STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION 08-CRS-50309

COUNTY OF EDGECOMBE

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, Plaintiff, VS.

KNOLLY BROWN, JR.,

Defendant.

NORTH CAROLINA INNOCENCE INQUIRY COMMISSION

HEARING

DAY ONE & TWO

At North Carolina Judicial Center Raleigh, North Carolina

December 9 & 10, 2015

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

A P P E A R A N C E S

Lindsey Guice Smith, Esq.
Sharon Stellato
NORTH CAROLINA INNOCENCE INQUIRY COMMISSION
Administrative Office of the Courts
P.O. Box 2448
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Commission Chair: The Honorable Anna Mills Wagoner Senior Resident Superior Court Judge

Commissioner: Luther Johnson Britt, III District Attorney

Commissioner: Michael A. Grace, Esq. Defense Attorney

Commissioner: Barbara Pickens

Discretionary Member

Commissioner: Isaac Heard, Jr.

Public Member

Commissioner: T. Diane Surgeon, Esq.

Discretionary Member

Commissioner: Sheriff Susan D. Johnson

Commissioner: Jennifer Thompson

Victim Advocate

Alternate: Camilla Cover

Public Member

$\underline{I} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{D} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{X}$
WITNESSES FOR PROCEEDINGS WHICH TOOK PLACE ON DECEMBER 9, 2015:
Sharon Stellato Examination by Ms. Guice Smith
Meghan Clement Examination by Ms. Guice Smith 63
Sharon Stellato Continued Examination by Ms. Guice Smith 81
<pre>Knolly Brown Examination by Ms. Guice Smith</pre>
WITNESSES FOR PROCEEDINGS WHICH TOOK PLACE ON DECEMBER 10, 2015:
Sharon Stellato Continued Examination by Ms. Guice Smith 157
CLOSING REMARKS BY JUDGE WAGONER

NCIIC Hearing	g - State v. Knolly Brown	4
	\underline{H} \underline{A} \underline{N} \underline{D} \underline{O} \underline{U} \underline{T} \underline{S}	
No. 1	Knolly Brown Mental Health and Education Summary	11
No. 2	Map	18
No. 3	Map	18
No. 4	Map	18
No. 5	DNA Comparison Chart	24
No. 6	Emmanuel Maurice Battle Criminal Record	25
No. 7	James Lamar Brinkley Criminal Record	27
No. 8	Benjamin Christopher Gadson Criminal Record	28
No. 9	Thomas Lee Battle Criminal Record	29
No. 10	James Luther Andrews Criminal Record	29
No. 11	Michael Antoine Johnson Criminal Record	30
No. 12	Aaron Delany Atkins Criminal Record	34
No. 13	Case Supplemental Report	41
No. 14	Affidavit of Senior Police Officer Jamie Tyson	59
No. 15	Affidavit of Renee Walcott	59
No. 16	Curriculum Vitae of Meghan E. Clement, MS, F-ABC	64
No. 17	DNA Testing Chart of Victim's Clothing	68
No. 18	Devonte Jamal Johnson Criminal Record	80
	Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348	

Concord, NC 28027

NCIIC Hearing - State v. Knolly Brown HANDOUTS (Continued) No. 19 Keith Antonio Pressley Criminal Record No. 20 Affidavit of Lamont Wiggins 114 No. 21 Affidavit of Matthew Sperati 114 No. 22 Knolly Brown, Jr. Criminal Record

2.4

JUDGE WAGONER: All right, good morning to everyone. There are three of us new ones here and we're happy to be here. We'll be hearing the Edgecombe County case of State versus Knolly Brown, 08-CRS-50309. And this hearing is open to the public pursuant to NCGS 15A-1468(a). I do not believe we have any victim.

MS. GUICE SMITH: We do not.

JUDGE WAGONER: And you all all know this,

I'm sure, but although the hearing is public, please

keep distractions to a minimum. And we're going to

ask that the door remain closed during the hearing and
that no one should go in or out unless we're on a

break or there is some type of an emergency.

I'm going to confirm for the record that we do have eight voting members of the Commission present as required by statute, correct? Yes.

And also, just for the record, I'll make a formal inquiry as to whether any Commissioner needs to recuse himself or herself pursuant to Rule 6(b)(1) of the Rules of Procedure. Anyone have a conflict?

(NO RESPONSE.)

JUDGE WAGONER: No? All right. Just let the record reflect no one has a conflict. And I will just again read the rule that states, a Commissioner

shall recuse himself or herself if some event has 1 caused him or her to become biased about a case, 2 3 unable to participate in the hearing in a fair and 4 impartial mannerj. And a list of recusal information 5 has previously been reviewed by all Commissioners and 6 no one recused. 7 And I want to confirm that no Commissioners 8 conduct any type of independent investigation. No one 9 has, correct? 10 (NO RESPONSE.) 11 JUDGE WAGONER: Okay. And do we have any 12 alternate Commissioners present? MS. GUICE SMITH: Ms. Cover. 13 JUDGE WAGONER: But she's acting -- okay. 14 15 Alternate Commissioner -16 MS. COVER: Judge, could I ask you to speak 17 just slightly louder? 18 JUDGE WAGONER: Okay. All right. I'm used 19 to a mic. 20 MS. COVER: Because I did not, I did not 21 hear what your last question was totally, so. 22 JUDGE WAGONER: All right. As for the 23 alternate, the rules state that if an alternate 2.4 Commissioner is not fulfilling full Commission duties,

he or she may attend hearings of the Commission, but

may not participate in discussion and may not -- but, 1 and of course alternates are welcome to attend the 2 3 hearing and ask questions of the witnesses, but again, 4 may not participate in the deliberations. 5 And before we go any further, I skipped something. I'm going to ask that all the 6 7 Commissioners and Committee staff at the table state 8 and say their name, spell their names for the court 9 reporter. I'll start. My name is Anna Mills Wagoner, W-a-q-o-n-e-r, I'm a Superior Court Judge from 10 11 District 19C. 12 MS. SURGEON: Dianne Surgeon, S-u-r-g-e-o-n, 13 Commissioner. MR. HEARD: Isaac Heard, H-e-a-r-d, 14 15 Commissioner. 16 MS. THOMPSON: Jennifer Thompson, T-h-o-m-p-17 s-o-n, I'm an alternate Commissioner in place of 18 Aurelia Sands Belle. MS. GUICE SMITH: Lindsey Guice Smith, 19 G-u-i-c-e S-m-i-t-h. I am the Executive Director of 20 21 the North Carolina Innocence Commission. 22 MS. STELLATO: Sharon Stellato, S-t-e-l-l-a-23 t-o, Associate Director of the Commission. 2.4 MS. COVER: Camilla Cover, C-a-m-i-l-l-a

C-o-v-e-r, and I'm an alternate.

1	MR. GRACE: Michael Grace, G-r-a-c-e,
2	criminal defense lawyer.
3	SHERIFF PICKENS: Barbara Pickens, P-i-c-k-e
4	-n-s, Commissioner.
5	SHERIFF JOHNSON: Susan Johnson, J-o-h-n-s-o
6	-n, Currituck Sheriff.
7	MR. BRITT: Johnson Britt, B-r-i-t-t,
8	District Attorney, District 16B, Commissioner.
9	JUDGE WAGONER: All right. I think that is
10	it. And we are now going to turn the floor and the
11	hearing over to Ms. Smith.
12	MS. GUICE SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	JUDGE WAGONER: Yes, ma'am.
14	MS. GUICE SMITH: Good morning,
15	Commissioners. Thank you for being here with us today
16	for the hearing. This case involves the 2008 rape of
17	a 12-year-old girl at an abandoned home in Rocky Mount,
18	North Carolina. You all have been provided the
19	Commission brief that describes the law enforcement
20	investigation and Mr. Brown's plea. We will not review
21	that material in-depth during this hearing, but we'll
22	be presenting the Commission's investigation of
23	Mr. Brown's innocence claim.
24	I was the lead investigator on this case, and
25	our associate director, Ms. Stellato, also did

2.4

substantial work on the case. Throughout the hearing I will be calling Ms. Stellato to testify about our investigation. I will also be calling Meghan Clement, who is a DNA expert, to testify about the DNA testing that the Commission had conducted in the case, and then the last person that will testify will be the Claimant, Knolly Brown. Prior to the conclusion of this hearing the Commissioners are also going to go into a closed session to handle a few administrative matters that are unrelated to the case.

The victim, XXXXXXX XXXXXX, is not with us today. Ms. Stellato is going to relay a statement, the victim's position during the victim impact statement portion of the hearing, which will be closed to the public. We were able to speak with the victim recently on the phone and ask her what her position was.

At this hearing you will be asked to determine whether there is sufficient evidence of factual innocence to merit judicial review. Guilty pleas require a unanimous vote of all eight Commissioners that there is sufficient evidence of factual innocence to merit judicial review. Knolly Brown pled no contest. Pursuant to Commission rules and procedures, all rules relating to guilty pleas also apply to Alford pleas, pleas of no contest, and nolo

contendere pleas. Therefore a unanimous vote will be 1 required in order to refer this case forward to a 2 3 three-judge panel. If the vote for further review is 4 not unanimous, the case will be closed with the 5 Commission and no appeal is available. Do the Commissioners have any questions about 6 7 that? 8 (NO RESPONSE.) 9 MS. GUICE SMITH: Okay. Before I call any witnesses I want to make you all aware of the mental 10 11 health diagnosis of the Claimant, Knolly Brown, as well 12 as some potential capacity issues that the Commission staff came across during our investigation. 1.3 Ms. Stellato is going to pass around a handout for you 14 that details Mr. Brown's mental health and education 15 16 history, and I'll give you a chance to review that. 17 (WHEREUPON, HANDOUT NO. 1 WAS DISTRIBUTED.) 18 JUDGE WAGONER: And these will be taken back 19 up, correct? 20 MS. GUICE SMITH: That one will not. 21 JUDGE WAGONER: This one will not be? 22 MS. GUICE SMITH: Correct. 23 (COMMISSIONERS REVIEW DOCUMENT.) 2.4 MS. GUICE SMITH: Prior to his plea,

Mr. Brown's attorney made a Motion for Capacity to

Proceed. The court ordered an evaluation which was conducted in July of 2008. Ten months later

Mr. Brown's trial attorney made a second Motion for Capacity to Proceed and the court ordered a second evaluation, which was conducted in June of 2009.

During both evaluations Mr. Brown was diagnosed as schizophrenic, but was found to have the necessary capacity to proceed. There was a note made in each evaluation that continued treatment was required in order to maintain the capacity to proceed.

I would like to pass around both of those evaluations for the Commissioners to review. Because these were court ordered evaluations, I'm asking the Chair, Judge Wagoner, to keep those as a part of our confidential case file and not allow them to become public record as most handouts would.

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay. I will order that.

MS. GUICE SMITH: Thank you.

JUDGE WAGONER: Thank you.

MS. GUICE SMITH: So I will have Ms. Stellato pass those around. If you all will please review those and take the time that you need, but as soon as you're done, we'll collect those. If you'll just raise your hand when you're done with them, we'll make sure to get them from everyone.

(WHEREUPON, THE CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS WERE DISTRIBUTED TO THE COMMISSIONERS FOR THEIR REVIEW.)

MS. GUICE SMITH: During a November 3, 2011 interview that the Commission conducted with Mr. Brown, Mr. Brown exhibited signs that just raised concern to the Commission staff regarding his capacity. During that interview he reported that he was due for his Haldol shot six days later on November 9, 2011. He also reported his belief that his shot would wear off after three weeks rather than every four, which was the increment in which he was receiving it.

During that interview Mr. Brown had difficulty with his memory. He exhibited scattered thought patterns and he laid his head on the table for approximately 30 seconds. He was cooperative with the Commission to the extent that he was willing to answer our questions to the best of his ability. Ms. Stellato is going to testify about that interview more later during this hearing.

Based on the Commission's concerns, then

Executive Director Kendra Montgomery-Blinn decided at

that time that we should move the case into formal

inquiry for the purpose of having an attorney appointed

that could advise him regarding consent for our

investigation and the signing of the Affidavit of

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Innocence, which he had already done. This was done in order to make sure that Mr. Brown had in fact provided informed consent for the Commission to conduct an investigation in his case and that he understood that Affidavit of Innocence when he signed it.

He was appointed an attorney out of the Buncombe County Public Defender's Office. attorney met with Mr. Brown and expressed her concerns to the Commission that he may have some capacity or competency issues. She made a motion to have a psychiatrist appointed to evaluate Mr. Brown and got an order to do so. Shortly thereafter, however, Mr. Brown was transferred from Mountain View Correctional Institute in the mountains of North Carolina to Pender Correctional Institute, which is all the way at the coast of North Carolina. At that time the Buncombe County Public Defender requested that IDS appoint Mr. Brown an attorney that was closer to the prison in which he was incarcerated, and IDS did that. The New Hanover County Public Defender was appointed. She then met with Mr. Brown on several occasions and didn't believe that there were any, there was any reason to go forward with the evaluation. At that time Mr. Brown signed the formal inquiry documents, including his waiver of procedural safeguards and privileges, and the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

consent to formal inquiry, and today the New Hanover
County Public Defender's Office currently is
representing Mr. Brown.

You will hear from Mr. Brown toward the end of this hearing, and during that line of questioning I will be asking him about his medication and whether he is currently on that and when he last received that medication. So you will have that information later in the hearing.

At this time I'm going to call Ms. Stellato to the stand.

JUDGE WAGONER: And she needs to be sworn, correct?

MS. GUICE SMITH: She does need to be sworn and --

JUDGE WAGONER: All right. If you'll come to the stand and find a Bible.

MS. GUICE SMITH: Can you please get the Bible?

(WHEREUPON, COMMISSION STAFF EXIT THE ROOM TO RETRIEVE A BIBLE.)

MR. GRACE: Ms. Smith, may I ask you a question in the interim?

MS. GUICE SMITH: Absolutely.

MR. GRACE: You indicated that the New

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

Hanover PD who was appointed after the transfer indicated that there was not a need for -
MS. GUICE SMITH: An evaluation.

MR. GRACE: -- an evaluation?

MS. GUICE SMITH: Correct.

MR. GRACE: Is there anything in the incident and incid

MR. GRACE: Is there anything in the record that expands on that?

MS. GUICE SMITH: Not really. We just had some email communication in which she met with him and felt it was more of a trust issue and that she, after meeting with him on several occasions, had developed a trust with him and didn't see the things that we had seen.

14

15

16

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

Sharon Stellato, upon first being duly sworn, testified as follows:

17

18

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

EXAMINATION BY MS. GUICE SMITH

- 19 Q. Good morning.
 - A. Good morning.
 - Q. Ms. Stellato, did the Commission staff obtain and review files from outside agencies and individuals?
 - A. We did.
 - Q. And what files did the Commission staff review as a part of our investigation?

- Α. The Defendant's questionnaire; the letters that he sent in to the Commission; his mother also provided documents that contained discovery; we reviewed the district attorney file; the Rocky Mount Police Department file; in addition to the Rocky Mount Police Department file, we also obtained a file from Rocky Mount Police Department evidence section that was a separate file from the Rocky Mount Police Department file; we then obtained a Rocky Mount Police Department file directly from a detective, Detective Walcott, who was no longer with the Rocky Mount Police Department -- Rocky Mount Police Department, this included crime scene photos that were not previously provided, and it was located much later; the Edgecombe County Clerk of Court file; two defense attorney files, one belonging to his first defense attorney, Lamont Wiggins --
- Q. Ms. Stellato, did Mr. Wiggins actually have a file?
- A. Excuse me, he did not. He was not able to locate one.

 We did contact him and he provided an Affidavit. The
 second defense attorney, Matthew Sperati, provided his
 entire file. The North Carolina State Crime Lab file,
 and the Department of Correction file, which contained
 education records, probation records, disciplinary
 records, medical records, substance abuse records, and
 all of his prison records.

- And did you visit the area where the crime occurred? Q.
- We did. 2 Α.

1

5

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

- 3 And are you now familiar with that area of Rocky Mount?
- 4 Α. I am.
- Did the Commission staff create maps of that area of Q. Rocky Mount? 6
- 7 Yes, we did. Α.
 - And would those maps assist you in showing the Q. Commissioners the area where the crime occurred?
- Yes, they would. 10 Α.

MS. GUICE SMITH: I am going to hand out some There are going to be three maps coming around, maps. so please make sure you get three of them. They're coming around separately.

(WHEREUPON, HANDOUTS NO. 2, 3, AND 4 WERE DISTRIBUTED.)

- Before we go any further, Ms. Stellato, will you please 0. state your name and where you're employed for the record?
- Of course. Sharon Stellato. I'm the associate Α. director with the North Carolina Innocence Inquiry Commission.
- 23 And how long have you been employed by the Commission? Q.
- 24 Seven years and five months. Α.
 - Thank you. I'm also putting the first map on the Q.

screen. Ms. Stellato, can you tell the Commissioners what this map shows?

- A. Of course. It shows where the victim -- if you -- where the victim was leaving and where she was heading on the day of the crime. So if you look at A, that is going to be the middle school where she was starting out, and D is where she was heading. I'll just stand up for a second.
- Q. Absolutely.
- A. The route she was taking, if everyone can hear me okay, is actually going to be down Virginia. She's going down Virginia to Coleman Avenue, so she would have walked straight down here, past here. And we're going to zoom in on this location here when we go to the next one.

MS. GUICE SMITH: This will be the second map that you all have.

A. So Coleman is where she is headed down, and B is where the crime ends up happening, but she's headed to D, which is her house. So she's walking down Coleman, she's going to turn on Highland and head to her house. Her sister, XXXXXXXX, if you read in the police reports, is actually turning down Highland, which is the last place that they see each other. And the crime happens here at B. Jamal leaves her at this corner,

2
 3
 4

.

and he lives here at C, it's a couple houses down. The exact address is, I don't know if you're interested in that, but the exact addresses are 516 Coleman Avenue is the crime scene here, and then 819 Grand Avenue is Jamal's house, and 707 Highland is where the victim is headed.

Do you want to go to the next map?

Q. Yes.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS}}$. GUICE SMITH: This is the third map that we handed out.

A. So the third map is depicting the following day when Knolly Brown is identified by the victim. On that map it's where the victim -- where Knolly Brown is earlier in the day. He is at B on Woodland Avenue when his friends come, a friend comes and tells him that he needs to go, and what he says his friend tells him is to get his face straight. That means that, he tells us in an interview, that he needs to go and let the victim see him. So that's where he is, and then he ends up going to the victim's home which is at C. He doesn't go in the home. He's walking down the street and the victim is walking down the street. And they end up chasing him, he says, to D, which is his home. Again, Jamal's house is here. She says that she's at Jamal's house

when she sees him on the front porch of Barry's house, which is B.

- Q. And when you say she, you're referring to the victim?
- A. The victim. Excuse me.

2.4

- Q. And this is the day after the crime?
- 6 A. The day after the crime.

JUDGE WAGONER: Question. Okay, someone came to Mr. Brown and told him he needed to have his face --

A. Get his face straight.

JUDGE WAGONER: Straight, okay.

A. Uh-huh (yes).

JUDGE WAGONER: So he voluntarily started out to the victim's house, is that right?

A. He walked -- right. He walked to -- he, and we'll talk about this more in the interviews. But he, people are telling him that the victim says you raped her and you need to get your face straight, you need to go and let her see you, essentially. And so he voluntarily is walking to the victim's home to let her see him. But meanwhile, the victim is coming home, so they meet each other kind of in the road. And there are other people there. The victim's step-father, the victim's mother, and we talk about this more in the interview. And so they see each other in the road and the victim bursts out crying. He runs because people start chasing --

JUDGE WAGONER: That's what I was wondering. 1 2 Why were people chasing him? 3 Α. When the victim starts crying the step-father yells, you raped my daughter, you raped my daughter, and 4 5 starts chasing Knolly Brown with a knife. He runs back to his house, which is here at D, and the police are 6 called and that's where he's arrested. And we do cover all of that in the interview. 8 9 JUDGE WAGONER: Okay. But we just kind of wanted to give you a location of 10 Α. 11 the area. 12 JUDGE WAGONER: Thank you. MS. GUICE SMITH: Are there any other 13 questions about the maps, Commissioners? 14 15 (NO RESPONSE.) 16 0. Okay. Ms. Stellato, did the Commission staff take 17 photos of this area? 18 Yes, we did. We took photos in February of 2015 and Α. 19 September of 2015. 20 And would those photos help you show the Commissioners Q. 21 the area where the crime took place? 22 Α. Yes, it would. 23 MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, I'm going to 2.4 put a series of photos on the screen.

> Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

And Ms. Stellato, if you'll just describe what these

are.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- A. That is the front of the home. It's still abandoned today. That area is very similar to how it looked at the time, it was only 2008. That's the front of the home, the vacant home where the crime took place.
- Q. And is that Coleman Avenue?
- A. Correct.
 - Q. And what is this picture of?
 - A. It's still the front of the home. You can just kind of see down, down the side.
- Q. And this one?
 - A. That is the side of the house, the other side where she was picked up off of the sidewalk. And that would be, that's the side that the perpetrator took her down when she was picked up off of the sidewalk.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. And that is the back of the house. If you look, you can actually see the steps right here. If you read, she hooks her feet. This is the back door and she is taken in the back door there.
 - Q. And does that picture show the side of the house also that she was brought down?
- A. It does.
 - MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, do you have any questions for Ms. Stellato about the photographs?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

2.4

25

(NO RESPONSE.)

- Q. Okay. Ms. Stellato, I'm going to turn your attention now to the alternate suspects in this case. Did you identify alternate suspects in the case?
- A. Yes, we did.
- Q. I'm going to hand you a DNA comparison chart that was created by the Commission staff that lists the alternate suspects and other males that were involved in this case. Do you recognize this as being the chart that was created by the Commission?
- 11 A. I do.
- 12 Q. Okay.

MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, I'm now going to pass around this chart. You will need or want to refer to this throughout the hearing.

(WHEREUPON, HANDOUT NO. 5 WAS DISTRIBUTED.)

- Q. Ms. Stellato, how did the Commission identify the alternate suspects?
- A. From the Rocky Mount Police Department law enforcement file.
- 21 Q. And how many alternate suspects were there?
- 22 A. Seven.
 - Q. Can you describe how you identified the alternate suspects?
 - A. There is a report from Detective Walcott.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commissioners, if you have your brief, it is on page 20 of your brief. In it Detective Walcott indicates that she met with the victim at the police department on January 26, 2008, and that she entered the physical description that the victim provided her, which was a black male ages 15 to 30, and she entered that into the Rocky Mount Police Department computer database. The system provided 2612 photographs that the victim reviewed.

MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, I'm going to now pass around a criminal record for the first individual.

(WHEREUPON, HANDOUT NO. 6 WAS DISTRIBUTED.)

- Q. Did the victim make any identifications from those pictures?
- A. Yes, she did. When the victim arrived at a photograph of Emmanuel Maurice Battle she immediately pointed him out and stated, that is him. Detective Walcott asked her how sure she was on a scale of one to ten, and she stated she was not certain it was him, but that he looked very similar.
- Q. Did the Rocky Mount Police Department conduct any follow-up investigation of Emmanuel Battle?
- A. No, not according to the file.
- Q. And did the Commission make any effort to locate

1 Mr. Battle?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.4

25

A. Yes. The Commission conducted a search for the addresses for Mr. Battle. We attempted to locate him at those addresses and we left our contact information, however, we did not receive a call back from Mr. Battle.

JUDGE WAGONER: As far as you know,

Mr. Battle was not incarcerated somewhere in DOC when
you were looking for him, was he?

A. He was not; no, ma'am.

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay.

- Q. And did the victim make any additional identifications from those pictures?
- A. Yes, she did. Also, according to the police report, she continued going through the photographs and a short time later she picked out James Lamar Brinkley. She stated that he favored the suspect, but she was not 100 percent certain.
- Q. And did Rocky Mount Police Department conduct any follow-up investigation of Mr. Brinkley?
- A. Not according to the file.
- Q. Did the Commission make an effort to locate
 Mr. Brinkley?
 - A. Yes, we did. He is currently in prison serving for assault with a deadly weapon with intent to kill. The

2.4

Commission staff interviewed him at Craven County

Correctional on April 22, 2015. He denied any

involvement in the crime or any knowledge of the crime,

and he voluntarily provided a DNA sample. We had that

sample compared and he does not match any of the

unknown DNA from the case.

MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, I'm passing around the criminal record of James Lamar Brinkley.

(WHEREUPON, HANDOUT NO. 7 WAS DISTRIBUTED.)

Q. Ms. Stellato, did the victim identify anyone else from the 2612 pictures that she was shown?

- A. She did. According to the report, as she continued looking through all of the photographs, she picked out three other men that had something similar that reminded her of the suspect. The three others that she picked out were Benjamin Christopher Gadson, Thomas Lee Battle, and James Luther Andrews. According to the report, when she continued picking those out she was not certain that they were the men who raped her.
- Q. And do you know if any follow-up was conducted by the Rocky Mount Police Department on Benjamin Gadson,

 Thomas Battle, or James Andrews?
- A. Not according to the file.
- Q. Did the Commission make any effort to locate Benjamin Gadson?

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

2.4

A. Yes. We were able to interview Mr. Gadson on the phone on April 16, 2015. He denied any involvement in the crime. Mr. Gadson stated that in 2008 he was living in Charlotte and he was not in Rocky Mount. After searches we confirmed that he was, in fact, living at a Charlotte — that he did, in fact, have a Charlotte address listed in 2008. He ultimately would not agree to meet with the Commission staff in person and we were unable to collect a DNA sample.

MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, I am passing around the criminal record for Benjamin Gadson.

(WHEREUPON, HANDOUT NO. 8 WAS DISTRIBUTED.)

- Q. Ms. Stellato, did the Commission make any effort to locate Thomas Lee Battle?
- A. Yes, we did. We conducted a search for the addresses for Thomas Battle and were unable to locate him at those addresses. We did locate his girlfriend via telephone. She indicated she would provide him with the information, however, we did not receive a return call from Mr. Battle. We later went to his address.

 No one was home. We did leave contact information but he did not provide a return phone call.

MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, I am now going to pass around the criminal record of Thomas Battle.

1 (WHEREUPON, HANDOUT NO. 9 WAS DISTRIBUTED.) JUDGE WAGONER: Is he related to Emmanuel 2 3 Battle? 4 Α. Not that we can find. We cannot find any relation. 5 There are a lot of Battles in that area, so there may be some distant relation. 6 7 Ms. Stellato, did the Commission make any effort to Q. 8 locate the other alternate suspect, James Luther 9 Andrews? Yes, we did. We were able to locate and interview 10 Α. 11 Mr. Andrews on April 20, 2015. He denied any 12 involvement in the crime. He initially consented to voluntarily provide a DNA sample, however, after 1.3 speaking with a friend, he withdrew that consent. 14 15 MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, I am now 16 passing around the criminal record of James Luther 17 Andrews. 18 (WHEREUPON, HANDOUT NO. 10 WAS DISTRIBUTED.) 19 Ms. Stellato, was the Commission able to identify any Q. 20 additional alternate suspects from the law enforcement 21 file? 22 Yes, we identified Michael Antoine Johnson as a 23 possible suspect. Johnson is listed as Antoine Michael 2.4 Johnson in law enforcement police reports. He was

interviewed on the day of the crime and a photo line-up

of him was shown to the victim that included his photograph, however, she did not identify him as her attacker.

- Q. And did the Commission make any effort to locate
 Michael Johnson?
- A. Yes, we did. We went to his last known address. The home was vacant and no one lived there. He currently has outstanding warrants and his location is unknown at this time.

MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, I'm passing out the criminal record for Michael Antoine Johnson.

(WHEREUPON, HANDOUT NO. 11 WAS DISTRIBUTED.)

MR. HEARD: I've got a question, please.

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. HEARD: If she did not identify Michael Antoine Johnson from the pictures offered, how was he identified as an alternative suspect?

A. He was in the area at the time of the crime. Jamal Johnson described seeing a man fitting that description, and police picked him up because he was walking down the road wearing the same --

MR. HEARD: So it was basically based on the clothes he was wearing?

A. The description, yes.

MR. HEARD: Thank you.

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348

Concord, NC 28027

A. Uh-huh (yes).

2.4

JUDGE WAGONER: Is Michael Johnson related to Jamal Johnson?

A. There are a lot of Johnsons also in that area. We don't know.

SHERIFF PICKENS: Sharon, do you know what the current warrant for him is for, did they tell you?

- It is not, I do know that it is not for a rape or -
 SHERIFF PICKENS: That's all I wanted to
 know.
- A. We can definitely look and get that.
- Q. Ms. Stellato, did the Commission seek a Non-Testimonial Identification Order for any of the alternate suspects that we just discussed who would not voluntarily provide a DNA sample?
- A. No. Based on the information that we had, which was very limited information in the police reports, the fact that the victim had not responded to our original request for an interview, the fact that she had ultimately identified Knolly Brown, we did not believe that we had reasonable grounds to seek an NTIO for these individuals.
- Q. Did the Commission identify any other alternate suspects from the law enforcement file?

2.4

- A. Yes, we did. We identified one additional alternate suspect through the documents that were provided, not through the law enforcement report or, excuse me, file that was provided from Rocky Mount Police Department, but through the file that was provided by the evidence section of Rocky Mount Police Department. These documents were not in the master file. The evidence records contained an evidence voucher, and it indicated that a pair of shoes were collected from a man named Aaron Atkins. On there Atkins was listed as a suspect on this evidence form, but there was no other mention of him in the file.
- Q. Did the Commission make any effort to locate
 Mr. Atkins?
- A. Yes, we located him and interviewed him on March 19, 2015, and he provided additional information about the case and his interaction with law enforcement.
- Q. I just want to go back. When you say that the only information you saw was on an evidence voucher with his name on it, was there any mention of him in the Rocky Mount Police Department file other than on the evidence voucher provided by the evidence section?
- A. No.
- Q. What did Mr. Atkins say about his involvement with law enforcement on the day of the crime?

He stated that on the day of the crime he had been

picked up for what he believed was an outstanding

took off running because of his outstanding warrant

that he knew of. He stated he thought he was being

arrested for that, but then law enforcement took him

down to the police station and they began questioning

him about the rape of a little girl. He stated that

description of the rapist and that they thought his

shoes would match footprints that were collected from

the crime scene. He told them that he had been picking

up his mother from work at that time, and they verified

his alibi. His alibi checked out and they did release

They did not return the shoes, but they did

they collected his shoes and told him he fit the

When he was approached by law enforcement he

17

18

20

23

Α.

warrant.

- Q. Did you ask Mr. Atkins whether he was involved in the crime?
- 19 A. Yes, we did.
 - Q. And what was his response?

release him.

- A. He denied any involvement in the crime. He also voluntarily provided a DNA sample.
 - Q. Did we have that sample compared?
- 24 A. Yes, we did.
- 25 \ Q. And what were the results?

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

1.3

2.4

- A. He does not match any of the unknown DNA from the case.
- Q. Did you do anything else with respect to Mr. Atkins?
- A. At the conclusion of the interview his mother was also waiting in the car, so we interviewed her. She confirmed that she was working at a job at a place called Keihin that day. She stated that she got off -- and when I say that day, I mean the day of the crime -- that she had got off work at 3:00 p.m. and that it took 18 minutes to travel from her home to her work. She couldn't recall whether she had spoke with police that day or not, but she recalled her son being picked up by police on that day and that her son had fit the description of the rapist and that was why he was picked up.

MS. GUICE SMITH: We're going to talk a little bit more about Mr. Atkins, but I would like to go ahead and pass around his criminal record at this time, Commissioners.

(WHEREUPON, HANDOUT NO. 12 WAS DISTRIBUTED.)

- Q. Ms. Stellato, did Mr. Atkins say anything to you about whether or not he had prior interactions with law enforcement?
- A. He did.
 - Q. And what was that?
- \blacksquare A. He had, he did have interactions with law enforcement.

- Q. And did he indicate whether or not he ran when he saw law enforcement?
- A. He would run when he saw law enforcement, yes.
- Q. Regularly?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

2.4

25

- A. Regularly.
- Q. Aside from the DNA testing that we're going to hear testimony on later about later on during this hearing, what other forensic analysis did the Commission have conducted related to Mr. Atkins?
- A. We had a latent foot expert compare the shoe cast from the crime scene to the shoes of both Atkins and Knolly Brown.
- Q. And was the latent examiner able to determine what kind of shoe made the print that was found at the crime scene?
- A. Yes. It was made by an Air Jordan shoe.

JUDGE WAGONER: Air Jordan?

- 18 A. Nike Air Jordan shoe.
- Q. And was the latent examiner able to compare that shoe cast to Knolly Brown's shoes?
 - A. Yes. The shoes that were collected from Knolly Brown on the day after the crime, the expert was able to conclude that they were Pony brand shoes, and Knolly Brown is excluded, his shoes are excluded from making that.

2.4

- Q. Was the latent examiner able to compare the shoe cast to that of Aaron Atkins' shoes?
- A. Yes. Atkins' shoes are Air Force Ones with an Air Jordan bottom.
- Q. And were these the shoes that were originally collected by law enforcement from Mr. Atkins on the day of the crime?
- A. Yes. Although the latent examiner was unable to find any individual characteristics present between the shoe casts and Atkins' shoes, it is his opinion that the left shoe of Atkins cannot be conclusively excluded as the source of the print.
- Q. Did the Commission request that the latent examiner do any other analysis?
- A. Yes. We asked him to determine the estimated size of the shoe that was represented in the shoe cast, however, he was unable to make a size estimate.
- Q. And did the Commission do anything else related to those shoe prints?
- A. We tried to determine -- what Atkins told us and what we knew is that the shoes he was wearing that day was a very popular shoe, so we tried to determine how popular. The Commission contacted Nike's corporate office to determine whether they could provide any additional information on the popularity of the shoe.

A member of Nike's legal team informed the Commission staff that Air Force Ones are their most popular shoes and over 30 million have been sold. They were first released in 1981 and became very popular in the 2000s.

- Q. Did you learn anything more about Mr. Atkins from any of the files that the Commission reviewed?
- A. Yes, we did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.4

- Q. Okay. Can you describe what that is?
- A. Yes. In October of 2015 the Commission received another file. That file came from Rocky Mount Police Department Detective Renee Walcott.
- Q. Was Ms. Walcott with Rocky Mount at the time that that file was turned over?
 - A. No, she was not. She was living in Virginia and she was no longer with the department.
- 16 0. And what did she state about that file?
 - A. She indicated that what she was providing us was a copy of the master file that Rocky Mount Police Department had already given us.
 - Q. Did the Commission, was the Commission able to confirm that she provided a copy of that file?
 - A. It was not a copy of that file.
- 23 \ Q. And what were the differences?
 - A. We noted that it contained crime scene photographs and other photographs that had not been provided to the

2.4

- Commission and were not in the Rocky Mount Police Department master file.
- Q. Other than the crime scene photographs, were there any other photographs that she provided that warranted additional investigation?
- A. Yes. There was a black and white copy of a photograph that had been attached to a Rocky Mount Police

 Department report.
- Q. And was that located in the Rocky Mount Police

 Department master file or in the file provided by

 Ms. Walcott?
- A. The master file. And the report stated that the day prior to Knolly Brown's plea that he accepted an officer had been asked by Detective Walcott that she take a photograph over to the victim and ask the victim if she recognized the person in the attached photograph. According to the report, the officer took the photograph over to the victim and she asked the victim if she recognized the photograph, and the victim stated, I have never seen that person before. The report and the photograph had no identifying information on who the individual was, so the Commission couldn't tell from the report or from the photograph who they were asking the victim about.
- Q. And how clear was the black and white photograph?

- A. You are unable to determine -- it's not clear.
 - JUDGE WAGONER: So would you say it's grainy or something or --
 - A. It's grainy. It's black and white so it literally -- and we will pass this out. It is literally a white sheet of paper with a black image on it.
 - Q. And did you follow up with Detective Walcott to see if she could provide any additional information about that request?
 - A. We had reached out to Detective Walcott several times and via email. We had reached out to see if she had any additional information regarding why this photograph had been shown to the victim on the day before Knolly Brown was to take a plea in the case and who the photograph was of. She had not responded to that email. We had also reached out to the ADA in the case and others to try to determine who this photograph was of.
 - Q. Did we also reach out to Defense Attorney Matthew Sperati?
- 21 A. Yes, we did.
 - Q. And did he have any recollection of who it was?
 - A. No, he did not.
 - Q. What happened when we received the color photos from Detective Walcott's file?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Α. When we received the color photos we noted that there was a colored photo that appeared to be the same as the black and white. Upon looking at the colored photo we noted an emblem on the front of the man's sweatshirt and it said, Keihin. We remembered -- we conducted research and we learned that this was the name of a company in Tarboro and we recalled that name from our interview with Aaron Atkins. We confirmed that that was the place he had told us his mother worked from the interview. We then pulled booking photographs from CJLEADS for Aaron Atkins. Around the time we located a photograph from 2008 in which he was wearing a sweatshirt that appears to be the same. Based on all of this, we believe that the photograph shown to the victim the day before Knolly Brown's plea may have been that of Aaron Atkins.
 - Q. I'm going to hand you a packet. Can you tell me what is in this packet?
- A. It is the report dated 10/13/2009, the black and white photograph, the colored photograph, and the CJLEADS photos.
- Q. Does this packet illustrate the testimony that you just gave?
- A. It does.
- MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, I'm now

2.4

going to pass out that packet that contains the original law enforcement report with the black and white photo as well as the color photo and the photos that we had pulled from CJLEADS.

(WHEREUPON, HANDOUT NO. 13 WAS DISTRIBUTED.)

MR. GRACE: Madame Director, may I ask a question at this point?

MS. GUICE SMITH: You may, yes.

MR. GRACE: The victim indicated that the pictures she was shown the day before the plea was not a person she knew or she couldn't identify that picture?

A. According to the report, the victim viewed the photo and stated she has never seen this person before. What we don't know is how the photo -- we don't know from what we have because what we have is a black and white photograph and report in the Rocky Mount Police Department file and a color photo that was provided to us by Detective Walcott's photo -- file without the report attached to it, we don't know how it was shown to the victim. We don't know the circumstances of what was said to the victim because it's not in the report.

MR. GRACE: So you're not certain whether she was shown the color photo which --

A. No, sir.

MR. GRACE: -- clearly --

A. All we know is what I'm testifying to and what we'll, what we're handing you.

JUDGE WAGONER: Was there any reason given by this person you talked to that no longer works for Rocky Mount why, why she was directed to do this, show the picture?

A. We've reached back out to her about this now. There is

-- and we'll get to that a little bit more in

testimony. We reached back out to her based on this to

ask why were you showing the photograph of Aaron Atkins

the day before the plea. She has not responded.

Because she's in Virginia, the Commission, we can't get

an answer on that. There are also some issues related

to evidence that we'll talk about a little bit more,

that she also located physical evidence in the case

when she located the file. So we don't have an answer

2.4

1.3

CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY MS. GUICE SMITH:

- Q. Ms. Stellato, I'll turn your attention, if you will, to the last page in the packet with the CJLEADS photos.
- A. (Witness complies.)

on that.

Q. Will you look at the photo in the center dated February 15, 2008?

A. (Witness complies.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Q. Is that the one in which you believe Mr. Atkins is wearing the same sweatshirt as he's wearing in the color photograph on the page before?
- A. It appears to be a similar sweatshirt.
- Q. And what about it is similar?
- A. The color, the inside of his sweatshirt, and the fact that we know both he and his mother worked at Keihin.

MS. GUICE SMITH: Are there any other questions about these photographs, Commissioners, or about the alternate suspects in general?

SHERIFF PICKENS: Sharon, the black and white photo was attached to the file that Walcott had or --

A. No, ma'am.

SHERIFF PICKENS: -- have I got that backwards?

A. The black and white photo was attached to this report in the Rocky Mount master file, Rocky Mount Police

Department master file.

SHERIFF PICKENS: But she --

A. They know nothing about this. They do not have this photo as well as other photos, the crime scene photos, as well as physical evidence. They don't have them, they don't know about them.

SHERIFF PICKENS: But she had this photo,

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

correct?

2 A. Yes, ma'am.

SHERIFF PICKENS: Okay.

A. But she is not the one that showed -- she directed an Officer Robins -- sorry, Lewis, Investigator Lewis -- she investigated -- she directed Investigator Lewis, and we've had no luck on that.

SHERIFF PICKENS: And so we don't know if
Lewis was directed to show the black and white or the
color photo?

A. We don't know.

SHERIFF PICKENS: There's no --

A. We don't know.

SHERIFF PICKENS: Okay.

SHERIFF JOHNSON: And we don't know why she directed them to show the photo?

A. We don't.

JUDGE WAGONER: Yes, ma'am.

MS. SURGEON: I just need to be clear. Did you say that the report and the black and white picture was in the police file?

A. The Rocky Mount Police Department file, yes, ma'am.

MS. SURGEON: But the color pictures were not in there?

A. The color pictures were with Detective Walcott in

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

1 Virginia.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

2 MS. SURGEON: And not in the master file?

A. Right. Detective Walcott -- sorry, I'm trying to get you there.

MS. SURGEON: Right.

A. — is saying that her file, the one she provided to us, is the copy, right? She's saying, I'm giving you a copy of it. They have the original file. But that can't be accurate if what we're getting from her are the color photos and Rocky Mount Police Department does not, not only do they not have a color photo of this, they don't have the other crime scene photos; they don't have them at all. So it can't be a copy if they don't have them.

SHERIFF PICKENS: So what she probably has is her working file?

A. We have discussed that with her.

SHERIFF PICKENS: That's just my opinion.

A. Yes, ma'am.

JUDGE WAGONER: Mr. Britt.

MR. BRITT: Did you reach out to the Investigator Lewis to clarify which photographs he may have shown the victim? Or she, I'm sorry.

A. She took it there, so there is no information on that that the Commission has been able to obtain.

CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY MS. GUICE SMITH:

- Q. Ms. Stellato, I'm going to ask one follow-up question to clarify. Did the Commission originally receive a copy of the Rocky Mount Police Department file from Rocky Mount?
- A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. Did the Commission later go back to Rocky Mount Police

 Department and look through their master file?
- A. Yes, we did.
 - Q. And when looking through the master file did the Commission find any color photographs, crime scene or otherwise?
 - A. No.
 - MR. GRACE: One follow-up and last question. The victim was certain that the picture she was shown was a person she did not know or was it that the picture, she couldn't determine from the picture who?
 - A. The only thing we know about what the victim says is from this report which states, the victim viewed the photo and stated she has never seen this person before. So we don't have any notes that the officer wrote, we just have this report.

MS. GUICE SMITH: Any other questions? (NO RESPONSE.)

CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY MS. GUICE SMITH:

Q. Ms. Stellato, let's turn now to the physical evidence in this case.

JUDGE WAGONER: Let me ask one more. I thought you had also crime scene photos that were not in the master file, is that correct? Or are you getting to that now?

MS. GUICE SMITH: Would you like to ask that question of Ms. Stellato?

JUDGE WAGONER: Yes. Do you understand what the question was?

A. Yes, ma'am.

2.4

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay. Do you know?

A. Crime scene photos?

JUDGE WAGONER: Crime scene photos.

- A. There were photographs of the, there were photographs of the outside of the home, there were photographs of -- there is a -- we don't know if it's the victim, we -- it may be the victim, it may not. There is a photograph of either an arm or a leg with a scratch on it. There are no photographs of the inside of the home. But yes, there -- that -- to the extent of the crime scene, that is what we have.
- Q. Ms. Stellato, are there also pictures of the shoe

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

1 prints?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- A. Uh-huh (yes). Very limited photographs of the shoe prints.
- Q. And did the crime occur inside the home?
- A. It did.
 - Q. And are there any photographs of the inside of the home?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Are any of the photographs labeled with any kind of identifying information?
 - A. No. And that is why we had wanted to find the original photographs, because normally police officers will write on the back, specifically that one of whatever we're looking at, they'll write, you know, victim's left arm or -- and there is no mark, there are no markings, so we're not sure.
 - Q. And are the photographs on photograph paper or on an 8x11 piece of paper?
 - A. They're on an 8x11 piece of paper. But it was 2008 and that could be because the photographs were taken digitally and uploaded.

MR. GRACE: I'm still concerned about these pictures. I'm sorry, have we verified that a picture was actually shown to the victim other than that report?

Α. The victim, I'll speak on that later. 1 JUDGE WAGONER: I think it's your turn to ask 2 3 a question. 4 SHERIFF JOHNSON: If there are no pictures of 5 the inside of the house in the brief, where did that photo come from of the inside of the house? 6 7 The inside of the house? Α. SHERIFF JOHNSON: I think there's one with a 8 9 photo of the inside of the house. I'm pretty sure I 10 saw one. 11 MR. BRITT: There are references to glass 12 being on the floor. MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, the 1.3 photographs of the crime scene that are in your brief 14 15 are on page 36 and 37. 16 0. Ms. Stellato, if you will turn to that. 17 Α. (Witness complies.) 18 JUDGE WAGONER: Page 37 is, I believe, what 19 you're referring to. 20 On page 37 can you describe what that is a picture of? Q. MR. HEARD: That's the outside of the house. 21 22 SHERIFF PICKENS: Yeah, it's the outside. 23 That's a photograph of the back door of the home. Α. 2.4 MR. HEARD: That's the outside of the house.

I thought it was the inside at first.

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay, let me just say, the 1 2 court reporter has asked us all to speak up. 3 Mr. Heard, would you repeat your statement or question. 4 MR. HEARD: I just noted that's, I think, the 5 outside of the house, but it did appear when I first 6 looked at it to be the inside of the house, but no. 7 Ms. Stellato, can you confirm what that photo is of? Q. 8 Uh-huh (yes). That's a picture of the outside of the Α. 9 home. And is that the back of the home? 10 Q. 11 Yes, that is the back door of the home. Α. And if you turn to page 36 in the brief, can you tell 12 Q. the Commissioners what that picture is of? 13 That's the front door. 14 Α. 15 MS. GUICE SMITH: Any further questions about 16 the crime scene photos? 17 (NO RESPONSE.) Ms. Stellato, now let's turn to the physical 18 Q. Okay. evidence in this case. Did the Commission collect 19 20 evidence --21 MS. GUICE SMITH: Before we turn to that, do 22 you think we need a break? 23 JUDGE WAGONER: Break anyone? Yay or nay? 2.4 (NO RESPONSE.) 25 JUDGE WAGONER: Everybody okay to keep going?

1 MR. HEARD: Yes.

2.4

JUDGE WAGONER: All right.

- Q. Did the Commission collect evidence in this case?
- A. Yes, we collected evidence from the Rocky Mount Police

 Department in February of 2013. At that time we

 believed that this included all of the evidence that

 had been collected from the crime scene or in the case

 and all of the evidence that we had requested.
- Q. And did the Commission send that evidence off for DNA testing?
- A. We did. We sent it to private labs for DNA testing.

 That testing will be discussed later in the hearing.
- Q. Okay. Can you tell me what the Commission learned in May of 2014?
- A. In May of 2014 we were contacted by Cellmark Forensics, which is a private lab where we were conducting testing in the case. Specifically we were conducting testing on the victim's pubic hair combings. At that time we learned that a slide containing four pubic hair combings, that that entire slide was missing from the evidence.
- Q. And what did the Commission do then?
- A. We made extensive efforts to determine where the hairs were located. We contacted the North Carolina State

 Lab, the Connecticut lab where it had been sent, the

Rocky Mount Police Department, and even UPS, which was the shipping agency where it had been sent through.

Ultimately we determined that the missing hairs had been sent by the North Carolina State Crime Lab, had been sent by the North Carolina State Crime Lab to the Connecticut lab in 2008 for testing.

- Q. And why are these hairs important?
- A. Those specific hairs were important because one of those four hairs was determined originally to be microscopically consistent with Mr. Brown, the Defendant, by the North Carolina State Crime Lab. That hair was then DNA tested by the Connecticut crime lab and determined to be that of the victim. All of this was known, but only one --

MS. COVER: I'm sorry. I have a technical difficulty, I'm sorry.

A. So that was one of the four.

MS. COVER: My apologies.

JUDGE WAGONER: Why don't we just be at ease for about five minutes?

MS. GUICE SMITH: This might be an appropriate time for our morning break, if that's -
JUDGE WAGONER: Sure. Let's go on and take our break.

(WHEREUPON, A SHORT RECESS WAS TAKEN FROM

1.3

2.4

11:25 A.M. THROUGH 11:37 A.M.)

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY MS. GUICE SMITH:

- Q. Okay. Ms. Stellato, I want to go back to where we were before we just took our break. I believe that you were telling us why the hairs that were sent from the state crime lab to the Connecticut crime lab were important.
- A. In 2008 one of those hairs was found to be microscopically consistent with the Defendant,

 Mr. Brown, by the North Carolina State Crime Lab. It was then tested, DNA tested by the Connecticut lab and that hair was determined to belong to the victim.
- Q. And why was it sent to the Connecticut lab for testing?
- A. Because the State Crime Lab could not conduct DNA testing on a hair.
- Q. Okay.
- A. So only one of those four hairs, however, was tested, and that hair was completely consumed when it was tested, so three hairs remained.
- Q. And those three hairs, were they deemed by the crime lab to be microscopically consistent with Knolly Brown?
- A. No.
- Q. Did the Commission have any way of knowing exactly which hair was tested?
- 25 A. No.

Q. Okay.

2.4

- A. And we wanted to make sure that they had tested the correct hair out of the four, and in addition, that all of those hairs were tested.
- Q. Okay. And the information that you just testified to about the microscopically consistent hair that was later tested by the Connecticut crime lab and was not Knolly Brown's hair but rather the victim's, was that information available at the time of the plea?
- A. It was available when Mr. Brown took his plea.
- Q. So that is not new evidence?
- 12 A. It is not.
 - Q. Okay. What else did you learn about the hairs?
 - A. We received documentation that the Connecticut lab had returned the slide which now had three remaining hairs along with the standards of both the victim and Knolly Brown via UPS next-day air to Detective Walcott at Rocky Mount Police Department on March 31, 2009.
 - Q. And were you able to follow up with the Rocky Mount Police Department?
 - A. Yes, we did. We again looked at the Rocky Mount Police

 Department file. We determined that neither the

 Connecticut lab reports were in the Rocky Mount Police

 Department or a letter to Detective Walcott, it wasn't

 in there. And we noted further that both the North

2.4

Carolina crime lab file and the district attorney's files both contained the information from the crime lab, the Connecticut lab reports and the letters. So we knew that two agencies had gotten the information from the Connecticut lab, but the Rocky Mount Police Department didn't have any record of having ever received copies of that information.

- Q. And was the letter and the reports sent with the evidence?
- A. It was.
- Q. Did you make any additional efforts to locate that evidence?
- A. We followed up directly with the Rocky Mount Police

 Department evidence section. By that time we learned that they weren't keeping their files together. They indicated that there was no documentation in their files that evidence had ever been received by them.
- Q. Did you make any additional efforts?
- A. Yes. We spoke directly with Detective Walcott by phone several times. As I stated earlier, by that time she was in Virginia so she was no longer with the Rocky Mount Police Department. She stated that she had never received the evidence. She stated that she didn't know anything about the evidence being returned. And she additionally stated she had searched her personal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

storage location for a file and could not locate it.

- Q. Did you request affidavits outlining the efforts taken to locate the evidence by Ms. Walcott and by the Rocky Mount Police Department evidence section?
- A. Yes. Whenever we cannot locate a file or evidence we, the Commission, request affidavits, and we did so of both the Rocky Mount Police Department and Detective Walcott.
- Q. And after requesting that affidavit, did Ms. Walcott follow up with the Commission?
- Α. Yes, as often is the case when we request an affidavit outlining what steps she took in her search, she returned to her personal storage unit, she found the file that we talked about earlier containing the photographs, and she also found the missing evidence. She found this evidence in a Pampers box. She stated it looked like it had trash in it. She did not contact us directly at that time, she contacted the Rocky Mount Police Department. She met them at the Virginia line, turned the evidence over to them. Rocky Mount Police Department knew that we were looking for it and they contacted us directly, then we arranged the immediate transfer of the evidence and we subsequently got the file, excuse me, copies of the file from Detective Walcott directly.

57 1 Ο. And --JUDGE WAGONER: Question from me. 2 Is there 3 any indication, and I might have missed something, that 4 the Defense ever saw this report? 5 The Connecticut report? Α. JUDGE WAGONER: Yes. 6 7 They were aware that the testing on the hair did not Α. match Knolly Brown, that it matched the victim at the 8 9 time of the plea. That is not new evidence. 10 JUDGE WAGONER: Okay. 11 Α. But they were not aware of the testing that we will 12 have an expert testify to today. All of the testing that we will present today is new evidence. 1.3 JUDGE WAGONER: Okay. 14 15 That we have an expert coming to testify is new Α. 16 evidence. 17 MR. BRITT: Does the Connecticut report state 18 that one hair was tested or does it state that the evidence itself, the hairs --19 20 The Connecticut report states that one hair was tested Α. 21 and that it matches the victim. 22 MR. BRITT: But it's silent remaining the 23 other three?

MS. GUICE SMITH: Would you like to see

(Witness does not respond.)

2.4

25

Α.

MR. BRITT: Do we have a copy of that?

MS. GUICE SMITH: We do.

A. The reason they were testing that one hair is that the hair was found to be microscopically consistent with Knolly Brown. The Commission ultimately tests many hairs, including those three, but many more.

MR. BRITT: Is it correct for me to assume that they examined that one hair based upon the submission by the lab, the North Carolina state lab?

A. Correct.

that -

MR. BRITT: I'm sorry, it's on page 4 of our brief; I'm sorry.

A. Isn't it on the back of that -- 46, is that -- sorry.

JUDGE WAGONER: 44.

CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY MS. GUICE SMITH:

- Q. Ms. Stellato, can you read on page 46 of the brief?
- A. They are examining a hair fragment so the report is silent as to the other hairs. Does that answer your question, Mr. Britt?

MR. BRITT: It does. Thank you.

- Q. Ms. Stellato, I'm handing you two documents. Can you tell the Commissioners what these are?
- A. These are affidavits from Rocky Mount Police Department

NCIIC Hearing - State v. Knolly Brown 59 and Detective Walcott directly. 1 2 And who did the affidavit at Rocky Mount Police 0. 3 Department? Senior Police Officer Tyson. 4 Α. 5 MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, I am passing around the affidavit of Senior Police Officer Tyson 6 7 from the Rocky Mount Police Department as well as the 8 affidavit of former Detective Walcott. I'll give you 9 time to review those. 10 (WHEREUPON, HANDOUTS NO. 14 AND 15 WERE 11 DISTRIBUTED.) (COMMISSIONERS EXAMINE DOCUMENTS.) 12 13 JUDGE WAGONER: You may continue. MS. GUICE SMITH: All right. At this time, 14 15 that concludes that portion of Ms. Stellato's 16 testimony. If the Commissioners have any questions now 17 having read the affidavits about the evidence search and the missing evidence and the location of that 18 evidence -19 20 JUDGE WAGONER: Questions? Yes, sir, 2.1 Mr. Grace. 22 MR. GRACE: Was the package from Connecticut, 23 as the affidavit indicates, intact when you received

A. No, it had been -- no, because she, she --

24

25

it?

- Q. Ms. Stellato, did Detective Walcott send the Commission photographs of the evidence after she opened the outer packaging?
- A. She did. She, when she found it, it was sealed inside of the Pampers box. But she opened the UPS package, looked inside of it, then she took pictures, then she took everything that was sealed and sent it to Rocky Mount Police Department where they checked it in, then we received it from them. So it was not sealed when we received it.

MR. GRACE: Thank you.

A. Uh-huh (yes).

JUDGE WAGONER: Other questions?

MS. GUICE SMITH: One follow-up.

CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY MS. GUICE SMITH:

- Q. Ms. Stellato, were the individual packages within the outer UPS packaging sealed and were they sealed when -- sorry.
- A. They were.
- Q. And were they sealed when the Commission received them from Rocky Mount Police Department?
- A. They were.
 - Q. And did Rocky Mount Police Department also provide to the Commission that outer packaging in another sealed

envelope?

A. They did.

MS. GUICE SMITH: Okay?

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay.

- Q. All right. Ms. Stellato, you may step down.
- A. (Witness complies.)

* * * * * * *

MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, we are now going to move on to the DNA testing that the Commission had conducted in this case. I'm going to give a brief overview of some of the less complex DNA testing, and then we're going to call a DNA expert who will testify to the remaining DNA testing. I've looked at the clock, it's a little bit after 12:00. I do know that the food is here. It is a hot lunch today. Rather than let that get cold, after I do my brief summary we'll go ahead, if it's okay with you, Judge Wagoner, and break for lunch before calling the expert.

JUDGE WAGONER: Thank you.

MS. GUICE SMITH: The Commission had extensive DNA testing conducted in this case. It spanned almost three years and was tested at three different private labs. The Commission specifically had DNA testing conducted on a condom that was found at

the crime scene. The lab located both male and female DNA on this condom and we had that compared to both the victim and to Mr. Brown. It did not match either of those. It excluded both of those individuals. Because of that, we felt that the condom was not relevant to the crime. This case did occur in an abandoned home and because we could not identify the victim's DNA on the condom, we didn't do anything further with that. Even so, Mr. Brown's DNA was excluded as well.

The Commission also had DNA testing conducted on the victim's vaginal swabs. We were trying to isolate male DNA on those swabs, but were unable to obtain a male DNA profile from the swabs.

Finally the Commission conducted DNA testing on all of the hairs from the victim's pubic hair combings, so this was including the hairs that were not sent to Connecticut as well as those final three hairs once we received them from Detective Walcott. Every single hair came back as being consistent with the profile of the victim. There were no foreign hairs found in the pubic hair combings.

The remaining DNA testing will be discussed or testified about by Meghan Clement. She works for Bode Cellmark Forensics in Lorton, Virginia, and she will be here to testify after lunch.

NCIIC Hearing - State v. Knolly Brown 1 JUDGE WAGONER: All right. So we'll take our 2 lunch break now. And what time do you want to resume? 3 MS. GUICE SMITH: That is up to you, Judge 4 Wagoner. 5 JUDGE WAGONER: Do you all want to do 1:30 or 2:00? 6 7 (WHEREUPON, A DISCUSSION WAS HAD OFF THE 8

RECORD WHICH WAS NOT REPORTED.)

JUDGE WAGONER: 1:00 o'clock. Thank you. (WHEREUPON, THE LUNCHEON RECESS WAS TAKEN FROM 12:00 P.M. THROUGH 1:00 P.M.)

JUDGE WAGONER: All right, we are ready to resume. Call your next witness, ma'am.

MS. GUICE SMITH: We call Meghan Clement.

15

16

9

10

11

12

13

14

Meghan Clement, upon first being duly sworn, testified as follows:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

17

EXAMINATION BY MS. GUICE SMITH

JUDGE WAGONER: And if you'll state your name, and I'm sure Ms. Smith will ask you to do that.

- Α. My name is Meghan Clement.
- And where are you employed, Ms. Clement? Q.
- I'm employed at Bode Cellmark Forensics in Lorton, Α. Virginia.

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

- Q. Did you recently provide me with a copy of your CV?
- A. Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

- Q. If you'll take a look at this and just let me know if that is the most recent copy?
 - A. (Witness examines document.) Yes, it is.

MS. GUICE SMITH: I'm going to pass around
Ms. Clement's CV.

(WHEREUPON, HANDOUT NO. 16 WAS DISTRIBUTED.)

- Q. Ms. Clement, can you tell us how many times you've testified as an expert, approximately?
- 11 A. In serology and/or DNA approximately 360 times, and
 12 I've also testified in --

JUDGE WAGONER: If you'll speak up just a little bit, please, ma'am.

- A. Certainly. In serology and DNA testing slightly over 360 times. And then I've also testified in other areas of forensics.
- Q. Thank you. Have you testified for both the prosecution and the defense?
- A. Yes, I have.
- Q. And have you previously testified before the Innocence Inquiry Commission?
- 23 A. I have, yes.
 - Q. Can you tell us a little bit about your background?

 Where did you go to school?

2.4

- A. I received my bachelors in biology from Westfield State
 College in Massachusetts, and my master of science in
 forensic sciences from the University of New Haven in
 West Haven, Connecticut. I've also attended graduate
 level courses at the University of New Mexico in
 Albuquerque as well as obtained graduate level credits
 from the University of Virginia through courses that I
 actually took at the FBI Academy in Quantico,
 Virginia.
- Q. And how long have you been working in the fields of serology and DNA?
- A. Since November -- oh, excuse me, since March of 1985, so a little over 30 years.
- Q. And your CV says that you have worked at LabCorp,

 Cellmark, and Bode Cellmark. Can you briefly explain
 to the Commissioners how those labs are connected?
- A. Yes. LabCorp is the parent company and they had a forensic identity laboratory in Research Triangle Park that was open from 1992 to June of 2012. In December of 2011 LabCorp purchased Orchid Cellmark in Texas and in early 2012 they made the decision to close down the Research Triangle Park laboratory and to consolidate testing to the Texas lab. Then in November of 2014 they purchased a company called the Bode Technology Group in Lorton, Virginia, and in March of this year

they announced that they were shutting down the Texas laboratory, which was branded Cellmark Forensics, and combining all of the testing into the Virginia laboratory and rebranded the name Bode Cellmark Forensics. So Bode Cellmark Forensics as well as Cellmark Forensics were both wholly-owned subsidiaries of LabCorp.

- Q. Thank you. Do you have any board certifications?
- A. I do, yes. I am certified by the American Board of Criminalists.
- Q. Okay.

MS. GUICE SMITH: Your Honor, at this time
I'd like to ask to qualify Ms. Clement as an expert in
serology and DNA testing technology.

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay. She can testify as an expert in serology and --

MS. GUICE SMITH: DNA testing and technology.

JUDGE WAGONER: -- DNA testing. Thank you.

- Q. Ms. Clement, has Cellmark Forensics conducted DNA testing in the case of State versus Knolly Brown?
- A. Yes, it has.
- Q. And have you reviewed all of the testing conducted by Cellmark Forensics?
- 24 A. I have, yes.
 - Q. And are you comfortable about testifying about all of

1

2

5

9

it?

- I am. Α.
- 3 Q. Did Sorenson Forensics also conduct DNA testing on some of those items that Cellmark has also tested? 4
 - Yes, they did. Α.
- And just for the record, Sorenson is not a part of 6 7 Cellmark Forensics?
- No, that's correct. Sorenson is a separate for-profit 8 Α. DNA testing facility in Utah.
- Okay. And have you reviewed the reports from Sorenson? 10 Q.
- 11 Α. I have, yes.
- 12 And are you comfortable testifying about the testing Q. 13 conducted by Sorenson?
- Yes, I am. 14 Α.
- 15 I'm handing you a stack of reports. If you will look 16 at those.
- 17 Α. (Witness examines documents.)
- 18 These are reports for testing conducted by Sorenson Q. 19 Forensics and Cellmark Forensics. Once you've looked 20 through them can you tell me if you've seen them all before? 21
- (Witness continues to examine documents.) Yes, I have. 22
- 23 And have you reviewed them prior to your testimony Q. 2.4 today?
- 25 Α. I have, yes.

- Q. I'm also going to hand you another document. Do you recognize that?
- A. Yes, I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Q. And is that a chart that the Commission has told you was created by the Commission --
- A. Yes.
- Q. -- to summarize the DNA testing conducted in this case?
- 8 A. Yes, some of the DNA testing; yes.
 - Q. Correct, some of the DNA testing. Does that help you with your testimony today?
 - A. Certainly.

MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, I'm going to pass around a chart that was created by the Commission to summarize some of the DNA testing that was conducted, particularly the DNA testing conducted on the victim's clothing. You may follow along with it as Ms. Clement testifies.

(WHEREUPON, HANDOUT NO. 17 WAS DISTRIBUTED.)

- Q. Ms. Clement, can you briefly describe to the Commissioners what Y-STR testing is?
- A. Yes. Most of us are familiar with the DNA testing that's performed traditionally when evidence is submitted. And the traditional DNA testing looks at DNA which is inherited from both our mothers and our fathers. With Y-STR testing we're only looking at DNA

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- which is found on a Y chromosome, so only males are going to have a Y DNA profile. And it's also inherited from father to son or from son by his father, and so there's a paternal lineage. So a male child will have the same Y-STR profile as his dad, who will have the same Y-STR profile as his grandfather. If there are male siblings, those children or cousins will have the same Y-STR profile. So it basically is a testing which ignores all female DNA that may be present in a sample and only looks at the Y chromosome DNA.
- Q. And is that the kind of testing that the Commission had conducted on the victim's clothing in this case?
- A. Yes.
- Q. If you will go through the testing item by item and briefly explain what the results are and what they mean to the Commissioners. And you can start with the victim's jacket.
- A. Certainly. On the victim's jacket there was a partial Y-STR profile that was obtained from the right outer sleeve and shoulder area. So all that area was tested or swabbed and scraped. A partial STR profile means that not every location that was tested gave a result. So we look at 17 different areas. So we got less than 17 pieces of information, and that's what the partial Y-STR means. With this partial profile there was a

clear major profile obtained, and at one of the locations there was a second characteristic which would indicate that it might be a mixture. Because there was only one area where we saw a possible minor mixture, we can't draw any conclusion about that minor profile. But when we looked at the major profile we could exclude Knolly Brown, James Brinkley, and Aaron Atkins.

- Q. And were those the only individuals whose samples were submitted to the lab?
- A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. Okay. If you'll move on to the victim's belt.
- A. On the victim's belt, again, we obtained a partial Y-STR profile. So again, not all 17 areas gave us results. There was clearly a mixture of at least two males on this. And when we compared those to the reference profiles, we were able to exclude Knolly Brown, James Brinkley, and Aaron Atkins.
- Q. And the victim's pants?
- A. On the victim's pants we obtained a partial Y-STR profile again. This partial profile was a mixture of at least three males. And on the waistband we could exclude Knolly Brown and James Brinkley, but because of the partial nature and not having all information at all 17 and the fact that we were seeing some what we call drop out, which is very small amounts of DNA that

2.4

we're just not getting enough of in order to determine what the characteristics are, we couldn't draw any conclusions about Aaron Atkins for this particular sample.

- Q. And that was on the waistband of the pants, is that correct?
- A. That's correct. There was a stain near the waistband of the pants.
- Q. Was any additional analysis conducted on that stain?
- A. Yes. Excuse me, I misspoke. The first was just on the waistband itself. There was a second stain on the waistband that was tested separately, and for the stain on the waistband we did obtain a full Y-STR profile.

 This particular sample only gave results, very, very minor results, and no determination could be made regarding any of the minor characteristics, however, Knolly Brown, James Brinkley, and Aaron Atkins are excluded as contributors of the major.
- Q. And so you said that was a full Y-STR profile on that stain?
- A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. And Brown, Brinkley, and Atkins were all excluded?
- A. That's correct.
 - Q. And was any additional analysis conducted on that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

particular stain, on the waistband of the pants?

There were some serological tests that were Α. performed on this particular stain. And serology is usually the first step in the analysis of clothing. Ιf you're looking at clothing and trying to determine whether there is a potential stain that could be tested or could contain DNA, we perform serology tests. first test is called an AP or acid phosphatase test. Acid phosphatase is an enzyme which is found in semen. It's also found in other body fluids, so it's not considered a confirmatory test, it's considered a presumptive test. In this case the acid phosphatase test was positive. And all that means is that it might be semen, but it may not necessarily be. It doesn't have to be, it just might be.

So we then take it a step further and we perform a microscopic examination to determine whether spermatozoa are present. When we performed the sperm search we did not see any sperm on this particular stain. So we have a positive presumptive and a negative sperm.

And then there's a third test that we can perform and it's called a P30. It looks for prostate specific antigen, and it's considered another confirmatory test for semen. The prostate specific

antigen is typically only found in males. And in this particular case that test was positive. So having a positive presumptive acid phosphatase and the positive P30 would give you a conclusion that there was indeed seminal fluid present.

- Q. So I just want to be clear, what you're saying on that stain that is on the waistband of the victim's panties was an acid phosphatase which was positive, which is a presumptive for semen, no sperm, but then a P30 antigen test that was positive, which is confirmatory for semen. It's my understanding, and please correct me if I'm wrong, that those two cannot sustain in a wash?
- A. That's correct.

2.4

- Q. Can you explain that further for the Commissioners?

 JUDGE WAGONER: They cannot sustain in a what?
 - MS. GUICE SMITH: Sustain in a regular wash, like a washing machine.

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay.

A. Yes. There has been a lot of research done on what components of stains will survive a washing machine cycle. And the components of acid phosphatase, which is an enzyme, it's water soluble so it will not sustain, will not be maintained when an article is usually run through a washing machine. And the

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

prostate specific antigen is the same, it will not remain after going through a washing machine cycle. However, because sperm is an actual cell, it can get intertwined in clothing and it can sustain or be found after being washed in a washing machine. So when we see an AP positive or a P30 positive, it indicates that an article, an item of clothing or bedding or something like that has not recently been washed and that those stains were deposited after the last washing.

- Q. Thank you. Can you move now on to the crotch area of the victim's pants?
- A. Yes. There was a stain, again, on the waistband of the panties.
- Q. Sorry, we're on the crotch of the pants.
- A. Oh, I'm sorry, the crotch of the pants. I'm skipping ahead. There was only one area out of the 17 where we obtained DNA results on the crotch of the pants. And because of that, there -- we only use it for exclusionary purposes, and we could exclude Knolly Brown, James Brinkley, and Aaron Atkins as to that single contribution.
- Q. Was there any additional analysis conducted on the crotch of the panties -- the pants, rather, crotch of the pants?
- A. Yes. This sample also underwent the serology testing.

2.4

- We did get an acid phosphatase negative on this particular sample. We looked for sperm, that was also negative. And we did run the P30 test for the prostate specific antigen, and that was clearly positive.
- Q. Can you explain that any further or draw any conclusions from that?
- A. The fact that there was P30 present is indicative that there was seminal fluid present.
- Q. Okay. Now we'll move on to the panties. And if you will, go through -- begin with the waistband of the panties.
- Y-STR profile. The profile was a mixture. And again, because the minor component may have been dropping out, we could not draw any conclusions about the minor component. However, Knolly Brown, James Brinkley, and Aaron Atkins are excluded as contributors of the major profile in this particular sample.
- Q. And now if you will turn your attention to the crotch of the panties.
- A. On the crotch area of the panties we obtained a partial Y-STR profile. And this was from both the epithelial fraction and the sperm fraction from the crotch of the panties. When we know that we have DNA from a potential victim, alleged victim who wore clothing, we

2.4

attempt to separate sperm DNA and non-sperm DNA. We do that for the traditional DNA testing, and that's how we started this extraction process. So that's why we ended up with a sperm fraction and an epithelial cell fraction. Because we did Y-STR testing only, we don't have results for the typical traditional testing. But in this particular case with the Y-STR we got partial results from both of those. And again, Knolly Brown, James Brinkley, and Aaron Atkins are excluded as contributors to that particular sample, both fractions.

- Q. And was any additional analysis conducted on the crotch of the victim's panties?
- A. Yes. Again, we did perform the serology testing on this particular sample. The sample was positive for acid phosphatase. And when we performed the microscopic search for sperm, we did see two sperm heads on the slide. So because that is a confirmatory test for the presence of semen, we did not bother to do the P30, prostate specific antigen test. So at this point we know that there's seminal fluid on this particular sample.
- Q. Okay. And I want to go back for just a second because
 I know that you said before that sperm can survive in a
 washing machine, and you said the acid phosphatase
 would not survive. So when you're seeing acid

2.4

- phosphatase and sperm, is there any conclusion you can draw about that?
- A. It would be consistent with a deposit after the last washing. So this most likely did not occur after -- I mean prior to being washed. So the sperm, it's consistent with it coming, being deposited at the same time, both the acid phosphatase and the sperm.
- Q. Okay. You also had said that you saw, I think you said
 -- did you say how many sperm you saw?
- A. There were two sperm heads that were seen.
- Q. Can you explain what may account for the fact that we're only seeing two sperm heads in the sample?
- A. Certainly. There are a number of explanations that would result potentially in only two sperm heads. Some males are very low sperm producers, that could be one. There are situations where this could actually have been from a pre-ejaculate, in which case there are usually very few sperm in a pre-ejaculate. Or it could be maybe occasionally aspermic males will only have one or two sperm in their ejaculate. So it could be really any one of a number of explanations.
- Q. Okay. And we don't know in this case what explanation it is, those are just some examples of explanations, is that correct?
- A. That's correct.

2.4

- Q. Okay. If you'll now move on to the stain on the front of the victim's panties and tell us what testing was conducted.
- A. The stain on the front of the victim's panties, we performed a serology test and there was AP positive.

 We extracted the sample and quantitated it to determine whether there was male DNA and there was no male DNA found, so we did not perform any other testing.
- Q. And I know that you have testified already that Knolly Brown and James Brinkley and Aaron Atkins are excluded from all of these profiles. Can you draw any conclusion about whether or not any of the profiles you got from these various items are the same or are a consistent profile with one another?
- A. First let me correct that only on the waistband I couldn't draw a conclusion about Aaron Atkins.
- Q. My apologies.
- A. But he was excluded on every other sample. And yes, when I compared the profiles that were obtained for each of these samples, even those that resulted in mixtures, the major profile in these samples all were pretty consistent with each other. And because in some samples I may have only gotten five results, on other samples I may have gotten 16 results, when looking at where there were commonalities it was clear that they

could have had the same single source.

- Q. And can you summarize which items you're talking about?
- A. I'm talking about the crotch of the panties, the waistband of the panties, the major profile from the stain on the inner front waistband of the pants, all of those appear to have a consistent source.
- Q. Okay. So is it correct to state that what you saw in your testing through the testing that you testified about today is that there's a consistent DNA profile from the crotch of the pair of the panties that had sperm in them, a stain on the inside of the waistband of the victim's pants that was presumptive positive for semen and confirmed with the P30 antigen, and then touch DNA from the waistband of the victim's panties?
- A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. And Knolly Brown is excluded as a contributor of the major profile of all the male DNA on all of those items, is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
 - Q. Do you have anything else to add that I have not covered?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 23 Q. Okay.
- MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, questions?
- JUDGE WAGONER: Anyone?

	ıı	
	NCII	IC Hearing - State v. Knolly Brown 80
1		(NO RESPONSE.)
2	Q.	Okay. You are free to go.
3	Α.	Thank you.
4		JUDGE WAGONER: Thank you.
5	Q.	You are released.
6		(WHEREUPON, MS. CLEMENT STEPS DOWN.)
7		* * * * * *
8		MS. GUICE SMITH: All right. I will now call
9		Sharon Stellato back to the stand.
10		
11		Sharon Stellato, having first been duly
12		reminded that she was still under oath, testified as
13		follows:
14		
15		MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, I'm going to
16		pass around a criminal record for the next person
17		Ms. Stellato will be testifying about, that's Devonte
18		Jamal Johnson who goes by the name Jamal.
19		(WHEREUPON, HANDOUT NO. 18 WAS DISTRIBUTED.)

JUDGE WAGONER: Jamal Johnson is on the

chart.

MS. GUICE SMITH: That is correct, Jamal is on the DNA comparison chart that we handed out.

24

25

20

21

22

23

EXAMINATION BY MS. GUICE SMITH

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

2.4

- Q. Ms. Stellato, can you explain to the Commissioners who Jamal Johnson is?
- A. He was a friend of the victim. He walked home with her on the day of the crime.
- Q. And did the Commission staff contact Jamal Johnson?
- A. We did. We conducted two telephone interviews with him, one on April 22, 2015 and one on May 12, 2015.
- Q. And can you describe to the Commissioners what he told the Commission staff during those interviews?
- A. Sure. He stated that he was friends with the victim, that they would walk home from school together every day. He still runs into the victim, they still see each other from time to time. He used to make sure that he got -- that the victim got home from school every day.

JUDGE WAGONER: Ms. Stellato, how old is he in relation to her? Her being the victim.

A. Just one moment.

JUDGE WAGONER: Sure.

- A. (Witness examines document.)
- Q. Ms. Stellato, I'm going to hand you a document from the Rocky Mount Police Department file that may assist.
- A. (Witness examines document.) He is, his birth date is 12/4/1992, the victim's birth date is 1/21/1996, so he's about three years older than the victim.

JUDGE WAGONER: Thank you.

A. Uh-huh (yes).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Q. If you will, Ms. Stellato, continue telling the Commissioners what Jamal Johnson told the Commission staff in those interviews.
- He remembered walking her to the corner that day where Α. he used to walk her every day. He would walk past his house and then turn around and walk back to his house. He recalled the police terrifying him when they interviewed him, coming to get him out of class. Не did not see Knolly after leaving the victim that day, he didn't see Knolly Brown anywhere in the area when he left the victim. He was reluctant to talk to us. He stated that he doesn't know what happened. He just remembers the police coming to get him out of class and he recalled seeing a crime scene van pull up behind his The day after the crime he recalled that the victim saw Knolly Brown walking up the street and that the victim started screaming. The victim was with him and his grandmother, Cynthia Johnson, when that occurred.

He stated that he didn't know who Knolly
Brown was back then, but he does know him now. Knolly
Brown grew up with his mother, Jamal's mother. They
went to school together when his mother was younger.

- Jamal now sees and speaks to Knolly Brown regularly.

 He described Knolly Brown as cuckoo in the head. Jamal stated that he doesn't know who committed the rape and he didn't see the rape occur.
- Q. Did you ask Jamal Johnson about touching the victim or any casual contact he might have had with the victim?
- A. Yes, we did.

2.4

- Q. And did you ask him that because we were conducting DNA testing on the victim's jacket?
- A. Correct.
 - Q. And why were we conducting DNA testing on the victim's jacket, particularly the sleeve and shoulder area of that jacket?
 - A. The victim stated -- the police report stated that she was grabbed by the arm, shoulder area when she was dragged to the back of the home of the vacant house.
 - Q. Okay. Did you also ask Mr. Johnson if he had ever had sex with the victim?
 - A. We did. If I can just go back one second. He did,

 Jamal Johnson did indicate that he would hold hands

 with the victim, that they would horseplay, that he

 would have casual contact, goofing around, things of

 that nature when they would walk to and from school.
 - Q. Did you then ask him whether or not he had had sex with the victim?

- A. We did, absolutely. He denied having sex with the victim.
- Q. And did you ask him to provide a DNA sample?
- A. We did.

2.4

- Q. And did he agree to do that?
 - A. He did not. Jamal Johnson has had a lot of run-ins with the police department. He was not willing to provide his DNA and he told us that the police department had it on file and that we could get it there.
 - Q. And did the Commission staff make any other efforts to get a sample from Jamal Johnson?
 - A. We did. According to Jamal Johnson he was a suspect.

 We submitted a Motion for Collection of DNA Sample to our then chair, Commission Chair Judge Jones. Judge

 Jones reviewed the proposed motion and he replied via letter that he was not going to order Jamal Johnson to submit a DNA sample because he was not a party to the case.
 - Q. And why were you trying to get Jamal Johnson's DNA?
 - A. We wanted to be able to exclude him based on his casual contact with the victim that he had told us about in his interview.
 - MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, do you all have any questions for Ms. Stellato regarding

1

Mr. Johnson?

2345

JUDGE WAGONER: Is there indeed a -- doesn't the Sheriff's Department of Edgecombe County have a copy -- I mean, have a sample of his DNA because of his felony convictions?

6 7 A. DNA is sent to the SBI and then put into CODIS. Y-STR is not put into CODIS.

8

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay.

9

A. And that's the profiles that we have in this case.

10

JUDGE WAGONER: Oh.

11

MR. HEARD: What was his alibi for the time of the crime?

1213

A. His alibi essentially is that he was with the victim and that then he went home to his house.

1415

MR. HEARD: Could that portion, have you corroborated it, that when he was separated from the victim, that he was, that he had gone home?

1617

18

A. It wasn't corroborated by police, I would say simply because they did, they did question him in great

19

20

detail.

Q. Ms. Stellato, did the victim say anything about whether or not she knew the perpetrator?

22

23

2.4

21

A. What is corroborated is that the victim has stated it was an unknown black male between the ages -- you know, she has stated repeatedly and consistently states today

NCIIC Hearing - State v. Knolly Brown 86 that it was an unknown black male who raped her --1 2 MR. HEARD: Someone other than --3 Α. -- and not Jamal or anyone she knew. 4 MR. HEARD: But there was no attempt to 5 corroborate his specific alibi that he was home by 6 himself for whatever --7 Α. No; no. 8 MR. HEARD: Okay. 9 CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY MS. GUICE SMITH: 10 11 Ms. Stellato, I'm going to turn your attention now to 12 another individual. 13 MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, I'm going to hand out to you the record of Keith Antonio Pressley, 14 15 who Ms. Stellato will be testifying about. (WHEREUPON, HANDOUT NO. 19 WAS DISTRIBUTED.) 16 17 Ms. Stellato, can you tell the Commissioners who Keith Q. 18 Pressley is? He was, at the time of the crime he was the victim's 19 Α. 20 step-father. And did the Commission staff contact Mr. Pressley? 21 Q.

A. We did. We interviewed him by telephone on March 19, 2015.

22

23

24

25

Q. And where was Mr. Pressley living at the time of that interview?

A. In Baltimore, Maryland.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. And what did he tell the Commission staff during that interview?
- He stated that he was living in the home with the Α. victim at the time of the crime. He stated he was home on the day of the rape when she came home. He recalled that her sister came home first, and then the victim came walking down the street crying and told them what happened, and they called the police. He recalled that right after that Knolly Brown was walking down the street and that it was the same day of the crime. stated that the police had been called but they hadn't gotten involved yet, and that the victim saw him and stated, quote, there he go, there he go right there, and that he, Pressley, began to follow Brown home. police then arrived and Brown was arrested. Pressley stated it was him and a couple of local guys from the neighborhood who pursued Knolly Brown, but he didn't know who the other two guys were or what their involvement was.
- Q. Is that statement consistent with what the law enforcement reports say regarding when Knolly Brown was arrested and identified?
- A. No. The law enforcement reports indicate that the victim saw Brown and that Brown was followed by

- Pressley the next day after the crime.
- Okay. Did Mr. Pressley say anything else about whether Q. or not he was present for the crime?
- He stated that he wasn't there for the crime so he Α. can't state whether Knolly Brown did it or didn't do it.
- What else did he tell you? Q.
- He knew who Knolly Brown was from the neighborhood, but Α. he was not friends with him. He also denied helping in any way with the laundry, and stated his DNA would not be on the victim's clothing.
- And did you ask Pressley to provide a DNA sample? Q.
- 13 We did. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- 14 And did he agree to do so? Q.
- 15 He would not. Α.
- Did the Commission staff make any other efforts to get 16 Q. a sample from Keith Pressley?
 - Α. We did. We contacted the Rocky Mount Police Department to determine if they had a standard on file. indicated that a sample was taken from him in 2012. We were contacting them because of the nature of his They sent it to the state crime lab and did not have a sample at the police department.
 - Did the Commission make any other efforts to get a Q. sample of Mr. Pressley's DNA?

- A. We also submitted a Motion for Collection of DNA Sample to the then Chair, Judge Jones. He reviewed that proposed motion and via letter he said he was not going to order Keith Pressley to submit a DNA sample because Keith Pressley was not a party to the case.
- Q. And why were you trying to get Keith Pressley's DNA?
- A. We wanted to exclude him because he lived in the home with the victim.

MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, do you have any questions about Keith Pressley?

MR. BRITT: With respect to Mr. Pressley's record, it says he was convicted in 1992 of crime against nature. Was that the original charge?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MR. BRITT: What was the original charge?

A. Indecent liberties was the original; indecent liberties.

MR. BRITT: And with respect to that, do you know how old the victim was in that case?

A. It was a child.

JUDGE WAGONER: And was it a family member?

- A. There are other charges, but not convictions, for family members.
- 24 MR. BRITT: Of the same nature?
 - A. Child sex charges, not convictions.

NCIIC Hearing - State v. Knolly Brown 90 MR. BRITT: In what time frame? 1 2 After this, after 2008. Α. 3 MR. BRITT: And his record shows that he was convicted in 2013 of sexual battery. 4 5 Α. Uh-huh (yes). 6 MR. BRITT: Was that the original charge? 7 Α. One moment. MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, it will take 8 9 me a little bit of time to get that information. We do 10 have it and I can get it for you. But if you don't 11 mind if we get it maybe on our next break. 12 MR. BRITT: Okay. If I can back up? 13 JUDGE WAGONER: Sure. 14 MR. BRITT: We go back, you said that there 15 are other allegations or other charges --Charges that he, they're outstanding charges. 16 Α. 17 MR. BRITT: As in there are outstanding 18 warrants or --No, as in they're still, they're still there and 19 Α. 20 nothing, they're just still there. They haven't gone to -- there's no conviction, there's just the charges. 21 22 Q. Ms. Stellato --23 MR. BRITT: Was he ever arrested?

question.

MS. GUICE SMITH: If I may follow up with a

2.4

- Q. Ms. Stellato, when you say outstanding charges, do you mean that there is an open investigation, however, no charges have been filed?
- A. Correct. Sorry.

2.4

MR. BRITT: And was the victim in Mr. Brown's case the alleged victim in any of Mr. Pressley's outstanding allegations?

A. Not according to the victim.

MR. BRITT: What about the police investigation?

MS. GUICE SMITH: If you'll give me a moment.

JUDGE WAGONER: While she's taking a minute,
he's in Baltimore now?

A. He is in Baltimore, but he returns to Rocky Mount where his mother resides.

SHERIFF JOHNSON: Do we have from the other sister who arrived at the home, do we have evidence that he was at the house at the time that she arrived there?

A. No; no.

JUDGE WAGONER: We don't have it or it was never asked of her, where was step-father?

A. There's no indication that anyone other than XXXXXXXX, the sister, and the mother, Kate, were home. Now, that doesn't mean -- there's just no indication from the

NCIIC Hearing - State v. Knolly Brown 92 1 reports. 2 MR. HEARD: So again, no corroboration of the 3 alibi, just what he says is the only thing we have? Α. Correct. 4 5 JUDGE WAGONER: But his statement was 6 inconsistent with the police investigation as to him 7 charging after Mr. Brown? Correct. 8 Α. 9 Ms. Stellato, I'm going to hand you some Rocky Mount Q. Police Department reports, if you want to familiarize 10 11 yourself with those. Those will go to Mr. Britt's 12 question. 13 (Witness examines document.) Α. JUDGE WAGONER: Do you want him to repeat his 14 15 question, would that be helpful? 16 No, I know his question. Thank you though. Α. 17 JUDGE WAGONER: Okay. 18 Α. The allegations against Keith Pressley were for both 19 victims, XXXXXXXX and XXXXXX, in November of 2008. 20 JUDGE WAGONER: November 2000 --**`**08. Α. 21 22 JUDGE WAGONER: '08. MS. SURGEON: Can we ask what the allegations 23

A. (Witness examines document.) The mother, XXXX, accused

2.4

25

were?

him of sexually assaulting both of the girls.

MR. HEARD: You say he's moving back and forth between Baltimore and -- what town is this?

JUDGE WAGONER: Rocky Mount.

MR. HEARD: -- Rocky Mount. Does that mean he is divorced from the mother?

A. They are not together. They have a child together, they are not together.

MR. HEARD: Were they ever married in the first place? That should be a question. Were they ever married in the first place?

A. I don't believe they were married. They refer to him as her step-father, she refers to him as her step-father. I don't believe they were married. I don't have confirmation of that.

MR. BRITT: The allegations that he sexually assaulted both girls, was that reported to Rocky Mount Police?

A. It was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. BRITT: Do they have a file on that?

A. They do.

MR. BRITT: Did they interview the girls?

A. They did.

MR. BRITT: And did the girls acknowledge the allegations or did they deny that those allegations

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

20

21

25

occurred?

A. The victim denies being abused, sexually abused by

Keith Pressley. XXXXXX XXXXXX denies being sexually

abused by -- she doesn't deny -- I don't know what she

says then. She says now she was not sexually abused by

Keith Pressley.

MR. BRITT: Were those allegations also reported to DSS since he was in the home?

- A. I'm just not sure because it's an open investigation.

 JUDGE WAGONER: It's still open? It's 2008,
- 11 that's when it happened. This is 2015.
 - Q. Ms. Stellato, did we receive information from the police department about the status of these crimes?
- 14 A. We did.
- Q. And does their information indicate that this is still an open investigation?
- 17 A. It does.
- 18 Q. And has anyone been charged in the crime?
- 19 A. No.
 - MR. BRITT: Is anyone actively working the case or was that just a label they placed on it?
- 22 A. They told us it's an open investigation.
- MS. SURGEON: Tell me again when these allegations were made by the mother.
 - A. 11/12/2008.

2.4

MS. SURGEON: And at that time the allegations were sexual abuse against both victims?

A. Correct.

MS. THOMPSON: You said that XXXXXX denies that the step-father sexually abused her. Does XXXXXXXX deny that the step-father --

A. The Commission was in -- contacted the victim's mother.

We did not hear back from her. The Commission did

contact and speak with ultimately the victim. We did

not speak with XXXXXXXX and we would not interview her

about an investigation related to this.

JUDGE WAGONER: Do you know if the ongoing investigation has tried to collect DNA from Mr. Pressley or anyone else?

A. They have collected DNA from Keith Pressley related to the other sex abuse, the other child convictions that I mentioned that are -- so he, so he is in CODIS. This was a delayed report so I don't know if they collected it. But if it's a sex abuse delayed report, I mean, he was a known offender so I can't say whether they collected it related to this case or not. But I do know that they collected it related to other cases.

JUDGE WAGONER: Do you know if this victim, the victim, has any relationship or had any relationship much with this step-father after this

occurrence for which Mr. Brown pled no contest?

A. With Keith Pressley?

2.4

JUDGE WAGONER: Uh-huh (yes).

A. I know that her mother and Keith Pressley have a child together, and I don't know whether or not XXXXXX has contact with him or not.

JUDGE WAGONER: But you don't have any knowledge of the relationship between the step-father and the victim in this case after this incident happened?

A. I don't.

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay.

MS. SURGEON: Did your investigation reveal any kind of relationship or how much contact

Mr. Pressley had with Mr. Brown before the date of this offense?

A. We interviewed both Mr. Pressley and Mr. Brown about that. Mr. Pressley had just, according to him, returned from Raleigh, the Raleigh area where he lived, to Rocky Mount. He states they weren't friends, that he just, you know, knew him from the area, but that they were not friends. Mr. Brown states that they were friends and he describes it later as a hi-bye friendship and that they knew each other.

MS. SURGEON: Was Mr. Brown known in the

community as someone who might be lower functioning?

A. From the people that -- I can only testify about the people that we interviewed. Yes. It's a very small area that we're talking about, several blocks, and he was known by the people that we've interviewed as low functioning. Well, low functioning may not be the right term. He was known as, people described him as not right in the head or they used different terms, but -

MS. SURGEON: Thank you.

A. Uh-huh (yes).

JUDGE WAGONER: Those are the questions we have for now. You're going to wait for the answer on your sexual battery.

MR. BRITT: Yes.

MS. GUICE SMITH: We will get that information. Mr. Britt, could you repeat the question? I may have the answer in front of me. If you could repeat your question about the sexual battery.

MR. BRITT: The sexual battery conviction, was that the original charge or was that reduced from a greater charge?

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ GUICE SMITH: (Examines documents.) I will get the answer for you.

MR. BRITT: Okay. And as a follow-up for

that, with respect to the sexual battery, if it was the original charge, what is the alleged act that constitutes the sexual battery?

MS. GUICE SMITH: Okay.

MR. BRITT: And, you know, give the name, well, not necessarily the name, but the sex of the victim and the age of the victim, if you could find that out as well.

MS. GUICE SMITH: Okay.

MR. BRITT: All right. Thank you.

MS. GUICE SMITH: I have it.

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay.

- Q. Could you turn to page 74 to 86?
- A. (Witness complies.)
 - Q. I've handed you a Commission report that summarizes the law enforcement report for the March 2012 sexual battery charge and follow-up conviction. If you could answer Mr. Britt's question regarding what the original charge was and the facts surrounding that case.
 - A. The original charge is indecent liberties with a minor. It is March of 2012, and she is, she was 11 years old.

JUDGE WAGONER: 11?

A. Yes, ma'am.

JUDGE WAGONER: Did she have a relationship to him or is she just some strange child, stranger?

A. It appears to have been a friend of his daughter.

MS. GUICE SMITH: Are there any other questions about that? Go ahead.

JUDGE WAGONER: Could you sort of state what the indictment read? If you could leave out the victim's name, but where it happened, how it happened, the circumstances.

A. He was playing hide and seek with his daughter and her friend. When he found her during the third game he grabbed her as if he was trying to tickle her, and then grabbed her vagina with his hand on the outside of her clothing. He pulled out his penis and grabbed her arm, pulled it behind her back, and made her touch it. She pulled away and ran to find her other friends. He never stated anything to her and she did not say anything to him because she was afraid. When he was confronted with this he stated that he had opened the door to his daughter's room and when he did, the victim had grabbed him in his private parts. It looks like that was on one incident.

Another incident with the same victim stated that when she stayed at Pressley's home with his daughter she was awakened when Pressley laid in bed with her the following morning. He had laid beside her with the front, with his front side pressed against her

back side completely unclothed. She was dressed at the time. She then moved to get away and he got up and apologized and left the room. The ADA actually dismissed that charge stating there was not enough to prove sexual gratification.

SHERIFF PICKENS: Was that a separate incident?

A. Yes, ma'am.

SHERIFF PICKENS: Two incidents?

A. Those were two incidents.

MS. SURGEON: Yes, is there anything to indicate how any of these allegations got reported? Did an adult report it and --

A. The mother reported the allegations when her daughter came to her stating that she had been touched by him.

Related to the XXXXXXXX and XXXXXX, Ms. XXXXXXX, their XXXXXXX, reported it stating that Keith Pressley was living in her residence, Mr. Pressley was using her family for money, assaulting the family, and she accused him of sexual allegations. Ms. XXXXXXX stated that he attempted to have sex with the XXXXXX XXXXXXX, XXXXXXXXX, numerous times, also stated that Mr. Pressley attempted to use his finger in an attempt to touch her vagina. The victim's XXXXXXX was unaware of the sexual allegations her XXXXXXXX had been making. She was

aware of his prior sex offence. The XXXXX XXXXXXXX, XXXXXXXXX, refused to comment at all during questioning. The officer learned that Pressley had been charged with child abuse on 10/22/2008 for hitting XXXXXXXX in the face. This case remains open.

MS. SURGEON: Did you say that there was or was not a reporting and investigation through the Department of Social Services?

A. I don't know.

JUDGE WAGONER: Other questions?
(NO RESPONSE.)

CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY MS. GUICE SMITH:

- Q. Ms. Stellato, before we turn our attention to the next person, I just -- the allegation in this crime is that Ms. XXXXXX was attacked by someone she does not know, is that correct?
- A. An unknown black man.
- Q. An unknown black male. And we spoke with Ms. XXXXXX recently, is that correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And did Ms. XXXXXX say whether or not she had ever been sexually abused by Mr. Pressley?
 - A. She stated she had not been sexually abused by Mr. Pressley.

- Q. And did we ask her that directly?
- A. We did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. All right. We are going to turn our attention now to the victim, XXXXXX XXXXXX. Were you able to interview the victim in this case?
- We were, although the victim and her family did not Α. respond to our request to meet in person originally. The victim is 19. When we first contacted her she was still underage, so those requests went to her mother. Her mother did not respond as well. When the case was moving forward to hearing, by statute we notified, asked for interviews and also let her know, of course, of the hearing. It is up to a victim whether or not they would like to speak with us or whether they would like to attend. We did go to her home on one occasion, we confirmed that she lived there with the person who lived there, and left cards and wrote letters and made phone calls. But at some point we decided that she needed to not be contacted if she didn't wish to be contacted, so although she didn't respond to our request to meet in person, she was willing to answer questions in a brief phone call that we had on November 30, 2015 and she was receptive to that phone call.
- Q. And I know we just talked about it, but if you can

please refresh the Commissioners on the victim's statement regarding the rape that occurred on January 25, 2008.

- A. That she was coming home from school when she was pulled into a vacant home by an unknown black male who pulled her pants down with both hands all the way down to her ankles.
- Q. And after that occurred, is that when the rape occurred?
- A. Yes; correct.

- Q. Did you verify with the victim whether or not she had been in any kind of sexual relationship prior to or at the time of the rape?
- A. We did. Our letters to her told her that there was unknown DNA that did not match Knolly Brown, so we wanted to confirm or discuss with her whether or not she had ever been in a sexual relationship prior to the rape. We did verify with the victim that she was not in any kind of a sexual relationship.
- Q. And then did you ask her about Keith Pressley?
- A. We did. She stated that she was not sexually abused by Keith Pressley.
- Q. Did you ask her whether or not she was aware of any prior sex abuse of children, convictions that Mr. Pressley had?

- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 23 24
 - A. We asked her -- we told her that during our
 - and she responded that she was aware of that, too. And

investigation we had become aware about those charges,

- 4 we asked her at that time whether she had been sexually
- abused, and she said she had not been sexually abused,
- and that outside of this rape in the vacant home, she
- 7 had never been sexually abused by anyone else.
 - Q. Did you ask Ms. XXXXXX any specifics related to her feelings about Knolly Brown and about this case?
 - A. Yes, we did.
 - Q. And will that information be disclosed to the Commissioners during the victim impact statement that you will be giving?
 - A. It will.
- MS. GUICE SMITH: Are there any questions?
- MR. GRACE: Is there anything in any of the reports that indicate what physical position the victim
- and the assailant were in when the sex act took place,
- whether they were standing or lying down?
- 20 A. The only thing in there states that I believe one time
- 21 he held the door shut with his foot maybe, so nothing,
- 22 nothing that you could, nothing that you could really
- figure out with any clarity.
- MR. GRACE: Was she ever asked whether she
- was made to lie on the floor?

A. No.

- 2 Q. Ms. Stellato.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. If I could refer you to page 22 of the brief.

JUDGE WAGONER: Page 22?

MS. GUICE SMITH: 22.

- A. (Witness examines document.) Uh-huh (yes).
 - Q. If you could read the paragraph that starts, the black male then forced her into the first bedroom. That may answer that question.
- A. The black male then forced her into the first bedroom to the left across from --

 $\mbox{\tt JUDGE WAGONER:}\mbox{\tt Slow down a little bit for}$ the court reporter.

A. I'm sorry.

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay. Thank you.

A. The black male then forced her into the first bedroom to the left across from a bathroom once she entered the house. XXXXXX described the room as having a brick on the floor and a lot of glass. The male then held her up against the wall to the right when you enter the room. He closed the room door and held it shut with his foot. Next he took XXXXXXX's pants off while holding her against the wall and removed his pants also. The male pulled his pants down to his ankles.

XXXXXX said her pants were also at -- his pants were also at his ankles. He then put her on the floor and put his penis in her vagina, and it popped out the first time. He then put his penis back in for to five more times.

MR. GRACE: Thank you. I think that answers the question. Were her clothes, her entire outfit taken by the police department?

A. Yes, they were.

MS. SURGEON: Okay. You said that, I think, that Ms. XXXXXX said that she was aware of some charges. Was she aware of the charges as it relates to the hide and seek with some girls or the allegations that her mother had made?

A. We were asking her about the 2008 charges related to her and XXXXXXXX.

MS. SURGEON: And her response was?

A. Her response was related to her and XXXXXXXX, and she stated that she was not abused by Mr. Pressley.

MS. SURGEON: Thank you.

MR. BRITT: You said that she denied that she'd ever been sexually abused by Mr. Pressley. Is that the way the question was formatted?

A. Did he sexually abuse you or your sister in any way?

No. No, not me. No. And not that my sister, that I

	NCII	C Hearing - State v. Knolly Brown 107
1		know of.
2		MR. BRITT: Did you ever ask her if she was
3		sexually active?
4	Α.	Yes.
5		MR. BRITT: And what was her response to
6		that?
7	Α.	No, I wasn't. Were you in any kind of sexual
8		relationship in any way? No.
9		JUDGE WAGONER: Did you ask her if she or her
10		sister had sex with Mr., did they have sex with
11		Mr. Pressley?
12	Α.	We didn't ask about her sister simply because
13		JUDGE WAGONER: Or did you ask her if she had
14		sex, not abuse, but just sex?
15	Α.	We didn't ask if she had we asked if she was, if she
16		had sex with anyone, if she was in a sexual
17		relationship, if she was abused by Mr. Pressley.
18		JUDGE WAGONER: And she denied all that?
19	Α.	Yes, ma'am. She was a virgin, right.
20		MS. SURGEON: Was she ever asked if she was
21		able to see the person at all?

Yes, she -- yes. Α.

22

23

24

25

MS. SURGEON: And she said she could see the person and she was clear that it was not someone that she knew?

1 A. Yes.

MS. SURGEON: Was there any indication in the investigation that she, in the community, knew or had seen Mr. Brown?

A. We asked her that, and we also asked if after Mr. Brown was convicted, was arrested, if she had ever seen someone who she thought may have been the rapist, you know, after he went away. She had never seen Knolly Brown prior, according to her, prior to this rape. She never saw anyone after this that she thought was the rapist.

MR. BRITT: Go back. The course of the investigation you testified there was a show-up with Mr. Brown where he ran?

A. Well, right. I mean, I referred a show-up with police, but yes, sir. I mean, yeah; uh-huh (yes).

MR. BRITT: Was the victim ever presented a photographic line-up with possible suspects who included Mr. Brown?

- A. So we don't know if Knolly Brown was in the 2,600-and-some photographs.
- Q. Ms. Stellato, was Mr. Brown older than 30 years old at the time of the crime?
- A. He was older than 30 years old, so he wouldn't have been in the 2,600-and-some photographs.

- Q. Was a show-up later conducted outside of him showing up at the victim's home? Did the police later bring the victim to a location where Knolly Brown was in person?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And did she identify him during that show-up?
- A. Yes.

MR. BRITT: All right. But after his arrest she was never presented with a photograph at the line-up which included Mr. Brown's photograph?

A. No.

JUDGE WAGONER: And do you know who was present with her when she identified Mr. Brown as her assailant? Was it her mother or her step-father or her sister or Jamal or --

A. When they're in the road?

JUDGE WAGONER: -- or anybody else present?

A. In the road?

JUDGE WAGONER: Yes.

A. We know at least some of the people there. We know that her mother was there, we know that Knolly Brown was there, we know that the step-dad was there, we know that Jamal ends up there at some point, we also know that Jamal's, I believe it's his grandmother or -- (Witness examines document.) -- Cynthia Johnson, his grandmother is there. There's some confusion over who

was actually walking next to the victim, whether it's Cynthia Johnson or the victim's mother or both are walking with her.

MR. HEARD: Let me clarify for just a second. When they went and -- when XXXXXX saw Brown, was she walking with her step-father to go see him?

A. She's returning --

MR. HEARD: Or, I mean, I'm trying to, I'm trying to reconstruct that.

A. Sure. She's returning to her house -

MR. HEARD: Okay.

A. -- okay, where Keith Pressley is. So he's there.

She's returning to her house presumably, we believe,
with Jamal, because she's with Jamal when she sees

Brown across the street on a porch.

MR. HEARD: Okay.

A. So she's returning to her home, which may explain why

Cynthia Johnson is with her, because Cynthia Johnson is

related to Jamal Johnson. So they're walking back down

the street. Keith Pressley is at her house, the

victim's house. And then they all kind of meet in the

road. It doesn't actually happen, you know, in the

house, it happens out front of the house there.

MR. HEARD: I guess what I was getting at was whether or not Pressley is in her presence when she

identifies Brown, if I understood you correctly, and then he chases him with a knife. I'm --

A. He goes back into the house to get a butcher knife.

MR. HEARD: But he's there with her when she identifies him?

A. Where exactly he is as far as is he next to her, is he in the yard --

MR. HEARD: I mean, they are together?

A. They're together.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MR. HEARD: Okay.

JUDGE WAGONER: And did not her mother yell at Mr Brown, you raped my daughter, or something, at the beginning?

MR. HEARD: Or the step-father.

A. According to Brown in his interview -- so a lot of this is just according to, I have to say according to Brown in his interview, it is Keith Pressley that says, you raped my daughter, you raped my daughter. The mother says, it's him, is that him.

JUDGE WAGONER: To the daughter?

A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.)

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay.

- A. I think Brown says that she says, it was you.
- 24 CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY MS. GUICE SMITH:
 - Q. All right, Ms. Stellato, we're going to turn our

- attention now to Knolly Brown. Did the Commission staff talk to Mr. Brown's trial attorneys?
- A. Yes, we did.
- Q. And did we speak to his first attorney, Lamont Wiggins, and his second attorney, Matthew Sperati?
- A. We did.

- Q. Can you explain briefly for the Commissioners why there were two attorneys involved in this case?
 - A. Sure. Mr. Wiggins was originally appointed, however, the court later issued an order allowing Mr. Wiggins to withdraw as counsel, and at that time Mr. Sperati was appointed.
 - Q. And do we know anything about why that withdrawal occurred?
 - A. Mr. Brown was unhappy with his counsel. It talks a little bit about that. And so the court, he had wrote to the court and the court allowed him to, Mr. Wiggins to withdraw, Mr. Wiggins also talked to us about that a little bit, and Mr. Sperati was appointed.
 - Q. Did either Mr. Wiggins or Mr. Sperati retain a file in this case?
 - A. Mr. Wiggins looked, but he was unable to locate his file. Mr. Sperati was able to locate a file and he provided a copy of it to the Commission.
- 25 Q. And did the Commission staff review Mr. Sperati's file?

- 1 A. Yes, we did.
 - Q. And were there any admissions of guilt that the Commission located in the file?
 - A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

22

23

24

- Q. And when Mr. Sperati turned over his file, did he turn over the complete file including any attorney-client privilege based on the fact that the case was in formal inquiry?
- A. Correct, he did.
- 10 Q. Did the Commission staff interview Mr. Wiggins?
- 11 A. We did.
- Q. And did he say anything regarding his representation of Mr. Brown?
 - A. Mr. Wiggins stated that Mr. Brown had always claimed innocence throughout his representation, and that he had never, that Mr. Brown had never admitted guilt to him.
- 18 Q. And did the Commission staff interview Mr. Sperati?
- 19 A. Yes, we did.
- Q. What did he say regarding his representation of Mr. Brown?
 - A. Mr. Sperati did not recall Mr. Brown ever admitting guilt. He further stated that he had reviewed his file after we contacted him and that he didn't have anything in his file or his notes that indicated Mr. Brown

	NCII	IC Hearing - State v. Knolly Brown 114
1		having admitted guilt.
2	Q.	Did the Commission staff ask Mr. Wiggins and
3		Mr. Sperati to submit affidavits regarding their
4		representation of Mr. Brown?
5	Α.	Yes, we did.
6	Q.	I'm going to hand you two documents, if you could tell
7		the Commissioners what these are.
8	Α.	They are the affidavits of Lamont Wiggins and Matthew
9		Sperati.
10		MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, I am going
11		to pass around these affidavits and we'll give you an
12		opportunity to read through them.
13		(WHEREUPON, HANDOUTS NO. 20 AND 21 WERE
14		DISTRIBUTED.)
15		(COMMISSIONERS REVIEW DOCUMENTS.)
16		MS. GUICE SMITH: Having reviewed the
17		affidavits of Mr. Wiggins and Mr. Sperati, do the
18		Commissioners have any questions for Ms. Stellato at
19		this time?
20		(NO RESPONSE.)
21		MS. GUICE SMITH: Okay. I am now going to

MS. GUICE SMITH: Okay. I am now going to pass around to the Commissioners the criminal record of Knolly Brown. I'll give you an opportunity to look at that.

22

23

24

25

(WHEREUPON, HANDOUT NO. 22 WAS DISTRIBUTED.)

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027 (COMMISSIONERS EXAMINE DOCUMENT.)

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

1

CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY MS. GUICE SMITH:

- Q. Ms. Stellato, did the Commission interview Mr. Brown?
- A. Yes, we did, we interviewed him twice on November 3, 2011, and November 19, 2015.
- 7 Q. Where was that November 3, 2011 interview conducted?
 - A. While he was still in prison at Mountain View Correctional.
 - Q. And what did Mr. Brown initially tell the Commission staff?
 - A. When we first went to interview he stated that he -
 JUDGE WAGONER: A little bit louder, please.
- 14 A. I'm sorry.

JUDGE WAGONER: That's okay.

- A. When we first went to interview him he stated that he wasn't all there due to the fact that he had not had his Haldol shot and that his Haldol helps him to slow down and helps him to think.
- Q. Did he say when he was due for that shot?
- 21 A. He was supposed to have it on November 9.
- 22 Q. What else did he say?
 - A. He stated that he had been in a fire back in 1996 and that the firemen had to save him and he was traumatized from that.

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

- Q. And did the Commissioners ask whether or not -- I'm sorry, the Commission staff ask whether or not he knew the victim?
- A. We did. Mr. Brown stated that he believed he had seen the victim walking with two guys the day prior to the rape. He did not know if it was really her or not.
- Q. Did the Commission ask whether or not Mr. Brown raped the victim?
- A. We did, and he stated that he had not raped the victim.
- Q. Did the Commission staff ask whether or not he had raped anyone?
- A. Yes. Mr. Brown stated that a very long time ago his girlfriend had asked him to stop messing with her and that he did not stop.
- Q. Did the Commission ask, given his mental health and Haldol, whether or not he could have raped the victim and not had a recollection of it, not remembered it?
- A. We did ask him that, and Mr. Brown stated that no.
- Q. What did Mr. Brown say happened the day after the crime?
 - A. He stated the day after the crime a friend of his named Rickey came to a house where he was on Woodland. He told him that Brown should go with him to the victim's house to get his face straight. He went with Rickey and when he went, the victim was walking up the street.

Mr. Brown saw the victim's mother first. He stated that the mom said to him, you did it, and Mr. Brown said no. Mr. Brown stated that the victim's dad then said, is it him, and pointed at Mr. Brown. The victim then burst out crying. Mr. Brown stated that the victim's dad then ran around saying, he raped my daughter, he raped my daughter. The victim's dad then went into the house and got a butcher knife and he and two other people chased Mr. Brown back to his home. Mr. Brown stated that when he got to his house he went inside and put on sweaters. He stated he came back out on the porch and said to them, you all are setting me up, you all are setting me up, and he said, on my grandmother, I didn't do it.

Q. You just mentioned that Mr. Brown --

JUDGE WAGONER: One question. When the police, according to Mr. Brown's statement, when the policeman asked him to go to get his face straight or whatever, is there any indication that Mr. Brown even knew what had happened? What did the police tell him prior to that, if you know?

A. It wasn't the police asking him to get his face straight, it was his friends.

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay.

A. It was actually the people that he was doing drugs

with. He was at a drug house. It was a guy named Barry's house and it was a drug house. And there were a lot of drug users there and they, many people were telling him, you raped that girl. He was saying, I didn't rape that girl. And Rickey came in and said, go get your face straight. We asked him what go get your face straight meant, he said that meant go show your face to her so that she can say whether it's you or not. So Rickey and him go there to get his face straight. He did know why he was going there.

MR. GRACE: Prior to the young lady identifying him on the street, who had put his face and name with that incident?

A. We clarify that in the next interview that we have. At that time the only people that he tells us are saying that, in this interview, are Rickey and people -- and he says everyone was saying it, go get your face straight. When we interview him again we clarify exactly who is saying it, and that will come up in just a moment.

MR. HEARD: But if I understood you correctly, Pressley is in the presence of the victim when Brown is spotted and identified?

A. According to Brown, it is the dad who says to the victim, is that him, and points at Brown, and the

victim bursts out crying. He then ran around saying, he raped my daughter, he raped my daughter, went into the house, got a butcher knife, and he and two other people chase Mr. Brown to his house.

MR. HEARD: And though not directly related to this, but between the date of the incident and that identification, XXXXXX and Pressley were at home together, they had opportunity to -- they had --

A. Presumably, I mean, after the rape she goes to the hospital --

MR. HEARD: And goes home and he's there, and it's the next day when this identification occurs?

A. It is the next day, yes.

JUDGE WAGONER: I'd like to go back. They show her 2,000-plus photos that first day this happened?

A. No, they show her 2,000 photos on January 26.

JUDGE WAGONER: But all at one sitting?

A. Yes; yes, ma'am.

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay. Thank you.

- Q. You just mentioned a moment ago that Knolly Brown stated that Rickey came to Barry's house and told Mr. Brown to go with him to the victim's house to get his face straight. Were you able to locate Rickey?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Did Commission staff interview Rickey?
- 2 A. We did.

1

- Q. And how many interviews were there?
- 4 A. Three interviews.
- 5 Q. And were those on the phone and in-person?
- 6 A. Two were on the phone and one was in person.
- 7 Q. And what did he say?
- 8 A. Rickey does not recall anything about the crime, he does not recall Knolly Brown.
- 10 Q. And did we try to refresh his recollection with case documents?
- 12 A. Yes, we did.
- 13 | Q. And did that assist?
- 14 A. It did not. Rickey recalls several crimes, but not this one.
- Q. Do you recall at the start of this hearing my
 statements to the Commissioners regarding Mr. Brown's
 capacity?
- 19 A. I do.

22

23

24

- Q. And do the audio and transcript of this first interview reflect those concerns?
 - A. They do. During this interview Mr. Brown had difficulty with his memory, he exhibited scattered thought patterns. At one point he did lay his head down. It was extremely hard to follow and to

- understand what Mr. Brown was talking about, and he was very frustrated during the interview.
- Q. And you said earlier the Commission interviewed

 Mr. Brown two times. When did that second interview
 occur?
- A. November 19, 2015.

- Q. And had Mr. Brown been released from prison at that point?
- A. He had. He was released in 2012 and he was still on post release supervision and he had satellite base monitoring.
- Q. And who was present for that interview, that second interview?
 - A. His attorney at that time. We scheduled it through his attorney, Tracy Wilkinson was present, and the interview took place at his mother's home.
 - Q. And at the time that the interview was scheduled was Mr. Brown present?
 - A. No. We had scheduled the interview. When we arrived Mr. Brown had left. He was not present for the interview. He had left the home. His mother stated that he had stepped out to go to the store, and he did return approximately 30 minutes after the interview was scheduled to start.
 - Q. When you started that interview did you ask Mr. Brown

if he was receiving his medication at that time?

- A. We did. He was on several medications including
 Haldol. He stated that he continues to take Haldol.
 He had received his shot on November 3 or 4th, and he
 continues to take it every four weeks.
- Q. And you said he received it on November 3 or 4th, and the date of this interview was November 19, is that correct?
- A. Correct.

- Q. Did he say anything else about how he felt?
- A. He stated that he felt physically bad and emotionally sad, that he had a lot of things going on in his life, that he had family problems, and that nothing goes right for him. He then stated, I really don't even want to do this. I just want, I just want everything behind me. I know if I do this, it's just going to be more problems. I mean, they already got the evidence. The DNA didn't match, what more do they need? What, what do you all want me to do? What do you all want out of me? What do you want me to do, spill my guts? It's just too much.

I then explained to him what the Commission does and that he had filed a claim, but that the Commission could not proceed unless he wanted to do so. By statute we have to have the Claimant's cooperation.

At this point he was having a hard time. I asked him if he would like to speak with his lawyer privately because she was present. He and his lawyer spoke outside of our presence and when they returned, Mr. Brown decided he wanted to go forward and the interview continued.

- Q. Did you ask then Mr. Brown about the day of the crime?
- A. Uh-huh (yes). He stated -- yes, I did. He stated that at the time of the crime he had been going to community college. He was smoking marijuana that day and he had been at a house on Carolina Avenue, which is on the road that he lives on -- lived on, smoking a blunt with friends. Mr. Brown said that he saw the victim that night at a store and that she was with her dad, and that he didn't know who she was, but that when she and her dad left there was another person in the store who said, that girl is saying that you raped her. As the interview went on, Mr. Brown said that it was actually the day before the crime when he saw the victim and her dad in the store, and when they left, a girl told him, they're saying you raped that girl.

I tried to clarify that Mr. Brown -- with Mr. Brown that if that was said, it would be prior to the rape, and we were never able to clarify that with Mr. Brown. We were left with he saw the victim and her

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

Q.

dad in a store, convenience store in that area right near the crime scene the day before the rape, at night, that they were at the counter, and that he didn't pay them any mind, but when they walked out, a girl named Katie told him, they're saying you raped that girl.

- Did you ask Mr. Brown what happened the day after the Q. crime?
- Α. Yes.
- What did he say? Q.
- He stated that the day after the crime he was at a Α. friend's house, Barry's house on Woodland, and that his friend, Rickey, said, go get your face straight, regarding the rape. He said he walked down to the victim's home, he saw the victim walking, and that the victim said, that's him, and then busted out crying. The victim's father then said, he raped my daughter. He stated that the victim's dad chased him with a butcher knife to his house. Knolly Brown said he did have a knife on him, but he didn't get it out or want to use it because he hadn't committed any crime. stated he was arrested on his front porch by police and that he had been set up for this crime.
- What else did you ask Mr. Brown? Q.
- We asked him if he knew Keith Pressley. Α.
- And Keith Pressley is the step-father of the victim?

A. Correct.

- Q. What did he say about that?
- A. He said that he did know him and that they were friends. He described their relationship as a hi-bye kind of friendship. We also asked him if he had ever been to the victim's home prior to this day, and he said he had never been in the home.
- Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Brown about the incident that he discussed in his first interview with the Commission regarding his ex-girlfriend?
- A. I asked him about the interview previously conducted by Commission staff where the rape of the exgirlfriend. He stated that he and his ex-girlfriend had had an argument and he didn't believe she wanted to have sex, but they had sex anyway. He stated it was just a little fight. He further stated that it wasn't rape or anything like that, and she didn't press charges. I asked him if he had ever had sex with any other women against their will, and he stated he had not.
- Q. Did you ask Mr. Brown whether he committed the rape of XXXXXX XXXXXX?
- A. I did. He stated he was 100 percent confident that he had not committed the rape and that there is no doubt in his mind.

- Q. Did Mr. Brown say anything else to you about the victim?
- A. He stated that he had seen the victim walking. He stated he saw a girl and two guys come out of the vacant home where the victim was raped, that he and another man had seen this. He said it was in the same block where the vacant house was and that they were walking near the corner. But he was unable to clarify when this was, if it was the day of the rape, the day after the rape, what time period it was. We attempted to clarify this several times to determine if it really was the victim, if he was saying that he actually saw her in the vacant house, if he actually knew the victim, and we were unable to get clarification from him.
- Q. And during these two interviews was Mr. Brown able to provide an alibi for the day of and time of the crime?
- A. Mr. Brown's alibi was that he was at a drug dealer's home on the day of the crime. He's unsure of the exact time that he was there and he did provide names of five individuals for that day, but they are only first names of the individuals.
- Q. Did the Commission try to identify or locate these five individuals --
- A. We did.

- Q. -- with the information that we had?
- A. We actually took him around and had him show us where the homes were and where those people might live today.

 We did make attempts to locate these individuals, however, for the most part, we were unable to do so.
- Q. And was the Commission able to substantiate Mr. Brown's alibi?
- A. Unfortunately, we couldn't, given the time frame with these individuals. He stated that he knew it was in the afternoon because when he was there, he saw a school bus go by and he saw a police car go by going to the crime scene. Since the Commission was unable to locate the individuals who were in the drug house, we couldn't ask them about their recollection of the day of the crime. And then based on the fact that

 Mr. Brown stated all of them were using drugs on that day, the crime was in 2008, it's unlikely that they would have provided a credible and reliable alibi for such a short window of time anyway.
- Q. During your interview with Mr. Brown did you have a chance to speak with Mr. Brown's mother, Geraldine Battle?
- A. Briefly.
- Q. And what did she say about Mr. Brown's mental health?
- A. She indicated that her son had graduated high school in

1989, and that prior to that he was okay. She stated that a year after he graduated high school he began to see things, and at that time she sent him to New York to stay with relatives. While there he was diagnosed with schizophrenia. She stated when he's on his medication, he does fine, but when he's off of it she can tell.

- Q. Does Mr. Brown also state that he graduated high school?
- A. He does.
 - Q. Did Mr. Brown's mother say anything else regarding
 Mr. Brown's quilt or innocence?
 - A. She stated that her son has always told her that he did not commit the crime.

MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, do you all have any questions for Ms. Stellato regarding the interviews that the Commission staff conducted with Mr. Brown?

MR. GRACE: I want to get back to the question I asked earlier. If on 1/25 the crime was committed and the victim was unable to identify who committed that crime, how then was Rickey able to tell Mr. Brown the next day that he was being talked about for the crime?

A. She didn't identify him on 1/25, she identified him on

NCIIC	Hearing	-	State	v.	Knolly	Brown
1	/26.					

MR. GRACE: But that was after he, Rickey had come to him and said, they're saying you raped this girl, you need to go get your face right?

A. Huh-uh (no). She identifies him right, she identifies him minutes after Rickey -- it's Rickey who tells him that, and he walks over there because of Rickey.

MR. GRACE: I understand that. My question is, how does Rickey know that he's been identified as the victim of the crime when the victim didn't identify?

- Q. Ms. Stellato.
- 13 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- 14 Q. Did Rickey live with Jamal Johnson?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And was the victim on her way to Jamal Johnson's when she saw Knolly Brown on the drug dealer's porch?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And do we know exactly what happened between when the victim saw Knolly Brown on the porch and when Rickey went to that house and asked Knolly Brown to get his face straight?
 - A. No. But we know that Rickey was in a relationship with Jamal's grandmother.

JUDGE WAGONER: Did you say grandmother?

	NCII	CC Hearing - State v. Knolly Brown 130
1	Α.	(Witness nods head affirmatively.)
2		JUDGE WAGONER: How old is Rickey?
3	Α.	(Witness does not respond.)
4		JUDGE WAGONER: I'm just okay, Jamal is in
5		a relationship is this correct, that
6	Α.	Rickey is in a relationship was, was in a
7		relationship with Jamal's grandmother. Rickey lived
8		with Jamal. The victim is on the porch of Jamal's
9		house when she sees Knolly Brown. Then she leaves
10		there and is coming home. Rickey goes wherever he goes
11		to see Knolly Brown and says, you got to get your face
12		straight.
13		MR. BRITT: Is Jamal's house the drug house
14		he says he was at on the day of the crime?
15	Α.	No.
16		MR. BRITT: Where is that house in
17		relationship to the scene of the crime?
18		MS. GUICE SMITH: If I can put this map back
19		up, Ms. Stellato can point that out.
20		JUDGE WAGONER: That's part of our handouts,
21		correct?
22	Α.	Uh-huh (yes).
23		MR. BRITT: That's Handout 3.
24	Q.	The crime scene is not going to be on there, Sharon,

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

25

but --

A. Right.

- Q. -- you know where it's at on here.
- A. B.

MR. HEARD: So that's Barry's house, that's -

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay, Ms. Thompson, do you want to ask a question before they go further?

MS. THOMPSON: Yes. I want to make sure I understand this. She sees Mr. Brown on the porch and she doesn't have a reaction, but then several minutes later she's walking in the street and Rickey goes and tells her to get -- tells him to get his face straight. I mean, is it -- is this all happening when she sees him on the porch, get your face straight, and then everybody kind of converges onto the street; is this happening within like --

A. Minutes.

MS. THOMPSON: So she doesn't react when she sees him on the porch?

A. We don't know if she reacts or not because we don't have that information. But she reacts enough that people are, you know, that Jamal is there, the grandmother is there, the people are coming together in some sort of scene.

MS. THOMPSON: But she bursts out crying?

Jamal says that she does react on the porch in our 1 Α. 2 interview with him. Jamal says she does react, I was 3 there when she saw him. So -4 MS. THOMPSON: We don't know what that really 5 means. Α. No. 6 7 MS. THOMPSON: I mean, she blinked or -- I mean, but she bursts out crying when they're in the 8

Α. Uh-huh (yes).

street?

MS. THOMPSON: And the mother says --

Right. Α.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay. Mr. Heard and then Mr. Britt.

MR. HEARD: And we really have no information about whether or not Rickey or Jamal have any contact with each other between the time of the crime and the time of the identification?

We know that Rickey and Jamal live together, and Α. the grandmother. We know that Rickey, Jamal, and Cynthia Johnson lived together, and we know that Jamal and Cynthia are in the road together when the identification, official identification, I quess, takes place.

> Okay. What I'm trying to get at MR. HEARD:

is whether or not Pressley has had a chance to -- if he is the one with the information, has communicated this to them in some fashion?

A. We have no idea because police don't know about that.

No.

MR. BRITT: You said that when you interviewed Mr. Brown trying to verify his alibi, he took you either to or by a house where he says he was the day of the rape.

- Q. Ms. Stellato, is that house there anymore?
- A. Correct. That -- he took us to the location where the house was. That house has been torn down.

MR. BRITT: What was the location?

A. The house was on the corner of Coleman, East Grand.

JUDGE WAGONER: Could you point to it with your finger, show us where it is on that?

- A. Yes. It's right -- Lindsey, you're going to have to help me. Is it right here?
- Q. That's correct.
 - A. It's right next to Barry's. It looks like where Barry lives, but it's really not.

JUDGE WAGONER: Next door to where?

MR. BRITT: Next door to the letter B.

A. Right. So it's, it's just not there anymore, it's tore down. But the vacant lot, he took us to it.

- Q. Ms. Stellato, is the vacant lot on the corner of Woodland and East Grand Avenue?
- 3 A. Yes.

5

- 4 \ Q. And is that where Barry's house was at the time?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And was that address 722 East Highland -- East Grand
 Avenue?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And is Jamal's house indicated on this map with the letter A?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And was that address 817 East Grand Avenue?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. And is the victim's house on this map where the letter
 C is located?
- 16 A. Correct.

23

24

- 17 Q. And that is on East Highland Avenue?
- 18 A. Uh-huh (yes). Correct.
- Q. And was the victim, based on law enforcement reports,
 was the victim walking from her house toward Jamal's
 house when she saw her attacker on the porch of a drug
 dealer's house across the street from Jamal's house?
 - A. Correct. And law enforcement goes back to the same location and verifies that they can see from one location to another.

JUDGE WAGONER: If I recall, she was walking by herself, or somebody was with her? Or she was walking to Jamal's house.

A. She was walking to Jamal's house.

JUDGE WAGONER: By herself?

A. (Witness does not respond.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE WAGONER: Was there someone with her? That's what I'm trying to figure out.

- Q. Is there anything in the report that indicates that anyone was with her?
- A. The report doesn't indicate that.

JUDGE WAGONER: The day after she was raped she was walking sort of the same route by herself?

A. The report doesn't indicate.

MR. HEARD: I thought there was somebody with her.

A. Jamal ends up with her. She ends up telling Jamal.

But the report doesn't indicate who is with her.

MR. HEARD: But she's walking alone in the same route the day after by herself?

A. It's actually one block over.

MS. SURGEON: Was there something in the report that she was going to a party the next day?

- Q. Ms. Stellato, if you will turn to page 25 of the brief.
- A. (Witness complies.) Uh-huh (yes).

- Q. And if you'll look at the first full paragraph on that page, I believe you can answer Ms. Surgeon's question.
- A. XXXXXX stated she was going to a friend's house to get her to come to a birthday party. When she arrived at Jamal's house she saw the same man that raped her on a porch of a drug dealer's home.
- Q. Will you keep going?

A. Uh-huh (yes). She said that she had -- that he had on the same clothes. When she saw him she told Jamal's uncle. Jamal's uncle then brought the man she pointed out back to XXXXXXX's home for her to identify. At that time XXXXXXX still had not arrived home from Jamal's house.

MR. HEARD: Rickey is Jamal's uncle?

JUDGE WAGONER: Yes.

- Q. Can you tell the Commissioners whether or not the Commission staff tried to ascertain who Jamal's uncle was?
- A. We did.
- \blacksquare Q. And did we do that by speaking with Jamal Johnson?
- 21 A. We did.
- 22 \ Q. And what did he say about that?
 - A. He said that -- we tried to determine if Rickey was his uncle, and then he said Rickey was actually his grandmother's boyfriend.

- Q. Did he say whether or not it would have been Rickey or his uncle who went with --
- A. He said it was Rickey who went with -- who was there that day.
- Q. Can you also flip to page 26 of your brief?
- A. (Witness complies.)

- Q. And on that one paragraph on page 26, begin with the sentence, XXXXXX then pointed out the house, and read that for the Commissioners.
- A. XXXXXX then pointed out the house where she saw Knolly Brown on the porch when she was going to Jamal's on Saturday, 1/26/2008. The address he was seen at is 722 East Grand Avenue. The house is about three houses down on the opposite side of the street from Jamal's house. From Jamal's house I could clearly see the porch of 722 East Grand Avenue.
- Q. And based on your familiarity with that area, Jamal's house, and 722 East Grand Avenue, does this appear to be an accurate description?
- A. You mean Jamal's house and where Barry's house used to be?
- 22 Q. Correct.
- 23 A. Yes.
 - Q. You were able to see where Barry's house used to be from Jamal's house at that time?

NCIIC Hearing - State v. Knolly Brown 1 Α. Correct. 2 MS. GUICE SMITH: If I may approach. 3 Someone had asked about ages for Rickey Lynch and Q. Ms. Johnson. I'm going to hand you some reports that 4 5 can assist you with their date of births. (Witness examines document.) Rickey was born in 1968; 6 Α. 7 Ms. Johnson passed away, she was born in 1953. And was the Commission able to speak with Ms. Johnson? 8 Q. 9 Α. No. And is that because she is deceased? 10 11 Correct. Α. MS. GUICE SMITH: Any other questions? 12 13 (NO RESPONSE.) 14 JUDGE WAGONER: Thank you. 15 Ms. Stellato, you may step down. Q. (Witness complies.) 16 Α. 17 * * * * * * 18 19

MS. GUICE SMITH: At this time we would be ready to call Mr. Brown to testify. But if you all wanted a break, now would be probably an appropriate

time for a quick bathroom break.

JUDGE WAGONER: He is going to testify?

MS. GUICE SMITH: He is going to testify.

JUDGE WAGONER: And how long will that

probably take?

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

MS. GUICE SMITH: I don't have a lot of 1 2 questions. We're going to go through mostly the same 3 questions that we've gone with him before, gone through with him before. 4 5 JUDGE WAGONER: Tomorrow we do victim impact? 6 MS. GUICE SMITH: We're moving much more 7 quickly than we anticipated, so it may be that we get 8 to that today. 9 MR. GRACE: Madame Chair, could I ask for just a five-minute break? 10 11 JUDGE WAGONER: Sure. (WHEREUPON, A SHORT RECESS WAS TAKEN FROM 12 2:53 P.M. THROUGH 3:11 P.M.) 13 14 MS. GUICE SMITH: I call Knolly Brown to the 15 stand, to the table. 17 Knolly Brown, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows: 18

16

19

20

25

EXAMINATION BY MS. GUICE SMITH

- 21 Can you please state your name for the Commissioners? Q.
- 22 Α. Knolly Brown, Jr.
- 23 And how old are you, Mr. Brown? 0.
- Α. 24 45.
 - I'm going to ask you several questions, okay, and you Q.

8

9

10

11

need to tell the truth. Many of those questions will be questions that the Commission staff has asked you before. If you don't remember something, just say that you don't remember. If you don't know the answer to a question, just say that you don't know. When I am done asking you questions, all of the Commissioners here around this table will have an opportunity to ask questions of you.

When we, when Ms. Stellato and I met with you a few weeks ago you told us that you were taking Haldol shots. Are you still taking those?

- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And when did you get your last one?
- 14 A. 3rd of December.
- 15 Q. December 3?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. So that was just a few days ago?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What have you been convicted of?
- 20 A. Second degree rape.
- 21 Q. Any other convictions?
- 22 A. And --

23

24

- Q. Not related to this, but any other convictions?
- A. Larceny; let's see, drinking underage; attempt to sell to an undercover cop; possession of a stolen vehicle.

- Q. Okay. With respect to the case that we're here for today, the rape of XXXXXXX XXXXXX, did you rape

 Ms. XXXXXX?
 - A. No, I didn't.
 - Q. Did you have sex, consensual sex with Ms. XXXXXX?
- 6 A. No, I didn't.

- Q. What do you recall about the day of the crime?
- A. Well, I was going to church; I was going to church. I was in, I was in college. I played a, I played a number at a store. I played a lottery at the store, I remember that. I remember sleeping at Carolina,

 Carolina Avenue. And I also remember smoking, smoking a blunt with a girl, two girls, I think two girls, two guys. And a police was coming down the street one way, and I saw a school bus.
- Q. Do you remember when you saw that school bus that that was in the morning or in the afternoon?
- A. I don't know what time it was. I know, I know it, I know what time it was. It was -- I don't, I don't know what time it was.
 - Q. Okay. And you said you were with two girls and two guys. Do you know their names?
 - A. One name was Ashley, Ashley, the other one's Tiara, and there were three guys. One guy name was Frank, other guy name was Ron, and the other guy name was Charles.

- Q. And do you know any of those individuals' last names?
- A. I think Ashley and them, I think her last name was

 Bryant. And Tiara, I don't know what Tiara last name.
- Q. And did you, when Ms. Stellato and I were with you a few weeks ago, did you take us around to various locations where you thought those individuals might live or might have lived?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. When you say you saw a police car, what do you remember about that police car?
- A. It was just kind of strange. It was just, it was just coming down the street with the lights on. I said, look, everybody look. I was like, hey, what's going on? We -- just -- smoking a weed. And after that I seen a school bus go by. I'm like, I don't know, I'm saying, I don't --
- Q. What do you recall about the day after the crime?
- A. Day after the crime having to the store, having to the store. Coming to -- I just remember, I say what I remember. I remember going to the store that night and this --
- Q. When you say that night, what night? The night of the crime or the day after the crime?
- A. I can't know. I think it was the day of the crime.
- 25 Q. And what do you remember about the store?

- A. I went to the, I went to the store, and the girl said, there's a girl, Katie, said, she said, she said, they saying you raped that girl. I was like, what girl?

 She said, that girl right there. So I like, what? I say, I didn't rape no girl. And so there -- she was in the store and her dad was in there, everybody. So I run out the store. I was like, I was like, I raped her? I said, I -- what -- like, like, like, why she -- well, I didn't do nothing then, know what I'm saying?

 So I, I understand that one. So I had, I had -- then I remember going to a house on Woodland, Woodland Avenue to get some blunts for Ken and Thomas.
- Q. Whose house was that?
- A. That was Barry and them house on Woodland Avenue. And I came back. Ken, Ken Tom as on the phone. He said the girl that got raped said was you. I said, what? So I say, I say, I say I raped no girl. So then everybody in the house at Lisa Johnson, everybody, everybody say, go straighten your face. And dude named Jamie, I call him Pie Face, said, go, go straighten your face, go by straighten your -- so I went around and straighten my face. I think it was Rickey. So we were walking around there. Her, the girl, Ann Johnson, Ann Johnson, they come up the street. And the girl point at me, busted out crying. Her dad was right

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there, Keith was right there coming out the house. I think another girl was there, too. A -- girl, I know her mom's at work.

But anyway, he chase me down the street all the way to Carolina with a butcher knife. And I had a knife on me that was saving. And more and more -- kid chased me on the bike. And then I -- so I ran -- then that's when Keith called the police. He said, he called 911, said I, said I raped his, said I raped his daughter. I kept saying I didn't rape his daughter, I kept saying I'm going to swim in everything. Called the police, the police came on Carolina, Carolina while I was standing there with my grandmother and like they was going to shoot me and everything. So I got down. I had, I had told Boo Boo, Ashley's sister, to go get grandma, grandma her wallet, because I knew something strange. So I asked her to get my, give them my wallet. And then how did she -- she made -- house, grandma got her wallet. And that's when, that's when the police, everybody came up, arrest me like that.

And they, and then there are -- it took me down, took a -- told me to get out the car. At the police station when I get out the car I look this way, this way, and all this and that. And so after that, I went down there. I was still waiting and waiting.

2

3

4

6

5

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then they charged me, they charge me with second degree rape and they charge me with first degree kidnapping. That's what I remember.

- Okay. Had you seen the victim before the crime? 0.
- You know what? It seem like I have, but it seem like, Α. it seem like I have.
- Q. Mr. Brown, you pled no contest to this crime.
- Α. Yes.
- Which is essentially a quilty plea. Why -- you've told Q. the Commission today that you didn't rape Ms. XXXXXX. Why did you take that plea?
- Well, because my lawyer said, he said they was going to Α. give you 30 years. He said, it was her word against your word. He said, there was, there was exchanging money under the table to the jury. And he said, the DNA didn't match. And he said, he said, they didn't have no physical evidence. He said -- so I didn't know nothing about no Innocence Committee. I was -- it was -- he was like, the best thing to do is -- he asked me what I want to do. I had, I had to take a plea. I couldn't, I couldn't do 30 years. My mother is 72 years old. I couldn't sit in there and wait, prove my innocence or whatever because I didn't know nobody, Innocence Committee, know what I'm saying? The dude, the dude in prison, just, having to just -- I've ran

NCIIC Hearing	a -	State	v.	Knolly	Brown
---------------	------------	-------	----	--------	-------

- 1 across him and got with the Innocence Committee.
- 2 That's the reason why, because I want, I want, I want
- 3 to still see my mother while she was living and see my
- 4 kids.

- Q. You're telling the Commission today that you did not rape Ms. XXXXXX, is that correct?
- 7 A. I did not rape Ms. XXXXXX.
- 8 MS. GUICE SMITH: Commissioners, any
- 9 questions for Mr. Brown?
- 10 JUDGE WAGONER: I might have a question. I
- 11 think you talked about somebody named Boo Boo.
- 12 A. Boo Boo?
- JUDGE WAGONER: Maybe I wrote it down wrong.
- Who is Boo Boo?
- 15 A. Oh, that's, that's Ashley sister.
- JUDGE WAGONER: Ashley's sister?
- 17 A. Yes, ma'am.
- JUDGE WAGONER: And she's one of the ones who
- 19 was with you in the house smoking a joint maybe?
- 20 A. No, she wasn't there.
- JUDGE WAGONER: Ashley was?
- 22 A. Ashley was there.
- 23 JUDGE WAGONER: Okay. And did you know Mr.
- 24 -- what is the step-father's name?
- MR. BRITT: Pressley.

1		JUDGE WAGONER: Did you know the step-father
2		of this girl who was raped, did you know him very well?
3	Α.	I knew of him.
4		JUDGE WAGONER: Mr. Pressley?
5	Α.	I knew of him, but not like, not like that, not like,
6		you know what I'm saying? I knew, I knew of him.
7		JUDGE WAGONER: Okay. Anybody else?
8		MS. SURGEON: How long had you lived in that
9		neighborhood?
10	Α.	Since the third grade. Moved from New York City.
11		JUDGE WAGONER: Well, I'll ask you another
12		question.
13	Α.	Okay.
14		JUDGE WAGONER: Okay. I believe you said
15		that Katie, you were in the store and Katie said
16		something about you've raped that girl. Do you
17		remember that?
18	Α.	Yeah. I think she said, I think she said they were
19		saying that I raped that girl.
20		JUDGE WAGONER: Okay, they were saying. Now,
21		who was in that store when Katie said that? Do you
22		remember who was there when she said that?
23	Α.	I think the girl was in the store.
24		JUDGE WAGONER: The girl they say you raped?
25	Α.	Yeah, she was in the store.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay.

Her dad was in the store, Keith was in the store. All I know is, I think, I think it was Willie, Willie and his wife was in the store working. I'm not sure about that.

JUDGE WAGONER: Well, I'm just curious, did the girl or her father, were they standing close enough to Katie to hear what Katie said, do you think, about they're saying you raped that girl?

(Witness does not respond.) Α.

> JUDGE WAGONER: Were they standing close by to Katie?

Well --Α.

JUDGE WAGONER: If you can remember.

No, because Katie was right, Katie was like -- it's a Α. small store and Katie was at, like, at the counter. And they was like, like, on the, like, on the, like on the, by the beer, by, by the, by the -- this side. Ιt was like a small -- I don't know. I don't know.

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay. That's all right.

MR. HEARD: There were several other persons who had been mentioned, some of whom you mentioned before that we have been told about. We were told about Rickey and Jamal in addition to Katie.

Α. Yeah.

1		MR. HEARD: Do you know whether or not Keith
2		Pressley was good friends with any of them?
3	Α.	I don't know.
4		MR. HEARD: So you don't know if they hung
5		out together or had known each other for any length of
6		time or anything like that?
7	Α.	(Witness shakes head negatively.)
8		MS. THOMPSON: Mr. Brown, when you saw the
9		girl in the store, was this the day of the crime or the
10		next day of the crime?
11	Α.	I think it was the day of the crime.
12		MS. THOMPSON: And when you saw her and she
13		saw you, did she cry? Did she look surprised? Did she
14		look like she was afraid of you? Did she walk by and
15		stare at you? Did Mr. Pressley say anything? Did he
16		get angry at you when he walked by? Or did they just
17		walk out of the store?
18	Α.	All the above.
19		MS. THOMPSON: So she did look surprised?
20		She was afraid of you?
21	Α.	I don't really know. I mean, it's just like, it's
22		like, it's like they didn't, like they didn't, like
23		they didn't even recognize I was in the store. Like, I
24		don't know. I don't know.

MS. THOMPSON: So they didn't, they didn't?

A. Yeah, I don't, I don't know. I don't know what. I don't know.

MS. THOMPSON: She didn't cry when she looked at you?

A. No; no.

MS. THOMPSON: She just walked right by you?

A. Yeah; yes.

MR. HEARD: I understand that you were being brought, you were going back to their house so that she could see you.

A. Yes.

MR. HEARD: And you met the girl and her step-father and her mother on the way to their house?

A. I had -- I was -- me and Rickey walked towards their house, and Ms. -- the girl was coming down the street.

And that's when the girl point at me and I think Rickey said, that's him, or something. And she busted out crying. Then Keith -- that was down the street, mine, that was down the street. And, and Keith chased me with a big, chased me with a butcher knife, and Jamal and a light-skinned dude chase me on the bike all the way back to, to Carolina Avenue.

MR. HEARD: But Keith was with her, with her when she pointed you out?

A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.)

151 NCIIC Hearing - State v. Knolly Brown 1 MR. HEARD: Who else was with her, do you 2 recall? 3 Α. Jamal. MR. HEARD: Jamal? 4 5 And a light-skin dude, and Rickey, and I think a light-Α. 6 skin lady. 7 MR. HEARD: So Rickey was with you walking toward them? 8 9 Yeah. Α. MR. HEARD: And they were all walking toward 10 11 you? 12 Α. Yeah. 13 MR. HEARD: Okay. And you said that you basically decided to take a plea based on the advice of 14 15 your attorney? (Witness does not respond.) 16 Α. 17 MR. HEARD: Is he the one who convinced you 18 to take the plea? Well, I didn't -- I mean, after he said I could get 30 19 Α.

years, say her word against my word, and they were paying the jury under the table and all of that, I was like, anything push to shove, was already against me. That girl go there and start, burst out crying, it would have been over for me with all that going on, you know what I'm saying? So like I said, I want, I want

20

21

22

23

24

to still see my mother. I last seen my mother 72 years old right now. I still want to see my kid. Like I say, I didn't really know no about Innocence Committee or nothing, so I, I did what was best for me at that moment, you know.

MR. HEARD: But what did your attorney advise you? What advice did he give you? Did he specifically give you advice?

A. I can't really recall.

MR. HEARD: He didn't say, well, my suggestion to you or my advice to you is that you do --

A. Yeah, take --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HEARD: Take the plea?

A. Yeah; I think so, yeah.

MR. HEARD: Okay. Thank you.

JUDGE WAGONER: But when you pled no contest, your lawyer or somebody had told you that the DNA did not match, is that right? You knew that?

A. Yes.

JUDGE WAGONER: But you still wanted to go on and do the no contest anyway?

A. Yes, ma'am.

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay.

MR. BRITT: Mr. Brown, you said a couple of times that the jury was being paid under the table.

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

That's what magistrate ready told me. 1 Α. 2 MR. BRITT: And were you all actually picking 3 the jury to hear your case when you entered your plea? I don't know. I don't even know about that one. It 4 Α. 5 was happening so fast, I can't -- I don't, I don't know 6 what to tell you about that one, sir. 7 MR. BRITT: Let me ask you this. You never got out of jail, did you, from the time you were 8 9 arrested? I don't think so. 10 Α. 11 MR. BRITT: When you were in court and entered your plea, were you wearing clothes like you 12 13 are today or were you wearing a jail jumpsuit? I don't know. I think I had on street clothes; I had 14 Α. 15 on street clothes. MR. BRITT: Did you always wear street 16 17 clothes when you went to court? I don't know. 18 Α. 19 JUDGE WAGONER: Do you remember if your mama brought you clothes to wear to court that day you plead 20 21 no contest? 22 I think so. Α. 23 JUDGE WAGONER: She brought you some clothes,

A. Yeah.

you think?

24

1 MS. SURGEON: Mr. Brown, what was your 2 understanding as to how many years you could be going 3 to prison if you did not take a plea? I was just, I was just thinking about doing, having to 4 Α. 5 do the 30 years, and that, that's all I thought about, 6 really. 7 MS. SURGEON: And what were you told would be the amount of time you could possibly spend in prison 8 9 if you took a plea? I think, I think -- I don't know. 10 Α. 11 MS. SURGEON: Was it your understanding that you would get less time if you took a plea? 12 Ma'am? 13 Α. MS. SURGEON: Was it your understanding that 14 15 you would be in prison for a shorter period of time than 30 years? 16 17 Yes. Α. MS. SURGEON: Were you told how much time you 18 19 would be going to prison if you took a plea? 20 I think I had got a letter. I think it was one letter, Α. 21 I can't really remember, but I think one, one people 22 like, like, he said, like, put down -- Lamont, Lamont 23 Wiggins was on the case, too. See, first he had said 24 it match, said DNA match. And then, and then he was 25 saying about five years, ten years. And I really, I

really didn't understand what he was talking about because I, because I didn't do it. So I just, I just tell the truth. I didn't, I didn't, I didn't know, I didn't know really, really, really what was going on really.

MS. SURGEON: Thank you.

JUDGE WAGONER: I'm just curious, do you have to register? Do you remember if you have to register as a sex offender and live in the same place, but you've done all that, right?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay. Other questions?
(NO RESPONSE.)

MS. GUICE SMITH: Okay. Mr. Brown, you may step down and leave the room.

JUDGE WAGONER: Thank you very much, sir.

A. Thank you all.

JUDGE WAGONER: You have a good night.

A. You have a good day.

JUDGE WAGONER: You do, too.

A. I appreciate it, you all.

(MR. BROWN EXITS THE HEARING ROOM.)

* * * * * * *

JUDGE WAGONER: Anything further for this afternoon?

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

156 NCIIC Hearing - State v. Knolly Brown 1 MS. GUICE SMITH: Not for this afternoon. 2 JUDGE WAGONER: All right, then we will 3 adjourn. * * * * * * 4 5 (WHEREUPON, THE HEARING ADJOURNED AT 3:40 P.M. ON DECEMBER 9, AND RESUMED AT 9:08 A.M. ON 6 7 DECEMBER 10.) 8 9 JUDGE WAGONER: I'm going to call us to order again. Before we go any further and have the victim 10 11 impact statement, there were some portions of testimony yesterday in which two minors' names who were potential 12 13 victims came out in testimony. I'm going to order the 14 court reporter to redact those individuals' names. And 15 if you have any questions, you can probably get with staff and they can tell you exactly which ones. 16 17 will become public record and we don't want those young 18 peoples' names coming out. 19 Anything further? 20 MS. GUICE SMITH: I don't think so. 21 JUDGE WAGONER: Now, does anybody have any 22 questions about anything so far? 23 (NO RESPONSE.)

> Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

with the victim impact statement.

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay. We will now proceed

24

MS. GUICE SMITH: Prior to doing that, I'm going to have Ms. Stellato go to the stand, and if any Commissioners had any outstanding questions that you want to ask, now would be the time to do that.

JUDGE WAGONER: And again, if you have any questions, she does the victim impact statement, something comes up, we can ask them then.

Sharon Stellato, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:

11

24

25

EXAMINATION BY MS. GUICE SMITH

MS. GUICE SMITH: If there are no questions, Ms. Stellato is going to provide a statement from the victim. Your Honor, I would ask that this portion of the hearing be closed to the public and only staff be present, staff and Commissioners be present.

JUDGE WAGONER: All right. I want to ask, unless you are staff or a Commissioner, ask that you please vacate the room. Anybody?

Yes, ma'am, and if you would speak up a little bit.

Of course. Sorry. As I told you yesterday, we spoke Α. to the victim on the phone on November 30, 2015. She stated that she may or may not attend the hearing

today. I asked her if she did not attend the hearing, if there was anything she would want the Commissioners to know. Prior to that, I asked her if she was confident today in her identification of Mr. Brown. She stated yes. I asked her, after her identification if she had ever had any doubts or saw anyone that she believed could have been the individual who raped her. She answered no. I stated we were trying to make sure that she stood by her identification and I asked her if she were to come to the hearing, I want to make sure that her position is properly relayed to the Commissioners. I asked her would her position be that she was confident that he is the man who raped her. She stated yes.

She stated she had never seen Mr. Brown from the neighborhood prior to the rape. Again, she stated that Mr. Keith Pressley was her step-father for a period of time. She did confirm that there were sex abuse charges taken out against him, but that he did not abuse her in any way, sexual or otherwise.

I asked her just in case she cannot attend the hearing, what would she like relayed to the Commissioners other than she is confident in her identification of Mr. Brown. She stated, if it is not him, then I want you guys to continue to check on the

DNA testing because I know for sure that it was him.

Could you guys just continue to look over the DNA and see what it could have been or who it could have been?

MS. GUICE SMITH: Does that conclude the victim impact statement?

A. Yes, ma'am.

MS. GUICE SMITH: Are there any questions?

JUDGE WAGONER: I have two questions. First,
this was a telephone interview, correct?

A. It was. We attempted to interview Ms. XXXXXX in person. Again, she was underage for quite some time during our investigation. She's now 19 years old. We did go to her home, we know she lived there. She was at work when we went there. She did not respond to our request to interview her in person, just over the phone.

JUDGE WAGONER: Do you know who was present with her when she was interviewed by phone?

A. She does not live with her mother anymore. I don't know if it's a roommate situation. She lives with someone, it's a female, and she has a child, she lives with the child, her child. And I know that a woman answered the phone who is not her mother, so there was another woman present and a child present. I don't know if they were --

(WHEREUPON, THERE WAS A POWER FAILURE IN THE 1 2 ROOM AND THE PROCEEDINGS WENT OFF THE RECORD.) 3 JUDGE WAGONER: Do we know one way or another if a photograph of Mr. Brown was among the 2,000 photos 4 5 that were shown to her? Let me correct something I just said. The photographs 6 Α. 7 were shown to her the day after the rape. 8 JUDGE WAGONER: Okay. 9 So it was the next day. And we do not believe that a 10 photograph of Mr. Brown was shown to her because Mr. Brown was over the age of 30, and the information 11 that the officer put in was, she put in, Detective 12 13 Walcott put in black males between the ages of 15 and 30, and those are the searches that came back. 14 15 JUDGE WAGONER: And Mr. Brown was over 30 --16 Α. He was over 30. 17 JUDGE WAGONER: -- at the time of this --18 Α. Correct. MR. BRITT: Did she look at those photographs 19 20 before or after the show-up occurred with Mr. Brown? Prior to the show-up. That's when she identified the 21 22 five individuals that we discussed yesterday. MR. GRACE: Is there a report available from 23 24 the attending nurse at the hospital?

One moment. (Witness examines document.) Your Honor,

25

Α.

1

may I get something out of the file?

2

JUDGE WAGONER: Certainly.

3

Α.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Α.

24

25

That's what this is.

nurse's notes.

of this record.

MR. GRACE: I'd like to have that made a part

third paragraph that starts with, once the location of the rape was identified, it discusses that I transported XXXXXX and her mother to Nash General Hospital to have a rape kit completed and a physical And then it talks about what happened at the hospital. We also have her medical records. There is under description of the incident, and this is written by the hospital, it says, brief account of the assault. Walking home from school with sister and friends.

(Witness continues to examine documents.) On page 21

of your brief there's a police report that, on the

Stopped to tie her shoe and a man grabbed her and pulled her into an abandoned house. Took her to a room across from the bathroom. Took her pants down first, then his pants. Held her down and there was penile penetration.

And I can pass this around if you'd like to see it.

MR. GRACE: But we don't have the sane

1 Α. I can copy --2 JUDGE WAGONER: All right. I can -- actually, let me have the staff copy, and then 3 Α. 4 we will have -- we will give everyone a copy. 5 JUDGE WAGONER: This is not part of our 6 brief? 7 It is not, no, ma'am. Α. JUDGE WAGONER: I will order that the nurses 8 9 notes, ER room notes be made a part of the record. 10 MS. GUICE SMITH: Your Honor, these are 11 medical records for a victim who is under age, so we would request that these remain a confidential, a part 12 of the confidential Commission file and not made a 13 14 public record. 15 JUDGE WAGONER: All right. The Commissioners 16 can look at them, and then we'll pass them back and 17 they will not become a part of the official record, but will be --18 19 MR. HEARD: Information for the Commission, 20 but not for the record. 21 JUDGE WAGONER: Yes, it's just for us. 22 MR. GRACE: And a follow-up. The sane nurse 23 didn't do a separate report?

A. No, not in the records that we were provided.

24

25

MR. GRACE: Thank you.

JUDGE WAGONER: I have a question about, and
I believe it was the DNA expert who testified about
this, the shoes.

A. Yes, ma'am.

JUDGE WAGONER: Could you believe the testimony was that they could not establish what size the shoe was, the shoe print, is that right?

A. I testified about that.

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay.

A. And I can go back to that, if you'll just give me one second.

JUDGE WAGONER: If you don't mind.

A. Sure. (Witness examines document.) We had a shoe print expert examine the impression of the shoe cast and he was able to exclude Knolly Brown's Pony shoes, not Aaron Atkins' shoes. And he could not determine the size of the shoe print.

JUDGE WAGONER: And would I be correct in stating that without the eyewitness identification by Ms. XXXXXX of Mr. Brown, there would be nothing to tie him to this crime?

him to this crime?

A. The case didn't go to trial so we -- you know, all of the evidence wasn't presented. But if you read the affidavit from his second trial attorney and through our conversations with the second trial attorney, it

was a victim witness identification case.

JUDGE WAGONER: That was it?

A. Yes, ma'am.

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay.

MR. BRITT: And really, this is for my knowledge, in the court file did either lawyer file a motion to suppress the identification?

A. Let me -- I have the court file. I don't recall that there was one, but let me just confirm that briefly.

(Witness examines document.)

MS. GUICE SMITH: Your Honor, while

Ms. Stellato reviews that, I'm going to pass around the

portion of the North Carolina Sexual Assault Data Form

that includes the notes about --

JUDGE WAGONER: Okay. Thank you.

A. No, sir, Mr. Britt, there is no motion.

JUDGE WAGONER: Do you have a copy of his transcript of his guilty, his no contest plea? It should be like four pages long or so.

A. (Witness examines document.) Yes, ma'am.

JUDGE WAGONER: Are there any comments as to what his plea arrangement is, exactly what does it say on the third page maybe?

A. It says, Defendant will plead no contest to the reduced charge of second degree forcible rape. Defendant will

be sentenced as a level two offender in the mitigated range for a class C offence of 60 to 80 months.

JUDGE WAGONER: And that's it?

A. Yes, ma'am. Would you like to review this?

JUDGE WAGONER: I'm fine. Anybody else have any questions thus far?

MR. GRACE: Ms. Stellato, as a 19-year-old, when you spoke with the victim, describe her demeanor, her affect, and if you could tell whether she's aware of her surroundings. Did she finish high school?

A. I don't know if she finished high school. She understands the process. She understands that she has a right to be here, that the Commission will pay for her hotel and her meals and things of that nature. She does understand we're a neutral fact finding agency. She understands the DNA. She's very young.

MR. GRACE: I understand she's young, and I understand this may call for a subjective opinion, but were there any obvious mental defects or shortcomings?

A. No, sir. I do want to follow up on a question,

Mr. Grace, that you had just asked a moment ago. There

are, there is one more portion of, in the medical

records, typed notes from a nurse. This is just a

nurse notes. It says, patient gave a description.

Black male in his 20s, dark skin, fat, wearing a white

shirt, black coat with fur, black pants, black shoes, with an Afro. Patient states she has never been sexually active. Rocky Mount Police Department detective states this occurred at 516 Coleman Avenue.

JUDGE WAGONER: Could you please read the description again? I didn't hear one of the words.

A. Of course. Patient states -- excuse me, patient gives a description of the person. Black man in his 20s, dark skin, fat --

JUDGE WAGONER: Fat, f-a-t?

A. Fat, yes, f-a-t. -- wearing a white shirt, black coat with fur, black shoes, with an Afro. Patient states she has never been sexually active.

MS. THOMPSON: Did you say that the event happened at 5 o'clock?

A. No.

MR. GRACE: 516 -- I think it was the address was 516 --

MS. THOMPSON: Oh, I got you.

A. The address for the crime. She was seen at 4:45.

Patient states a sexual assault occurred between

3:00 p.m. and 3:30 this afternoon. She states she was

grabbed by a man in his late 20s while walking home

from school. States she was taken into a home and

sexually assaulted. Quote, he put his penis in my

vagina. She denies oral or anal intercourse. Denies being struck or beaten. States has vaginal bleeding after assault. Denies being sexually active. No condom used.

JUDGE WAGONER: Further questions by any Commissioners?

(NO RESPONSE.)

JUDGE WAGONER: All right. Then I guess we will thank you and we will, in a moment, have a closed session and proceed to deliberations.

MS. GUICE SMITH: Ms. Stellato, you may step down.

A. (Witness complies.)

* * * * * * *

 $\,$ JUDGE WAGONER: $\,$ Do you all want these back before we - $\,$

MS. GUICE SMITH: Yes.

JUDGE WAGONER: Pass them that way.

(COMMISSIONERS COMPLY.)

MS. GUICE SMITH: Thank you, Commissioners, for your patience during this hearing. That will conclude the presentation of the case by the Commission staff. You are now asked to decide whether you conclude there is sufficient evidence of factual innocence to merit judicial review. Because this

conviction resulted from a plea, your vote will need to be unanimous in order to move the case forward. You may decide that there is sufficient evidence and the case will be referred to a three-judge panel. You may decide that there is not sufficient evidence and the case will be closed. Or you may instruct the Commission staff to continue its investigation and reconvene this hearing at a later date.

 $\label{eq:continuous} \mbox{At this time I would request that - I'm} \\ \mbox{sorry?}$

MS. COVER: Well, I think I need to exit at this point.

JUDGE WAGONER: Yes.

MS. GUICE SMITH: At this time I request that alternates who are not serving in the role of Commissioner, please exit. Yes, Mr. Britt?

MR. BRITT: During deliberations do we have access to your files?

MS. GUICE SMITH: You will have access to me and anything that we presented. If there's something that, if there is something that we presented that is in the file that you need, then I can, I can get that for you.

MR. BRITT: Madame Chair, I'd ask that we reopen that hearing for me to ask one question.

1 JUDGE WAGONER: Without objection, that's 2 fine. 3 MS. COVER: So before you all proceed and before I exit, could I have one moment? 4 5 JUDGE WAGONER: Yes, ma'am. Except right now 6 I have re-opened the hearing. 7 MS. COVER: Oh, you've re-opened, okay. JUDGE WAGONER: And he wants to ask another 8 9 question. Do you need Ms. Stellato or who? 10 MR. BRITT: I think either one. Is there a 11 photograph of Knolly Brown at the time of his arrest in this case? 12 MS. GUICE SMITH: Let me see if we have that 13 right here with us. (Ms. Guice Smith examines 14 15 documents.) 16 MS. STELLATO: We can very quickly pull one 17 for you. 18 MR. BRITT: Is it his booking photograph? 19 MS. STELLATO: It will be a booking 20 photograph. 21 JUDGE WAGONER: That would be helpful. 22 MS. GUICE SMITH: We'll just be at ease for a 23 moment while she does that. Your Honor, if you like, 24 we could go off the record for a moment for Ms. Cover 25 to speak.

JUDGE WAGONER: Yes, okay. We'll go off the 1 2 record and Ms. Cover has the floor. 3 (WHEREUPON, A DISCUSSION WAS HAD OFF THE RECORD WHICH WAS NOT REPORTED.) 4 5 MS. GUICE SMITH: Sharon is passing around 6 copies of booking photos of Knolly Brown. She's 7 putting it on the page that you, your attention should be directed to. It's the bottom right picture is his 8 9 booking photo from January 26, 2008, which was the day he was arrested for this crime. 10 11 JUDGE WAGONER: The bottom right? MS. GUICE SMITH: Correct. He's in an orange 12 13 jumpsuit. Your Honor, I would ask that after the 14 Commissioners look at this, this be taken back up. 15 This is from CJLEADS, and our agreement with them 16 requires that we not give this information out 17 publicly. 18 JUDGE WAGONER: Okay. 19 (COMMISSIONERS EXAMINE DOCUMENT.) 20 JUDGE WAGONER: The information on the first 21 page of the offender's summary, is that -- it states 22 his weight. Is that as of the date that this offence, 23 do we know?

MS. GUICE SMITH: Let me look at the -JUDGE WAGONER: Most recent update, it says

24

NCIIC Hearing - State v. Knolly Brown 171 11/13/2015. MS. GUICE SMITH: That would be his weight, his most current weight in the system. I don't know when that weight would have been taken. JUDGE WAGONER: Okav. MS. GUICE SMITH: Likely while he was in DOC. CJLEADS pulls, for those who are not familiar, pulls information from various agencies, it pulls from all law enforcement agencies who participate in the state, it pulls from DPS, and other sources as well. JUDGE WAGONER: Anyone need any more time with the photos? (NO RESPONSE.) JUDGE WAGONER: We will pass those back around then. (COMMISSIONERS COMPLY.) MS. GUICE SMITH: And Judge Wagoner, if you wouldn't mind just ordering that those not become a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

part of the public record?

JUDGE WAGONER: These photos will not become a part of the public record.

Okay. Before we go back into -- well, before I close this again, any other questions?

(NO RESPONSE.)

JUDGE WAGONER: All right, then we will

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

- -

proceed to deliberations. The Chair -- no one in here except Commissioners and Ms. Smith, correct?

MS. GUICE SMITH: Correct.

(WHEREUPON, THE HEARING WAS CLOSED FOR DELIBERATION FROM 9:43 A.M. THROUGH 10:32 A.M.)

JUDGE WAGONER: All right, I'm going to call the hearing back to order. We are now in open session and the Commission has — the following order. This matter coming on for hearing for the North Carolina Innocence Inquiry Commission on December 9 and 10th, 2015, pursuant to North Carolina General Statute Sections 15A-1460-1475. After careful review of the evidence presented the Commission hereby makes and enters the following findings of fact. First, number one, on January 25, 2008 XXXXXXX XXXXXX reported being raped in an abandoned house in Rocky Mount, North Carolina.

Number two, on January 26, 2008 Knolly Brown was arrested by the Rocky Mount Police Department and charged with first degree statutory rape and first degree kidnapping.

Three, on October 14, 2009 Knolly Brown pled no contest to second degree forcible rape. Pursuant to the plea agreement with the state, Brown was sentenced to 60 to 81 months. He was released at the completion

of his sentence on June 27, 2013. He is currently serving five years on post release supervision.

Four, on May 28, 2010 the Commission received Brown's claim of innocence. Brown asserted his complete factual innocence related to the rape of XXXXXX XXXXXX, and the Commission began an inquiry pursuant to Article 92, Chapter 15A of the General Statutes.

Number five, throughout the Commission's inquiry Brown has fully cooperated with Commission staff in accordance with NCGS 15A-1467(g).

Number six, on December 9 and 10, 2015 the Commission held a full evidentiary hearing in this matter pursuant to NC General Statute 15A-1468.

Number seven, during the hearing the

Commission considered testimonial and documentary

evidence. The evidence included, among other things,

A, a 52-page brief provided by the Commission -- to the

Commission by the staff before the hearing; B,

supplemental documentation provided during the hearing;

C, live testimony by Commission Associate Director

Sharon Stellato, Meghan Clement, an expert in DNA

testing, and Claimant Knolly Brown; D, affidavits from

Attorneys Lamont Wiggins and Matthew Sperati, Senior

Police Officer Jill Tyson of the Rocky Mount Police

2

3

5

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Department, and former Rocky Mount Police Department
Detective Renee Walcott.

Eight, after carefully considering the evidence, the Commission has unanimously concluded that there is sufficient evidence of factual innocence to merit judicial review. Wherefore pursuant to NCGS 15A-1468 and as Chairman of the Commission, the undersigned refers this case to the Honorable Milton F. Fitch, Jr., Senior Resident Superior Court Judge for Judicial District 7B-C, and respectfully request that the Chief Justice of the North Carolina Supreme Court appoint a three-judge panel and -- commission to its members to convene a special session of the Superior Court of Edgecombe County to hear evidence relevant to the Commission's recommendation. This, the 10th day of December 2015, Anna Mills Wagoner, Senior Resident Superior Court Judge, Rowan County, Chairman of the North Carolina Innocence Inquiry Commission. It is so ordered.

Anything further?

MS. GUICE SMITH: No.

JUDGE WAGONER: All right. Then if there is nothing further for the Commission, I will adjourn the Commission at this time and thank every one for their attention and attendance, and that's it.

1	MR. HEARD: Do we have administrative
2	matters?
3	JUDGE WAGONER: Huh?
4	MR. HEARD: Do we have administrative matters
5	to deal with?
6	JUDGE WAGONER: And then we will I will
7	adjourn this Commission for the purpose of hearing
8	Mr. Brown's case. However, we will remain in session
9	to deal with some administrative matters in closed
10	session.
11	* * * * * *
12	(WHEREUPON, THE HEARING CONCLUDED AT
13	10:32 A.M.)
14	* * * * *
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

I, Ira Anderson, do hereby certify that the foregoing hearing was taken and transcribed by me; and the foregoing 175 pages constitute a true and accurate transcript of the said hearing.

I do further certify that the parties were present as stated in the caption.

I do further certify that I am not of counsel for or in the employment of either of the parties to this action, nor am I interested in the results of said action.

This the 31st day of December 2015.

Ara Anderson

Notary Public No. 19973380004