliction of
3		the wounds that you have described from the
4		weapon, in your opinion, was used, would
5		that have caused a noise?
6	Α.	No.
7		MR. BECTON: Not even a thumping
8		noise?
9	Α.	You wouldn't hear it 50 feet away. You might
10		hear the skull crack, but probably not.
11		MR. BECTON: Well, that's my only
12		question. If you are in a truck that is
13		stuck where this truck was, could you have
14		heard the blows that likely inflicted the
15		wounds that you have described?
16	Α.	No. That was, that was I don't know how far
17		away it was, but it was a hundred yards, two
18		hundred yards away, from looking at the
19		photographs. I don't have a scale there. But
20		no, you wouldn't have heard them.
21		MR. BECTON: Not even at night when
22	Α.	No.
23		MR. BECTON: sound travels?
24	Α.	Not unless she was screaming or there was an

argument. You might have heard that but, no, 1 2 you wouldn't have heard this beating. 3 MR. BECTON: Okay. 4 Α. Huh-uh (no). 5 Q. But you could have heard her screaming if she 6 was? 7 MR. BECTON: May have. 8 May have. 0. 9 Α. In that distance you may have, sure. 10 MR. DEVEREUX: The only knife wounds 11 then are the two stabs in the breast area? 12 There is one stab in the right breast and there Α. 13 is a stab to the right neck. Neither one of 14 them caused -- I couldn't even find in the 15 autopsy photographs the neck wound. I mean, we 16 looked. The investigators were on the phone and 17 we were looking and we might have found it, but 18 I'm not convinced we ever even found it in the 19 complex wounds that were on her neck. But those 20 are the only two stab wounds. 21 MR. DEVEREUX: And because the one 22 that you showed us the slide of is 23 perimortem --24 Yes, sir. Α.

```
1
                     MR. DEVEREUX: -- that is consistent
 2
                with the beating occurring, resulting in
 3
                loss of blood pressure, followed by the
 4
                stabbing?
 5
           Yes, sir.
      Α.
 6
                     MR. DEVEREUX: So that one person
 7
                could have done it. It's not a
                simultaneous attack by two people
 8
 9
                necessarily, but could just as well have
10
                been one person doing both in sequence?
11
           Yes, sir, could have been.
      Α.
12
                     MR. DEVEREUX: The bat notion, I
13
                understand there's skepticism about that.
14
                Did you listen to the tape --
15
      Α.
           No, sir.
16
                     MR. DEVEREUX: -- of Taylor?
17
           No, sir, I didn't.
      Α.
18
                   MR. DEVEREUX: It's hard to
19
                understand. It's not as clear as it
20
                appears on the transcript.
21
           No, I didn't listen to it. I was provided
      Α.
22
           with -- we just talked about it on the phone.
23
                     MR. DEVEREUX: Okay. How significant
24
                -- I've seen weapons -- well, just let me
```

ask this as a question, not an assertion.

People fashion clubs out of all sorts of things. Have you seen two-by-fours that have been altered into a weapon, more of a weapon?

A. No, I don't recall that I have seen that. No, I don't recall.

MR. DEVEREUX: Okay. I mean, what caused you to -- where did you hear that a two-by-four has been called a bat?

A. From my father-in-law, who is a carpenter. I can remember working with him years ago and he said, hey, hand me that bat. And I'm looking around and there was a little piece of two-by-four he just wanted. He needed a little short piece of two-by-four for something we were building. So I've heard it from him. I've heard it from another fellow that does some carpentry on occasion. But I asked two people that are now in the carpentry business and they had not heard this, so it's Eastern Shore Maryland slang, maybe, but not -- nobody in the Richmond, Virginia area where I live had heard this phrase, this word, this slang term.

1 MR. BECTON: Do you know what a two-2 by-four is called in Jamaica? 3 MR. DEVEREUX: Bats? 4 MR. BECTON: I'm just asking. 5 In Jamaica? In Trinidad a small piece of two-Α. by-four is called a bat. 6 7 Mr. McCann, can you reference that? 0. 8 Α. I found that on the Internet and I can't give 9 you the site but, you know, I don't hold a whole lot of credence in everything I find on the 10 Internet, but I did find that. But Jamaica, no, 11 I don't know. Trinidad, that's what it said. 12 13 MR. DEVEREUX: A cricket bat has a 14 square head, is a bat, is more like a two-15 by-four than a round bat. 16 MR. JENKINS: May I ask a question? 17 I'm not sure -- you mentioned that we 18 wanted to go into the behavioral part of 19 this, the witness or the witness 20 testifying, but does the stab wounds and the location where the stab wounds are tell 21 22 you anything at all about the offender? 23 Α. Do you want to go into the behavioral side of 24 it?

I think it --1 MR. JENKINS: 2 JUDGE SUMNER: I think it would be an 3 appropriate question. You may answer that. 4 Α. Okay, thank you, sir. If you really want to finish somebody off, if you really want to finalize the death of someone that has still got 6 7 some little pulse, you can stab them in the heart or you can stab them in the neck. A stab 8 to the right breast, that doesn't, that doesn't 9 10 stop the heart from beating. But when you start 11 to stab the neck, yeah, there is a high 12 possibility that a stab wound to the neck could 13 kill someone, could finalize this person's life. 14 Mr. McCann, was the victim's throat cut? Q. 15 It was a small, a very small stab wound. Α. No. On the side of the neck? 16 Q. 17 Α. On the side of the neck, as is indicated in the 18 autopsy diagram, neck wound of three-eighths of 19 an inch. 20 what about the wounds that were right here, all Q. 21 the wounds here (indicating)? 22 Those were -- that was blunt force trauma. That Α. 23 was blunt force trauma from an object with 24 radiused edges like a two-by-four.

- Q. How confident are you that somebody did not take a knife and cut her throat?
- A. Well, I'm pretty confident in this. These wounds, they had abraded edges. The wounds to her neck had abraded edges. These wounds -- this is a very complex wound to her neck. I mean, it's many, many blows, but these are blows to the neck. This is not a cut.

MR. BECTON: Could you tell if the person was standing or lying on the ground at the time the blows to the neck were struck?

- A. No, I couldn't tell that. The --
  - MR. BECTON: Nothing about the angle suggested upward motion or downward motion or --
- A. The laceration of the chin, that one, the laceration to the chin came in at such a strange angle that it looked more to me like the victim was lying down. But after one or two of those blows, I'm sure the victim would have been lying down if she wasn't already down because the whole, the whole, the entire neck area was just crushed. It was crushed, as a matter of fact.

1		The autopsy report says it was crushed and not
2		cut.
3		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: More
4		Commissioner questions? Okay. At this
5		time
6		MR. BECTON: I have a question.
7		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Oh, yes,
8		please.
9		MR. BECTON: From your review of the
10		scene or the photographs or whatever you
11		reviewed, is there any evidence of any tire
12		tracks making a circle around the body?
13	Α.	There were some, a tire track, segments of tire
14		track that were developed using Luminol, which
15		just makes the blood fluoresce in the dark. You
16		can see it in the dark. And the sketch, if I
17		can look at the sketch again, do you mind if I
18		take a
19		MR. JENKINS: Yes, sir.
20		MR. BECTON: Please.
21	Α.	Okay. I have a sketch and I can see where
22		now, these numbers on the sketch do you have
23		this, sir?
24		MR. BECTON: Yes, we do.

These numbers on the sketch are 1 Okav. Α. discontinuous portions of tire track that 2 3 fluoresced using Luminol, so the conclusion was made in blood. And I can see where the pattern 4 is like this and it goes onto the dirt, the 6 gravel path that goes out to the billboard and 7 goes out to the area where the Nissan was stuck. 8 And the Nissan did indeed have blood underneath the wheel well and underneath on the frame of 9 10 the vehicle. So, yeah, it looks to me like the vehicle drove through here. 11 12 MR. BECTON: Does that mean the body was there at the time the Nissan drove 13 14 through there? 15 Yes; yes, it does. Α. Is that assuming that that is blood and human 16 Q. 17 blood? MR. BECTON: If the blood on the 18 19 Nissan is human blood. 20 Α. Well, yeah; yeah. Yes, sir. 21 MR. BECTON: And blood from the victim 22 at that scene? 23 Yes. Well, if it's her blood, then she was Α. there when the vehicle drove through it, yes. 24

Can you tell if the MR. BECTON: 1 2 tracks were there before a body was there? Is blood over the tracks or is tracks over 3 the blood? 4 Oh, I couldn't tell. What you're, I think what 5 Α. you're asking me is in the pool of blood was a 6 7 track, a vehicle, a tire mark seen? MR. BECTON: Right. 8 9 Α. I didn't see one. I did look and I did not -- I 10 was unable to see one. 11 MR. DEVEREUX: Mr. McCann, given the 12 mention of the wounds and the blood that 13 you can see or what is left of it that you 14 can see in the pictures taken the next 15 morning, if a vehicle has driven through 16 that, over her or through that, wouldn't 17 you expect to see a lot more blood in the 18 track than just a trace that shows up on Luminol? Wouldn't the tire have left a 19 20 much more pronounced bloody tread? 21 I don't know how long each of these is. I don't Α. 22 recall reading how long, the length of this, but 23 no, I wouldn't expect it to be very long, the track, the dotted line, so to speak. I wouldn't 24

expect each piece to be very long at all. 1 2 MR. DEVEREUX: But wouldn't you see 3 a -- I mean, assuming -- this is just a layman's notion, I suppose, but you have a 4 victim and you have considerable blood, it 5 looks like at least where her hand, in that 6 7 area. If a truck drives through that, it 8 seems like it would be a smear or a -- I 9 mean, it would be pretty clear that that's what had happened. 10 11 I looked for that, a tire mark in the blood Α. itself. 12 13 MR. DEVEREUX: Right. I looked for that and I couldn't find it, but I 14 Α. did look for that. 15 16 MR. DEVEREUX: Okay. The other thing is, if there is enough blood on the tires 17 18 to leave any sort of indication, even one 19 that is susceptible to Luminol detection --20 Yes, sir. Α. MR. DEVEREUX: -- would you not expect 21 a pronounced blood on the tires still? 22 23 realize it drove through the sand, apparently, but wouldn't you -- it would be 24

pretty clear -- wouldn't there be blood on 1 the tires? 2 No, not necessarily. I wouldn't expect that. 3 Α. It's the same as, well, it's analogous to 4 driving through a puddle of water that is gone 5 very quickly. 6 7 MR. DEVEREUX: Okay. 8 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: More 9 Commissioner questions? 10 (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.) 11 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: At this time, 12 your Honor, I would ask that Mr. McCann be 13 released from his subpoena? And I am able 14 to break in the presentation, if you'd like. 15 16 JUDGE SUMNER: Thank you very much, 17 Mr. McCann. Thank you. It's been an honor to appear before 18 19 this group. Thank you. 20 (MR. MCCANN IS DISMISSED FROM THE 21 WITNESS STAND.) 22 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Your Honor, I do next intend to call the medical examiner. Would 23 you like to break for lunch? 24

JUDGE SUMNER: Let's take about a five-1 2 minute break. 3 Do you want lunch or MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: do you want a five-minute break? 4 5 JUDGE SUMNER: His expected testimony is 6 how long -- her's, excuse me? 7 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Probably half an 8 hour, at least half an hour. 9 JUDGE SUMNER: Let's take an early lunch 10 then, 40 minutes. 11 (THEREUPON, THE LUNCHEON RECESS WAS 12 TAKEN.) 13 JUDGE SUMNER: We are back in session. 14 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Thank you, your Honor. One of the Commissioners asked me at the 15 16 recess if I would be able to provide the 17 Commission some photographs of the crime scene 18 area. We have a number of aerial surveillance 19 photographs that we collected and I think most of them are kind of just up in the area, but I 20 21 think I can try my best to show what it was that 22 you all were asking to look at. And this is 23 going to be a hard one to pass around, but I 24 will do my best, or maybe I'll walk around and

1 show it to you. (Ms. Montgomery-Blinn and 2 Mr. Becton display map for Commissioners.) 3 This is the cul-de-sac right there. This is Hammond Road up here. This is the entrance 4 and exit road from the cul-de-sac. And I've got 5 a couple more that I'll pass around that might 6 show it as well, too. 8 MR. DEVEREUX: Can you point out where the 9 Pathfinder ended up? 10 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: This is the outlet road right here, and this is the billboard up 11 12 here, so somewhere in that area. If you --13 MS. STELLATO: 14 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: I'm going to send 15 those around in just a minute, too. Well, this 16 picture is actually from -- isn't this picture 17 from --18 MS. STELLATO: 1988. 19 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: 1988. So this was a 20 topographic photo from 1988. They can reproduce 21 the old ones from 1988. 22 MS. CHILTON: I know Hammond Road is there. 23 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: This is Hammond 24 Road, this is Blount Street.

And the Pathfinder was to the 1 MR. JENKINS: 2 left, my left? 3 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: This is that dirt 4 outlet road right here that they are talking 5 Now, this road, I don't know that that 6 Sharon, will you come up here just was there. 7 to make sure I'm accurate? I would not want 8 to --9 (MS. STELLATO COMPLIES.) 10 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: And we've got a 11 little bit smaller one we're going to pass 12 around, but I think this puts them in context. 13 MS. PICKENS: Did you say this was from '88 14 or '98? 15 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: '88. 16 MS. PICKENS: '88, okay. 17 MS. STELLATO: So Blount Street, it's 18 actually pronounced Blount instead of Blount. 19 and it runs all the way up here, yes, as you 20 were saying. And then when it comes up here, we 21 have another map, it actually intersects and 22 then kind of turns over some railroad tracks, 23 right. So when you're driving back through 24 here, there's one cutoff road right here and

that was there at the time of the --1 2 MS. PICKENS: City Farm Road? 3 MS. STELLATO: Yes, exactly. And this is 4 pretty accurate to how it was in 1988 or 1991. 5 There was a trucking company right here. The 6 Purina plant you can kind of barely see down 7 here. This, I think, is something in the aerial 8 photo because that is not a path. It never was 9 that I am aware of. This is the little path 10 right here where the Pathfinder went. Over here 11 you can kind of see a billboard right there, and that's where it was. And then if you look at 12 13 these photos --14 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Thank you. 15 MS. STELLATO: -- with that, it kind of 16 shows you exactly where the truck is in 17 reference to Hammond Road. 18 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: The photo behind her 19 is just a closer up, we'll pass it around. You 20 can see the cul-de-sac, outlet road, Hammond 21 Road. 22 MR. DEVEREUX: Are we back on the record? 23 JUDGE SUMNER: We are. 24 MR. DEVEREUX: Can I -- is this a good time

to ask sort of a general question about that -
MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Sure. I'll try and
answer.

MR. DEVEREUX: Was that any kind of a hangout or is it a place that was frequented by people doing drugs or --

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: We asked the police officers that and they did testify a little bit about that at trial, that it was an industrial area, a warehouse area. There was a lot of debris in the cul-de-sac, beer cans, cigarettes, that kind of thing, no large-scale dumping is what they said. And then you asked the officers and what did they say during those interviews?

MS. STELLATO: That it could be an area that a prostitute would take someone or something like that, because after you go down that road and you get into the cul-de-sac, at that time it was very dark and there was nothing else there in the woods area. On the other side of Hammond there were project homes, Housing Authority homes all around that area. So if you ever look at the map, to the right is where E Street and all of the stuff took place, and

then on the other side of Hammond were project 1 2 areas as well as above it. So I think at that 3 time and still today it was somewhat of a known to be a drug using area. 4 5 MS. GREENLEE: (Inaudible.) 6 JUDGE SUMNER: Keep your voice up, 7 Ms. Greenlee. MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: You just have to say 8 9 it so everybody can hear. You can ask the 10 question and answer, just everybody needs to be 11 able to hear. 12 MS. GREENLEE: Oh, okay. I was just asking 13 is this the same road as this? MS. STELLATO: Yes. It doesn't look like 14 it because of the path, but it is. If you see 15 the turn in the path, this is where the 16 17 billboard was, and as we were talking about, 18 this is Hammond Road up here. 19 MR. BECTON: It looks like what you 20 said might be a path, looks like an 21 easement. You can see a transit on State's 22 Exhibit Number 1, so it looks like it's, 23 maybe it's cut low because it looks like an 24 easement, a utility easement.

Uh-huh (yes). 1 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: MR. BECTON: But it's cut low over there. 2 3 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Right. That makes 4 sense. 5 Kendra, is there lighting on MS. CHILTON: 6 that street? MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: What the officer 7 testified about at trial was that there was no 8 9 lighting right around the cul-de-sac, no street lights right there. There was lighting from the 10 11 billboard and then the buildings that were up 12 around had lighting in their parking lot, the 13 ones that you could see kind of up in that, 14 around there. And then once you start walking 15 down the street, on down Blount there is some lighting, but not in the, no physical lighting 16 right in the cul-de-sac. 17 18 Is that the information that the Commission 19 was looking for in relation to that question? 20 I think that satisfies. JUDGE SUMNER: 21 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: All right. The 22 state is ready to call Dr. Deborah Radisch and 23 we'll get her CV passed around for you all. 24 will just remind the Commission as she is coming

in, she was the original medical examiner that 1 2 did this autopsy report and testified at trial. 3 4 THEREUPON, 5 DEBORAH RADISCH, Having first been duly 6 7 Sworn, was examined and Testified as follows: 8 EXAMINATION BY MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: 9 What is your name? 10 Q. 11 Α. Dr. Deborah Radisch. Would you please spell your last name for us? 12 Q. R-a-d-i-s-c-h. 13 Α. 14 Thank you. Where are you employed, Dr. Radisch? Q. 15 I am employed at the Office of the Chief Medical Α. Examiner in Chapel Hill. 16 How long have you been working there? 17 0. 18 I've worked there for the majority of the past A . 19 25 years. Did you have any employment history 20 Q. 21 before that? 22 Not other than just residency training. Α. 23 Q. Okay. Well, during that time I did work in 24 Α. Excuse me.

- 1 private practice in Henderson, North Carolina.
- Q. What kind of doctor were you in private practice?
  - A. I was an anatomic and clinical pathologist.
- Q. Okay. Have you always been a pathologist for your whole career?
- 7 A. Yes.

- Q. Tell us about your educational background,please.
- 10 I graduated from the University of North Α. 11 Carolina at Chapel Hill in 1976 with a bachelor 12 of science degree in medical technology. attended and graduated from Bowman-Gray School 13 of Medicine of Wake Forest University, 14 15 graduating in 1980 with my medical doctor 16 degree. And then I pursued post-graduate 17 residency training in anatomic, clinical and 18 forensic pathology back at North Carolina Memorial Hospital and in the Office of the Chief 19 20 Medical Examiner in Chapel Hill.
  - Q. Okay. And have you been conducting autopsy examinations during your entire career?
- 23 A. Yes, I have.

21

22

Q. Okay. And have you testified before in court?

1 Α. Yes, I have. 2 About how many times do you think you have Q. testified? 3 Probably about 200 or so times. 4 Α. Q. Okay. And when you testify, do you usually 6 testify as an expert? Yes, I do. Α. And is that in the field of forensic pathology? 8 Q. 9 Yes, generally. Α. 10 Generally forensic pathology? Q. 11 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Your Honor, I 12 would ask that the Commission accept Dr. Radisch as an expert in the field of 13 14 forensic pathology? 15 JUDGE SUMNER: She is qualified, thank 16 you. 17 Dr. Radisch, do you know if you conducted an 0. 18 autopsy report on Jacquetta Thomas in 1991? Yes, I did. 19 Α. 20 Okay. And have you had an opportunity to look Q. 21 over that autopsy report and the accompanying 22 photographs? Yes, I have. 23 Α. 24 And do you remember testifying at trial or do Q.

you know if you testified at trial on that case? 1 I know that I did, and I just have faint 2 recollection. 3 4 Okay. Did you look over your trial testimony Q. transcript? Yes, I did. 6 Α. Okay. Now, you said you have a faint Q. recollection of the trial. Do you have any 8 recollection of conducting the autopsy report or 9 examination? 10 11 I do, some parts of it, yes. Α. 12 In looking at the autopsy report, the Q. photographs, and your testimony, does that help 13 refresh any of your recollection? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 In looking at all of those items, do you 0. 17 continue to believe that the diagnoses and the things that you found, your findings, were 18 19 correct? 20 Yes. Α. 21 Q. Do you have any reason to make any amendments, 22 changes or anything looking over the autopsy 23 report? 24 Α. No.

Okay. Were you contacted by somebody in the 1 Q. 2 Office of the North Carolina Innocence Inquiry Commission? 3 4 Α. Yes. And was that Ms. Sharon Stellato? 5 0. Yes, it was. 6 Α. And did you meet with her a couple of times? 7 Q. 8 Α. Yes. 9 And did she ask you to review your report and 0. 10 talk with her about it? 11 Α. Yes. 12 And did you do so? Q. 13 Yes, I did. Α. 14 Q. Okay. And looking at your report and the photographs, may I ask you a couple of 15 questions? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 Do you have your report with you? Q. 19 Yes, I do. Α. 20 Okay. And if you need any of your photographs, 0. 21 just let me know and I'll hand them up to you. 22 One of the things that was noted in the 23 photographs that, was that there were white patches on the victim's body. Can you tell us, 24

is that skin slippage?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- A. Yes, it is. Those are places where the law enforcement had placed adhesive stickers with a scale on it and had not removed them before the body was sent to our office, and probably because the body was outside in a warm environment and the skin started slipping, and when we removed many of those tags the skin came off with it.
- Q. So you are confident those are not part of the injuries that caused her death, that those happened later on as part of the autopsy report and the examination?
- 14 A. As part of the law enforcement investigation, 15 yes.
- 16 Q. Right, okay. One of the things that you noted
  17 in the autopsy report was that there was cocaine
  18 in the victim's body --
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. -- and you noted that the level of cocaine was 21 | potentially lethal?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Can you talk with us about that a little bit?
- 24 A. I can give you just some ballpark information.

The concentrations of cocaine and the metabolite benzoylecgonine together come to a .402 milligrams per deciliter. That's the same as a 4.02 milligrams per liter, which is how we record or report these levels today. In general, if you have no other competing cause of death, then a concentration over 1.0 would be considered or very well could be considered a lethal concentration.

- Q. Okay. And does that depend on somebody's tolerance and how long? I mean, does it depend on a lot of other factors as well?
- A. It depends on a lot of other factors with the decedent, and because of that, even smaller concentrations, lower concentrations could also be fatal. It's not a clear-cut cutoff. But that's more or less what we use to start, you know, in conjunction with the investigation and the autopsy report.
- Q. Does the amount of cocaine or anything about what you found in the cocaine give you any indications about how long prior to her death the victim had used cocaine?
- A. The fact that cocaine was still detectable in a

postmortem specimen would indicate that that would have happened close to the time of death. Cocaine will metabolize or break down into the body into several compounds, but benzoylecgonine is one of the main compounds. And so you could start off or you would start off with all cocaine, but it would eventually all go to metabolite and have zero cocaine and whatever amount of metabolite. We have both here, so it would imply -- I can't tell you. I can't give you an hours figure or anything --

12 | Q. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 13 A. -- but imply that that happened close to the time of death.
- 15 Q. Are you able to say, are we talking about 15 minutes or a matter of hours or --
- 17 A. I can't tell you that.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- A. And because there is also metabolism that takes place after death.
- Q. Okay. Now, can you say, is there any possibility that she died from a cocaine overdose and then the injuries were inflicted?
- 24 A. I don't believe so.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Okay. What was her cause of death?
  - A. Her cause of death was blunt trauma injuries of the neck and the head.
    - Q. Okay. Now, will you very briefly just tell us the difference between a laceration and a cut?
    - A laceration is an injury which is caused by a Α. blunt object, either by being struck by a blunt object or striking some part of your body against a blunt object, say a wall or a floor or a road or something like that. It's basically a tear. A stab wound or a sharp force injury is an injury that is caused by some sort of sharp force object like, with a cutting edge like a knife or a piece of glass or something that would have a sharp cutting edge. So the characteristics of the two wounds are different looking at their edges and other parts of the wounds, the skin around the wound, and then they imply that a different instrument was made in each case, different class of instrument.
    - Q. And you've said that these were, the bulk of these wounds were lacerations?
    - A. Most of them were lacerations, although there were some where it was difficult to say clearly

one or the other.

- Q. Okay. Were there also some stabbing wounds?
- A. There were some puncture wounds and some of what I called shallow incised wounds which could have been cut wounds, just very, like, say with the tip of a knife or something like that.
- Q. The injuries to the victim's throat and neck area, where types were those?
- A. The external injuries of the throat and neck had general characteristics of lacerations or tears, and the lacerations were, especially the one at the bottom of the chin and then these irregular ones across the front of the neck. There had been some drying of the skin edges so that made it difficult to assess. However, the injuries on the inside of the neck were more consistent with blunt force injuries. Her airway, the Adam's apple part of her airway was completely crushed and there was even a fracture of the spine, of the part of the spine right behind the airway.
- Q. Was her throat slit?
- A. It was -- it appeared to be cut, but again, there is an unusual overlap here. I see -- and

- it's hard to describe, but the characteristics
  of both. It wasn't, it wasn't what I have seen
  that would represent like a pure slit throat,
  you know. It wasn't a clean cut across her, the
  throat.
- Q. Like a knife being drawn across her throat, it was not consistent with that?
- 8 A. It had jagged edges and sort of irregular edges,9 not --
- 10 Q. So that is consistent with the tearing you describe, the blunt force?
- 12 A. It still looks like, in reviewing my report and
  13 reviewing the photographs, it's almost a
  14 combination. So then you start thinking about
  15 some type of object that might be sharp, but not
  16 really sharp, you know, maybe a dull knife or
  17 something like that.
- 18 Q. Okay. But the major wounds that you're talking about, those you're saying are tears?
- 20 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And then the smaller ones that are in the middle of the neck could potentially be a dull knife?
  - A. Yeah, fine cuts or something like that.

- Q. Okay. But the big, the big ones on the neck are the lacerations?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Okay. How about the injuries to the victim's head?
  - Α. On her head there were three lacerations, and I describe them, I believe, as sort of having sharp edges, but still lacerations with areas of abrasions or skin, just where the top layer or layers of skin have been scraped away along their edges. One -- well, there were two, but they were more or less in the same line, in the same plane on the, kind of towards the right side of the back of her head and then towards the right temple. Those two were separated by about an inch-and-a-half of intact scalp, and those injuries actually were associated internally with severe skull fractures of the skull of the right side of her head, again, sort of complex almost crushing fractures where small pieces of bone were even impacted into the brain underneath the fractures. And the sutures or the areas where the separate bones of the skull are knit together as we age, those were

separated by the force of whatever this blow was. There was an additional laceration sort of in front of and behind the ear extending onto the back of the right earlobe. Again, it almost had some -- it had -- it was -- I called it a laceration, but it had sharp edges for a laceration. And then I noted that, not specifically on her head, but there was a small puncture type wound of her neck, the right side of her neck.

- Q. On the right side?
- 12 | A. Yes, ma'am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. The time of death on the autopsy report, can you tell us about that, how you would arrive at that time of death?
- 16 A. I don't --
- 17 Q. Or I don't know if you have it.
- A. I don't put a time of death on the autopsy
  report. There might be a medical examiner
  investigation report which I don't believe I
  filled out.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 A. I didn't have a copy of that to review though.
- Q. Were you able to determine a time of death in

1 this case?

- A. Not just from doing the autopsy. That would be information taken from the investigation.
- Q. Okay. You said the -- okay, the investigation by the medical examiner. This was not filled out by you?
- A. I don't believe so. I think it was Dr. Spock.
- Q. Okay. Now tell us, how hard is it to determine a time of death or how accurate can that be?
- A. It's not, certainly not as accurate as portrayed on television and it has -- there are a lot of variables involved. You have to take into account the investigative information. For instance, you wouldn't want to look at a body and be misled and say somebody was dead at 10 o'clock and then later on you find out, you know, well, they were seen at 10 o'clock or at noon or whatever. So you have to take all the information into account.

The typical parameters that we might use for that sort of determination would be how stiff the body is, how warm the body is or how cool the body is, that types of things, but of course, those -- you encounter variables with

those based on the environment where the body is placed or is after death, whether or not the body has been refrigerated overnight when the pathologist sees the body. That's one reason why we wouldn't be in the best position to determine that. And even if things are ideal, there is still variation, probably hours variation one way or another sometimes in determining that. It's not a precise measurement.

- Q. So it's a very, very large window?
- 12 A. It certainly can be.
- Q. And do you think or do you remember talking to

  Ms. Stellato and saying it's really a six- to

  twelve-hour window?
- 16 A. It could be that much, yes.
  - Q. Okay. And you said -- which injury caused the victim's death, the head injuries or the neck injuries, if you know?
  - A. Either one of those injuries alone would have been sufficient to cause her death. I believe that they were inflicted at or near the same time and, because of that, I wouldn't want to pick one over the other, so I combined them in

1 this case.

2

3

4

5

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Okay. Now, do you have an opinion about whether or not a baseball bat could have inflicted the blunt force trauma injuries to the victim?
- A. In my opinion that's possible, yes.
- Q. Okay. What about a two-by-four or a piece of lumber?
- 8 A. That's possible.
  - Q. Okay. What about any other type of swung wooden object?
- 11 A. If it -- yes, if it's a heavy blunt object in, 12 you know --
  - Q. Can you opine anymore about the blunt force injuries? And if you can't, you can't. I don't want to push you or ask you to do more than you feel comfortable with.
  - A. Well, there is nothing specific on any of these injuries. There is not a pattern that would point to a particular instrument, you know, one over another. I would -- I mean, what you -- the two that you have suggested are both in the category of a heavy blunt instrument that could cause these types of injuries, especially, you know, depending on, you know, how they're, how

the body is struck and how, which part of the 1 2 instrument is applied to the body. 3 Q. Okay. So it would be something in that category. 4 Α. 5 doesn't narrow it down, though, to a particular instrument. 6 7 Q. Okay. 8 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: May I approach 9 the Witness, your Honor, and show her a 10 photograph? 11 JUDGE SUMNER: Yes. 12 And Dr. Radisch, I'm not asking you to show this Q. 13 photograph to the Commissioners, but just to 14 take a look at it and tell me if you do recognize it. 15 16 Yes, I do. Α. 17 What do you recognize it to be? Q. This is a photograph taken at the time of 18 Α. autopsy which shows the inside of the skull, the 19 20 base of the skull. So this is when the skull 21 cap is -- well, the scalp has been reflected 22 forwards and backwards. The skull cap has been removed and the brain has been removed and the 23

dura. This tough fibrous lining over the brain

- has been removed. And this is to demonstrate 1 the skull fractures. 2 3 Q. And can you see a large depression there in the 4 skull --5 I can --Α. 6 -- a sort of horseshoe-shaped depression? Q. 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. Okay. 9 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: May I approach 10 the Witness, your Honor? 11 JUDGE SUMNER: Yes, you may. 12 This -- is that a depression right there 0. 13 (indicating)? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. Okay. And what -- is that -- do you think that 16 was made by a blunt force trauma? 17 No, that's normal anatomy of the skull. Α. 18 Q. Okay. Can you tell us what normal anatomy of the skull means? 19 20 well, that's -- this is a depression in the base Α.
- A. Well, that's -- this is a depression in the base of the skull which would hold a sinus or a large, like a vein that carries blood around the brain. So this is just -- everybody would have one of these at the base of their skull.

So that is actually not what you -- you 1 Q. 2 don't believe that is an injury to the victim? That depression is not, but there are fractures 3 Α. 4 overlying it and to the right side of it that 5 are in this photograph. 6 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners, 7 do I need to put that photograph up so she 8 can show you which one she's pointing to or 9 not? 10 MR. DEVEREUX: That would probably be helpful. 11 12 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Okay. 13 going to put some autopsy photographs up. Dr. Radisch, I think if you will bear with me I 14 Q. 15 can put a photograph that we're talking about up 16 there. 17 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: I'd ask the 18 cameras to please pan away. 19 Is that the same photograph that I just handed Q. 20 you or does it appear to be an accurate 21 representation? 22 Yes, it does. Α. 23 Dr. Radisch, would you mind approaching the 0. screen and just pointing to me, because I am not 24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

clear on what you're talking about as normal and where the wounds are.

All right. Well, this, this is what you pointed Α. to and this is normal. This is -- it's a round, kind of rounded, just a depression in the skull. However, what we are trying to show in this photograph is this linear fracture here and all these small fractures. They are difficult to see at this resolution, but these are all small fractures on the right side of the skull on the head. And what is also shown here is -- again, it's kind of difficult to appreciate, but this is a fairly smooth edge here. It's a cut. This is the saw cut that we made. However, this is all irregular over here. This is where the suture or this, these places where the bones knit together -- they all start off, when you're baby you have soft spots and there are lots of different bones in the skull. They eventually knit together as we age. This just sort of came This is not a cut. This is a fracture, apart. I guess you would say along this weak spot that happened as a result of this trauma to the head.

1 part of --

2 | A. Okay.

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. -- what part of the skull?
- 4 A. Right.
- Q. I'm sure you instantly recognize it. However, I
  do not know where that would be on my own skull,
  if you can try to tell me.
  - A. Okay. What we have, this would be the back of the head here. The body is lying on the autopsy table, so this is the back of the head where I'm pointing on my head. This is the front, so where I'm pointing on my head, the forehead.

    This is the scalp. So first of all, the scalp has to be cut and then peeled forward and peeled back.
  - Q. Okay.
    - A. Then the saw cuts from basically across the front here and across the back here. So then you can pick up this piece of bone like what people would call a skull cap, remove that. Then there is dura overlying the brain. That is cut. The brain is removed. And then there is dura that also lines the base of the skull, so now what we're seeing is the base of the skull

with the dura removed. This is the foramen 1 2 magnum. This is where the spinal cord goes from 3 the brain down to the rest of the spinal cord 4 through the spine in the back. So this is the 5 back. So --6 Q. Okay. 7 Α. -- it's hard to tell 3-D, but it comes up under, 8 kind of under your ears. This is the part that 9 is around over your ears and this is the part 10 that is over your eyes at the base of the skull. 11 Okay. Thank you. You may sit down, thank you. Q. 12 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners, 13 I'd like to remove this photograph. Do you 14 have any questions about it before I do? 15 (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.) 16 0. All right. So the other injuries that you talk about, the puncture wounds, the -- I'm not sure 17 18 if you call them stab wounds, the puncture 19 wounds I think is what you said. Do you have 20 any opinion about what kind of instrument could 21 have caused those? 22 Those generally would be some sort of sharp Α. 23 force injury, although just like, just to be

complete, you know, a puncture wound, I don't

know why I thought of this, but the first -- you know, if somebody stuck a paperclip in you and made a puncture wound, or anything, a nail, something like that. But these, you know, most of the time in our experience or in my experience these are caused by like the tip of a knife, you know. So you can use a knife to stab in, puncture, slice, shallowly cut, that sort of thing.

- Q. Do you think they could be consistent with a pocketknife?
- A. Yes, with a tip on it, yes. That would actually travel through the skin.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioner questions?

MR. KENERLY: Dr. Radisch, good to see you again. The cocaine that Ms. Thomas had in her system, do you have any opinion on what effect that would have had on her mental or physical faculties before she was attacked?

A. I don't have an opinion. I don't know how any level of cocaine would have affected this particular person.

MR. DEVEREUX: Dr. Radisch, a previous witness has told us that he rules out a baseball bat in favor of a two-by-four, given those two choices, because of what he described as the parallelism of the wounds, lacerations, laceration wounds. And he was also able to correlate the wounds to the, what he described as the radiused edges of a two-by-four, the rounded edges of a two-by-four. Are you seeing that in the pictures that you've --

A. Well, I haven't done a one-to-one comparison or tried one or the other. I would believe that, again, you know, it depends on where the force is applied, you know, applying it. I'm sure whoever this was explained that this was, you know, the, the skull, obviously the head is rounded so that the, even the two lacerations on the right side of the head could clearly, I believe, were caused by one blow. But I think that would also depend on which part of the -- you know, if you said it were -- if you say it was a baseball bat, which part of the bat actually struck the head, because it's wider at

the end and then it tapers down. You might get 1 2 an area where it tapers that would match up to 3 the edge of a two-by-four. So --4 MR. DEVEREUX: Are you seeing -- as I 5 understood it, he also described -- and it was the parallelism, I quess, as you could 6 7 detect the effect of edges that were 8 parallel as opposed to a taper on a bat. 9 Is there anything about those pictures that 10 makes you think that the straight edges, 11 that you can see the imprint of the 12 straight edges? 13 I'm not sure I understand what parallel we're Α. 14 talking about in this case. Maybe I'm not clear 15 on that. 16 MR. DEVEREUX: Kendra, do you remember 17 the first picture that was shown? MR. KENERLY: The injury to her right 18 shoulder. 19 20 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: I can put the 21 PowerPoint, that PowerPoint up in 22 particular and let the Commissioners ask 23 questions, those pictures that were used 24 earlier. Is that what you'd like to see?

Yeah. MR. DEVEREUX: 1 2 MR. KENERLY: Yes. 3 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Ms. Thomas, I'm going to put some more 4 5 photos up. And I'll just, I'll stand here and move the photos as you all ask me to, 6 7 Commissioners. MR. DEVEREUX: Is there anything about 8 9 those wounds that make you, that incline you toward a two-by-four as opposed to a 10 bat, baseball bat? 11 No, although, again, I think, in reviewing this 12 Α. 13 photograph, I'm not even sure that the -- again, I'm not even sure that the wound to the right of 14 the label -- this is upside down so it's hard 15 16 for me to see, but to our right of the label, 17 below the one that is cross-shaped, I'm not even sure -- that may or may not be blunt force 18 I think it's very difficult to tell. 19 injury. 20 That could have some cutting characteristics. So that might not --21 MR. BECTON: Kendra, the closeup is 22 23 2E; E. MR. DEVEREUX: Well, that's the one --24

that's the cruciate.

MR. BECTON: That's the one she just finished talking about. The one before that --

MR. KENERLY: Yeah.

A. To me, now, if we're just taking this particular one in isolation and saying do you think this one would have been caused by a baseball bat, I would have said, I would find something else. You know, we do have — this is basically an abrasion. This is an abrasion. And whether it's caused by the rough edge of some type of board or even scraping along a rough surface, I don't know that I could get any more specific than that. But if you were just to say, we think this was caused by one blow of a baseball bat, it would, I wouldn't say that that would be consistent with that.

MR. DEVEREUX: The other witness also described a phenomenon that he termed bunching which, again, my understanding is not very sophisticated, but I took it that that is indicative of a straight edge in the sense that it will push the skin or

push the tissue in a way that a rounded 1 2 edge would not and it would leave evidence of that. Are you seeing any of that? 3 Well, I'm not --4 Α. 5 MR. DEVEREUX: Not necessarily in that 6 photograph, but -- well, start with that 7 one, I quess. 8 Α. Well, I'm not familiar with that term, but I 9 think I know from your description what you 10 mean. But the, basically that the edge would 11 catch on the skin and kind of pile the skin up 12 ahead of it. 13 MR. DEVEREUX: Right, that's how I understood it. 14 15 I don't know that I would -- you know, on this Α. one I don't know that I could say that 16 17 specifically. 18 MR. DEVEREUX: But that, but there are 19 characteristics of that one that incline 20 you toward a straight edge rather than a 21 bat, rather than a rounded edge? If it's even inflicted by an object, yes, more 22 Α. 23 likely than a bat, yes. 24 Dr. Radisch, did you testify or talk to somebody 0.

at one point about potentially thinking that was road rash or caused by scraping along the pavement?

- A. I believe that was in my trial testimony, yes.
- Q. And do you still think that that's a possibility for this?
- A. I think it could be a possibility. It's an abrasion so it's just in the area where the top layer or layers of skin have been scraped off.

  In this there appears that there could be a rectangular pattern to it on the bottom edge of it, but whether that is from, you know, the actual object itself or whether it's from this bunching, I can't tell that.

MR. DEVEREUX: In the autopsy picture of the brain itself there was discussion about the characteristics of a bat, in other words, that there would be some convexity or concavity left by the rounded edge of a bat. You would see a different pattern, presumably, with a more straight edge. Is there enough there for you to distinguish between the two in that --

A. In my experience, I mean, I've seen people who I

know have been struck with baseball bats and 1 2 there is nothing that is going to give a clear-3 cut necessary convexity to the skull fracture or injury. It's -- because you still are, you're 4 5 still dealing with a relatively broad surface. Even though there is a curve to it, it's not a 6 7 sharp curve, it's not a gentle curve, and so it doesn't necessarily leave a pattern. 8 9 MS. STELLATO: Dr. Radisch, would it help you to look at a baseball bat? 10 11 Α. No. Would it? I mean, if it would help you, I'll be 12 0. 13 more than happy to hand you one. 14 MR. DEVEREUX: It would help me. 15 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: It would help 16 you? All right. May I approach the 17 witness, your Honor? 18 JUDGE SUMNER: You may. 19 We'll find out. Α. We have some objects here that, if they help 20 Q. 21 illustrate your testimony, please feel free to 22 use them. 23 Well, for instance, I mean, this is a gentle Α. 24 curve and, you know, I mean, there is not a lot

of difference between, you know, that, this and 1 2 this as far as the width goes. So if you just 3 hit it glancing on the side of your head, I mean, if you're just hitting it, you know, 4 5 hitting it with one spot -- I mean, if you get a good blow, you know, with, you know, in a 6 7 certain part of the head, you might, you might get more of this pattern. But I don't think 8 9 that there is anything distinctive, in my 10 experience, with a baseball bat other than it is 11 a, it can be used as a heavy blunt object --12 MR. DEVEREUX: Okav. 13 -- and cause crushing injuries. 14 MR. DEVEREUX: What about blanching? 15 Is that a term that --16 Α. In what context? 17 MR. DEVEREUX: As the characteristic of a rounded edge? 18 I am not familiar with that. 19 Α. 20 MR. BECTON: What about tramlines, is 21 that characteristic of a rounded object or 22 bat? 23 It can be, yes, if you mean, by that you mean 24 like an injury that is going to do central

clearing and then peripheral bruising along the 1 2 edges, basically where the blood has been squeezed out. That can be a rounded object. 3 But you have to have the edges, you know, you 4 5 have to have the whole side of it, I think, to 6 create that. If it's just a portion of it, it's 7 not going to do that. 8 MR. BECTON: Did you see any tramlines on any part of the skull or on any part of 9 10 the body that you examined? 11 Α. No. 12 MS. PICKENS: Dr. Radisch, are you 13 often called upon in your forensic work to make a determination as to what weapon was 14 15 used in blunt force trauma? Is that part 16 of the expectations of you as a forensic 17 pathologist? 18 Α. Yes. MS. PICKENS: And how difficult is it 19 20 to always make a correct opinion on what 21 object was used? 22 well, it can be difficult and sometimes you can Α. 23 just get down to a class of an injury such as, 24 you know, a blunt object. I mean, there are

some things that just aren't going to leave 1 specific things. Other things like the head of 2 3 a hammer leave pretty specific crescentic 4 lacerations on the scalp, say, and nice 5 depressed circular skull fractures on the skull. That's easy. A crushing skull fracture or a 6 7 linear skull fracture, that's a little bit more 8 difficult, very more general. 9 MS. PICKENS: So in this case it's a lot more difficult to determine the actual 10 11 weapon because of what you just said? 12 Yes, I think so. Α. 13 But the injuries you MR. BECTON: 14 observed were consistent both with blunt 15 trauma from an object like a baseball bat 16 or a two-by-four? 17 Α. I would say yes. 18 JUDGE SUMNER: Just to follow up very 19 quickly, you would not rule out either one 20 of those items as probably being the 21 instrument used to cause the injuries? I couldn't rule either one out by what I've 22 Α. 23 seen, that's correct. 24 MR. DEVEREUX: Do you lean toward one

or the other? I'm asking you to do our job 1 2 for us. 3 Α. No. MR. JENKINS: Approximately how many 4 times was she struck? 5 Well, I believe that the two lacerations that I 6 Α. 7 describe, as I said before -- and I didn't say this in the report, per se -- I believe that's 8 one blow and, because of the curve of the head 9 10 or whatever, or maybe some bunching, whatever, 11 part of that, that little space between the 12 scalp that is uninjured, just didn't get 13 injured. And then, of course, there is another 14 blow or injury below the ear, and then at least one severe blow to the neck, and then a variety 15 of other injuries. But I would say even -- and 16 17 then there are injuries on the arms as well, so there's lots more than two, but two main minimum 18 19 devastating injuries. MR. DEVEREUX: Coming from the same 20 21 direction, apparently? 22 well, it's possible that, you know, if Α. 23 somebody --24 MR. DEVEREUX: Assuming that she

1 stayed in --2 Α. Right, I mean --MR. DEVEREUX: -- stationary? 3 4 Right, that you have to control, if somebody, if Α. 5 two people are standing in the same position. 6 But certainly a blow to the, to -- you know, if 7 somebody is just standing face-to-face and 8 applies a blow, say, to the right side of her head, they can also apply a blow to the neck, 9 10 standing in the same position, under the right 11 circumstances. But that doesn't mean that that's how it happened, either. 12 13 MR. DEVEREUX: Sure. 14 JUDGE SUMNER: Did you detect any 15 wounds that you would term or call 16 defensive in nature? 17 Α. well, if, in the broad category, if you want to 18 define wounds on the extremities as defensive 19 wounds, there was the wound of her, I believe it 20 was her left palm, she had a wound of her left 21 arm and then a wound of her right forearm as 22 well. So it's possible that those could be 23 considered defense-type wounds. 24 MR. KENERLY: Does the -- completely

apart from all that, one of the diagnoses 1 is something I can't pronounce, but I would 2 quess at sarcoidosis. 3 Sarcoidosis. 4 Α. MR. KENERLY: Does that condition have 5 6 any effect at all on what happened to this 7 lady? 8 Α. No. MR. KENERLY: I don't know what that 9 10 is. It's -- well, we really don't know what causes 11 Α. 12 it, but it is, what is seen is these, a 13 particular type of inflammation, and I saw that 14 in her lungs. It's something, what looks like 15 tuberculosis, but it's not caused by the bacterium that causes tuberculosis. It's 16 17 probably some immune-mediated disease. That had 18 no bearing on her ability to survive these or --19 MR. KENERLY: Or her ability to resist 20 or anything? 21 No, there is no indication of that. Α. 22 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Further 23 questions, Commissioners? 24 (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.)

	MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: I would ask
	that - oh.
	MR. JENKINS: I just had one. You
	were referring to the defensive wounds on
	the palm and the forearms. Were you also,
	or did you see any defensive wounds between
	the fingers from the photos that you saw?
Α.	That was the one on the palm, right. It kind of
	went well, I call it the palm here, but
	between, in the web space of the fingers on the
	left hand. Sorry, that's the one I meant.
	MR. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Further
	questions, Commissioners?
	(NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.)
	MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Okay. I ask
	that Dr. Radisch be released from her
	subpoena and thank her for coming today.
	JUDGE SUMNER: Thank you very much.
Α.	Thank you.
	(DR. RADISCH IS DISMISSED FROM THE
	WITNESS STAND.)
	MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: All right.
	Commissioners, the next witness that I have for
	you is, who I will ask you to consider an expert

on the reliability of confessions. His CV has 1 2 been handed out. Just like with the other 3 experts, I will go through his CV relatively quickly and ask that as I go through it the 4 5 Commissioners ask any questions that they have as well. And at this time the Commission calls 6 Professor Steven Drizin. 7 8 (THEREUPON, A DISCUSSION WAS HAD OFF 9 THE RECORD WHICH WAS NOT REPORTED BY THE 10 COURT REPORTER.) 11 MS, MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Professor 12 Drizin, will you have a seat right there, 13 please? 14 15 THEREUPON, 16 STEVEN DRIZIN, 17 Having first been duly 18 Sworn, was examined and Testified as follows: 19 20 EXAMINATION BY MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: 21 Q. What is your name? Steven Drizin. 22 Α. 23 Will you please spell your last name? Q. 24 D-r-i-z-i-n. Α.

- 1 | Q. Thank you. How are you employed, Mr. Drizin?
- A. I am currently employed as a clinical law professor at Northwestern University School of Law in Chicago.
- Q. Okay. And tell us about your education background?
  - A. I graduated in 1983 with a BA at Haverford

    College. I then went directly to Northwestern

    Law School and graduated with a JD in 1986.
- Q. And what has been -- have you done legalresearch since you have been a law professor?
- 12 A. Yeah, extensive amounts.
- 13 Q. And what has been the focus of your research?
- A. Most of my work over the last decade or 15 years has been on police interrogations and false confessions. Prior to that most of my work was in the juvenile justice area.
- 18 Q. Have you published articles?
- 19 A. Yes.

8

- 20 Q. On police interrogations and false confessions?
- 21 A. Several.
- 22 Q. Okay. Have you published a book?
- 23 A. I co-edited a book that was published last week.
- 24 Q. Congratulations.

1 A. Thanks.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. Have you collected and analyzed data on confessions that were proven to be false by DNA testing?
- A. And also not by DNA testing. In 2004 I published an article in the North Carolina Law Review called "The Problem of False Confessions in the Post-DNA World." And that article documented and analyzed the largest ever sample of proven false confessions, 125 proven false confessions. Most of these false confessions occurred within the previous ten-year period between 1994 and 2004. Some of them were earlier, but the overwhelming majority were in the post-DNA age, which is essentially 1990, 1989.
- 17 Q. Okay. And was that article actually cited by
  18 the U.S. Supreme Court in a decision, in a
  19 recent decision?
- 20 A. In the case of Corley vs. United States in April of this year they cited the article.
- Q. Congratulations again. Have you done public speaking?
- 24 | A. Lots.

- Q. Okay. Have you testified before legislative committees and task forces?
- 3 A. I have.
- Q. Have you lectured to defenders, judges, prosecutors, and police officers?
- A. I've lectured to all of those groups. I've only lectured once or twice to police officers, but I am scheduled to present at the International Association of Chiefs of Police Conference in Denver in October.
- Q. Okay. Have you lectured in the United States,

  Japan, and Canada?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you've testified at juvenile trials and clemency proceedings, is that right?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And have you been qualified during that testimony as an expert?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- Q. Do you usually testify for the Defense?
- 21 A. I've only testified four times. Each time was 22 for the Defense.
- Q. Okay. When you are doing these reviews are you usually reviewing police interrogations and

tactics? 1 2 Α. Almost always. Do interrogations conducted by somebody 3 Q. Okay. other than a police officer differ significantly 4 5 from police interrogations? Sometimes they do, sometimes it depends on the 6 Α. 7 training of the person who is conducting the interview. But generally the way you analyze 8 9 the reliability of the resulting confession is going to be the same regardless of who conducts 10 the interview. 11 12 MR. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Your Honor, I would ask that Professor Drizin be accepted 13 as an expert in the reliability of 14 15 confessions? 16 JUDGE SUMNER: He is qualified. 17 can continue. Thank you. 18 Α. Professor Drizin, do you have an opinion 19 Q. regarding the reliability of Craig Taylor's 20 confession made to Sharon Stellato? 21 I think it's reliable. 22 Yes. Α. You think it's reliable? 23 0. (Witness nods head affirmatively.) 24 Α.

- 1 | Q. How were you contacted in this case?
  - A. I believe you contacted me.

- Q. And when I talked with you and people talked with you from our office, did you get the impression that, or did we tell you or give you an impression that we were asking for you to come up with a specific result in your analysis?
- A. Not at all. You couldn't have been clearer that you wanted me to come up with my opinion and whatever, wherever the chips fell, that's where they fell.
- Q. Okay. Did you have any indication about whether the result of your review would affect whether or not you would testify in this hearing today?
- A. No. I was always led to believe that I was going to testify regardless of what I came up with.
- Q. Okay. What information and/or materials did you review in forming your opinion in this case?
- A. I started with a review of the entire trial record. That was the first batch of documents that I received. After receiving the trial record I asked to see the entire police file, which included all of the police reports that

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

were prepared in connection with the original investigation and all the summaries of the interviews that the police conducted in the original investigation. I also asked to and received, asked for and received copies of any newspaper coverage of the original murder and subsequent trial in this case. I reviewed the medical examiner's report. I reviewed the reports that were generated by Ms. Stellato of her interviews with Craig Taylor. I reviewed letters that Craig Taylor had written after he confessed in this case, and I reviewed and listened to interviews -- or excuse me, phone conversations that Craig Taylor made after he had confessed in this case with family members and loved ones. I should say that in addition to reading the interviews that Ms. Stellato conducted of Craig Taylor, I also listened to all of the tapes.

- Q. Did you have the entire audio of all four interviews?
- 22 A. I did.
- Q. And you had transcripts that were provided to you as well as the audio?

A. I did.

- Q. And you listened to every second of those interviews?
- 4 A. At least once and some occasions more than once.
  - Q. Okay. And did you also review some of Craig
    Taylor's psychological records?
    - A. I did. I reviewed an extensive array of his psychological records, all of which were when he was incarcerated.
    - Q. Upon what facts or how is it that you come to -what is the basis of your opinion that this
      confession is reliable? Can you just walk us
      through what were the things that you considered
      to be useful and how you drew the conclusions
      from those? And if you'd like to look at your
      notes, that's perfectly fine.
    - A. The first thing that I do when I'm asked to analyze the reliability of a confession is to get a sense of the strength of the evidence against the person who made the confession, and also to get a sense of the strength of the original case that was presented at trial. I want to know as much as I can about the factual circumstances, in this case of Jacquetta

Thomas's death, because I want to test those factual circumstances against the confession to see if there is a fit between what Mr. Taylor confesses to and the objectively knowable facts of the crime.

I also want to analyze any interrogation transcripts or recordings of the interviews with Mr. Taylor because I'm looking to see whether or not there is any psychological coercion that was used in order to get him to confess. I'm also looking to see whether or not the facts that are in his final confession came from him or were otherwise suggested to him either by the interrogator or could have been available to him from other sources like the news media. So I began in this particular case by reading the entire trial record and by reading the entire police file to get a sense of, as best as you could tell, what the sort of ground truth was with regard to the murder of Jacquetta Thomas.

- Q. When you're talking about the ground truth you're talking about the facts such as the medical exam, which is blunt force trauma?
- A. Time of death, place of death, location of

wounds, you know, people that were interviewed in connection with this, trying to put together a time line of the victim's and the alleged perpetrator's events on the night of the murder.

- Q. Okay.
- A. And the reason to look for whether or not there is any psychological coercion has two purposes. One is is that it relates to the question of whether or not the confession is ultimately going to be admitted into court, whether it's voluntary or involuntary. That wasn't my primary concern here. My primary concern was the second reason, which was to see whether or not Ms. Stellato or anybody else had contaminated the final account of Mr. Taylor, Mr. Craig Taylor, through leading or suggestive questions, by showing him crime scene photos or other pieces of evidence that were gathered in the course of the investigation.

So that's the way I generally analyze the confessions. When I looked at the interviews that were done of Mr. Taylor, I found that for the most part they were extremely skillful interrogations that resulted in the confession

that was built largely on the basis of building rapport with Mr. Taylor. Over a period of four interviews Ms. Stellato was able to get him to talk about highly personal matters, including his sexually transmitted disease, including other crimes that he had committed, including his feelings about the victim and his own family members, and that made it easier for him to trust her and ultimately to confess to her.

There were two places during the interview that concerned me and they occurred in the last interview, and they concerned a promise or what appeared to be a promise that Ms. Stellato would make sure that Craig Taylor did not get the death penalty. When we look at psychologically coercive tactics, what we're looking for are tactics that either imply leniency if somebody confesses or threaten harm if somebody doesn't confess. And in a lot of false confession cases there are very explicit threats that the suspect or the subject will get the death penalty if he does not confess.

I looked very closely at the way in which those statements were made by Ms. Stellato, and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

after looking at them I realized two things. One is that every time she said it, and it was only, I believe, on two occasions, it was immediately qualified with language that suggested that her role was going to be to present to the judge all of the positive things she could about Craig as a mitigating witness if the State were to seek the death penalty against So it wasn't really a promise that he him. would not get the death penalty, it was more a promise that she would do everything in her power to prevent him from getting the death penalty. And then I look how, look to how Craig took that statement, and there are a number of places, especially in his phone calls and in his letters, where he says things like, do you think I will get the death penalty, which indicates to me that he didn't take it as a promise, he took it as, you know, evidence on her part that she would go to bat for him.

The second somewhat troubling promise was a promise that she would get Craig or get help, help Craig get a doctor. That concerned me because Craig was, Craig has HIV. It had become

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

full-blown AIDS and clearly he has concerns about the medical care he is getting while he's in prison. But those concerns were allayed for me because when you listen to the way he describes the events to his relatives, his loved ones, it's clear that the reason he confessed had little or nothing to do with any suggestions that he would not get the death penalty or that he would get a doctor. It had to do with feeling guilty about the fact that Gregory Taylor and Johnny Beck, who he believed was still in prison, had spent the last 18 years of their lives in prison. He talks about wanting to clear his conscience and he talks, he talks very specifically about the harm that these men suffered and that their families suffered because of his failure to come forward earlier.

So after looking at whether or not there was psychological coercion, the next thing you do is you look at the actual confession itself and you want to see whether it was contaminated in any way by outside information, either by newspaper articles or other information that could have been transmitted to him at the time

of the crime, or by Ms. Stellato either accidentally, in most cases, or sometimes deliberately by showing him crime scene photos or giving him other information about the crime.

what I found when I did that analysis was that Ms. Stellato was very careful not to show him crime scene photos that would enable him to fill in the blanks. From what I could tell, she showed him one photograph which represented one wound on the victim's hand. The victim's serious and major wounds were to her head and to her neck and also some cuts to her breast area. The wound to the hand was relatively minor and didn't figure into his confession. But it's clear that she is consciously not trying to contaminate the resulting confession that he's going to give.

with regard to the newspaper articles, you know, those were 18 years earlier. Some information in those newspaper articles does end up in his confession, but I think it would be unusual that he would be refreshing his recollection based on newspaper articles that occurred 18 years before. And the gist of what

made this confession reliable to me was not in newspaper articles. Would you like me to talk about the reasons why I think this is reliable?

- Q. Yeah. Can I ask you just really quickly about -- I believe when you and I spoke before you mentioned a couple of places where, when Ms. Stellato interviewed him, she gave him the opportunity to back off from the admissions or clarify them as failed memory or those circumstances. Did you take those into
- A. I did. I was just looking at those while I was waiting today. And especially on the interview, beginning in the interview of May 19 and again on June 2, she gives him numerous opportunities to say, you know, if you didn't do this, just tell me you didn't do it, Craig. And he doesn't back off of saying that he was involved. In the earlier interrogations he was giving off every indication that he had more information than he was willing to impart and that he was somehow involved, and she gave him numerous times to say he had nothing to do with it, and he didn't take advantage of those. He continued to insist

throughout this process that the other two men were absolutely innocent and that, first, that he knew more about this than he was willing to let on, then that he was involved and nobody else was involved, and then finally he gave a confession that contained some details that only the true perpetrator in this case would have known.

- Q. Do you find any significance to the fact that there is a month between the third interview and the fourth and final interview?
- A. I find a lot of significance.
- Q. Can you talk about that?
- A. One of the difficulties that Ms. Stellato had in this interrogation is that Craig, while he was in custody, was not in control -- she didn't have control over him the way that a police officer would have control over a suspect during a regular interrogation. In other words, there were guards that were constantly talking to him in between these interviews, there were inmates that were giving him advice, and during these periods of time he was consulting or talking to his family members. So there were numerous

people giving him advice, all of which were essentially telling him, get a lawyer, don't say anything, you know, essentially undermining the opportunity for Ms. Stellato to get a confession. So the fact that there was a onemonth period between the third and the fourth interview is significant because in the face of all that other pressure he still came forward and confessed.

- Q. Okay. And I think you said you were -- will you talk about, if you have not -- are you ready to talk about the phone calls and the letters, or were you going to talk about something else first?
- A. Well, let's talk about those later. Let's talk about the interviews first, because the phone calls and the letters, I think, are powerful corroboration, if you will, of the reliability of his confession.

when you look at his confession, what I
look for is to see whether he is revealing facts
that are consistent with the objectively
knowable evidence of the crime, that were not
public or not published in any newspaper

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

article, that fill in gaps that even the police didn't know at the time of the investigation, and there are several instances of these kinds of facts. Ideally I would have liked to have seen more, but it was pretty clear that Craig gave about as much as he was going to give in that fourth interview.

But the facts that I want to key in on are as follows: The single most important fact that Craig provided that was not known by the police, not released in the press, and not in any way suggested to Craig by the Commission investigators was that Jacquetta was killed by a beating with a baseball bat. That fact was never released in any kind of press accounts. In fact, the press account suggested that the victim had been stabbed, at least the early press accounts, and even some of the original investigators on the scene had thought that she had been stabbed and that her throat had been cut when, in fact, the fatal blows were, according to the medical examiner, inflicted by a blunt object or a blunt object with a second weapon being used that had, that could explain

some of the sharper knife edge wounds on the victim. In fact, when the medical examiner testified she even used the words baseball bat or brick as the kind of heavy object or object with weight that would have been needed to inflict the fatal blows on Ms. Thomas.

Not only does Craig come up with the word bat on his own, he also explains what he did with the bat after the killing. He says he put the bat into two-ply, I think, Hefty bags and he stored the bat in his couch for a night and then he disposed of the bat the next day in a Dumpster. The fact that the bat was taken from the scene explains also, is consistent with the fact that no weapon was found at the scene.

The second critical fact that came very early on in Craig's interrogations was his insistence that the victim had not been raped. It was very strange. That's the first thing he says, is his insistence that the victim had not been raped. Now, he eventually confesses that he staged the crime scene to make it look like the victim had been raped, that he pulled down her pants, that he tore open her blouse to make

it look like the victim had been raped, which is consistent with the crime scene evidence in a couple of ways. One is the victim has a small abrasion on one of her shoulders which would be consistent with what might happen if you're pulling someone's pants down and their back is dragged a bit on the asphalt. The other way it is consistent is that clearly nobody had sex with this victim on the asphalt because she did not have serious bruising on her back that one would expect from the kind of activity that would be engaged in on asphalt during the course of sexual intercourse.

- Q. Professor Drizin, can I just ask, you are drawing these conclusions from the autopsy report that you reviewed, is that correct?
- A. That is correct.
- 18 Q. Okay.

A. There is also no significant blood on her pants, which suggests that the victim's pants may have been pulled down after she had already been killed. Craig talks about returning the, to the crime scene about 15 minutes later to pick up the bat. This was never pushed with him, but

it's conceivable that he may have pulled her pants down at that point in time after her blood, which he says was on him, may have begun to dry.

- Q. And, Professor Drizin, can I again just clarify?

  And you drew that conclusion from the CCBI

  report --
- A. That's correct.
- Q. -- is that correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.

- 11 | Q. Okay. Please go ahead.
  - A. Craig also volunteers that the victim was stabbed. He does so after he was asked about a knife. He volunteers that the victim was stabbed. He says, you're wondering about the stab wounds, aren't you? He says he had a knife and that the knife had blood on it and that he carried a small pocketknife with him at all times. Then he says that, you know, for whatever reason, he says that when he gets mad he blacks out and he can't say exactly how he cut her or whether he cut her. But the knife wounds -- and this again is something that the medical examiner would know better than me --

but from what I read in the medical examiner reports, these knife wounds were made with a small, dull-edged knife. I don't know whether he sharpens his pocketknife or not, but the size of the wounds and the fact that they were from a dull-edged knife are consistent with the kinds of knife wounds that the victim had.

Before Craig confessed he told investigators that Jacquetta's injuries came from multiple things on different parts of her body. This was completely volunteered. There was no suggestion or contamination. And in fact, the medical examiner's testimony was that either the victim had been killed with a blunt instrument that had a very sharp edge or with two separate instruments, one that would explain the knife wounds, the other that would explain the blunt force trauma to her head. And the prosecutor's theory, in fact, at trial was that there were two different weapons used, not one weapon used.

The fact that Craig says that he returned to the crime scene to pick up the bat may also explain why some of the wounds may have been

inflicted postmortem and why the police did not find the murder weapon. We talked about that. The bruises on the victim are consistent with a crime of rage, a state which Craig used to characterize his actions repeatedly during his conversations. And when I say they are consistent with a crime of rage, I mean, this was over overkill. There were a number of blows inflicted on this victim over a period of time with multiple instruments, and that is somewhat consistent with his testimony.

- Q. Professor Drizin, just to clarify, when you talk about overkill, you are drawing that from the autopsy report that says she was killed from these other wounds and there were additional wounds as well as the CCBI report, is that right?
- 18 A. And from the crime scene photos --
- 19 Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 20 A. -- I mean, that were taken, you know, shortly after she was found.
- Q. Okay, go ahead.
- A. The other reason why the confession is, I

  believe is reliable has to do with the fact that

after Craig confessed he had numerous telephone 1 calls with family members and he wrote two 2 letters to Ms. Stellato, and in those letters 3 there are additional affirmations of his earlier 4 confession and there is never a recantation of 5 his confession. When he's talking to his loved 6 ones he talks about how he's going to have to go 7 8 back to court, how he was involved in a murder, you know, some 20 years ago. They talk about 9 the victim and the mother doesn't know who the 10 victim is. They talk about the evidence being 11 12 powerful and all pointing at him, about how he 13 needs to get some spiritual help from his exgirlfriend and his aunt. So we're talking about 14 15 someone who, throughout the course of the 16 interrogation, leaks out information, more 17 information, more information that suggests he is involved. Then he finally confesses, and 18 then he continues to affirm that confession on 19 20 multiple occasions, knowing full well that all 21 of his phone calls are monitored and all of his 22 letters are being looked at. 23

JUDGE SUMNER: Excuse me. Mr. Drizin, we are going to take a break here, about 15

minutes, all right? 1 2 Sure. Α. 3 (THEREUPON, THERE WAS A SHORT RECESS.) JUDGE SUMNER: Yes, ma'am. 4 Professor Drizin, before you continue, one point 5 Q. 6 of clarification that the court reporter has asked me. Can you spell State v. Corley? 7 It's Corley, C-o-r-l-e-y versus United States. 8 Α. 9 0. Thank you. Now, let's see, where were we? You were 10 talking about, I think you were mostly through 11 12 talking about the letters and the phone calls 13 and the significance of those. Can you please 14 continue? During the break I looked back at one 15 Α. thing that I had made a note of before I came in 16 17 today, and that is, it's an example both of the 18 way in which Ms. Stellato gave him several outs, but it's also a pretty telling thing about 19 20 Craig's mind-set that goes to the reliability of 21 the confession. On the May 19, 2009 22 Ms. Stellato says, Mr. Taylor, I know all the 23 other things you've told me about, but don't say you did this if you didn't, though. I know that 24

you are saying what will it matter, it will all be in together, but I need to know if you were really involved and not taking the fall for it. And Craig says, I don't take no fall for no crime I do not commit, I don't commit, which is saying that if he's going to confess to this crime, he's not going to confess to a crime he didn't commit. And that was totally unprompted and that struck me, because you don't see that very often in interrogations.

- Q. Okay. And you've seen a lot of interrogations in your time?
- 13 A. Too many.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Do you have any more conclusions or anything
  else to tell us about your review and the review
  of the phone calls, the letters, and the actual
  interviews?
- 18 A. I don't think so.
  - Q. Okay. And can you please state your ultimate opinion about the reliability of the confession of Craig Taylor?
    - A. I think it's a reliable confession. I think it contains facts that only the true perpetrator would know that were not released into the

public domain. I think the reasons for confessing are reasons that you typically see associated with guilty people, that is, a belief that the evidence against them is going to come back and nail them for the crime, and feelings of guilt or remorse or conscience over the fact, in this particular case, that an innocent person, two innocent people, he thought, had been locked up for 18 years.

- Q. Are you able to assign any degree of certainty to your conclusions?
- A. No. I think ultimately the degree of certainty has got to be decided by a jury in this case.
- Q. Okay.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioner questions?

JUDGE SUMNER: I've got one. The fact that he is sick, in his mind he's dying of AIDS, does that factor at all into this confession, that perhaps he is taking the opinion that, hey, I'm on the way out, why not do this, why not say I did it even if I didn't do it?

A. You know, it does factor into it, but I think it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

factors into it in a different way. I think that -- I mean, he knew he was going to, he knew he had HIV. He knew he was eventually going to die from it. He did learn that it had become full-blown AIDS. That was significant. think that his confession had more to do with wanting to get right with God or to get this off of his conscience before he died than it did trying to, you know, falsely accept responsibility for something he didn't commit. And there are parts of the interrogation where Ms. Stellato even begins to talk to him about redemption and about getting right with God and he seems to be thinking along those terms as well.

- Q. Does he call his mother and ask her to save him in one of those telephone calls?
- A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. And is that also, is that cumulative to what you've just been talking about?
  - A. I think so, yeah. I mean, I think, I think he
    was coming to grips with the fact that he was
    going to die and I think he wanted to confess to
    this crime because he had committed it and

because he was feeling guilty about the fact that two other people had been locked up for that long.

MR. BECTON: Can guilt tripping be a factor in your equation explaining why someone would plead guilty, or do you say you can't guilt trip somebody who is not guilty?

A. I think it's hard to guilt trip somebody who is not guilty. Now, people do falsely confess and they do enter false guilty pleas.

MR. BECTON: That was my next question. Go ahead.

A. But they usually do so when they are manipulated by highly coercive police interrogation tactics into, one, thinking that nothing they can say is going to change the interrogator's mind about their innocence or guilt and, two, they are induced to confess by promises of leniency or threats of harm. In those cases that are false confessions, you know, almost every case the false confessor recants his confession almost immediately after the police officer leaves the room, certainly by the time he first meets with

1 an attorney. In this case he is constantly 2 affirming his confession, not only to Ms. Stellato, but to loved ones. 3 4 MR. BECTON: Were you convinced as 5 Taylor seemed to have been convinced at one 6 point that Sharon had done a wonderful job 7 and thoroughly investigated and that all the evidence was pointing toward him? 8 I think that, yeah, I was very convinced 9 Α. I was. 10 of that and I think that it was very skillful 11 the way she led him to believe that even though 12 she may not have actually had all of that evidence. 13 14 MR. BECTON: I'm saying is that enough 15 to have guilt tripped him? 16 Α. No; no. 17 JUDGE SUMNER: And that, that's more 18 of the situation of false confessions where 19 the person confessing doesn't have any 20 control, the interrogator has all the power 21 and all the control, and you don't find 22 that to be the facts in this situation, is 23 that what you're saying? 24 I don't; I don't. I mean, I don't see that Α.

because there were a lot of other people who had influence over Craig while this process was playing out, including guards, fellow inmates, and family members.

MR. BECTON: It's asserted that there were guards, family members, and other inmates telling him all along to get a lawyer. What is the source of that?

A. Well, I don't know if they were saying get a lawyer, but they were telling him not to confess, and there are points in the transcripts where he is talking about why he didn't want to open up in front of the guards and how he was getting advice from people within the institution. I may be reading in too much about the inmate piece of this, but I know that guards were talking to him.

MR. BECTON: I thought that he was worried about guards telling inmates that he killed somebody, and he's in jail and can be harmed. Do you have any information from, that any inmate, any guard, or any family member told him to get a lawyer?

A. If you give me a second, I'll look. I can look

at the interviews. (Witness examines document.)

I don't have any specific information that any
specific guard or inmate told him to get a
lawyer, but I am pretty sure there were
conversations in which he was talking about how
he was getting advice from people within the
institution.

MR. BECTON: I think one of the family members may have said something like that though.

MR. KENERLY: Professor, certainly he asked for an attorney repeatedly in the early going. What effect does that have on your opinions about the reliability of his statement?

A. You know, it doesn't have that much effect on the opinion about the reliability. I mean, it clearly shows to me that this is someone who felt that he was in jeopardy and he needed the help of counsel. As a person who has done defense work, I'm a little troubled by the fact that he wasn't given an attorney and, you know, you know, I can't predict how that's going to affect the ultimate admissibility of any of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

these statements that he gave. But to me he started asking for an attorney once he started down the road to confessing, and to me, that, if anything, that's more evidence that his confession is reliable as opposed to unreliable, because he was on the verge of confessing. There are these long pauses where he is clearly contemplating, you know, how am I going to answer this question without, you know, totally implicating myself. There is discussion about pleading the Fifth Amendment. People don't plead the Fifth Amendment unless they have a reason to be worried that what they say is going to incriminate them. So I'm troubled by the fact that he repeatedly asked for an attorney and he wasn't given one, but I don't think it affects my opinion about the reliability.

MR. KENERLY: What about his original denial and this elaborate statement he gave to the police the same day that the body was found and then his early denials in Ms. Stellato's interviews of having anything to do with this, what is the impact of those on his reliability?

Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The early denials are standard. When police interview and interrogate guilty suspects there is always a long period of denials before the suspect will change his mind and decide to open up and admit to the crime. What makes this unusual to me is that when you see false confessions, what you see is police officers are trained to interrupt denials, to not allow a suspect to assert their story of innocence, to essentially overwhelm the suspect by not allowing the suspect to assert their innocence. In this case Ms. Stellato was giving him every opportunity to back out of the road down the path to asserting his guilt. There wasn't a lot of overbearing activity on her part. much more, like I said, it was more based upon rapport building.

with regard to his very first statements to the police, when you read all of the interviews in this case, you look at that interview and it does jump out at you as being different, out of the norm. The description he gives of Greg Taylor is unlike any description anywhere else in the police reports.

MR. BECTON: Refresh my recollection. 1 2 He describes Greg Taylor as someone who was Α. 3 wearing colorful jam shorts --MR. BECTON: And a top, a tank top. 4 5 -- and a red tank top, which is, which is Α. 6 bizarre when you compare it to what everyone 7 else said he was wearing that night. And, you 8 know, so you sort of flag that interview and you 9 say, you know, this is bizarre. But that doesn't make him a suspect, it just was bizarre. 10 JUDGE SUMNER: So you attach no 11 12 significance to the fact that he told 13 Ms. Stellato initially that the victim had been shot twice? You discount that 14 15 entirely? I don't discount it entirely. What happened 16 Α. when he did that is he was right on the verge of 17 18

when he did that is he was right on the verge of confessing and he asked for a cigarette break, and then he went out and he had a cigarette.

And I have no idea whether there was any conversation between him or a guard, but when he came back in, he came up with this story about being shot. Now, like any good interrogator, Ms. Stellato immediately confronted him with

19

20

21

22

23

that, told him that it was a lie, told him not to waste my time, said, Craig, if you didn't have anything to do with it, just tell me you didn't have anything to do with it, but don't lie to me, don't insult my intelligence. And he backed off of his story very quickly. And so I don't place a lot of weight on that. I think that was his effort to sort of, you know, make it look like he was confessing and to get her off his back, but he quickly backed off of it. I don't think he, I don't think, I don't think he ever thought that she was going to believe that.

- Q. Professor Drizin, do you recall him actually telling her when she said, why do you say that, that he was trying to throw her off?
- A. If it was in the interview, I recall, yeah.
- Q. Okay.
- A. I remember it.

MR. DEVEREUX: Professor, I understand that the Craig Taylor piece of this is important, but what we are really here to decide is whether Greg Taylor has been telling the truth for 18 years. And I know

you weren't asked to consider that, but talk to us a little bit about the fact that you have two co-Defendants who did not know each other well, were separated pretty quickly after this happened and have remained separated, and for 18 years they've both denied that either one of them was involved, and they have also resisted incredible invitations, incredibly attractive invitations to testify against the other, and as far as I know both of them have continued to say not only I didn't do it, but Johnny didn't do it and Greg didn't do it. What does that mean to you?

A. To me that is remarkable. You know, in Greg
Taylor's case, I mean, this is a man who at that
point in time, you know, his appeal, at various
points in time his appeals have been lost. You
know, he knew that he was going to be spending
the rest of his life in prison. He had
opportunities to just admit that he was there
and put, blame the, blame his, you know,
original co-Defendant because the police were

suggesting to him from the beginning that it was the co-Defendant that had done the killing, and he didn't take advantage of that opportunity.

And believe me, a lot of men would have jumped at that. You see it all the time. So, you know, I think that is a remarkable testament to his innocence that he didn't accept any kind of a deal that would require both to admit his own guilt or to implicate another innocent person.

MR. DEVEREUX: Let me back up a second then and -- I mean, I find Craig Taylor's testimony to be very persuasive, his statements and what you have said about him. What leaves me a little worried is did anywhere along the line in the prison medical/psychological records was his IQ recorded in there anywhere?

A. It's recorded on several occasions. It's -- you know, it's hard for me to read these records, some of them, but I've seen it listed anywhere from the 80 range to the 96 range. I've never seen it 75 or below, which would be what we consider mental retardation.

MR. DEVEREUX: And we are told -- we

haven't reviewed -- the records are available to us and we, you know, we have been talked to about it, but apparently he carried a significant, perhaps incorrect, but a diagnosis of paranoid schizophrenia, again, the kitchen sink diagnosis in prison many times, but was given and apparently responded to anti-psychotic medication not just once or twice, but over a period of time, and you've seen that. You have reviewed those records. What do you make of that? Should we be concerned about that?

A. Well, you know, I looked at those records and what I decided was I'm not qualified to really say how those affect the reliability of his confession. There is pretty good research that says that juveniles and the mentally retarded are vulnerable to false confessions and that certain kinds of mental illness which have, which also have qualities of suggestibility and compliance to them might predispose someone to falsely confess. There is not a lot of research on psychopathology and whether that makes

somebody more or less likely to falsely confess, so I don't feel competent to really, to really say anything about that.

MR. DEVEREUX: And then my last question -- I'm a criminal Defense attorney, so we, generally I'm representing the Craig Taylors of this world. And, you know, a phenomenon that I'm sure you have seen and we see is somebody that's got nothing to lose particularly, maybe wants the limelight once again before they, they're dying, they are forgotten in prison, even as simple as you get out to a local jail if you're being tried for something, you're not stuck in Lumberton Correctional anymore, you get to go home to Raleigh for -- I mean, there are lots of reasons why people --

A. Yeah.

MR. DEVEREUX: -- do this kind of thing. I mean, that's certainly, weighed against everything else, that's not a major factor, but it struck me that the remorse that he felt and expressed over and over

again was remorse for Greg Taylor and Johnny Beck. Not misplaced, I mean, they certainly deserve to be felt sorry for under the circumstances, especially Greg. But rarely does he talk -- I mean, he killed this woman that he loved, if he is to be believed, and he doesn't say much about that, and I don't understand -- I mean, you know, tell us about that in terms of the validity of the confession.

A. I mean, he does say if he could take it back, if he could to back whatever it was, 20 years, he wouldn't do it again. He does talk about how he feels badly about her kids because he had a relationship with her kids. With regard to his relationship with her, you know, all he talks about is how, you know, how deep it was and how, you know, he couldn't really put it into words, and how -- I think he says things like, you know, you just can't explain why you have feelings towards certain kinds of people. They are uncontrollable. But you're right, he doesn't have a lot of, you know, expressions of remorse for, you know, overt expressions of

remorse for killing her. The --

MR. DEVEREUX: Do you believe that he sees a woman that he's known since they grew up together in Halifax Court, presumably they've stood on that corner and plied their respective trades for years. What is it about the fact that she's maybe going off with another guy this particular night, why would that set him off to the degree that it set him off?

A. You know, when I began I said I wish that there was more that we could have gotten out of him before the interview ended, and there, that's an area that I would have liked to have heard more from him about. We really don't get a good explanation of what ticked him off. I mean, there was a fight or some kind of a minor argument the night before. He was upset about her perhaps leaving with this guy Johnny and thinking that maybe they were going to have sex together, but like you said, she did that every night of her life right in front of him. We don't really have a good explanation for why on this particular night he lost it. So I don't

know, I don't know how to answer that other than to say, you know, I would have liked to have seen more.

MR. JENKINS: A quick question. Judge Sumner spoke to it briefly. In regards to your study of false confessions, have you had an occasion to look at false confessions that were made because someone was trying to aid other prisoners or help with their sentence or help with that type of situation?

A. I've never seen a false confession on that basis. Now, there are cases where people will make false confessions to protect loved ones. They will confess to a crime that their wife committed or that their child committed in order to do their time or to protect them, but I haven't seen it where someone has falsely confessed to save another prisoner. It's possible that there are cases out there where that has occurred.

One other thing I want to say because it was a good point that you raised. There are a class of false confessions that we call

voluntary false confessions. They are usually from extremely mentally ill people who, in the wake of a high profile crime, will walk into a police station and will say, you know, I killed O.J. Simpson's wife, or like John Mark Carr, I killed JonBenet Ramsey. In the wake of these cases police officers get deluged with, for lack of a better term, crackpots who want to get attention and insert themselves in a police investigation.

What is different to me about Craig's case is that there is initial resistance on his part to confessing. This is not someone who, you know, from the get-go is saying, you know, I'm going to do this, I'm going to confess, I'm going to come forward and spill the guts. He has to be brought to a place where he feels comfortable to confess, and that happens over time. There are these long pauses where you can just tell that he's thinking about wanting to confess but, you know, is holding back and he's thinking about what it's going to mean if he confesses. That's very different from the voluntary false confessor who doesn't care at

all what's going to happen to him, he just wants
to inject himself into a high profile
investigation.

MR. JENKINS: And Taylor didn't come
to Ms. Stellato in the way a false, a

to Ms. Stellato in the way a false, a volunteer would. He didn't volunteer in the first place.

A. No; no.

MR. JENKINS: Okay.

MR. BECTON: I take it there's nothing about a profile you could draw up of him based on his statements and what you've read to suggest that he was a false confessor in any way?

A. There is nothing that I could do that would suggest he was a false confessor. I mean, I don't feel qualified enough to assess his mental illness to really say, you know, whether that would have had a role in it. I don't know enough about the fact that he learned that he was dying and what role that might have had in it. All I can say is that the way in which this confession evolved, in my experience, is more consistent with the way in which you see true

confessions evolve than with false confessions. 1 Professor Drizin, if I could refresh your 2 Q. 3 recollection, Mr. Becton had asked you questions, and if you turn to -- do you have the 4 5 Craig Taylor third interview, June 2, 2009 up there? 6 7 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: If not, may I approach the Witness, your Honor? 8 9 JUDGE SUMNER: Yes, ma'am. Please take a look at page 394 and page 400 and 10 Ο. see if that helps refresh your recollection 11 12 about the question that Mr. Becton was asking 13 you about guards speaking to Mr. Taylor. I knew I saw this somewhere. (Witness reviews 14 Α. 15 document.) And if you will also look at page 400. 16 0. (Witness examines document.) Yeah. 17 Α. conversation on page 400 is the one that I was 18 remembering about officers talking to him and 19 20 sort of suggesting to him that he didn't have to 21 cooperate. But, yeah, this does refresh my recollection. Maybe it wasn't quite as specific 22 23 as them giving Craig advice, but clearly he was

hearing it from, hearing from the guards about

Pag	le	21	4
. au	_		

1		his conversations with Ms. Stellato.
1		
2	Q.	Okay.
3		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: More
4		Commissioner questions?
5		(NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.)
6		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Okay. I would
7		ask that Professor Drizin be released and
8		thanked for his services.
9		JUDGE SUMNER: Thank you.
10	Α.	Thank you.
11		(PROFESSOR DRIZIN IS DISMISSED FROM
12		THE WITNESS STAND.)
13		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: The Commission
14		would like to just briefly re-call Larry
15		McCann.
16		MR. JENKINS: Kendra, may I ask a
17		question?
18		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Of course.
19		MR. JENKINS: This is a follow-up to
20		the question that I just asked. Was there
21		anything in the staff's investigation that
22		would lead anyone to believe that Craig's
23		confessing was motivated by any other
24		outside source at all?

1		
1		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: No.
2		(MR. MCCANN TAKES THE WITNESS STAND.)
3		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Is Mr. McCann
4		still under oath?
5		JUDGE SUMNER: Still under oath.
6		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Okay.
7		
8	EXAM	INATION BY MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN:
9	Q.	Mr. McCann, after you provided your testimony
10		and you were sequestered before you testified.
11		You had not been watching the hearing
12		proceedings, is that correct?
13	Α.	That's correct.
14	Q.	And you have not spoken with any other experts
15		about this case?
16	Α.	That's correct.
17	Q.	But you did stay and you did watch Dr. Radisch
18		testify?
19	Α.	Yes, I did.
20	Q.	And did you, did you want to make a correction
21		in your testimony?
22	Α.	Yes. I found an error in my testimony, and if
23		we could show the photograph.
24	Q.	You would like that photograph?

And this is an autopsy photograph. 1 Α. not arguing with the medical examiner. I'm 2 3 agreeing with her on a point that she made, and I'll show it to you in just a moment. 4 mentioned that this is an anatomical process in 5 the base of the skull and she was absolutely 6 correct and I was wrong. I just want you to 7 know that, that when I looked at this photograph 8 9 I incorrectly noted something. I just wanted you to know that I was wrong, she's correct. 10 11 MR. BECTON: That's the point about 12 whether it was convex or concave? Yes, sir; yes, sir, that's correct. That is an 13 Α. 14 anatomical process and not a wound that you're 15 seeing there. That's all. JUDGE SUMNER: And we certainly 16 appreciate you bringing that to our 17 attention also. Thank you. 18 Thank you, sir. 19 Α. 20 Thank you. Q. 21 MR. DEVEREUX: Now, do we follow up with that? Does that have any bearing on 22 the certainty of your opinion that this 23

injury was caused by a two-by-four as

1		opposed to a bat?
2	Α.	No, I am absolutely convinced it was a two-by-
3		four, not a bat, no. I just wanted to bring
4	i	that to your attention.
5		MR. DEVEREUX: Sure.
6	Α.	Anything else?
7	Q.	Thank you, Mr. McCann.
8	Α.	Thank you.
9		JUDGE SUMNER: Thank you very much.
10	Α.	Thank you, sir.
11		(MR. MCCANN IS DISMISSED FROM THE
12		WITNESS STAND.)
13		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: All right. Juanita,
14		will you let Ms. Thomas in? We're done looking
15		at autopsy photos again.
16		Okay, Commissioners, do you have any
17		questions up to this point of me or the
18		Commission staff?
19		(NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.)
20		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Okay. On
21		August 5, 2009 we went to the Lumberton
22		I'm sorry, not Lumberton. Ms. Stellato,
23		what correctional institution was
24		Gregory -

MS. STELLATO: Johnston.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Johnson County or the Johnston Correctional Institution where Gregory Taylor is housed, is currently house in the North Carolina Department of Corrections. We received permission to bring a videotape recorder in there and conduct a video deposition of Gregory Taylor. Present were myself, Ms. Stellato, Grace Wallace, Gregory Taylor and Mr. Taylor's attorney, Mike Klinkosum, and that was the attorney that has been assigned through our, assigned by Indigent Defense Services as a result of our proceedings.

We conducted this video deposition for three-and-a-half hours. It was very lengthy. I conducted the deposition, I questioned
Mr. Taylor for three-and-a-half hours. This was after Craig Taylor had had all four interviews with Ms. Stellato, so he had at this point had all four interviews with Ms. Stellato and we were talking about calling a Commission hearing, and I knew that I would need to get out and have a deposition of Gregory Taylor. We did not tell people outside of our Commission staff about

Craig Taylor's statements at this time because we wanted to make sure that Gregory Taylor had not heard about them before we told him about them. So Gregory Taylor's attorney, Mike Klinkosum, did not know. He knew that we were doing a video deposition and that we had an update afterwards, but he did not know what that update was, and Gregory Taylor did not know what that update was.

The deposition, as I said, was extraordinarily lengthy -- or maybe not extraordinarily, but it was pretty long. We went through every single detail of the night. I asked him to tell us that whole evening from the time that he got off work all the way up until his wife had come up and he came back to collect his vehicle in every single detail. And he did in extreme detail, and he was consistent with the other statements that he has made, the ones that you've seen to the police officers. He was consistent throughout in those statements. He gave it in more detail than he had ever given before, but he did not make any inconsistent statements.

I pushed him very hard to get him to make some admissions and he consistently maintained his complete innocence as well as Johnny Beck's complete innocence, and I pushed him quite hard on this. And I will walk through what he said with you in brief format. Any part of it that you would like to hear or see, please let me know. I am going to play the end portion of it for you.

He told us that he got off of work and he went to some friends' houses, and he went through the details of where he had been, who he had been with. And at around 11 o'clock p.m. he went to Kentwood and he bought some cocaine, and that's where Johnny Beck joined him and got in his vehicle. He had known Mr. Beck before, they had done drugs before. Although they were not particularly close, particularly good friends, they had hung around and done drugs together before. They parked off Method Road and smoked two rocks around 11:30 p.m. They then went to Sawyer Road and purchased some more cocaine around 11:30 -- 11:40 or 12 o'clock, and we actually passed a map over to him and had him

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

mark this on a map, and he did. That's where he drew that little arrow right there (indicating) that shows him driving up Sawyer Road, and he actually put a little star there and said, four rocks, \$80, his initials, Greg Taylor there. was not really confident about all the other locations. He knew where they were and he was not completely confident that it was exactly in that part of the block, but he felt like that was a pretty close estimate. That is indeed in the locations that Johnny Beck was showing us, and on the maps that have been passed around --I'm sorry, not Johnny Beck, Craig Taylor was showing us -- and on the maps that are passed around, he was selling within that radius, very close within that radius.

The procedure for the purchase and for many of their purchases was that Greg Taylor drove his truck, his white Pathfinder, and he stayed in it. He would stay in the truck and he talked about that being because he was Caucasian, this was an African-American, predominantly African-American drug area, and he didn't feel that it would be safe or that people would assume he was

an undercover cop if he went out and bought the drugs, and Johnny Beck would go out and buy the drugs, and that happened during all the purchases that night and this one off of Sawyer Road. He says they drove past a group of people. He was not sure if the group was men, women or a mixed group. He just knows it was a group of people. And he waited in the truck, Gregory Taylor waited in the truck about 50 yards away. Johnny Beck got out of the truck and went to buy the drugs. He did not see any women approach Johnny Beck, but he doesn't know for sure if they did or did not. He did not see it.

They then went to Johnny Beck's brother's house -- and he does not know who Johnny Beck bought the cocaine from. He did not see this. He was in his truck. They went to Johnny Beck's brother's house to smoke the cocaine. They left around after 2 o'clock in the morning. He was not positive of that exact time, but sometime around then. They planned to drop Johnny Beck back off at home, but as they were going through downtown they decided to purchase some more

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

cocaine. They bought somewhere in a location that Johnny Beck directed him to. Gregory Taylor did not know exactly where this location was. He said Johnny Beck was telling him, turn here, stop here, and knew that part of town better than he did.

Then after they bought that cocaine they were trying to head back towards Johnny Beck's house, and Gregory Taylor says he took a left and Johnny Beck said, you should have taken a right there, and he ended up at some point in the cul-de-sac, and he thinks it was around 2:15 or 2:30 in the morning he ended up in that culde-sac. When they got into the cul-de-sac he says that he pulled around in the cul-de-sac and he provided a diagram -- not during this deposition, he had provided it a long time ago before. He provided it to his attorneys during the Motion for Appropriate Relief, I believe, before the Commission even had this case. we had it with us and he did use it to illustrate his testimony. Ignore the light That's not what he was talking about. diagram. But he's using this diagram and he tells

us, yes, I pulled in the cul-de-sac in my truck, circled around the cul-de-sac, came out this way. Here's the dirt road. He says he noticed it on his way in. This is roughly where he believes that the body was now based on the photographs, but he said he did not know or see the body at the time. Pulls around here, stops his truck right here at the entrance to the culde-sac so that they could watch, and they were going to smoke the cocaine and wanted to be able to see if anybody was coming through the cul-de-sac.

He says -- at this point I pushed him really hard about whether the body was there or not because he says now, what he does say now is that he thinks the body could have been there. He doesn't know. And he told the police and was adamant with the police that there was no body there when he pulled into the cul-de-sac. He now says that he body could have been there. I pushed him extremely hard on this, saying, you know, is that what you remember, are you now changing it, do you now remember it. And he said, no, this is just what he assumes now that

he has read all the testimony, sat through the trial and seen all of the evidence, that he assumes that the body was there and they just did not see it. He cannot remember whether his lights were on and off at this time. He does not remember turning his lights off. He told the police that he thought he had his lights on. What he says now is he does not remember turning his lights off but he might have out of habit. He would think he would have cut them off as he came around. He knows that he didn't have them on here because they were watching to see if anybody came.

They smoked there for -- he can't remember, he thinks at one point they might have backed up a little bit as they were pulling around up there, but he wasn't sure about that. They smoked a little bit at the entrance to the culde-sac and they threw out the baggies of crack after they smoked, and these were blue baggies. He remembered that because it was unusual to have them be blue. The police did find blue baggies at that location. That was testified about at trial.

And then he got paranoid and he said that smoking cocaine always made him paranoid. He got paranoid and he decided he wanted to drive up that path and back up into the woods, and so he did. And this is the radius that he drew on that diagram and he confirmed that when we, when we deposed him, that he drew up and went up that path right there.

They went up there and smoked for about 20 or 30 minutes. At one point when he was up there he said he thought maybe he saw headlights go around the cul-de-sac but he really just doesn't know. I pushed him very hard on this and he just does not know. He does not know if anybody else went through the cul-de-sac. His memory is very vague about that. He didn't think that anybody did, but he would not swear to it. Not that I asked him to swear to it. He said that there was a possibility headlights went around, he just didn't know.

He commented then that, to Johnny Beck that he wanted to four-wheel and pulled his truck forward, and then just immediately got stuck in the mud. So he didn't go out four-wheeling all

around that area, immediately got stuck when he tried. They tried for maybe 15 or 20 minutes to get the truck out. He said that they did not try very hard to get the truck out. They didn't bother taking the jack out. There was a jack in the car. They didn't bother taking the jack out and jacking it up. They tried a little bit. They tried to rock it and then they just figured it would be easier to come back and get the truck the next day. So they walked out down the dirt path and out of the cul-de-sac on foot.

When they walked out into the cul-de-sac

Johnny Beck saw the body first, commented on it.

Gregory Taylor thought that perhaps it was a

roll of carpet and then thought that it was a

body. He said that he got the impression that

it was a black female because he could see that

the body had no clothes on, but he said, when I

pushed him on this, it was just an impression.

He really didn't know. It wasn't that he made a

conclusion, but just from that quick glance he

thought perhaps that, but he did not know for

sure.

As they were walking out of the cul-de-sac

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and down the road, all the way out of the culde-sac, down the road, Johnny Beck said, don't look back, and of course Gregory Taylor does look back, and they are out up on the road, and he felt like he saw a person with longish blonde hair and red-and-white clothing on. That person was not in the cul-de-sac, that person was out on the road. He talked about this person to police. He never said that the person -- in the police interviews, we went back through them -was standing over the body. He just says out there or just says there. When we asked him to clarify about this he says, no, the person wasn't standing over the body. They were already out on the road. The person was on the road maybe to the entrance of the cul-de-sac. when pushed he says he's not even really sure that he saw a person there or not. He was high, they were walking out, it was so far away. just doesn't know, but he, at the time, felt like maybe they had seen a person.

He thinks that this is around or right before 4 o'clock p.m. He then said a person named Barbara Avery agreed to give them a ride

for money, so they walked up at a station and saw -- and she drove by and they were able to flag her down and said they'd give her money or drugs for a ride. She took them to the house on E Street and they went in and did drugs there, and he describes some more activities there. He does remember seeing two white women there with blonde hair. He later identified one of those women as the lady named Eva Kelly that testified against him at trial. He had not seen her before and did not know her name at the time. And then Barbara Avery dropped Johnny Beck off at Kentwood and took him to a gas station and left him there to call his wife.

I then asked him if he knew Craig Taylor and showed him a photograph of Craig Taylor, the older photograph of Craig Taylor, and used his street name, and he said he didn't know who this person was and wasn't familiar with that name.

I told him what Craig Taylor had told the police in the police report, that he had sold drugs to him and seen him with the victim. Gregory Taylor continued to deny that and denied knowing Craig Taylor.

24

Then I talked to him about DNA and told him -- and this was -- at this time we still didn't have the final DNA results that you've heard about today. We were just getting the preliminary DNA in. I told him that he could not be excluded from the victim's clothing. pushed him quite hard and for a long time to see if he would say that he had either been with the victim earlier that night, or what I really pushed him about was on the way out of the culde-sac did he touch the victim, maybe just look in her pockets to see if she had any money, did Johnny Beck go through the pockets, did they go and look at it. I even asked him did they rub their hands over her, did they go anywhere, and he consistently maintained they never touched her, never went closer than 10 or 15 feet from her, and that Johnny Beck did not either. tried to get him to say that Johnny Beck had done it and he would not do it. He continued to deny it. I told him that this was his last chance to say that he had just done something really minor, some type of accessory crime, and that if this DNA matched him he'd never be able

1 to back up and say that again, and he wouldn't 2 do it. And then that's pretty much the end of 3 the deposition there. We were all kind of exhausted and tired by it. And I'm going to see 4 5 what I've forgotten. (Ms. Montgomery-Blinn examines documents.) 6 7 MR. BECTON: I have a question. 8 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: All right. Yes, sir? 9 10 MR. BECTON: Did you say that the time --11 it was after 4:00 when he was with Barbara, that 12 that was the only time he was in the house on E 13 Street? 14 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Yes, sir. 15 MR. BECTON: He thinks he was there at that time and this is after 4:00 as opposed to 12:30, 16 three or four hours earlier? 17 18 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: That's right. 19 concluded the deposition, ended the deposition, 20 and then gave him the case update about what 21 Craigory Taylor had to say. Any questions 22 before I play that part for you? 23 MR. DEVEREUX: Has he said, or Mike Dodd or 24 anybody ever said why he didn't testify at

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

trial? I know that's a dumb -

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: No, they -- no, absolutely not, because they had a Motion for Appropriate Relief about it. They had an Ineffective Assistance of Counsel Motion for Appropriate Relief and that was one of the main claims, was why didn't he testify at trial. And, you know, it's summarized in your brief, Mike Dodd testified and said that he felt that if he had testified at trial, then the evidence of the two DWI's on his record would have come out, and then there was no convictions but he felt that the State would get into some kind of fights and disputes that he might have had with his wife and he didn't want the jury to hear about that. Of course the Defense said, well, they've already heard that he was out smoking cocaine all night, what difference would that make? And then the Defense attorney asserted at the Motion for Appropriate Relief that Gregory Taylor had wanted to testify at trial and Mike Dodd said, we talked about it, I recommended he not testify, and he agreed with that. all that I know about that.

MR. BECTON: It was ineffective. 1 2 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Are there any more 3 questions? (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.) 4 5 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Okay. I am going to play this portion of the video for you. 6 7 JUDGE SUMNER: Off the record. 8 (THEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS WENT OFF THE RECORD AS THE VIDEOTAPE WAS PLAYED.) 9 10 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Then we go through 11 all the procedures about what a Commission 12 hearing means, what it doesn't mean, that it's nothing final. We go through all of that 13 14 information with him, which I'm happy to play if 15 you want to. We don't talk any more about the confession. 16 17 JUDGE SUMNER: No questions? 18 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: If you could turn 19 the lights off, please. I need this light off 20 in order for the PowerPoint to work, please. 21 Not that one, this one, these three here. Can 22 you turn that other one back on? Thank you. 23 All right. At this time by statute the 24 family members and the victim's next-of-kin have

a right to make a statement to the Commission 1 and they have asked that this be closed to the 2 3 public. JUDGE SUMNER: At this point in the session 4 5 we are going to exclude all members of the press, all members of the public who are with 6 7 us. We will call you back when we resume the 8 public portion of this hearing. Thank you. 9 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Your Honor, may our 10 intern stay? JUDGE SUMNER: I don't think so. 11 12 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: okav. 13 JUDGE SUMNER: I'm sorry, yes, yes. 14 apologize. I thought we had another session, 15 another part of this. You are welcome to stay. 16 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: All right. 17 victim's daughter would like to address the 18 Commission first. You can come up here if you'd 19 like to. You can do whatever you are comfortable with. 20 21 JUDGE SUMNER: Let the record reflect that 22 at this point the members of the general public 23 as well as staff have been excluded from this 24 part of this hearing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Will you just tell the Commission your name and then go ahead.

MS. PHARR: Yes, Sierra Pharr.

JUDGE SUMNER: Excuse me. I'm sorry.

MS. PHARR: My name is Sierra Pharr, I am the victim's third child. I was five at the time she was murdered and now I'm twenty-two. have never actually seen a picture of my mother until today and actually, unfortunately, it was because of, well, the picture showed that she was bludgeoned and stabbed to death. This process has been saddening and nerve-racking. I honestly believe that Mr. Gregory Taylor isn't 100 percent innocent. I also surely do believe he didn't act alone. I believe drugs were a factor and drugs are known to be powerful and draw people out of their character and make poor choices. Poor choices will always end in consequences. For Mr. Gregory Taylor choices, he should now and forever spend the rest of his life in prison whether he acted as a participant in the murder, he looked on, or he was just there. At the end of the day I feel as if a better investigation should have been conducted

in '91. All parties should have been convicted and we wouldn't be here today.

And my opinion on the confession of Mr. Craig Taylor is that it was his way of having control because he said that, you know, his feelings kind of meant more than what my mom wanted doesn't mean that he acted on it, but after his confession was more so of his way of kind of being possessive and controlling, kind of having, you know, his shine or his light, because from my understanding he's had that illness for a while and it seems like more of the confession is coming out after the continuous interviews, consistent interviews.

And I do ask that all decisions made upon today are truthful, mindful and unbiased.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Thank you, ma'am.

JUDGE SUMNER: Thank you.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: The victim's sister would also like to be heard by the Commission.

Please tell the Commission your name and then whatever you would like.

MS. LITTLEJOHN: My name is Yolanda Thomas Littlejohn. I am the -

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Can you speak up?

JUDGE SUMNER: I'm sorry, speak

louder.

MS. LITTLEJOHN: Yolanda Thomas Littlejohn,
I am the victim's sister. Let me first say, I
am, I in no way am going to belittle anything
that her daughter has said. She's her daughter.
I have never lost a mother so I have no idea
what it was like to grow up without one.

With that being said, I grew up with my sister. I loved her dearly, but I understood who she was, I understood how she lived her life. And it was poor choices that she made that her life ended the way that it did. That didn't make it right for anyone to take her life. You know, it didn't make it right for Mr. Greg Taylor to be doing drugs that night. Will say that she lived the life that she loved and she loved the life that she lived. And although it broke all of our hearts for her to die such a tragic death, I have never ever believed that Mr. Greg Taylor was the one that did this. And I say that because growing up here in Raleigh, growing up in the atmosphere

sometimes that we did live in and knowing at what point in her life she made the choices to deal with people who made bad choices, then I can understand.

I was not surprised of Mr. Craig Taylor's confession, and I say that because of, again, environment, atmosphere, and that is what we grew up around. Although we did not live in the projects, we went to school with these people, and these were the people that she decided to hang around and ultimately live around because of choices of having kids and being unemployed, high school dropout, now she was in the projects. So it was -- I could have easily been the same, but by my own choices I didn't.

I have always felt like he deserved a chance to be heard again. And when I was first approached by the Innocence Commission I can tell you, no, initially I didn't want to deal with it because I didn't want it to be reopened. As a parent now and I have kids, and I would, even having raised her daughter for some time, I can't imagine what it would be like for me not to be here. I can only imagine what it's like

for Mr. Greg Taylor's mom and dad and everything that he lost. Again, his poor choices to do drugs, but I don't believe he had anything to do with her death. I believe it was environment, I believe it was the people in that environment, and I understand because I know how many years she was in that environment. But we loved her in spite of. We could not change it, we could stop it. We loved her. That will never change. We have always been there for her kids the best that we could.

I guess all I'm saying is please take everything that you've heard from every witness statement, be it 1991 or to the present, take every witness statement. Again, we didn't live with these people, but we grew up and we went to school with these people and it was choices that she made. And that's it.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Thank you so much.

JUDGE SUMNER: Thank you.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Your Honor, all I have to do now is give you your standard of review and closing and then it will be time for you to move into deliberation. Do you want the

media to come back in for those final remarks 1 that I have about the standard of review? 3 JUDGE SUMNER: They are entitled to hear the last portion of the public part of this 4 hearing. 5 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Okay. It will only 6 7 be just a couple of minutes. JUDGE SUMNER: Okay. 8 9 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Because you know I don't get closing arguments. 10 JUDGE SUMNER: 11 Right. 12 MR. JENKINS: can I ask -- I know you don't give a closing argument, but obviously you and 13 14 Sharon have thought about this a long time. we had some questions not dealing directly with 15 facts but just conclusions that you all might 16 have reached about the facts, can you answer 17 18 those questions? I don't know. 19 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: That 20 would be up to the Commission. Usually Judge 21 Sumner does ask me to stay in during your deliberations. 22 23 MR. JENKINS: Okay. 24 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: We try so hard to

keep our opinions out of it and try not to draw conclusions.

MR. JENKINS: All right.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: So it might be hard for us -

MR. JENKINS: Well, for example, I don't know whether this is an opinion or a fact question, but it's coincidental that everybody ended up, that, you know, people ended up at the same place on E Street and ended up in the culde-sac. That's something that I would want, well, I say deliberate about that, but I would be also very interested in the opinion of people that have lived with this case a lot longer than we have or for the thoughts that you all have on that subject.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: I think what I would prefer to do is let you discuss in deliberations if you want to bring us in and ask us those questions, I'm just not comfortable answering them, at least not without the entire Commission asking me. I try really hard not to do that.

JUDGE SUMNER: We'll take that under advisement.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: I'm sorry. Are we
ready to resume?

JUDGE SUMNER: Yes, ma'am.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Okay.

Commissioners, this is it evidence that I have to present to you. Your standard of review according to North Carolina General Statute 15A-1468 is if five or more of the eight voting members of the Commission conclude that there is sufficient evidence of factual innocence to merit judicial review, the case shall be referred to the Chief Justice for appointment of a three-judge panel. I believe -- and you are welcome to pose any other alternatives -- that you have three main choices here: To find that there is sufficient evidence of factual innocence to merit judicial review and refer the case to the three-judge panel; to decide that there is not sufficient evidence of factual innocence to merit judicial review and the investigation would be closed; or to continue this hearing and direct us to conduct further investigation into this case, bring in additional witnesses, whatever it is that you

should choose.

And now I will turn it back over to Judge
Sumner for deliberations. While you are
deliberating, if you would like me to put that
other slide back up, I'll be more than happy to.
And I shall sit down and turn it over to Judge
Sumner.

JUDGE SUMNER: All right, thank you. Once again, this portion of this hearing will be closed to the public and all staff with the exception of Ms. Montgomery-Blinn. And we're going to take a break before we start.

(THEREUPON, A SHORT RECESS WAS TAKEN AND DELIBERATIONS WERE HAD FROM 4:45 P.M. THROUGH 6:17 P.M.)

JUDGE SUMNER: The Innocense Inquiry

Commission hearing is now resumed and it is now open to the public at this point. The

Commission members have had an opportunity to deliberate in private as the Statute requires.

The floor is now open for a motion from the

Commission as to the status of the matter of State of North Carolina vs. Gregory Flint

Taylor. Do I hear a motion at this point?

1 MR. JENKINS: So moved. 2 JUDGE SUMNER: All right. I've heard a 3 motion to move that the Commission find that 4 there is sufficient evidence of factual 5 innocence to merit judicial review of this case by a three-judge panel. Second to that motion? 6 7 MS. PICKENS: Second. JUDGE SUMNER: Properly seconded by Sheriff 8 9 I'll require -- a Statute calls a poll Pickens. 10 vote from each Commissioner. I'll start on my right with Ms. Chilton. 11 12 MS. CHILTON: Yes. 13 MS. PICKENS: Yes. 14 MR. JENKINS: Yes. 15 MR. BECTON: Yes. 16 MS. GREENLEE: Yes. 17 MR. DEVEREUX: Yes. 18 MR. KENERLY: Yes. 19 JUDGE SUMNER: Chair votes yes also. It is 20 referred to a three-judge panel. Let me say 21 before we conclude this proceeding that the 22 Commission members wish to extend to the staff, 23 the Commission, our sincere thanks for the hard 24 work, the diligence, and the manpower extended

in this matter. Thank you very much for your efforts. On a personal note, I understand that Grace is getting married. We also want to extend you our best, sincere appreciation, for your upcoming marriage.

MS. WALLACE: Thank you.

JUDGE SUMNER: All right. That having been said and done, is there any further -- one second, we're still in session. Just one second, folks. One second, folks, please, please. Any further matters for the attention of this Commission before we conclude or adjourn?

MS. PICKENS: Judge, I would like to make a motion that we adjourn for the day and then we thank the staff for their wonderful job. This has been a two-year investigation on their part, a lot of hours, a lot of diligence, and we hope that justice will be served.

JUDGE SUMNER: Thank you. No second -- all those in favor just stand, media's included.

(THEREUPON, THE HEARING CONCLUDED AT 6:19 P.M.)

NORTH CAROLINA
CABARRUS COUNTY

## CERTIFICATE

I, Ira Anderson, Court Reporter and Notary Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding was conducted, do hereby certify that the proceeding was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter transcribed under my supervision; and that the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and accurate transcription of the proceeding.

I do further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this action in which this proceeding was conducted, and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereof, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

This the  $\frac{4th}{}$  day of  $\frac{}{}$ 

September

2009

Tra Anderson, Notary Public Notary Public No. 20022840073

CERTIFICATION
NULL AND VOID IF
FNVELOPE SEAL IS BROKEN