STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA COUNTY OF PITT		IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION FILE NO: 99CRS065056
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA)	
v.)	AFFIDAVIT
HENRY ARCHIE REEVES, III, Defendant/Convicted Person)	

AFFIDAVIT OF CURTIS HARDY

BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED NOTARY PUBLIC, on this day personally appeared Curtis Ishmael Hardy, known to me to be the person whose name is hereunder subscribed, who being first duly sworn on this oath deposed and said as follows: C.H.

My name is Curtis Ishmael Hardy and I am 22 years old. I am qualified in all respects to make this affidavit. All of the facts stated herein are within my personal knowledge and are true and correct. C. H.

My Mom is Denise Hardy and my Dad is Richard Harris. My brothers are Lamar and Donald. My sister is Marquita. Henry "Hank" Reeves is my stepfather. I was born in Newark, New Jersey and we lived there until I was about nine. Then we moved to Washington, North Carolina for a better life. Now, we live in Georgia. C. H.

When I was little, Hank used to take me and my brother to fun places. He was more of a friend figure/older brother than a father. He was good to my Mom. \mathcal{C} . \mathcal{H} .

We moved to North Carolina and my Mom worked in a factory. Hank was working in a group home and later became a cop. Miss Bobby (my Grandma) lived nearby in Greenville, North Carolina. She ran a daycare center out of the house. We went to her house after school. C. H.

I didn't like the way Miss Bobby treated her grandkids. She made us call her "Miss Bobby," like the other daycare kids

did. She treated us like we were workers at her daycare. She called us her "servants." She would sleep while we ran the daycare; we changed the kids' pampers, we cooked for the other kids, we cleaned, and we took the kids out to play. I also had an adopted uncle named Kevin Swinson. He was a year older than me and he had to do the same stuff. Miss Bobby treated my Mom the same way as us. C.H.

I was acting out at home and school, so I had to go live with Miss Bobby. Miss Bobby called staying with her "boot camp." If I talked back to Miss Bobby, she gave me what she called a "killin'." She would use a 2x4, an extension cord, and a broom. This would happen four or five times a week. Sometimes she'd tie my arms and legs up, and hold my head between her legs, and beat me with an extension cord. One time she gave me a "killin'" where she used an extension cord around my neck until I passed out. When I came to, she made me drink anointment oil so I wouldn't have to go to the hospital. Miss Bobby would manipulate my Uncle James and tell him to come over and give us a beating. When we were little, Miss Bobby would put her hand in her private part and make us smell her hand.

I started rebelling against Hank at around 11. Miss Bobby started saying "Hank had the devil in him," and that Hank was cheating on my Mom. Miss Bobby tried to make us hate Mom and Hank. This isn't right because kids shouldn't be deprived of life. I had to learn how to grow up quick. C. H.

Miss Bobby was trying to turn us all against Hank. Miss Bobby would ask Donald and Quita what was going on over at their Mom's house. All of us kids were telling her stories and exaggerating because that's what she wanted to hear. Hank would come over to pick up Quita and Donald, we would watch them go and be sad because we really knew he wasn't the devil. Donald was starting to turn against Hank because Miss Bobby would say "Your Daddy has the devil in him and will kill you in your sleep." Donald would cry when she'd say this. C. H.

One day, when we were all on Miss Bobby's back porch and she was on a recliner in the living room, Donald said he had a secret. His secret was that some girl had called his Mom's house and that the girl and his Dad were talking nasty. Quita listened to this and she was found of her older brothers. Quita said, "My Daddy be hurtin' me, he be crackin' my feet, doing this (demonstrated dance motion), and this (demonstrated patting her belly)." I know she meant her Daddy popped her toes. Hank

C. H.

would put on a song and would dance and knock her over and she'd get mad. C, H.

We brought Quita in to tell Miss Bobby, and Quita said, "He be crackin' my feet and he be hurtin' me." Miss Bobby said, "He's messing with your stuff? Don't lie to me or you get a whooping." She narrowed her eyes at Quita and Quita said, "Yes." Then Miss Bobby said, "He put his dick on you?" Quita didn't know what she meant. No one had ever said the word dick to her. (, H.

This was around 4pm. Miss Bobby didn't call DSS until 7pm. She spent the whole time telling Quita words to say. She was teaching her "dick," "pussy," and other stuff. The only words Quita knew were "coon-coon" and "boom-boom." I was there the whole time and I remember it all. Every chance she had, Miss Bobby would prep Quita. Quita first said that Hank's dick was three feet long (showed with her hands) and gray. Miss Bobby would correct her. ? H.

I remember DSS got involved and I thought that the DSS workers acted liked they had Quita in the palm of their hand. I remember testifying at the DSS hearing. I was about 13. Mom and Hank were not in the courtroom, they watched through the TV. I don't remember if Miss Bobby was there. At the time of the DSS hearing, I was starting to not be turned from Hank, but I was still afraid of Miss Bobby. I told the truth, but I was scared. I never went to court for the criminal trial, but I would have testified if they asked me to. C, H.

I know nothing bad happened between Hank and any kid. That's not even in Hank's stature. It's not something Hank would do. I know he didn't touch Quita. C. H.

After all this, I just gave up on life. Miss Bobby wouldn't let me play football and I was really good. Miss Bobby never let me run with the other kids. My Mom was crying all the time and there were bags under her eyes - She was just a mess, a workaholic. Hank acts different now, it's like he's still stuck in that year and he hasn't moved forward. He says over and over, "Why does God put people through this? Why did this happen? I love my kids. I didn't do it."

Grace and Kendra showed me a letter. It's missing the front page but it's exactly what I said before and it's all true.

That is all that I can remember. No one asked me to testify at the criminal trials. It still affects me today, and it is all true. C, H.

This is the 10^{th} day ρf October, 2007

Curtis Hardy (age 22)

FURTHER THE AFFIANT SAYETH NOT

NOTARL WALLACKING RACE E. WALLAC

Sworn and Subscribed before me this $10^{\rm th}$ day of October, 2007

Grace E. Wallace

Notary Public for the State of North Carolina

My Commission Expires: August, 2012

July scorieller medition tenera - treat exemple

	Word Used	Pain	When	Where	Clothes on/off	Sexual Abuse	Physical Abuse	Not to Tell
To Bobby Lemaine 6/7/99	Dick	Burns	Unknown (had been complaining of pain)	Parent's bedroom		-Tells to suck dick -Puts dick in front & back -Shows dick		If tells, will take off clothes & beats her
At hospital 6/7/99		No physical complaints	-Yesterday -2-3 days ago					
To Det. Craft & Ms. Asbell 6/7/99	Coon-coon & Boom-boom	Itches and Burns		Bathroom	Takes clothes off & beats	-Shows boom- boom when pees -Hurting me (pointed at crotch)	-Toe cracking -Hurts hands, feet, & legs -Hits stomach & forces head to ground	
To Dr. Coker 6/8/99	-Coon-coon, Boom-boom -Used dick once	-Hurt -Gives a headache	-Yesterday -Over & over -Bedtime -"Don" outside	Wakes up when asleep	Brown wrap thing (likely a bathrobe)	-Put soap on coon- coon & peed in it -Tells her to suck his dick, she won't	-Cracking foot -Tries to break arms -Found probable physical abuse	
To Dr. Robertson 07-08,1999	-Coon-coon, Boom-boom -Used dick twice	Hurt	-"A lot" -While watching TV	-In his water bed -Laying on chair	Brown thing (likely a bathrobe)	-Sucks his dick (later said didn't) -Put boom-boom in coon-coon & butt	-Cracking foot -Found no physical abuse	Not to tell Mom & Grandma
Testimony 1/16/01	Thing he / I pee with	-Hurt -Burns	-Twice -One time (clarification)	Parent's bedroom	He wore a shirt & pants	-Put thing he pees with in her thing -Told to suck thing		Never told her not to tell anybody
Testimony 1/31/01	Thing he / I pee with	Stinging	-One time -Before school -Brothers at school	Parent's bedroom	Both had all their clothes off	-Put thing in mine -Told to suck thing -Never put thing in her back, just front -Peed in her thing,	Never hit her in the stomach	

Character Reference Letter for Henry Archie Reeves III

To the Innocence Commission:

This letter is in support of Henry A. Reeves III. My name is Tomeco R. Washington and I am a Psychotherapist who works with Adolescent Male Sex Offenders and a Teacher that work with young boys with Emotional Behavior Disorders in the State of Georgia. I rarely write character reference letters for anyone but this individual deserves one. I met Hank about 22 years ago when I was 15 years old. After meeting Hank we shared a long term relationship for years. During our term as boyfriend and girlfriend we shared many things but none sexual. Hank never coerced me to do anything sexual in nature at all. In fact, during our relationship all Hank concentrated on was motivating and encouraging me to be the best in life that I could be. Hank taught me to be different from the rest so that others could have an opportunity to experience the best me that I could be. Hank taught me new words out of the dictionary and their meanings. Hank practiced with me for the SAT, taught me how to drive, encouraged me to be active in sports, and motivated me to attend college and much more. Hank and I enjoyed many good times together but he never attempted to have sex with me at all until my 2nd year in college after my 20th birthday. Hank was a gentleman at all times and he always trained me to be a lady and to never let anyone take advantage of me.

I say all of this to say, that years later when I found out that Hank was a State Trooper I thought that to be a fitting position for him because he enjoyed helping and protecting others. After I left for college Hank maintained contact with the family and he became my brothers' mentor. Hank taught my brother how to play basketball and he helped get him a scholarship to school which resulted in my brother being a Professional International Basketball Player who mentors others. Hank didn't just help my family; he also mentored other teens in the community. Hanks encouragement, mentoring and motivation have resulted in many of us leaving our jobs in Corporate America to become leaders in our local communities.

The thought that Hank is capable of hurting his own daughter in a sexual manner or any manner is unthinkable. Hank has spent countless hours displaying love and affection to many inner city children that he didn't even know. So the question is: "Why would a man of this character chance everything for a sexual inappropriate act with his own daughter?"

I have offered an abundance of information about Hank and his character but I didn't tell you my stand on this. As a young girl I met Hank after being sexually abused by someone in my neighborhood and Hank was the person that helped me through this. Hank has seen 1st hand how victims are impacted from a sexual crime. Two years ago while working as a Counselor in the Sex Offender Unit my daughter was raped one weekend while visiting her father at the age of 9 years old. A year ago we started a small organization called Jesus Crew Diamonds that work to prevent sexual abuse of children through Puppetry Arts and Interactive Seminars. I would never right a character letter for anyone that I felt was directly in a position to harm a child. This letter is to say that I have known Henry Archie Reeves III for a long time now and I believe that he is innocent and is no danger to his daughter or any other child. Please don't make the wrong mistake by causing this man and his family any more difficulty because there are many children in the world that can benefit from Hank's skills, abilities and encouragement. Let's not make this man a scapegoat for someone lies and cause him to regret the things that he has done in the past.

Sincerely Yours,

Tomeco R. Washington, M.A.

230 Adelene Way

Dallas, Georgia 30157

Cell 678-978-1409

To the Innocence Commission, Attention: Kendra or Grace

We are writing in collaboration to support Henry Archie Reeves III who we know as Hank. We are Evelyn and Coleman Robinson Sr. and we know Hank as if he is our son. We trust and love him and highly support him in his endeavors because he has changed our lives and many others that know him. We wish that there were more people in the world like Hank, people that don't mind challenging others potential and speaking out for themselves. Hank has been through a lot in his day but through it all he has stayed consistent in his effort to support, educate and help others. Hank has always been a gentlemen and I wish that there were more people in the world like Mr. Reeves because he is truly a great citizen. If there was more people in the world like Hank this world would be a better place. Please review this case and investigate the truth because I am certain that you will find that Hank could never commit such a crime. If we could of any assistance please do not hesitate to call us. We have had the opportunity to observe Hank's behaviors through family outings, church events, community service and more.

Thanks and May God Bless,

Coleman & Evelyn Robinson

Work- 908-879-0606

Home- 732-424-7934

Cell- 732-672-7894

PERSONALLY APPEARED before the undersigned officer authorized to administer oaths, Charles E. Slupski, who, being duly sworn, states on oath the following:

This polygraph examination report is my Affidavit (statement) concerning polygraph testing I administered to Mr. Henry A. Reeves III on December 3, 2007 and documented herein:

Examinee:

Mr. Henry A. Reeves III

Exam Date:

December 3, 2007

Exam Location: Exam Results:

Morrow, Georgia Series I: Deception Indicated (Not Truthful)

Series II: Deception Indicated (Not Truthful)

Exam Purpose: To assess the truthfulness of Mr. Henry A. Reeves III concerning a 1999 allegation that he put his penis into or on the vagina and rectum of his then 6 year old daughter, Marquita, asked her to suck his penis, and deliberately exposed his bare penis to her. The examination was conducted upon request of the North Carolina Innocence Inquiry Commission.

Background: On / about June 7, 1999 Marquita Reeves, age 6, told her brother that Henry A. Reeves III had sexually touched her. The brother informed a grandmother who sought medical examination which disclosed indications of penetration, while the hymen was still intact. Henry A. Reeves III was subsequently convicted in court, with charges reflecting that within a few days prior to June 7, 1999, he asked Marquita to suck his penis, he put his penis in her vagina and rectum, and he deliberately exposed his bare penis to her. Subsequent to two years incarceration, Mr. Henry A. Reeves III continues to maintain his innocence.

Polygraph Pre-test Interview: During the pre-test phase of the examination on December 3, 2007, Mr. Reeves consented to polygraph testing. He agreed that information disclosed during the examination, the test results, and the opinion of the examiner would be released to the North Carolina Innocence Inquiry Commission. He responded to questions concerning his suitability for polygraph testing, and reported depression concerning his wrongful conviction and subsequent treatment. In the opinion of the examiner, Mr. Reeves appeared suitable for testing.

Mr. Reeves denied the reported allegations of his daughter. He stated that at his first criminal trial, she was confused and unable to actually formulate the allegations. At his second criminal trial, she was clearer in the allegations, indicating she had been coached in what to say. He insinuated that the allegations and conviction were a result of the grandmother, who was apparently motivated by money, as she later obtained custody of Marquita and obtain child support orders against both Mr. and Mrs. Reeves. Mr. Reeves stated that his son once overheard him talking about oral sex with an adult female on the phone. His son apparently told the grandmother about what he had heard and that incident lead to the allegations that he had sexually abused his daughter.

Polygraph In-test Phase: A Lafayette model LX4000 computerized polygraph system was used for the collection of all polygraph tests (test data). This instrument make a continuous recording of movement associated with respiration, electro dermal activity, cardiovascular activity and other body movement recorded using piezo electric activity sensors. A functionality check prior to the examination confirmed the instrument was in proper working order.

A known solution acquaintance test was administered to familiarize Mr. Reeves with the testing protocol and to further assess his suitability for testing. Recorded physiological activity appeared normal and testing continued.

During the Series I in-test phase of the examination, a Zone Comparison Technique was administered, with each of three tests containing the following pertinent questions answered as indicated:

Question:

Did you rub your bare penis against Marquita's vagina in 1999 while living in

North Carolina?

Answer:

No.

Question:

Did you physically touch Marquita's bare vagina with your bare penis when living

in North Carolina during 1999?

Answer:

No.

Question:

Was there skin to skin contact between your penis and Marquita when she was 6

years old?

Answer:

No.

Polygraph Data Analysis / Conclusion: Numerical analysis of the Series I physiological responses on the three tests were conducted using a seven-position scoring scale. This analysis resulted in a conclusion of "deception indicated"; therefore, the examiner is of the opinion that Mr. Reeves was not being truthful when answering the above listed pertinent questions. Mr. Reeves was not informed of the test results until after the Series II testing.

During the Series II in-test phase of the examination, a Zone Comparison Technique (You Phase) was administered, with each of three tests containing the following pertinent questions answered as indicated:

Question:

In 1999, did you say anything to Marquita about putting your penis in her mouth?

Answer:

No.

Question:

Regarding telling Marquita anything about putting your penis in her mouth, did you

say anything about that to her?

Answer:

No.

Polygraph Data Analysis / Conclusion: Numerical analysis of the Series II physiological responses on the three tests was conducted using a seven-position scoring scale. This analysis resulted in a conclusion of "deception indicated"; therefore, the examiner is of the opinion that Mr. Reeves was not being truthful when answering the above listed pertinent questions.

Polygraph Post-test Interview: During the post-test phase of the examination, Mr. Reeves was informed of the test results. He continued to maintain he had been truthful during testing. He continued to deny the allegation(s) and the examination was concluded.

The original data and associated documentation are maintained by Quality Polygraph Services, Inc. for a six month time period.

Sworn to by:

Charles E. Slupski

SWORN BEFORE ME, this 4th day of December 2007

Thanks E. Shyski

Lisa Xan Johnson

NOTARY PUBLIC, CLAYTON COUNTY, GEORGIA MY COMMISSION EXPIRES NOVEMBER 29, 2009

Curriculum Vitae

Charles E. Slupski

Quality Polygraph Services, Inc. P.O. Box 686
Morrow, GA 30260-0686
770-960-1377
Qpolygraph@aol.com
www.polygraphschool.com

EDUCATION

Master of Science in Management, Troy State Univ., Troy, AL (1992)
Master of Science in Criminal Justice, Troy State Univ., Troy, AL (1980)
Bachelor of Science in Law Enforcement, Jacksonville State Univ., Jacksonville, AL (1978)

WORK EXPERIENCE

Quality Polygraph Services, Inc. President and Polygraph Examiner

December 1999 - Present

Responsible for business operations, management, polygraph exams and training for select clients; and, other polygraph related services administered in support of attorneys, police, corporate, and private clients.

American International Institute of Polygraph Director & Instructor

December 1999 – Present

Responsible for development of school curriculum and conduct of training accredited by the American Polygraph Association and recognized by the American Association of Police Polygraphists.

Argenbright Polygraph/Int'l Institute of Polygraph Director/Assistant Director/Polygraph Examiner

September 1997 – December 1999

Responsible for the development of curriculum, conduct of training, and polygraph examinations administered by a commercial polygraph school/services company.

State of Arizona Department of Corrections Polygraph Examiner

July 1997 - September 1997

Responsible for the conduct of specific issue polygraph examinations of inmates and staff, in support of criminal and internal affairs (administrative) investigations.

Department of Defense Polygraph Institute & US Army CID September 1992 - June 1996 Polygraph Instructor/Examiner & Staff Assistant to the Director

Responsible for teaching polygraph related subjects, developing training courses, managing the day-to-day operations of the polygraph research division, and conducting polygraph exams.

US Army Criminal Investigations Command Special Agent & Polygraph Examiner

November 1983 – September 1992

Responsible for the conduct of polygraph exams of suspects of criminal offenses ranging from thefts to murder and supervising 37 investigative/support personnel.

Special Agent/Team Chief

June 1979 - August 1983

Managed and supervised a Drug Suppression Team and a Black-market Suppression Team. Conducted criminal investigations of felony level crimes, including rape, murder, robbery, thefts, and fraud.

SIGNIFICANT SCHOOLS AND TRAINING

American Polygraph Association Annual Seminar/Workshops, 1990, 1998 - 2005
American Association of Police Polygraphists Annual Training Seminar, 1999, 2003, 2005, 2006
Backster School of Lie Detection Polygraph Examiner Work Conference, 1998
Argenbright Intl Institute of Polygraph, Polygraph Monitoring of the Sex Offender Certification
Course, Atlanta, GA., 1998

Department of Defense Polygraph Institute, Fort McClellan, AL

- Polygraph Examiner Management Course, 1993
- Polygraph Course (Test for Espionage & Sabotage), 1993
- Polygraph Supervisor's Course, 1992
- > Computerized Polygraph (Theories & Use), 1992
- Polygraph Examiner Refresher Course, 1992

US Army Polygraph School, Fort McClellan, AL

- Polygraph Advanced Course, 1985
- > Polygraph Examiner Training Course, 1983

PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

American Association of Police Polygraphists - Member

American Polygraph Association – Member

Post Conviction Sex Offender Testing Committee (2004-5)

APA Certified Primary Instructor

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM International)

Member, Vice-Chair for 2006

Association for the Treatment of Sexual Abusers – Member

Criminal Investigation Division Agents Association – Member

Georgia Polygraph Association - Charter Member

International Association of Forensic Psychophysiology Institutes - Member

South African Professional Polygraph Association - Charter Member

LICENSED: South Carolina, 2003 - 2006

COUNTY OF PITT		IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION FILE NO: 99CRS065056
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA)	
V.)	AFFIDAVIT
HENRY ARCHIE REEVES, III, Defendant/Convicted Person)	

AFFIDAVIT OF KELVIN NOBLES

BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED NOTARY PUBLIC, on this day personally appeared Kelvin Nobles, known to me to be the person whose name is hereunder subscribed, who being first duly sworn on this oath deposed and said as follows:

My name is Kelvin Nobles and I am qualified in all respects to make this affidavit. All of the facts stated herein are within my personal knowledge and are true and correct.

I am the former Chief of Police for Vanceboro, North Carolina. I began working as a police officer for the Vanceboro Police Department in June of 1994. I served as Chief of Police from September of 1997 until August 31, 2007. During the time that I was Chief of Police, the Vanceboro Police Department was working with the Eastern North Carolina Multi-Jurisdictional Narcotics Taskforce (the Taskforce). The various Chief's of Police and Sheriff's served as the Board of Directors of the Taskforce. Art Manning was and is the Taskforce Commander.

A few years ago, I recall that the Taskforce had a meeting where Art Manning discussed a criminal case against Henry Reeves. I cannot recall exactly when the meeting was; probably around 1999. I think the meeting was in Greenville at Parker's BBQ because that is where we regularly met.

I have checked in my files to see if I have minutes of this particular meeting, but my minutes do not go that far back. I am checking with the Vanceboro Police Department to see if they have any documentation.

I recall that at our meeting, Art Manning discussed something that had happened in the Henry Reeves case. I cannot remember all the finite details because it has been so long, but I do remember that Art Manning told us that he came out of the Pitt County Jail and a little girl spoke to him. It turned out to be Mr. Reeves' daughter. She said something to Art Manning about her daddy didn't do anything and someone made her say it.

Art Manning is a good fellow. He can be opinionated, but he is always well intentioned. Sometimes he does not have as much tact as he should, and that can throw people off. No one on the Taskforce would say they don't trust Art Manning. I don't doubt his credibility.

This statement is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I cannot recall all of the details of the meeting, but I do recall the Taskforce meeting and that Art Manning brought up the things that Henry Reeves' daughter said to him about her daddy not doing anything and that someone made her say it.

This is the 29thday of November, 2007

Kelwin Nobles

FURTHER THE AFFIANT SAYETH NOT

Sworn and Subscribed before me this 39m day of November, 2007

cary Public for the State of North Carolina

Expires: Dec 13,2010

Henry Reeves 5644 Moss Lane Washington NC 27889 Home: (770)388-9585 Business: (252) -Home Fax: (252) -

3/9/2000 -

Henry Reeves is a 34 yr. old, Black male presently living alone in Washington, NC. He comes in today feeling very angry about alleged sexual abuse which has involved him in court hearings which are yet unresolved. Charges have been brought by his mother-in-law against him for sexually abusing his 7 year old daughter. He has been ordered out of the home by the court and has not seen his children in 275 days. His next court date is this coming Wednesday.

Mr. Reeves was born and raised in NJ, the youngest of six children. His oldet brother died in 1986 as a result of a freak accident (he was hit by a car driven by a 14yr. old who had stolen the car). All the children in the family are five years apart. The other siblings are girls. He has strong feelings of resetent toward his father who died in 1989 for his treatment of his mother (emotioanly and verbally abusive - very controlling). His father died of lung cancer and had been employed by UPS. His mother died in 1994 from complications of Alzheimers. She had been a cafeteria worker and Mr. Reeves indicates strong attachment to and affection for his mother. No history of sexual abuse - verbal and emotional abuse by father (and by father against mother); he describes father as "controlling".

Mr. Reeves has been involved with his present partner (it is unclea whether or not he is married) for the past twelve years. They have a son aged 9 and a daughter aged 7. His partner has two sons by a previous relationship who live in the family home, they are aged 14 and 13 and Mr. Reeves reports a good relationship with them.

Mr. Reeves has been employed in the past at BC Developmental Center and has been a police officer in both Belhaven and Plymouth. Prior to moving to NC, he droe a bus in NJ for many years. Crrently, he is unemployed, having been

fired just days after allegations of sexual abuse were made. He spent 38 days in jail and was released on \$100,000.00 bond which wa sposted by his partner and her brother. He brings in with him today the evaluations of his daughter by PCMH and the Teddy Bear Clinic 0 they do not confirm sexual abuse but he tells me the report concluded that there was a high probablility of abuse. He is convinced that his daughter was coached in what to say by her partner's mother with whom he has never had a good relationship. He feels in "limbo" because all these charges remain pending, he has not had his name clared, and his life feels completely disrupted. He is most angry that he cannot see his children and feels angry that they have been depreived of their father for all this time. He reports a storng relationship with his daughter prior to charges being made. He feels that he is at the end of his rope in this regard.

Also significant is the fact that he had a serious auto accident while serving in the Plymouth Police Dept. in the course of duty which left him with a punctured lung, broken shoulder, and other injuries. He does not physically feel back to par and wonders if her ever will be. He loved his work as a police officer and fears he will never be able to return to that line of duty. His losses are myriad and real.

He agrees to participate in some limited therapy. He is not a beiever in therapy, but comes on at the suggestion of his parish priest. Goal will be to work on anger control and support him in attempts to regain a focus for his life and explore his options for work, family, etc.

Diagnostic impression: Major depression as evidenced by inability to work, fatigue and lack of energy, sadness (tearfulness), sense of hopelessness, anger with desire to hurt but no intent (religious grounds, significance of children in his life). Mr. Reeves is oriented in all spheres, no indication of any disorder of thought or memory, no evidence of psychosis (delusions or hallucinations). He appears to be a man of above average intelligence who is well spoken and capable of insight.

results would be certain loss of his daughter. He has asked me to view the tape of the TV show and once again review the records from Pitt County and to communicate with his lawyers to see if there is anything that can be done to expidite the situation and bring this nightmare to a close. He describes his feelings as being in a nightmare from which he cannot wake up. The helplessness to help his daughter is very strong for him.

6/22/2000 -

spoke with Ms. Regina Moore, Mr. Reeves attorney in the sexual abuse charges, (Mr. Fisher represents him in the child custody matter after charges were brought by DSS that he moved back into the home with the wife and children). Mrs. Moore is concerned that Mr. Reeves may not be in a proper frame of mind to go into court. She tells me she is concerned about his volatility which she has witnessed. She reported to me that he threatned to kill the grandmother who brought the charges and had to be intercepted. She feels he has the potential to be explosive. She agrees that a psychiatric evaluation and medication is recommendable. I agreed I would try and bring Mr. Reeves to accepting this process in our next session together. She does feel too that he has some suicidal ideation. She took my name and phone number for future reference. She tells me there is a backlog of cases in Pitt County and that this delay is normal, if regrettable because of how hard it is on the defendant and all concerned. I told Ms. Moore I would recommend more frequent treatment to Mr. Reeves - perhaps once a week for six weeks or so.

6/22/2000 -

Telephoned the office of Mr. Steven Fisher and left a message that I would like to speak with him about Mr. Henry Reeves.

7/13/2000 -

Mr. Reeves in for scheduled psychotherapy. He remains "stuck" in the same position as evidenced previously: angry at the injustice of his current situation, ruminating again and again over the "facts" of what has happened, stressing over the damage to his daughter. His concern for his daughter is paramount and that is the cause of great anger to him. I talked with him today about the need to descalate his anger by trying to not ruminate as much as he does explaining that what he thinks fuels his emotions. There is no doubt of the injustice, but he has to accept what he cannot change and try to move forward with his life - so that he is in a position of strength to help heal the family once the immediate matter is resolved. This is very hard for him to even imagine. He agrees, however, to come in for therapy for the next four weeks. He refuses to even consider medication.

7/20/2000 -

Mr. Reeves in for scheduled psychotherapy. He remains upset about his current circumstances, very concerned about his daughter and the impact of all this on her - worried in particular about the adverse effect of remaining in her grandmother's custody because of the mind games he feels are being played with his daughter. He shared a letter with me that he had written to her which expressed his love and concern and his disappointment that he has not been able to protect her from harm as he feels he should.

Cognitive approach used to try and de-escalate Mr. Reeves' anger by separating out the strands of concern/injustice that he sees vs. emotionally combining them into the form of "conspiracy" as he has done. He has serious and seemingly well-founded questions about how this case has proceeded or failed to proceed in a timely manner - and they may speak to the incompetence of certain individual involved and to the ineffeciency of the system as a whole - but they do not necessarily lead up to conspiracy against him in particular - and this is part of the anger that he can let go of and needs to let go of if he is to be effective in his own defense and assume

leadership in helping his family to heal once this ordeal is over. Mr. Reeves assures me that he is not a danger to anyone - if he were, he would have acted long ago. But letting go of his anger - or any part of it - is very difficult. Certainly, his anger to a certain point is justified - my concern is with what is intensified by the way he thinks about the situation. I did feel there was openness to my intervention on his part.

7/27/2000 -

Mr. Reeves in for scheduled psychotherapy. Very difficult session today. He remains stuck in a very hurt and depressed place - no movement that her perceives on the legal front. The waiting is very difficult for him. We explored some of his early relationship history with Denise and her mother (mostly for my clarification of the big picture). It appears the relationship between Mr. Reeves and Denise's mother was not good from very early on in his relationship with Denise. He opted to sta away from Denise's mother. We talked about the dyadic nature of relationships and the way that I see boundaries being breached and triangulation involved in what has happened here regarding sexual abuse allegations. Intervention was to nudge client toward looking toward the future and what he needs to do to get his life on track (he talks about wanting his life back but not knowing how to get it back). I asked him simply to think about the idea that he has to begin now to get his life back together - he can't wait until a court date and disposition of the case.

At one point in the session, I mentioned that his son Donald had told me about a scar/welt on his arm inflicted by his grandmother, and mr. Reeves interrupted me and asked me not to tell him anything that the children had shared. He was afraid that he would "snap" and hurt the person causing all this pain (Denise's mother). I told him I did not believe in "snapping" and that it was his job to be in control. I rehearsed the additional trauma that would befall his daughter if she lost her father to jail because of assault or manslaughter charges and highlighted the helplessness he would continue to feel if he

could not be an active part of his children's lives. He heard this well and indicated his agreement and understanding.

I told him that I was going to speak further with his attorney (if that was okay with him) because I was feeling some concern about his daughter's safety in the situation in which she now lives - and that I may have to get some legal counsel about my obligation to intervene with DSS. In this context, Mr. Reeves broke into tears that lasted for an extended period. He sobbed about his worry about his daughter and her well-being. His frustration at being so helpless to protect her. He sobbed that he missed her and the children. Eventually, I intervened that I wanted to comfort him, but I also needed to urge him forward. We ended with some diaphramatic breathing to help regain control. I'm sure he was exhausted by the end of the session and the emotionality of it.

7/27/2000 - Telephone: Mrs. Moore

I telephoned Mrs. Regina Moore (attorney in the criminal proceedings against Mr. Reeves) to express my concerns about the safety of Mr. Reeves' daughter in her present environment. she reminded she was handling the criminal charges and that it would be appropriate to speak with Mr. Fisher sho is handling the custody case. I indicated I might need some advice about my obligation to inform someone at DSS of my concerns and she indicated that it would be appropriate to do so.

I telephoned Mr. Fisher's office for the second time, indicating to the secretary that I had called before and Mr. Fisher never returned my call. I told her it was urgent that I speak with him and asked if he would leave me a time when he might be available tomorrow so that I could call him between clients.

8/3/2000 -

Mr. Reeves in for scheduled psychotherapy. He is a bit calmer today, more open to psychodynamic interaction and exploration of facets of traumatic situation at hand. Still tearful

 he cried again today but of shorter duration than last session. He reports he has been trying to think about the future and how to get his life back together, but feels he doesn't know how to do that until he is sure his daughter is safe (this was the breakthrough for tearfulness today). We talked today about how his response to the "other person" (as he calls Quita grandmother) is similar to his response to his father who was very controlling - particularly financially. He feels a failure at this point because he has "nothing to show" for his years of work and effort: no job, no home, no home for his children. He talked about some of his anger toward the children's mother since she for so long allowed herself to be manipulated by her mother - and allowed her mother to interfere with the children and with his relationship with her. We talked about the need to begin exploring the area of work, citing the Freudian understanding that man stands on two legs: love and work. At this time he cannot control fully the former (love of his family) but can begin to make inroads - even if only temporary because he so loves the law enforcement work that he was fired from - into the workplace. He is making slow steady gains in openness to change, but is still manifestly researched.

8/10/2000 -

Mr. Reeves in for scheduled psychotherapy. Situation remains the same and he remains distressed. We listened together to a tape of his father and talked using the cognitive model about how his sense of "never good enough" has historical roots in his relationship with his father and continues today - especially as he feels unable to provide financially for his family. Ventilation done and support offered.

8/17/2000 -

Mr. Reeves in for scheduled psychotherapy. He is maintaining - but finding it difficult to get on with his life until he sees his daughter and is assured of her well-being. Nothing has been resolved through the courts - there may be a court date on 8/21/00. He brought in a folder of materials that he

wanted me to look through as we conversed - and the materials led to some good insight. Materials were letters of recommendation and notes of appreciation from children with whom he has worked in the past.

Discussion and interventions: he is a survivor as attested to in a poignant letter from his pastor - he needs to rely on his ability to survive this eopisode in his life; his linkage through memory with his father important to come to terms with so he is not influenced by those holding adversary roles in this current situation. I see a more relaxed persona and more flexible affect than I did initially - and Mr. Reeves is becoming aware of small changes in his thinking/feeling mode.

8/23/2000 - Telephone: office of Stephen Fisher

bristine from Mr. Fisher's office telephoned to see if I had a phone number for Mr. Reeves as they needed to reach him regarding upcoming court date. I told her I did not but would be seeing Mr. Reeves tomorrow and would convey the message for him to call Mr. Fisher's office - which I did on 8/24/00. Mr. Reeves phoned Mr. Fisher from my office.

8/24/2000 -

8/24/2000 -

Mr. Reeves in for psychotherapy. He remains depressed, talking more about wanting to get on with his life, wanting to rebuild his relationships with his family - but stating that he doesn't know how and knows now that he needs help to move on - he can't do it on his own: "this is the worst thing that's happened to me...! don't know how to pick up the pieces."

Because of upcoming court hearing, I asked Mr. Reeves to clarify dates for me so that I have the factual big picture.

Prior to June 7, 1999, Mr. Reeves, living with Barbara Hardy, Donald, Marquita @ 5644 Moss Lane, Washington (actually Pitt County). Owned home. Working at Plymouth Police Department (since 1/99) and prior to that at Belhaven

Police Department (10/95), Manpower, Beaufort County Developmental Center - Group Home. Lamar and Curtis lived in the home as well for considerable period of time until 8/94 when problems arose regarding Lamar's anger and lack of respect for Mr. Reeves and Mr. Reeves struggle against interference from Ms. Hardy's mother regarding discipline of the two boys who are not biologically his. Sometime later, Curtis went to live with his grandmother as well after stealing something from Mr. Reeves.

June 7, 1999 - Report of alleged child abuse against Marquita filed.

June 8, 1999 - Marquita initially seen at Teddy Bear Clinic After that time, Mr. Reeves not staying in the home as Ms. Hardy asked to keep he and Marquita apart.

At some point after that and before 7/11/99 (date of his accident) Mr. Reeves and Ms. Hardy met with Joseph Dupree (attorney) who told Mr. Reeves not to take the polygraph test as requested, and indicated that if charges were substantiated by evidence, Mr. Reeves would have been arrested by now.

July 11, 1999 - Mr. Reeves automobile accident while on duty. Resulting in disability from police department.

At some point (perhaps 2 or 3 weeks after the accident) a friend carried him to Ms. Hardy's house so that he could present himself for the children to see to let them know he was okay - and so he could visually see Marquita to know she was alright. He talked with the boys who were present from the truck (he never entered the home) but had no communication with Marquita - only saw her from a distance.

September 3, 1999 - Mr. Reeves returned home at the urging of Ms. Hardy - children were asking for him. That was his first contact with Marquita. According to his report, everything was fine - there was no communication regarding the alleged incident - he did not talk with marquita about it and she did not say anything to him about it. He doesn't think she was apprehensive around him or withdrawn in any way.

September 12, 1999 - Visit from Ms. Lamay - Ms. Lamay

questioned Ms. Hardy about Mr. Reeves presence in the home.

September 14, 1999 - Mr. Reeves arrested; spends the next 38 days in jail under \$100,000.00 bond. Mr. Reeves fired from his job (poice department) because of charges.

January 14, 2000 - Marquita removed from the home - her mother's custody by DSS.

Mr. Reeves and Ms. Hardy lost their home sometime after that - he does not know where their belongings are or business details - just could not and cannot cope with all that. He tells me he never applied for unemployment after he was fired from his job - didn't even think of it.

I asked Mr. Reeves to clarify his marital status. He reports that he and Ms. Hardy have known each other for 12 years. They were married by a judge in SC 12/97. Because of his religious beliefs, he does not consider himself married in the eyes of God. He was reluctant to marry legally because he did not feel that he could accept Ms. Hardy's entire family (her mother in particular) and felt that marrying in good faith required an attempt to unite the families. He reluctantly agreed to the marriage. He talks again about his associations with other women - partly in response to his feelings of "coercion". He tells me again that he is not saintly, has his faults, enjoys sex, but is not at all interested in children - and least of all would ever hurt his daughter.

8/28/2000 - Short Session

Mr. Reeves telephoned me as I was on my home from court (his custody case began today but he was not present in the courtroom) and then stopped into the office. It was obvious that he is more agitated now that he knows the custody trial is in session. He does not feel that he can sit in the courtroom and listen to the opposition's case without becoming explosive. He assures me that he won't hurt anyone but he doesn't think he can keep himself under control.

Later phone call from Mr. Fisher requesting Mr. Reeves presence in court. I have no way of contacting him (asked him for a phone number again today) and gave the information above.

8/30/2000 - Court

Mr. Reeves in court today (not so early 9/29 when I went to deliver my records to court under subpoena by Pitt County DSS). He became upset at one point when listening to closed-court testimony of his older step-son (via closed circuit TV). He left the area and I followed, asking for the assistance of a bailif who had been present. He found Mr. Reeves in the men's room very upset and I followed him there to talk with mr. Reeves (the bailiff present) about gaining control of himself because of his need to be in the courtroom for his son's testimony (his son wanted to testify in open court and asked specifically that his father be present or he wouldn't testify.) Mr. Reeves able to pull himself together and be in the courtroom for his son's testimony - and he did well with that. When asked what had him so upset, Mr. Reeves responded that he just felt so badly for his children - that someone would intentionally hurt them - tell a child that his father should have died (referring to the car accident) or to get money together to get their father out of child - showing no empathy for the child's feelings.

8/31/2000 -

Mr. Reeves in for scheduled psychotherapy. His mood is more hopeful today - after listening to the testimony of his boys yesterday in court. He feels the truth is finally coming out and is somewhat encouraged. But his concern remains with his daughter - that she is still not returned home - that she is not with her parents and her brothers - but in a place where she is afraid and being manipulated. He described himself today as being on "temporary shut down" as a result of the happenings of this past year. He cannot function as he has in the past - primarily because he cannot move forward with his life until he

knows his daughter is safe. After hearing the testimony yesterday, he wonders if the family can possibly heal - if things can ever be the same. We talked in this context about his depression. I asked him once again to consider antidepressant medication - particularly if it would assist him to sit in the courtroom and listen to the evidence as he will have to do at the criminal proceedings that lie ahead. He remains reluctant but says he will think about it. Some education done about antidepressant medication. His criminal proceedings begin on 9/7/00.

9/7/2000 -

Mr. Reeves in for scheduled psychotherapy. He continues to ventilate feelings and express his concern for his daughter which has increased some after hearing the testimony of his son and step-sons in court. He continues to be angered by the fact that an adult has imposed her will on a child - his child - the child who relies on him to protect her - and in the face of whom he is now helpless. He appears tomorrow in criminal court.

He talked about his philosophy of life: I don't bother anybody and I don't expect anyone to bother me; I treat others the way I want to be treated; I don't think I'm better than anyone else but I'll be damned if others are better than me.

He is frustrated that social services did not ascertain or "believe" that there were problems between his mother-in-law and himself. He reports telling Ms. Roberson about this when he met with her sometime in July.

9/10/2000 - Telephone - Mr. Reeves

I recieved a telephone call from Mr. Reeves at home this evening. He was obviously calling from an outdoor phone booth and was upset. He was restating the situation for me, expressing a great deal of concern about his daughter and his frustration that his daughter remains in the home of her grandmother - with no action taken thus far to assure her safety and well-being. Intervention: provide supportive

listening so that he could vent his feelings and concerns, attempt to de-escalate his anger and feelings of retaliation, re-establish his priorities for the entire family and so provide a context for coping positively with ongoing events.

9/18/2000 - Court

peared in Pitt County Court today to offer testimony in response to subpoena from Amanda Stroud. Testified in the morning. Mr. Reeves present. Remained in court throughout the afternoon. Court matter dealt with civil suit regarding custody of Marquita. Support provided to Mr. Reeves periodically through the day as he approached to comment on various aspects of the hearing.

10/5/2000 -

Mr. Reeves telephoned this evening - first time I had heard from him since I last saw him in court. He sounded very depressed and discouraged. Told me he had filed an appeal and that Mr. Fisher was representing him on appeal. I asked him what he is doing to keep his spirits up - and he related talking with his wife's former sister-in-law who has had a similar experience. He wanted me to talk with her - and she telephoned me shortly thereafter, indicating that she had had a similar experience with her mother-in-law and can understand where Mr. Reeves is coming from. She stated that she believed what he was saying - and believed that in the end the truth would emerge.

10/24/2000 -

Mr. Reeves in for scheduled psychotherapy. Things remain the same. He seems to be getting some support from a friend which he is finding helpful. He reiterates his concern for his daughter and difficulty dealing with the long delays involved. He is fixed on events - not tuned in (or not revealing) how he is responding/reacting to events. and this may be his coping strategy.

11/8/2000 -

Mr. Reeves in for scheduled psychotherapy. He remains very concerned about his daughter - and about a recent situation in which there was an altercation between DSS workers and Lamar, Curtis, and Donald during a visitation with Marquita on 11/6/00 prompted by Barbara Hardy's reading a letter to Marquita from Mr. Reeves. He showed me written accounts provided by the boys and told me a complaint to the police had been made. He still has no word on either criminal proceedings or the appeal (which he thinks will come up at the end of November). He cannot move forward with his life until he sees his daughter. He tells me that on 11/3/00 it has now been 500 days since he has seen his daughter. He is at a stage where nothing else matters: money, job, etc. He feels all that will come together when this issue is resolved and he is once again reunited with his family. He reminds me that his contact with Donald is limited by the criminal proceedings (technically he is still out on bond). Remains unable to move forward.

12/21/2000 -

Mr. Reeves in for scheduled psychohterapy. His mood seems somewhat improved in that he is more focused on acting to make things happen. He has received positive response from local councilwoman's office regarding investigation of DSS and their treatment of the family. He remains very concerned about Marquita - and is concerned that now Barbara's visits with Marquita have been curtailed because of pending assault charges. He is more determined to fight for his children and his rights - a sign that his depression is perhaps lifting some (will have to monitor). I had viewed several family videotapes and we talked about those eliciting memories of his life before he charges were made. He will keep me posted as to developments in both the civil and criminal cases - and will phone for an appointment as needed after the holidays.

1/10/2001 -

Mr. Reeves in for scheduled psychotherapy. He seems to be doing quite well at the moment - feeling better about himself - focusing on the fact that he is "a good man" and he knows the truth - the whole truth. He remains concerned about his children, particularly Marquita in the environment in which she is currently living. He insists his life won't be back on track until his family is reunited. He is focusing more on the future: what he needs to do to win his case (the waiting and undertainty remain the most difficult part), and how he intends to proceed once the trial is concluded. He seems to have more hope today.

12/16/2002 -

Mr. Reeves seen briefly this evening. He came in with his wife and son but chose not to participate in the session (told me he believed in keeping things separate as he had in the past prior to going to prison as a way of not contaminating the children's point of view). Mr. Reeves has been home from prison since 12/08/02 and is living with his wife, son, and two step-sons in Williamston. He is preoccupied with righting the wrong that was done to him and with rescuing his daughter from what he considers a bad and harmful situation for her. He wants his family together (as do his wife and son) and is most upset that the family is still fragmented. He does not know where to turn for assistance and feels that he cannot trust people easily. I suggested strongly that he needs to do two things simultaneously: work hard to seek justice and help his daughter, and return to living as normal a life as possible (getting a job, helping with family chores, enjoying family life and normalizing the present experience of the current family). His response is that he cannot do this - cannot function normally. I suggested that this is the point of psychotherapy said that I was not a lawyer and could not help him right the wrong - but I was his therapist and could help him psychologically recover from the trauma sufficiently to function as an adult, husband, and father. He finds this very difficult to accept. I suggested he call me when and if he feels ready to proceed.

2/11/2003 -

Mr. Reeves stopped in the office this evening and I was able to talk with him briefly. He tells me that he was very excited to be able to see his daughter for an hour last week. He saw her at a basketball game he attended with his wife. After the game, his mother-in-law (who has custody of the daughter) told him that he and his wife could have the daughter for an hour. He was thrilled to be able to spend time with his daughter (daughter, son, mother and he together). But he remains very concerned that the daughter cannot yet be reunited with the family - concerned that she is not in a good situation. He continues to try and get his conviction overturned.

5/1/2003 - Telephone

phone conversation with Mr. Reeves in response to his phone call inquiring whether I had received documents in the mail. He is now living with his wife and son in Georgia. Wife is working part-time; he has had difficulty finding work. He was able to talk some about his feelings of helplessness in not being able to provide for his family - and the way this impacts him as a man. He refuses to sign up with the local police department because he feels his conviction was unjust and that do so would be to admit guilt he does not have. He has written to the Justice Department about his situation. We talked briefly about being able to receive help and the wisdom to be learned from being in the receiving position. He remains concerned about his daughter and feels he will never have peace until she is out of her current situation and reunited with her family.

10/26/2003 -

Telephone call from Mr. Reeves (after I had spoken with his

wife). He is currently out of state and is trying to get involved with counseling - has been talking to a Catholic priest who is trying to assist him in finding help and advocacy. He wanted to reinforce the need for advice about how to proceed in the current situation in which his daughter has been left in the custody of her mother by the grandmother who has the legal custody but moved out of state (VA). He remains frustrated that he cannot clear his name.

10/27/2003 - telephone to: Sid Hassell/attorney

Telephone call to Sid Hassell asking legal advice about my relationship with Mr. Reeves and Ms. Hardy.

Questions asked: do I need to report Mr. Reeve's non-compliance with registration as sexual offender. Answer: No, I have qualified privilege and would need answer only if required to do so by a judge.

do I have any obligation to report that Mr. Reeve's daughter is now in the custody of her mother, vs. grandmother who has legal custody. Answer: No, because I have no reason to be concerned about the child's welfare and safety - as attested to by the fact that I filed child abuse complaint with Pitt County DSS some time ago. Therefore, if I speak with DSS about the situation theoretically, I am not obliged to make a report at this time.

3/2/2004 - note received

Mr. Reeves wrote note, received today, undicating he had turned himself in and was in the Pitt County Detention Center. (see paper file).

3/2/2004 - telephone/barbara hardy

Telephone call from Ms. Hardy. I read her Mr. Reeves' letter; she did not know that he has turned himself in.

Barbara Hardy Williamston NC 27896 Home: (252)412-3289 Business: (252) -Home Fax: (252) -

7/20/2000 -

Barbara Hardy seen for family therapy (as collateral to Henry Reeves) with her three sons: Curtis, Lamar, and Donald. My name given to Ms. Hardy by Henry Reeves who has expressed concern about the well-being of his family.

Ms. Hardy told the story of the alleged sexual abuse of her daughter by the girl's father. She is concerned about her daughter and very frustrated by her treatment by and the handling of the case by PCDSS. The case has been going on for over a year. Her daughter lives with Ms. Hardy's mother; Ms. Hardy has supervised visitation rights with her daughter. She does not believe that the alleged sexual abuse took place. It appears that Ms. Hardy has issues with her mother that she needs to resolve in terms of age-appropriate individuation. She seems aware of this in terms of past behavior which was more "controlled" by her mother - and which was a source of tension between herself and Mr. Reeves.

The boys tell me that they also do not believe the sexual abuse took place. Rather they believe (Lamar expressing this and the others expressing agreement) that there was a misunderstanding of something their sister was communicating to their grandmother about word play between Marquita and her father. They report that the grandmother does not like Mr. Reeves and that she turned things against him, shaping Marquita's comments. From their own experience, they talked about their grandmother's "influence", of being afraid of her, of being punished by her. They used the expression "whooped" and sometimes being "whooped again" by an uncle who the grandmother would instruct to "whoop" the boys. Donald spontaneously got out of his seat to come across the room to show me a mark on the inside of his left arm(it appeared to be a welt - not new) caused by being hit with a belt. Curtis told me he had been hit with the buckle of the belt which left only a temporary mark. Ms. Hardy apparently had not been aware of these incidents and asked the boys why they never told her. They responded that they were afraid to cross their grandmother. In this context, they expressed concern about their sister who is in their grandmother's custody. I asked them if Marquita was treated and punished in the same way they were; they responded positively. I asked them if they thought. Marquita was afraid and they responded positively.

We concluded the session by talking about (I drew the family for the children's understanding) the dyadic nature of relationships and the need for the family in its healing and eventual reunification to work on each of the inidividual relationships. The boys in this context expressed their sense of having a good relationship with their sister; they did not express anger with her for "causing" family problems. They indicated they thought she was too young to know what might come of her report. Apparently, they see her with some regularity and seemed to want to offer her their support and assurance of their caring. Ms. Hardy asked if I had gone over these ideas with Mr. Reeves and I indicated I had not. She asked that I do so in the future because of his tendency to refer Marquita's discipline to him rather than handling things directly.

7/27/2000 - Telephone: Ms. Moore/Mr. Fisher/Ms. Stroud

I telephoned Ms. Moore to express my concern about Marquita's safety. She told me she was the attorney for Mr. Reeves' criminal proceedings and that I should call Mr. Fisher, his attorney for the custody matter. I told her my concern; she advised I write to DSS.

I could not reach Mr. Fisher. He was in court. I left a message with the secretary.

Ms. Hardy telephoned to set up an appointment. I informed her of my concern for Marquita's safety and indicated that I would be writing a letter to DSS. She told me she would be seeing her attorney and would talk with her about my concern.

8/8/2000 -

This is the second time that Ms. Hardy has come in for a a conjoint session with her three sons (Curtis, Lamar, and Donald).

I shared with Ms. Hardy and the children a letter I felt compelled to write to Pitt County DSS stating my concerns for the safety and well-being of Marquita after listening to the children's personal stories the first time we met. All agreed the letter expressed what I had heard; Donald corrected me that the welt inflicted on his arm was caused by his uncle not his grandmother. Feelings vented and the various facets of the story retold.

Lamar's anger at school became a concern when he told me he was worried about going to high school next week. He's worried that he can't read as well as the other children in his class. He tells me that he has been in special classes for emotionally disturbed children. I am somewhat surprised by this information because in my presence twice now Lamar comes across as very bright - certainly very well spoken and insightful - and calm and in perfect control of himself. He tells me that he has missed a lot of school because his grandmother would make him stay home to watch the children in her daycare and then would claim to school authorities that Lamar was home because of his asthma. He tells me the same was done to Curtis (who admits this) but never to his uncle who also lives with the grandmother and for whom she pays to go to private school. I begin to wonder if some of Lamar's anger isn't situational. Some intervention done about anger management and the importance of having anger work "for you" vs. "against you" as in damaging reltionships, interfering with school performance, etc. I suggested that at some point Lamar might want to come in alone to work on these issues further.

Other interventions today included: coming to some understanding about the possible trauma to Marquita as a result of being separated from her mother, her father, her brothers, her school and a teacher that she loved very much. Apparently, Quita's school performance declined after she was taken out of the home in February to such an extent that she is being made to repeat the first grade. Her mother states there were no problems with performance prior to the move and she records frequent contact with the school. Such school contact was forbidden her after DSS removed the child from the home. She attended a meeting at the end of the year in which the repetition of first grade was proscribed. Ms. Hardy feels very concerned and very helpless to intervene on her daughter's behalf. She feels angry that a happy well-performing child was taken from her and she will be receiving back a child with academic problems and academic delays.

Ms. Hardy talked in the boys presence about her insistence about not having anyone touch their bodies. She said she gave this instruction to each of the boys (because she was sexually abused as a child) and to Marquita in particular. This is why she is so surprised that Marquita would not have said anything to her regarding the alleged abuse. According to Ms. Hardy, Marquita's teacher (Miss Barnhill) was also skeptical about the sexual abuse because she had not noted any symptoms in the classroom or heard any mention of this from Marquita in spite Marquita's great love for this teacher. Some education done with the boys regarding sexual abuse and the effects it has on victims.

All three boys talked a bit in conclusion about their feelings and expectations regarding the beginning of school next week. Donald will be in the fourth grade and Curtis and Lamar both in 9th grade.

8/18/2000 - Telephone/PCDSS

I received a phone call today from Ms. Natalie Cox, social worker from Pitt County DSS, in response to my letter of 08/08/00 (see paper file). I received her message on my answering machine and returned her call from home. We agreed to speak further on Monday since I did not have the record at home and she wanted dates on which I saw the children.

8/21/2000 - Telephone/PCDSS

Ms. Cox did not call at 11:00AM and I left the office about five minutes later. When I returned there was a message she had called at 11:05 and asked me to return her call. I did; she was not at her desk and I left a message.

Later in the afternoon I received a telephone message that a Mr. Sword, supervisor at PCDSS, wanted me to call him. I did so. He began the conversation by questioning the grounds on the basis of which I made the report to DSS. He questioned the method in which I submitted the letter (via Amanda Stroud). He asked me to get a consent for release of information to PCDSS from Ms. Hardy and gave me the names of the social workers involved in the case: Vivian Cheek for Marquita (413-1020), Nalida (Nellie) Kinsey for the boys (413-1145).

8/22/2000 - Telephone: Natalie Cox, PCDSS

I made contact with Ms. Cox today (after several unsucessful attempts on both our part). I gave her the dates she wanted to complete her report. She had no other questions. I asked her to clarify for me the roles of the various social workers involved in the case which she did. She is the investigator in this case. She asked if she could fax a request for my record to which I responded positively, informing her that Ms. Hardy last evening had signed a consent for release of information to DSS.

8/22/2000 - Telephone: Amanda Stroud

I had a telephone conversation today with Amanda Stroud, Ms. Hardy's attorney. She informed me that she was subpoening me to court and that I could expect to be on stand-by on Tuesday, 8/29/00. She asked if I would be willing to be present when the boys were interviewed by a social worker from DSS regarding the investigation originated as a result of my letter to DSS. I said yes.

8/22/2000 - Telephone: Amanda Stroud

Ms. Stroud telephoned. I indicated my concern about Marquita's safety and that I would be writing a letter to DSS. She instructed me to fax the letter to her attention and she would see that it got to the appropriate source at DSS.

8/23/2000 - Telephone: Christine Freeman

Telephone conversation with Christine Freeman (830-2589), attorney for DSS, who interviewed me briefly over the telephone so she would know what to expect from my testimony since I am being subpoened by the defense. I was at home when she returned my call and could not, therefore, give precise dates in answer to her questions and informed her of that.

8/24/2000 -

Ms. Hardy in for scheduled family therapy with Donald (Lamar and Curtis out of town visiting their father in NJ). Donald drew picture of his family (including, mom, dad, Lamar, Curtis, Marquita, and self) in the setting of their previous home on Moss Lane (trampoline included). I asked him what he remembered most about those days and he shared memories of playing with the neighbor kids on his trampoline, told me he was pretty good at doing flips, etc., that he played an ongoing tag game with his daddy - that didn't include Marquita - just Daddy and him. He talked about wanting his older brothers Lamar and Curtis to be with him on Moss Lane and that he was sad when they went off to live with their grandmother. Mrs. Hardy confirmed that Donald cried a lot and it took her a while to get out of him what he was feeling so sad about. He

talked about Marquita following him around (over to his friends, etc.) and that sometime that got annoying. I asked him about his visits with his father now (he couldn't remember the last time he saw his father exactly) but he indicated he felt both happy and sad - happy to see his father, sad that he had to leave him again. Some education done about "bittersweet" feelings. When asked how he feels when he doesn't see his dad, Donald said that he sometimes felt like he wants "to hit somebody." He agreed he felt angry - when asked if there were specific persons he wanted to hit, he indicated "my grandmother and that woman who lied on me." I inquired about the latter and he explained that "that woman" was a social worker who he talked to and who later said that he reported having seen sexual activity between Marquita and his father. He claimed never to have said that - and when I asked if he had ever seen any such inappropriate activity, he answered in the negative. His mother reminded him as did I that it was important to tell the truth even if it was difficult to say those things about his daddy - and Donald indicated that he would because he loved his sister and wouldn't want anyone to hurt her.

As a result of my conversation with Mr. Sword who asked me if I was aware of other reports of abuse to DSS, I asked Ms. Hardy directly about this matter. She told me about the following reports: 1) Lamar - perhaps in 1996 - reported child abuse - told a neighbor his mother was trying to kill him and the neighbor called police who responded - Lamar was angry about not being allowed to do something; he later admitted that he did this out of anger and apologized to both Ms. Hardy and Mr. Reeves; 2) Ms. Hardy called police when Lamar turned up missing - it turned out he was angered by seeing Ms. Hardy and Mr. Reeves hugging - he later came back home and Ms. Hardy contacted police to notify them of his return; 3) police report made either by Curtis or Lamar or both about an altercation taking place between Mr. Reeves and Ms. Hardy regarding presence of another woman - no charges made - was investigated by poice; 4) a neighbor was aggravated that his wife was taking care of Curtis in the evening while Ms. Hardy worked and called DSS to report that Curtis was being left home alone - Caseworker came out to investigate - no action taken; 5) Lamar went to the police station and reported bruises on his arm - he was staying with his grandmother and had plotted with the other boys to steal the van - the other boys backed out and he drove the van into a ditch then ran and asked someone to take him to the police station - this was in Farmville where he was staying with grandmother grandmother came and retrieved him - Ms. Hardy did not know anything about this until she saw it in the petition and asked Lamar about it.

I asked Ms. Hardy about her visitation with Marquita - she reminded me it was supervised (Vivian Cheeks) and took place for one hr. every two weeks. She reported that Marquita always asked about her daddy - but never did so in the presence of her grandmother - Donald volunteered that she did the same when the boys were visiting at the grandmothers - Marquita asked them to step aside and then would ask about her father.

At the conclusion of the session, Ms. Hardy explained about Marquita's stomach problems which stem from a reaction to immunization shot when she was 18 months old.

8/29/2000 - Court - Subpoena

I went to Pitt County Court at 9:30AM under subpoena from Pitt County Court. Ms. Hardy in court. While waiting to submit my records, I heard the testimony of Dr. Cocker with the Teddy Bear Clinic. I departed at the break at about 10:30AM.

Ms. Hardy spoke to me briefly on leaving, asking for an appt. on Thursday for Curtis who is feeling very angry, refusing to go to school because he's afraid he'll hit someone or get into trouble because of his anger. I agreed to see her and Curtis at 6:15 on 7/31/00.

8/31/2000 - Court

I went to court at 9:15 this morning in response to request of Amanda Stroud to talk with attorneys about whether or not I felt the boys would be able to testify in open court (social services personnel, parents, etc. present). I initially advised against the boys testifying in open court because: the nature of extent of conflicting alliances in the family history, the pressence of triangulation, fear of grandmother, and the courtroom culture itself. I was asked to ask the boys their opinion and to advise them about the courtroom itself. I met with Curtis, Lamar, and

Donald and first talked with them about the courtroom and what to expect. I then told them about the choices they had and advised them as to the presence of closed circuit TV and that their parents would be viewing their testimony via TV if they chose to testify in closed court - this was because of their parents constitutional rights as defendants. Curtis and Lamar chose to testify in closed courtroom, Donald wanted to testify in open court and wanted his father present. I upset him when I (thinking we had only one choice) indicated we would go with the closed court because of my better judgment and the preferences of the two other boys. He was so distraught that I went back to report to the court and see if there was any room to negotiate. I was immediately told that the same situation did not have to apply to all three boys - therefore Curtis and Lamar testified in closed court and Donald in open court. All three boys did well in giving their testimony: they were able to express themselves and answer questions directly without becoming emotionally distraught or dysfunctional in any way. I spoke with Ms. Hardy intermittently to offer support. The boys testimony was difficult for her to hear as well - but she was able to get through it all.

8/31/2000 - Telephone - Ms. Hardy

I had a telephone call from Ms. Hardy that she needed to cancel her appointment this evening. She was just worn out with the events of yesterday and was not able to function today. We set an appointment for all three boys and herself for next week. She informed me that Curtis told her he would go to school on Monday - she feels he will.

9/6/2000 - Telephone - Natalie Cox

Telephone call from Ms. Cox regarding setting up appointment to interview all three boys while I'm present. Gave dates for availability next week.

9/7/2000 -

Mrs. Hardy in with all three boys this evening for family therapy. Initially, we debriefed their experience testifying in court. Curtis and Lamar reported feeling nervous. Donald denied any nervousness, but he did talk about feeling angry. Apparently, he recognized one of the social workers about whom he testified after he exited the courtroom and was affronted that she never spoke to him or acknowledged him. He felt he was being punished for telling the truth - and that made him mad. This provided opportunity for intervention regarding giving other people time and space to respond to "bad news" however well or kindly it is delivered. In this context the interaction of Lamar and Curtis with their grandmother was also debriefed - as she apparently became angry when the boys tried to visit their sister; the grandmother then telephoned DSS and the boys were asked to leave the property. Both boys were hurt and angry about this. Lamar reported that he had lost his temper at the scene in talking with his grandmother and a DSS worker.

Intervened in terms of addressing boys expectations about the outcome of the civil and criminal case. Assurance given that they did their best in testifying regardless of the outcome of the case. Talked about alternative outcomes and their need to be part of the solution vs. part of the problem in either case. All three boys talked about their anger and desire to hurt someone and this was addressed at length in terms of adding to the problems of the family, and the hurt that would be extended to each person (much as they each individually have been hurt by what they see as their grandmother's retaliation against Mr. Reeves.

Donald became very upset by the thought that his father might go to jail. He talked about losing his father and his father not knowing what Donald might or might not do if his father was indeed in prison. Supported ventilation of feelings; attempted to enlarge perspective of the family as family even if separated. Strength of relationships emphasized.

Ms. Hardy indicated that she felt the older two boys wanted to talk with me alone and she left the conference room with Donald at this point.

Curtis and Lamar spoke readily about their experiences with their grandmother - repeating some of what they had said on the stand and describing other experiences. They talked about

their beatings, telling me that at times they would have their hands tied together with socks (they said this so matter of factly that I had to go back and clarify to make sure I had it straight) and then their heads put between their grandmother's knees and held in that position while being hit with a 2x4 across the back and legs. They indicated that their uncle Kevin has scars on his. body from such beatings. They talked about their grandmother's use of sexual language, her cursing and swearing, her physical violence with her husband when arguing. They told me about wanting to run away from their grandmothers - reminded me that the episode with the stealing of her car was all about running away; they talked about their anger with their mother for leaving them at their grandmother's - and talked about how their grandmother would tell them that their mother didn't care about them - that she was out having fun and doing things and not thinking about them. They also talked about how their grandmother had told them that they need not listen to Mr. Reeves - that he wasn't their father. Intervention to normalize their feelings about a step-parent and point out triangulation involved in these instances. The boys talked about their grandmother using sexually explicit language, throwing her worn underwear over their face - or stuffing her panties into their mouths when they were sleeping (she apprently thought this was a joke). Curtis expressed concern that Marquita was learning bad habits from her grandmother and he cited as an example: pulling her dress up between her legs and throwing her underpants at others. He also told of his grandmother placing her hand between her legs or under her breast, then drawing it out and offering her hand to be smelled by others, calling attention to the "perfume". There was considerable embarrassment evident in telling me about these latter instances. The boys talked some abut their father and how their relationship with their own father had been interfered with by their grandmother so that there was a period of time when they did not see their father. They seem to have learned recently that their father was paying child support to their grandmother - but they were not receiving the benefit. Their mother was asked to provide clothing and necessities, etc. They didn't think mother knew abot the child support.

Finally, they told me about grandmother asking them to help break windows and use a hose to flood the house they had lived in Farmville so it would look like hurricane damage and grandmother could collect monies.

9/11/2000 - Telephone-Natalie Cox

I telephoned Ms. Cox to check on appointment to interview the boys. I indicated that I had had a difficult (for me) session with them last Thursday (9-7-00) and felt it was very important that she talk with them as soon as possible. Ms. Cox indicated she was having difficulty reaching Ms. Hardy and we talked reaching her at her workplace; I indicated my records were all in possession of DSS' attorney and I had not copied facesheets and auxiliary information.

9/14/2000 - Telephone - Natalie Cox

Phone message from Ms. Cox indicating she had reached Ms. Hardy and wanted times that I might be available next week. I called and left a message on voicemail indicating when I could be available over the next two weeks (court coming up and Ms. Hardy will be involved most of next week).

9/17/2000 - Telephone - Ms. Hardy

Ms. Hardy telephoned this afternoon to check on date of interview of boys by DSS. I informed her I had not yet received confirmation of a date from Ms. Cox - that I had submitted available times to her. Ms. Hardy told me of an incident that occured in the last night or two in which she had asked Mr. Reeves to meet with her and the boys to talk out some things - one of which was the fact that Lamar was not attending school. According to Ms. Hardy, when Lamar was talked to by Mr. Reeves, Lamar got upset, asked Mr. Reeves to leave, and then left the room himself (they were meeting in a Motel, I believe) and telephoned the police on the pretext that Mr. Reeves was not supposed to be around the boys. This is not Ms. Hardy's understanding, but she was feeling concerned about the situation and what detrimental effect it may have on the case. I assured her as best I could and told her she should certainly inform Ms. Stroud first

thing in the courtroom tomorrow.

9/18/2000 - Court

Attended Pitt County Court for civil hearing about custody of Marquita in response to subpoena issued by Amanda Stroud. Testified in the morning. Stayed remainder of the day to offer support to Ms. Hardy during the course of her testimony. Children not present.

9/21/2000 - Telephone: Ms. Hardy, Natalie Cox, Vivian Cheek

Talked with Ms. Hardy last evening about meeting with Ms. Cox and the boys this afternoon at 4:00. However, I had not heard back from Ms. Cox regarding confirmation. Also Ms. Hardy informed me that she had visitation today with Marquita from 3 to 4 and could not make it to my office by 4 with the boys. She gave me a number and I told her I would call her if I heard from Ms. Cox - but my advice was to proceed with visitation and we would reschedule appt. for the boys. She was agreeable.

This morning I heard from Ms. Cox who had been ill. I then called her back to tell her about the visitation with Marquita and we rescheduled appt. with the boys for Monday, 9/24/00 at 4:00 pending confirmation with Ms. Hardy. I called Ms. Hardy and she confirmed.

I then called Ms. Cox back to confirm appt. was agreeable to everyone concerned and to give her directions to my office. She asked me if I had any further information and I reviewed my session on 9/7/00 with the boys. She appeared to be taking detailed notes. I asked her if she wanted me to make any introductory remarks to ease the situation since the boys were feeling very suspicious and antagonized by DSS. She told me she would interfere them individually and we talked about arrangements for that to happen. I told her I had not talked with the boys about the interview and would make my remarks in her presence.

Shortly after this conversation with Ms. Cox, I received a phone call from Ms. Cheeks who told me Ms. Hardy was not having visitation today that it was scheduled for Monday at the time of the interview with the boys. I asked if she wanted me to reschedule and she said no to proceed with the interview. I offered to try and reach Ms. Hardy and tell her to get in touch with Ms. Cheeks to reschedule. I also informed Ms. Cheeks that Ms. Hardy was planning to move to Ayden since she seemed to be making inferences/assumptions about Ms. Hardy based on not having a permanent address. I also indicated that Ms. Hardy could well be confused - this has been a very difficult week for her.

I was able to reach Ms. Hardy who was on her way to DSS to see Marquita. I informed her of the problem and recommended she go down to straighten out the matter. Later she phoned me back and described what had happened when she met with Ms. Cheeks. It appears Ms. Cheeks and the boys have developed an antogonistic relationship. Ms. Hardy was able to speak with a supervisor and reschedule the visitation.

9/25/2000 - Interview/Natalie Cox

I was present while Natalie Cox, Investigator from Pitt County DSS, interviewed all three boys regarding their experiences while living with or staying with their maternal grandmother. The interview took place in response to my letter of concern regarding Marquita's safety in the home of her grandmother. Ms. Cox talked with each of the boys individually and then gave Ms. Hardy a chance to ask questions or express any concerns. The material presented to ms. Cox by the boys was in keeping with information they had shared with me in prior sessions.

Donald indicated he had been suspended from school for the remainder of the week for getting into a fight with a boy who said something about his mother and then stepped on his foot. When questioned later, Ms. Hardy indicated that both the principal and classroom teacher felt that this was unusual behavior for Donald. Ms. Hardy also has some concerns that he is imitating Lamar in particular with whom he is hanging around more since Donald is separated from his sister. Donald drew a picture of his grandmother while the other boys were being interviewed (see paper file).

24

10/10/2000 - Phone: Barbra Hardy

Ms. Hardy telephoned me at home. Things remain unchanged - no word from social services about Marquita's situation. She is feeling increasing concern for her daughter and the distance that seems to be growing between them because of lessened contact. She senses the child's identification with the grandmother rather than with her - and this is very painful to absorb. She is in the process of moving - and this will mean a change of schools for the boys as well. She is feeling some concern about that as well.

10/19/2000 - Phone: Barbara Hardy

Ms. Hardy telephoned this afternoon to see if I had had any word from DSS regarding her daughter. I told her that I had had no communication in the matter. She is feeling somewhat disheartened about the situation - that nothing is going to change. She talked about seeing her daughter last week and the fact that her daughter is no longer asking to come home. When Ms. Hardy asked her about coming home - she indicated that she wanted to be with both Ms. Hardy and her grandmother. This hurts Ms. Hardy and she feels that the situation is so because she has somehow let her daughter down - and that feels painful. She tried to reassure her daughter that both she and her father love her very much - but it feels like very little and she feels helpless to change that.

12/22/2000 -

Telephone call from Ms. Hardy to wish me happy holidays and let me know that she and the children were doing okay. She has wanted to come in for an appointment but has had car problems. She is feeling hopeful about some possible intervention from councilwoman's office. Assures me the boys are okay - but that Donald is finding it very hard to be without his sister - and not visiting her. He introduces her into family activities and conversations - doesn't want people to forget her or her not be a part of the family.

1/23/2001 - Telephone

Telephone conversation with Ms. Hardy who related that Mr. Reeves' trial resulted in a hung jury; he remains in jail and is very depressed (she saw him for about 20 minutes this past Sunday afternoon). She reported Donald being very upset and crying and unable to go to school for two days. He has since resumed school and seems to be doing okay. Ms. hardy has spoken with his teachers so they are prepared for any outbursts, etc. She remains the support for everyone - "trying to keep everyone going". The new trial will resme on 1/29/01.

7/19/2001 - Telephone

Telephone call from Ms. Hardy, letting me know what circumstances are to date. She has written several letters asking for assistance in appealing her situation from court advocates to Sixty Minutes. She related a visit from her mother and daughter. She took the opportunity to speak with her mother very directly about what has transpired and how she has been treated. She indicated her desire to one day have her daughter back and indicated her willingness to fight to that end. In speaking of her daughter, Ms. Hardy indicated that Marquita seemed withdrawn and "different - a different child" - both Ms. Hardy and her sons had noticed the difference. Curtis later asked how Ms. Hardy would deal with the difference in Marquita if and when she returns home. Ms. Hardy continues to fight for what she feels is just and right. She feels extremely helpless at not being able to rescue and assist her own child. Her spirits seem good and I expressed my amazement at how she has carried on - the strength of character she has shown.

Telephone call from Ms. Hardy who informed me that she had received a communication from her attorney who was discomfitted by the fact that the judge in family court had changed the elements of his order from what he had announced in court on the day of the hearing. Her attorney is in communication with the judge and considering the filing of a judicial complaint. Part of the reversal has a right to do with Ms. Hardy's access to Marquita's medical records and her right to have them reviewed by another professional.

12/17/2002 -

Ms. Hardy came in for a scheduled session together with her son, Donald. Ms. Hardy's husband has just come home after serving his sentence in Raeford Prison and the session focused partly on the adjustment of everyone concerned to the new circumstances. Donald feels that he is caught in the middle between his father and his step-brothers - each of whom complain to him about the other. some education done about triangulation and some strategies suggested to get him out of that position. Ms. Hardy also feels an increase in tension in the home between the boys themselves. The family was just at the point of dealing with some of these step-family issues when her husband was accused of sexual abuse and eventually incarcerated. Therefore, all these developmental issues were overwhelmed by the trauma that followed - but the developmental issues remain nonetheless. Ms. Hardy has been navigating as a single parent for the last several years and there is some tension as to where and how her husband now fits in in terms of discipline and household rules, etc. Edcation done about these matters so as to normalize the experience, put it into broader context and not make it the "fault" of anyone in particular.

Ms. Hardy also played for me a tape of her daughter, the alleged victim of sexual abuse, which she had made in an attempt to record her daughter's responses to her attempt to intervene and assist her daughter in coping with the present situation. What seems apparent from the tape is that the daughter, now 9, is clueless about what the real situation was and is. The daughter exprresses very strong guilt - saying first that her daddy is mad at her, then insisting that he is, then stating that he needs to give her a good whooping, and finally that "I need to be punished." But she cannot be clear about what she did that was wrong - nor can she be clear about why her daddy was in jail (he was still incarcerated at the time of the tape - I was aware of the taping because Ms. Hardy had called me the day of the taping indicating her concern about her daughter's confusion). No immediate follow-up scheduled (Ms. Hardy living in Williamston and still working at ASMO in Greenville - on the day shift now - and that makes getting here for appts. very difficult.). She will keep in touch.

10/26/2003 - Telephone call from Ms.Hardy

Telephone call from Ms. Hardy who is in a dilemna as to how to proceed with her daughter who now has been left in her care - the child's grandmother (ms. Hardy's mother) has legal custody but brought the child to Georgia and left her with Ms. Hardy when the grandmother moved out of state to VA. Apparently, there has been a report made to DSS in Georgia by the school (about custody) and she has returned to NC, thinking about herself moving to VA where legal representation is available at no charge for residents regarding child custody. She would like to regain custody of her daughter. She is determined not to return the daughter to the grandmother, because daughter is now confirming the information initially provided by her brothers regarding the grandmother's abusive behavior. Daughter is also talking about the fact that she was told what to say about her daddy - but no one else has heard this except for Ms. Hardy - child is not yet in counseling as I advised some time ago. I agreed to contact an attorney and DSS to see if I could get some advice about how to proceed in this very messy situation.

10/28/2003 - Telephone: Nancy Norwood

Consulted with Ms. Norwood about Ms. Hardy's case. He suggestion was that Ms. Hardy go through her attorney to petition the court for reconsideration of custody since the circumstances

have changed. She can do that in a new location - but usually six months residency required. Ms. Hardy is correct that VA has legal aid for child custody. Also recommended Ms. hardy checking eligibility for Medicaid for Marquita so that she can get into counseling.

- Marauita rember in June 1999 I 5-1705
Nis Bobby My Laduse to crack My feet time
or School and she sould so you mean to tell
ne that he told you to suck his thing and he
rut it in your frount and back then she
nent to court befor I went inside she
said what you told me say it to the people.
hersome one said to me that your snand my
aid that your dad told you to such is a said that your dad fold rou to Suctehis suck and he put it in your frountand our back and said is that true and I said no all Isaidisthat my bad crack That person soid well your stand my soid that he told you to such his dock on a he Put it in you front and back, then that person said to me their what your from their what your from the promote to say it in frount of the people. Then when I left nom and to cry and worried about my

Sign marquita Relves

Initial Evaluation [Intake]

Date of Evaluation: May 3, 2005

<u>Identifying Information:</u>

Name: Marquita D. Reeves

Date of Birth: March 3, 1993 (12 years, 1 month)

Address: 10920 Covington By-Pass Road

Apt # 618

Covington, GA 30014

Phone: 678 342-8554

ID # (Medicaid) 11137654340

Parent: Barbara Hardy

School: Indian Creek Middle

Presenting Problem: Marquita was brought by her mother because she is having,"problems dealing with other kids at school". She is frequently frustrated and gets angry easily. The school referred her for treatment.

Relevant History:

Marquita's mother reports that on allegations made by Marquita's grandmother Marquita's father was charged with molestation when Marquita was six years old. He served two years in jail.

She is in Special Ed/BDC classes at school (6th grade) and Ms. Hardy said she has had a history of seizures since age 18 months. Her seizures take the form of brief periods of a blank stare and she has had a diagnosis of complex partial seizures.

Marquita was delivered by caesarean section. Her mother reports that development was within normal range but with some articulation problems and some fine motor difficulties.

Marquita lives with her mother who is a cashier at Walmart. She has three brothers Donald (age 14), Lamar (age 18), and Curtis (age 14).

Medications: Marquita is not on any psychotropic medication but takes Trileptal to control her seizures. She has not had any extensive counseling in the past and has not had any specific treatment for dealing with conflict or anger.

Mental Status:

Marquita is a twelve year old black female who came to the evaluation with her mother. She came up the 19 stairs to the office without difficulty or assistance.

She was causally and appropriately dressed and her hygiene and grooming were acceptable. She was able to great the evaluator pleasantly and her affect and behavior were elevated, mildly hyperactive and she tended to be easily distracted.

She has no physical or behavioral peculiarities such as extreme obesity; misaligned teeth or body odor that will result in people avoiding her or thinking her odd or different. She is tall for her age and slightly overweight.

She was generally cooperative and pleasant throughout the evaluation. There were no indications of resistance or attempts to exaggerate or embellish or minimize her symptoms. There were no indications of oppositional or rebellious behavior. She did not have any difficulties with self disclosure and related comfortable and positively to the psychologist. She was not excessively shy and enjoyed the attention and time given to her.

She was oriented as to time, place, and person and understood that these meetings were to help her in school. She could give her address and her birth date reliably without excessive hesitation or difficulty resulting in long pauses.

Her diction and speech are not clear and she has frequent articulating defects. However she is generally understandable and can make herself understood. She was able to describe her history and day to day functioning in an organized and coherent fashion. If interrupted she could usually return to what she had been talking about. She was excessively distracted by outside noises and objects in her environment. She wanted to examine and play with the boxes and other objects on the psychologist's table.

There are no indications of any severe expressive or receptive aphasias and she was able to understand the instructions and comments of the evaluator. Difficulties in understanding instructions are the result of her general level of intelligence rather than specific aphasias. Her ability to concentrate and attend is not seriously impaired but is affected by her mild hyperactivity. There appear to be no major impediments to long-term or short-term memory consistent with her level of intelligence.

Based on her language use and education and reported academic problems her overall IQ probably falls within the Borderline to upper levels of Mild Mental Retardation.

She was able to visually recall earlier instances in her life and she has the capacity to learn from her experiences. She is able to make her needs known and can articulate her thoughts and feelings without confusion or difficulty.

However she reports some mild depressive symptoms of helplessness at school and being bullied by her siblings. She feels sad about school and said she had some sleep problems falling asleep. She hates school and gets scary feelings when she has to go. She said she gets angry when people tease her or hit her and she gets angry at her brothers when they annoy her.

There are no indications of any severe underlying psychotic process or delusion disorders and Marquita denies any active auditory or visual hallucinations. She has no suicidal ideation and there are no indications of any idiosyncratic thinking process, excessively concrete thinking or bizarre ideation.

Diagnosis:

Axis I: 309.28 Adjustment Disorder with Mixed Anxiety and Depressed mood.

Treatment Plan:

Marquita will be seen weekly to by-weekly for individual psychotherapy sessions. Initial goals will be directed to establishing a therapeutic alliance where she will feel comfortable self disclosing.

Subsequent goals will focus on managing angry feelings and behaviors and allowing catharsis of her feelings of being not attended to and bullied. Role playing of how to reduce teasing and bullying behaviors will be carried out.

A behavioral modification plan will be worked out with Ms. Hardy for the whole family.

Douglas R. Slavin, Ph.D. Licensed Clinical Psychologist

Marni V

1807 Over Lake Dr., SE Suite F Conyers, GA 30013 770 922-5809

Session # 2 May 23, 2005

Marquita reiterates her fights with her brothers and brought a two page (dated 5/9/05) description of how they were bullying her. We discussed what she might do and explored the idea of how by getting upset she reinforces their behavior.

She was more cathartic and enjoyed being able to be listened to and accepted when she described how other students annoyed her at school. She relates well to me and we have a good therapeutic alliance.

Mental status is unchanged. Still gets mildly depressed about going to school.

Douglas R. Slavin, Ph.D.

Licensed Clinical Psychologist

Phone Call May 25, 2005

Marquita's mother called to reiterate that Marquita has a seizure disorder and is on medication. She is scheduled to have an appointment at the Marcus Center for a complete evaluation.

After the evaluation she will make an evaluation for speech therapy. She wanted me to know that as a child she wrote backwards and broke up her words.

Douglas R. Slavin, Ph.D.

Session #3 May 30, 2005

Marquita continues to bring a written description of how her brothers or others treat her. They appear quite valid and her skill in dealing with this abuse is still undeveloped. She keeps appealing to me to "rescue" her from her situation and believes I can get her brothers locked up. She becomes more agitated as she describes how they treat her and she projects tension and a sense of depressed helplessness about her situation.

We talked briefly about her father and she said that she was sacred of her grandmother and that she did not know why she couldn't have seen her father and she missed him. She did not have any concept of the molestation charges.

Douglas R. Slavin, Ph. D. Licensed Clinical Psychology

Session #4 June 15, 2005

Marquita said it was a fun day at school and she was quit proud of doing math and wanted me to test her. She is quit responsive to positive regard and will work hard to get approval from authorities particularly males.

Her mood was happier and she was more optimistic about her self and school.

Douglas R. Slavin, Ph.D.

Session #5 June 21, 2005

I meet with Ms. Hardy today to describe a behavioral modification program to reduce Marquita's anger responses. I described that I thought her irritability was a result of being bullied by her siblings and not getting some individual attention for her successes. We talked about how she could try to manage this complex family more behaviorally.

Douglas R. Slavin, Ph.D. Licensed Clinical psychologist

Session #6 June 21, 2005

I meet with Marquita after meeting with her mother to describe what we were going to try to do at home to reduce her brothers armoying her and to try to get her some more rewards for good behavior. Her mental status has mildly improved and she is less hyper and appears less tense.

She liked the idea that everybody would be in the program but had some difficult with understanding how it would work.

Douglas R. Slavin, Ph.D.

Licensed Clinical psychologist

Session # 7 July 8, 2005

Client did not show for the appointment and did not call to cancel.

August 8, 2005

No communication from client to reschedule missed appointment. Clinical Case Closed.

Douglas R. Slavin, Ph.D.

October 30, 2007; November 11, 2007;

Received a call from the NC Innocence Inquiry commission requesting Marquita's records and a request for me to review all of her other records and possibly appear as an expert witness. Subpoena for December 14th hearing received November 21, 2007.

Records and Resume sent 11/26/2007

Douglas R. Slavin, Ph.D.

ponald threw a bigthing at me. P. CONSEIDE - 5-9-05 He always laugh of when I am wach ing he keeps furning it. flece Tuck him a 25,00 broth 711 Y.O.D. anale P wiff Defluc and was coursi reand aut a Ki 13 to state

curtist he put his hands on me Hears was treting me Hears was treting and Donald he fook my money, curtis arso keep on trying to bet my money pondo la Kler on trying to leacurtist hitme, curtist was

cursing of the and said that if Idon't give him my money he is go in for hurt menewas coursing of menewas on me handrhe cous of me and he put a mit in my face, and he put a mit in my face, and them up for please their life.

Maquita Reeven

4.58 RZ fun dag se 458RZ 4927 5614 5614 1/38 687 24 552 -687 5614 3312

RESUME

Douglas R. Slavin, Ph.D. Clinical Psychology

Address: 1807 Over Lake Dr., SE.

Suite F

Conyers, GA 30013

Telephone: (770) 922-5809 (Office)

(678) 592-1411 (Cell Phone)

(404) 320-6476 (Fax) (404) 325-7763 (Home)

E-mail dougslavin@comcast.net

EDUCATION

B.A. (1961) University of Buffalo (SUNY at Buffalo)

---- ('61-'62) Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN.

M.A. (1965) Northwestern University, Psychology

Ph.D. Northwestern University, Clinical Psychology Evanston, IL. (1967)

9/64 - 9/65 Clinical Psychology Intern, Illinois State Psychiatric Institute

9/65 - 9/66 Clinical Research Fellow, Illinois State Psychiatric Institute Chicago IL.

ACADEMIC POSITIONS:

9/64 - 9/66 Instructor, College of Arts and Sciences, Department of Psychology, Northwestern University. Courses taught: Introduction to Psychology, Psychology of Adjustment, Abnormal Psychology.

9/66 - 9/71 Adjunct Clinical Professor, Emory Medical School, Department of Psychiatry, Emory University. Courses & Seminars taught: Behavioral Psychotherapy, Community Psychology (at GA Mental Health Institute)

6/69 - 9/71 Lecturer, College of Arts and Sciences, School of Education, Emory University: Courses taught: Educational Research Design, Tests & Measurement

RESEARCH POSITIONS:

9/61 -6/62 Research Assistant, Psychology Laboratory, Purdue University; (Prof. Fred Kanfer): areas of self and vicarious reinforcement.

9/62 - 9/63 Research Assistant, Psychology Laboratory, Northwestern University; (Prof. Herb Quay): areas of delinquent behavior and measurement.

9/65 - 9/66 Clinical Research Fellow, Illinois State Psychiatric Institute, Chicago IL. (Psychotherapy analogue research)



PROFESSIONAL POSITIONS:

GEORGIA MENTAL HEALTH INSTITUTE, Atlanta, Georgia

9/66 - 9/68 Unit Psychologist
9/68 - 6/70 Assistant Chief Psychologist & Director of Clinical Training
6/70 - 9/71 Acting Chief Psychologist

Delivery of psychological services; Community consultation to schools and prisons; Administration of an APA approved clinical psychology training program; Directed a 17 person (staff and trainees) psychology department.

SOUTHERN REGIONAL EDUCATION BOARD (SREB), Atlanta, Georgia

9/71 - 9/73 Associate Director, Commission on Mental Illness and Retardation

Managed federal and state grants and programs on state organizational structures for the delivery of human services; Developed linkages between state universities and state governments in human services, training and delivery systems.

BEHAVIORAL SYSTEMS INC. (Tarkenton & Co.), Atlanta, Georgia

9/73 - 4/79 Director, Seminar & Workshop Division 4/79 - 3/80 Principal Consultant, Tarkenton & Co. 3/80 - 6/81 Vice President, Tarkenton & Co.

Designed and marketed training programs for Fortune 500 companies in the areas of management and supervisory development, performance management and motivation; conducted organizational assessments and productivity improvement programs; supervised junior consultants.

SLAVIN ASSOCIATES, LTD., Atlanta, Georgia

7/81 - 11/87 President

Management consulting services in the areas of performance and productivity improvement; employee participation programs; team development, stress management

CHARTER WINDS HOSPITAL, Athens, Georgia

11/87 - 2/90 Director, Charter Winds Counseling Center, Conyers, Georgia

PRIVATE PRACTICE: CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY

9/68 - 2/90 Part Time 2/90 - present Full Time

Full range of clinical services for children, adolescents & adults: Family and couples counseling, psychological testing, chemical dependency treatment, relapse prevention counseling, brief psychotherapy, anxiety disorders, assessments for disability.



HONORS & RECOGNITION:

1961 Nominee, Woodrow Wilson Fellowship

1964-66 United States Public Health Research Fellowship

COMMITTEES AND TASK FORCES:

1/70 - 12/70 Committee on Treatment, Education and Special
Services for Mentally III Children, Atlanta Mental Health Association

1/72 - 1/75 <u>Mental Health Research Committee</u>, National Association of Mental Health.

1/72 - 12/72 <u>Task Force on Career Ladders</u>, National Association of Community Mental Health Center Directors.

1/73 - 1/74 <u>Task Force on Drug Education</u>, National Educational Association.

1/76 - 1/79 <u>Continuing Education Committee</u>, American Association of Behavioral Therapy.

1/80 -1/81 Ethics Committee, Georgia Psychological Association

2/91 - 2/95 Rockdale Drug Prevention Alliance

2/92 – 2/99 <u>Dekalb /Rockdale Disaster Response Team</u>, Captain, Mental Health/Georgia Psychological Association

7/99 – 7/02 **Newsletter Editor, Division of Independent Practice,** Georgia Psychological Association

7/00-6/01 **Program Chair-elect, Midwinter Conference,** Georgia Psychological Association 7/01-1/02 **Program Chair, Midwinter Conference.** Georgia Psychological Association

7/02-6/03 Chair Elect, Division of Independent Practice, Georgia Psychological Assoc.

7/03-6/04 Chair, Division of Independent Practice, Georgia Psychological Assoc.

7/04- 6/05 Past Chair, Division of Psychologists in Independent Practice and

7/05-7/07 GPA Board Div E Rep

10/07-present GPA Disaster Response Network Committee

ASSOCIATIONS

American Psychological Association (Member) Georgia Psychological Association (Fellow)

LICENSE:

License Applied Psychologist, Georgia State Board of Examiners of Psychologists, 7/13/67 (#239)

PROVIDER PROFILE

Medicaid # 00367837B Medicare 090340618A 68 Champus 090340618



EDITORSHIP:

1977 Board of Editors, Journal of Organizational Behavior Management

1978 - 79 Associate Editor, Journal of Organizational Behavioral Management

PUBLICATIONS/TECHNICAL REPORTS/PRESENTATIONS:

* Available on request

REFERENCES

* Available on request



Appendix B

Character Statements

As the Commission staff was investigating this case, we spoke with a number of people who did not have any new evidence of factual innocence to provide, but who were eager to assist the investigation in some way. Many asked if they could testify as character witnesses. While it is true that North Carolina evidence Code 8C-1 Rule 405 allows character evidence to be admitted at trial for some limited purposes, we have chosen to focus investigations only on factual witnesses. For this reason, I am not presenting any character witnesses. I have attached these statements and will let each Commissioner determine whether or not to read these statement and what, if any, weight to give them.

St. Andrew's Roman Catholic Church

November 6, 2007

Kendra Montgomery North Carolina Innocence Inquiry Commission Administrative Office of the Court P.O. Box 2448 Raleigh, N.C. 27620

Dear Sir or Madam:

My name is Rev. Msgr. Paul D. Schetelick, and I am currently a pastor in the city of Bayonne, New Jersey. For most of my 31 years of priesthood I was assigned to parishes in Newark, New Jersey. I have known Henry A. Reeves III for 27 of those years and respect him deeply. I have been involved with his family for all these years as friend and pastor. I am writing to express my support for Hank and the Commission in undoing an injustice. I firmly believe in Henry's innocence in the matter before the court. I have seen Mr. Reeves interact with his children prior to any accusations and am convinced that he would have never harmed them because he loves his children so much.

In the midst of all the court dates dealing with the accusations and the final outcome of his trail, Mr. Reeves has declared and maintained his innocence. I am only sorry I did not have the opportunity to take the stand in his defense during those court proceedings. The Henry A Reeves III I know is a caring and generous person, a true family man and loving father. I can only keep praying for true justice to be done and for this nightmare of injustice to come to an end. Hank has suffered enough for something he did not do.

Sincerely,

Rev. Msgr. Paul D. Schetelick, V.F. Catholic Dean of the City of Bayonne

Pastor of St. Andrew's Catholic Church

"We have found the Messiah" St. Andrew speaking to his brother Peter Sara Rose, PhD, LPC 1515 Mockingbird Lane, Suite 411 Charlotte, NC 28209 (704-525-1213)

North Carolina Innocence Inquiry Commission Att: Kendra Montgomery-Blinn P.O. Box 2448 Raleigh, NC 27602 (919-890-1580)

November 19, 2007

Dear Ms. Blinn,

Henry "Hank" Reeves has asked me to write to you on his behalf. Mr. Reeves came to me for counseling in July and August 2004. He was clinically depressed. He stated that he had suffered several unfortunate injustices in his life and was trying to get support so he could get back with his wife and children. He felt that he went to jail unjustly because of malice on the part of his mother-in-law. He had also been in a bad car accident and suffered injuries as a result.

From the sessions that Mr. Reeves had with me, I saw an honest man who had been treated unfairly. The treatment plan that Mr. Reeves and I agreed on was to help give him have hope and get him get involved in life again.

I do hope this letter will enable Mr. Reeves to move on in his life. If you would like to discuss this issue with me I have a release of information form signed by Mr. Reeves. My phone number is 704-525-1213.

Yours truly,

Sara Rose, PhD, LPC

Sara- A

Elizabeth J. Lewandowski P.O. Box 254 Oxford, GA 30054

October 16, 2007 Re: Henry Reeves

Ms. Kendra Montgomery
N.C. Innocence Inquiry Commission
Admn. Office of the Court
P.O. Box 2448
Raleigh, NC 27602

Dear Ms. Montgomery:

Pursuant to our telephone conversation regarding Henry Reeves, herewith is the character reference for his petition before the North Carolina Innocence Commission.

During 2005-2006, I had occasion to work with Hank at the Repairers of the Breach, a non-profit charitable organization. Hank was a pleasure to work with. He is an intelligent, well-educated man with high morals. In the workplace he was self-starter, never needed direction and adopted a proprietary approach to his job. These traits are rare in the workplace today.

Liked by everyone, he was cheerful, polite and considerate of his fellow employees and clients alike. He was a valuable asset to the organization.

On many occasions I had the opportunity to interact with Hank and his family, and found them to be a strong, dedicated family unit. Hank cares deeply for his family, encourages excellence in them, and is proud of them. As he should be.

I found it puzzling that a man of Hank's abilities would be driving a delivery truck, when it was obvious that he possessed capabilities for a much better position.

It was only when his problems escalated that he divulged his difficulties and past history to me.

On numerous occasions, Hank and his wife have related the particulars of his nightmare to me. The details of the situations and legal morass, described by him to me have remained constant; even under my probing questioning. I have never detected the slightest prevarication. I believe Hank when he states he is innocent.

Page 2 (Continuation)

Re: Henry Reeves

Words escape me when I try to describe the misery and mental anguish this injustice has caused Hank and his family. It has been beyond brutal.

Please give every consideration to this good, innocent man. Rescue him from the ongoing torment that he and his family have had to endure.

Sincerely,

(770) 786-4276

Home Telephone

Notery Public Nouseer of

Notary Public, Nowton County, Georgia Lity Commission Express August 3, 2009

KELVIN ROBINSON

17 Rue Saint Dominique 2eme Etage La Rochelle, 17000 FRANCE Phone (+33) 674-455-545 Fax (000) 555-6789 Kelvinup@hotmail.com

November 26, 2007

North Carolina Innocence Inquiry Commission c/o Administrative Office of the Courts P.O. Box 2448
Raleigh, NC 27602

Dear Sir/Madame,

I am writing in support of Henry "Hank" Reeves. Hank is a friend of my family. We have known him more than 15 years. I have never known him to be anything but GENEROUS, SINCERE and CARING. I can not imagine him in anyway harming anyone. It is his nature to help others.

Hank is largely responsible for my success in life. He personally arranged my college scholarship! Which in turn led to me becoming a professional basketball player here in France.

I have nothing but respect and admiration for him. He has always been and will always be someone my family holds in high regard. We stand behind him 100%

Sincerely,

KELVIN DEMETRIC ROBINSON

To: Kendra Montgomery
North Carolina Innocence Inquiry Commission
Administrator of the Court
P.O. Box 2448
Raleigh North Carolina 27602

From: Robert Saletta. 648 Wishing Well Road Wyckoff New Jersey 07481 201-891-5329

Subject: Henry Reeves

I am a former Vice President of Human Resources for ITT and a lawyer.

In the fall of 2004 Father Paul Schetelick a personal friend asked that I talk with Henry Reeves about his efforts to obtain a review and reversal of his conviction of sexual abuse of his daughter Marquita.

I discussed the case with Henry, Denise Hardy (Marquita's mother) and Art Manning a police officer in Pitt county.

I found Henry to be of good moral character, loving of his daughter, and it's very doubtful that he would abuse her in any way, particularly sexually.

While I have no direct evidence I believe Henry is innocent of the charges in the 2001 case.

abert Daletta

Robert Saletta

NICHOLAS J SALERNO Notary Public State of New Jersey Commission (Expires Oct 25, 79)